









westonandsampson.com

55 Walkers Brook Drive, Suite 100 Reading, MA 01867 tel: 978.532.1900

STORMWATER

MANAGEMENT

PLAN

MS4 GENERAL PERMIT COMPLIANCE



JUNE 2020

TOWN OF
Hamilton
MASSACHUSETTS



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|--|
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | i |
| LIST OF TABLES | iii |
| LIST OF APPENDICES | iv |
| ANNUAL REVISIONS | iv |
| CERTIFICATION | VI |
| 1.0 INTRODUCTION | 1-1 1-2 1-3 1-3 1-4 1-4 |
| 2.0 MINIMUM CONTROL MEASURES 2.1 Introduction 2.2 Permit Requirements and Implementation Timeframes 2.2.1 Public Education and Outreach 2.2.2 Public Involvement / Participation 2.2.3 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination 2.2.4 Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control 2.2.5 Post-Construction Stormwater Management 2.2.6 Pollution Prevention / Good Housekeeping | 2-1 2-1 2-1 2-6 2-7 2-9 |
| 3.0 REGULATORY STANDARDS | 3-1 3-1 3-1 3-2 3-2 3-2 |
| 4.0 IDDE MONITORING AND PROGRESS 4.1 IDDE Plan | 4-1 4-2 4-2 |

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

| 5.0 | STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES | 5- |
|-----|--|-----|
| 5.1 | MS4 Permit Requirement | 5- |
| 5.2 | Inventory of Municipal Facilities | 5- |
| 5.3 | Operation and Maintenance Procedures for Municipal Activities and Facilities | 5- |
| 5.4 | Catch Basin Cleaning and Optimization | 5- |
| 6.0 | TMDLS AND WATER QUALITY LIMITED WATERS | 6- |
| 6.1 | Bacteria/Pathogens | 6- |
| 7.0 | REPORTING, EVALUATION AND MODIFICATION | 7- |
| 7.1 | | |
| 7.2 | Evaluation of SWMP Success | 7- |
| 7.3 | Modifications to the SWMP or Notice of Intent | 7-2 |



STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1.1 – Parties Responsible for SWMP Implementation | | |
|---|---------|--|
| Table 1.2 – Receiving Waters and Impairments | Page 1- | |



LIST OF APPENDICES

| Appendix A | Definitions and Abbreviations |
|------------|--------------------------------|
| Appendix B | Regulated Area Map |
| Appendix C | 2016 MS4 Permi |
| Appendix D | 2016 MS4 Notice of Inten |
| Appendix E | |
| Appendix F | MS4 Checklists by Permit Year |
| Appendix G | Public Education Materials |
| Appendix H | Regulatory Mechanisms |
| Appendix I | Standard Operating Procedures |
| Appendix J | 2016 Annual Reports |
| Appendix K | |
| Appendix I | Operation and Maintenance Plan |



ANNUAL REVISIONS

This document was first finalized in June 2019, in accordance with MS4 Permit requirements for Year 1. The document was updated in June 2020 to reflect accomplishments made during Permit Year 2. The SWMP now includes an updated list of outfalls and receiving waters, and comments on all public education and public engagement efforts that have been completed to date. An Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan for municipal operations and facilities has been developed and appended to the SWMP. Standard Operating Procedures for Site Plan Review, Site Inspection, and Enforcement have also been developed and appended to this document.



CERTIFICATION

Authorized Representative: All reports, including SWPPPs, inspection reports, annual reports, monitoring reports, reports on training and other information required by the MS4 Permit must be signed by a person described in Appendix B, Subsection 11.A of the 2016 MS4 Permit or by a duly authorized representative of that person in accordance with Appendix B, Subsection 11.B. of the 2016 MS4 Permit. If there is an authorized representative to sign MS4 reports, there must be a signed and dated written authorization. The authorization letter can be found in Appendix K.

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

| Printed Name | TIMOTHY J. OLSON | |
|--------------|------------------|-----------|
| | | alzalzaza |
| Signature | al | Date |

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Regulatory Summary and Purpose

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act (WPCA), initially enacted in 1948, established ambient water quality standards to specify acceptable levels of pollution in lieu of preventing the causes of water pollution. The 1972 amendments to the WPCA, referred to as the Clean Water Act (CWA), implemented measures which were focused on establishing effluent limitations on point sources, or 'any discernable, confined, and discrete conveyance... from which pollutants are or may be discharged."

The 1972 CWA introduced the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). The NPDES program was established as the fundamental regulatory mechanism of the CWA, requiring direct dischargers of pollutants into waters of the United States to obtain a NPDES permit. Between 1972 and 1987, the NPDES permit program focused on improving surface water quality by reducing pollutants of industrial process wastewater and municipal sewage. During this period, several nationwide studies on water quality, most notably the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) National Urban Runoff Plan (NURP), identified stormwater discharges as a significant source of water pollution.

The results of the NURP and similar studies, resulted in the reauthorization of the CWA in 1987 with the passage of the Water Quality Act (WQA). The WQA established a legal framework and required EPA to develop a comprehensive phased program for regulating municipal and industrial stormwater discharges under the NPDES permit program.

The NPDES Phase 1 Rule, which was issued in November 1990, addressed stormwater dischargers from medium to large municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s), which were communities serving a population of at least 100,000 people, as well as stormwater discharges from 11 categories of industrial activity.

The NPDES Phase 2 Rule, which was promulgated in December 1999, addressed small MS4s serving a population of less than 100,000 people in urbanized areas. The Phase 2 Rule requires nationwide coverage of all operators of small MS4s that are located within the boundaries of the Bureau of the Census-defined "urbanized area" (UA) based on the latest decennial census. The Phase 2 rule requires that all MS4s located within "urbanized areas" automatically comply with the Phase 2 stormwater regulations. Appendix B of this report provides a map of the Phase II stormwater "permit compliance area" for Hamilton as determined by the USEPA using the latest decennial (year 2010) census. Since Hamilton is located within an urbanized area, the EPA has designated the Town of Hamilton as a Phase 2 Community, which must comply with the NPDES regulations. In the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, the EPA retains primacy as the Phase 2 permitting authority. On May 1, 2003, the EPA and the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MADEP) jointly issued the NPDES General Permit for Discharges from Small MS4s and in July 2003, Hamilton submitted the required Notice of Intent (NOI) for inclusion under this General Permit.

The 2003 NPDES Phase 2 MS4 General Permit (2003 MS4 Permit) required the Town of Hamilton to develop, implement, and enforce a Stormwater Management Program (SWMP). The objectives of the

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Regulatory Summary and Purpose

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act (WPCA), initially enacted in 1948, established ambient water quality standards to specify acceptable levels of pollution in lieu of preventing the causes of water pollution. The 1972 amendments to the WPCA, referred to as the Clean Water Act (CWA), implemented measures which were focused on establishing effluent limitations on point sources, or 'any discernable, confined, and discrete conveyance... from which pollutants are or may be discharged."

The 1972 CWA introduced the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). The NPDES program was established as the fundamental regulatory mechanism of the CWA, requiring direct dischargers of pollutants into waters of the United States to obtain a NPDES permit. Between 1972 and 1987, the NPDES permit program focused on improving surface water quality by reducing pollutants of industrial process wastewater and municipal sewage. During this period, several nationwide studies on water quality, most notably the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) National Urban Runoff Plan (NURP), identified stormwater discharges as a significant source of water pollution.

The results of the NURP and similar studies, resulted in the reauthorization of the CWA in 1987 with the passage of the Water Quality Act (WQA). The WQA established a legal framework and required EPA to develop a comprehensive phased program for regulating municipal and industrial stormwater discharges under the NPDES permit program.

The NPDES Phase 1 Rule, which was issued in November 1990, addressed stormwater dischargers from medium to large municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s), which were communities serving a population of at least 100,000 people, as well as stormwater discharges from 11 categories of industrial activity.

The NPDES Phase 2 Rule, which was promulgated in December 1999, addressed small MS4s serving a population of less than 100,000 people in urbanized areas. The Phase 2 Rule requires nationwide coverage of all operators of small MS4s that are located within the boundaries of the Bureau of the Census-defined "urbanized area" (UA) based on the latest decennial census. The Phase 2 rule requires that all MS4s located within "urbanized areas" automatically comply with the Phase 2 stormwater regulations. Appendix B of this report provides a map of the Phase II stormwater "permit compliance area" for Hamilton as determined by the USEPA using the latest decennial (year 2010) census. Since Hamilton is located within an urbanized area, the EPA has designated the Town of Hamilton as a Phase 2 Community, which must comply with the NPDES regulations. In the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, the EPA retains primacy as the Phase 2 permitting authority. On May 1, 2003, the EPA and the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MADEP) jointly issued the NPDES General Permit for Discharges from Small MS4s and in July 2003, Hamilton submitted the required Notice of Intent (NOI) for inclusion under this General Permit.

The 2003 NPDES Phase 2 MS4 General Permit (2003 MS4 Permit) required the Town of Hamilton to develop, implement, and enforce a Stormwater Management Program (SWMP). The objectives of the



SWMP were to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4 to the maximum extent practicable, to protect water quality, and to satisfy the appropriate water quality requirements of the CWA.

This Stormwater Management Plan will specifically satisfy the requirements set forth by the NPDES Phase 2 regulations which expanded Phase 1's efforts to preserve, protect, and improve the nation's water resources from polluted stormwater runoff to include additional operators of "traditional" (i.e. cities and towns) and "non-traditional" (i.e. Federal and state agencies) MS4s. The 2003 MS4 Permit expired on May 1, 2008, but was administratively continued for covered permittees until a new MS4 Permit was issued on April 4th, 2016, and became effective on July 1, 2018. A copy of the 2016 MS4 Permit is included in Appendix C. On October 1, 2018, the town submitted a Notice of Intent to EPA to obtain coverage under the 2016 MS4 Permit. A copy of this Notice of Intent is included in Appendix D. EPA posted the town's Notice of Intent for public comment on March 1, 2019 for a 30-day period. The town received authorization from EPA to discharge under the 2016 MS4 Permit on April 5, 2019.

Since the Town of Hamilton was previously covered under the 2003 Small MS4 General Permit, the town currently has many practices and programs in place related to stormwater management and pollution prevention. This plan coordinates and incorporates these programs, policies, guidelines and practices into one document and expands their reach to encompass the requirements and goals of the 2016 MS4 Permit. The objectives of the MS4 Permit are accomplished through the implementation of Best Management Practices (BMPs) for each of the following six minimum control measures.

- Public education and outreach
- Public involvement / participation
- Illicit discharge detection and elimination
- Construction site stormwater runoff control
- Post-construction stormwater management in new development or redevelopment
- Pollution prevention/good housekeeping

The town's efforts to comply with these BMPs, as outlined in their Notice of Intent, are included in Section 2.0.

1.2 Town Governance and Structure

The Board of Selectman functions as the Chief Executive body of the Town of Hamilton. The Board acts as the chief policy making body of the Town and directly supervises, the activities of the Town Manager. The Town Manager is responsible for supervising the day to day operation of all town departments falling under the direct control of the Board of Selectman.

Various entities within the town have the responsibility for implementation of the MS4 Permit requirements as outlined in this plan and include the following:

- Department of Public Works
- Board of Health



Specific representatives from each of these departments or committees that are responsible for implementation of the SWMP are outlined in the table below:

| Table 1.1 PARTIES RESPONSIBLE FOR SWMP IMPLEMENTATION | | |
|---|--|----------------|
| Name | Title | Affiliation |
| Patrick Reffett | Director of Planning & Inspectional Services | Planning Board |
| Timothy Olson | Director | DPW |

1.3 Town Demographic Information

Hamilton is in Essex County and has a total area of 14.9 square miles (38.9 square kilometers). It is bordered by Ipswich to the north, Essex to the northeast, Topsfield to the west, Wenham to the south. As of 2010, the population was 7,764 and includes one neighborhood, South Hamilton.

Territory comprised of densely settled tracts and adjacent urban developed areas that meet the minimum population requirements set forth by the EPA, according to the 2000 and 2010 census data, shall be referred to as urbanized area. Rural land uses and sparsely populated tracts shall be categorized as non-regulated for the purposes of the MS4 permit. Hamilton is mainly comprised of urbanized area (UA) as shown in the regulated area map in Appendix B and only 4.8% of the town is water.

Hamilton has two principal highway located within its boundaries Route 1A, known locally as Bay Road which runs southwest to northwest and Route 22. There are approximately 3.5 miles of statemaintained roadways within town.

Climate within the Town of Hamilton ranges from January average minimum temperature of 18 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) to July average maximum temperature of 80.5°F. The average annual precipitation is 49 inches, distributed throughout the year. The rainiest month is November, with approximately 4.50 inches of rain.

1.4 Water Resources

The town is located within the boundaries of the Ipswich and North Coastal watersheds. Each water body is identified by the name and segment ID number. The primary waterbodies are the Miles River (MA92-03), Chebacco Lake (MA93014), Black Brook (MA92-19) and Beck Pond (MA93003). The Miles River (MA92-03) requires a TMDL, extending from the outlet to the Longham Reservoir in Beverly to the confluence with the Ipswich River in Ipswich, according to the Final 2016 303(d) list. All impairments and outfalls discharging to these water bodies are summarized in Table 1.2 below:



| Table 1.2 RECEIVING WATERS AND IMPAIRMENTS | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| Waterbody | Impairment | Number of Outfalls Discharging to Receiving Water | |
| Miles River (MA92-03) | Benthic Macroinvertebrates, Dissolved Oxygen | 10 | |
| Chebacco Lake (MA93014) | (Non-aquatic plants), Mercury in Fish Tissue | 1 | |
| Black Brook (MA92-19) | None | 0 | |
| Beck Pond (MA93003) | None | 5 | |

1.5 Interconnections

The Town of Hamilton has no interconnections that flow into other MS4 systems. Massachusetts Department of Transportation flows into the Town of Hamilton's system on Route 1A.

1.6 Endangered Species and Historic Properties Determination

The 2016 MS4 Permit requires that Hamilton demonstrate that all activities regulated under this permit will not adversely affect endangered and threatened species or critical habitat, or impact federal historic properties on the National Register of Historic Properties (NRHP). The town must demonstrate that there is no critical habitat for any endangered species within its boundaries, and if such a habitat exists, that no best management practice shall interfere with that habitat. Hamilton must also certify that no discharge will affect a property that is listed or eligible for listing on the NRHP, that any such effects have written acknowledgements from the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO), or other representative that such effects shall be mitigated, and written proof that any best management practices constructed under this permit will include measures to minimize harmful effects on these properties.

Through consultation with the US Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS), it was determined that the only threatened species within Hamilton is the northern long-eared bat. Correspondence with USFWS is appended to the town's Notice of Intent included in Appendix D. Actions currently included in this SWMP will not affect this species. Therefore, the town has determined that it can certify eligibility under USFWS Criterion C for coverage under the permit. Prior to construction of any structural BMPs, the town will consult with USFWS to confirm that the proposed project will not impact the northern long-eared bat or any other endangered or threatened species that may be identified in the future.

Hamilton can certify eligibility under Criterion A on their Notice of Intent for coverage under the permit because the town was previously covered under the 2003 MS4 Permit, and conditions have not changed since that determination. The town does have multiple federal historic properties, each property is identified by a name and it's National Register Information System number these properties include: Asbury Grove Historic District (#09000935) and Hamilton Historic District (73000300) and five historic buildings; Brown House (90000223), Austin Brown House (90000222), Community House (11000265), Emeline Patch House (90000221), and Woodberry-Quarrels House (90000224). These historic



properties are located at a minimum of 500 feet away from any impaired water body. It has been determined to be very unlikely that any disturbance would impact these properties. Prior to construction of any structural BMPs, the town will consult with the State Historic Preservation Officer by submitting a completed Project Notification Form to confirm that the proposed project will not impact any federal historic properties.

1.7 Increased Discharges

Any increased discharges (including increased pollutant loadings) through the MS4 to waters of the United States are subject to Massachusetts antidegradation regulations at 314 CMR 4.04. Section 2.1.2 of the 2016 MS4 Permit requires the Town of Hamilton to comply with the provisions of 314 CMR 4.04 including information submittal requirements and obtaining authorization for increased discharges where appropriate. Any authorization by MassDEP for an increased discharge is required to be incorporated into this SWMP.

The Town understands that there shall be no increased discharges, including increased pollutant loadings from the MS4 to impaired waters listed in categories 5 or 4b on the most recent Massachusetts Integrated Report of Waters listed pursuant to Clean Water Act section 303(d) and 305(b) unless the Town demonstrates that there is no net increase in loading from the MS4 to the impaired water of the pollutant(s) for which the waterbody is impaired. If necessary, the Town of Hamilton will demonstrate compliance with this provision by either:

- Documenting that the pollutant(s) for which the waterbody is impaired is not present in the MS4's discharge and retaining documentation of this finding with the SWMP; or
- Documenting that the total load of the pollutant(s) of concern from the MS4 to any impaired portion of the receiving water will not increase as a result of the activity and retain documentation of this finding in the SWMP. Unless otherwise determined by the Permittee, USEPA or by MADEP that additional demonstration is necessary, compliance with the requirements of Part 2.2.2 and Part 2.3.6 of this permit, including all reporting and documentation requirements, shall be considered as demonstrating no net increase as required by this part.

1.8 Surface Water Drinking Supplies

Section 3.0 of the MS4 Permit requires permittees to prioritize discharges to public drinking water supply sources in implementation of the SWMP. The Town does not have any discharges to surface drinking water supply sources or their tributaries.



2.0 MINIMUM CONTROL MEASURES

2.1 Introduction

This section of the report provides a summary of the regulatory requirements for each of the six minimum control measures as defined under the MS4 General Permit by the EPA. It also provides a summary of those stormwater management practices that the town currently employs. As part of the requirements of the Notice of Intent submitted to EPA on October 1, 2018, as included in Appendix D, the town has established a list of the Best Management Practices (BMPs) that it plans to implement in order to comply with each of the six minimum control measures. These BMPs will be implemented over the next five years (i.e. the permit term). However, the town will have up to 20 years to implement some of the permit requirements as indicated. The town's progress with respect to implementation of the BMPs, and other stormwater related activities, are summarized in annual reports submitted to EPA in accordance with the MS4 Permit. Under the 2003 MS4 Permit, the Town made significant progress in compliance with the requirements of the 2016 MS4 Permit. The Town of Hamilton submitted 14 annual reports to EPA, in compliance with the 2003 MS4 Permit, between 2004 and 2018. Links to these reports are included in Appendix E.

The BMPs selected for each minimum control measure are summarized and briefly described in this section. Specific details for each BMP including measurable goals, implementation dates and individuals responsible for implementation are stated in each of the respective sections for each control measure in this plan. The Director of Planning, Conservation Commission Coordinator and the Department of Public Works Director (or assigned designee) will be responsible for implementation and/or future enforcement of each of the BMPs for the six minimum control measures.

Compliance with requirements of the permit related to water quality limited waters and approved TMDLs is included in Section 6.

2.2 Permit Requirements and Implementation Timeframes

2.2.1 Public Education and Outreach

The public education and outreach minimum control measure requires the town to make educational information available to the public and other stakeholders specified by the permit. Hamilton has been participating in public education and outreach activities since the 2003 MS4 Permit was enacted.

Regulatory Requirement:

Section 2.3.2 of the 2016 MS4 General Permit requires permittees to "implement an education program that includes educational goals based on stormwater issues of significance within the MS4 area. The ultimate objective of a public education program is to increase knowledge and change behavior of the public so that pollutants in stormwater are reduced."

Existing Town Practices:

Since the 2003 MS4 Permit became effective, the Town of Hamilton has implemented several public education initiatives. Educational posters addressing stormwater pollution prevention and construction



STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

BMPs, were at times displayed in the Town Hall and the schools. Additionally, the DPW has stenciled an estimated 215 catch basins as part of previous Permit Year control measures.

In addition to all the work being performed by the town at present, this new iteration of the permit requires additional public education measures. Hamilton must distribute two targeted messages within five years to the following audiences, spaced at least one year apart for each audience:

- 1. Residents
- 2. Businesses, Institutions and Commercial Facilities
- 3. Developers (Construction)
- 4. Industrial Facilities

In order to accomplish this, the town partnered with the Greenscapes North Shore Coalition in January 2020, and will implement the following BMPs:

BMP: Displays/Posters Kiosks

Description: Continue to increase General Public-Knowledge of the impact of stormwater discharges to water bodies within the community. Identify was the public can reduce pollutants in stormwater runoff.

Targeted Audiences: Residents

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Supply Town offices/library/schools with displays and/or posters. Track number of

posters/displays utilized.

Message Dates: Completed in permit year 1 (FY2019)

BMP: Message Posted to Town Website

Description: A message was posted to the Town website referencing dumpster best practices to line up with the potential issues at the single industrial facility in Hamilton.

Targeted Audiences: Industrial Facilities

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations
Measurable Goals: Track number of webpage visits
Message Dates: Completed in permit year 1 (FY2019)

BMP: Brochures/Pamphlets

Description: Continue to educate Contractors on the Town's stormwater erosion and sediment control requirements.

Targeted Audiences: Developers (Construction)

Responsible Department/Parties: Planning/DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Distribute/make brochures available at Town Hall and maintain a list of all recipients. Message Dates: To be completed fiscal year 2 and year 5 and continued for the duration of the permit. (FY2020) and (FY2023). The brochures/pamphlets for year 2 were supplemented by Greenscapes North Shore Coalition public education material. The supplemental deliverables are listed at the end of this section.

BMP: Web Page

Description: Update the Town's website to include information on vehicle maintenance, fertilizer use, parking lot sweeping, ice removal optimization, and waste/material storage for local businesses.

Targeted Audiences: Businesses, Institutions and Commercial Facilities **Responsible Department/Parties:** DPW Operations/ Town Manager



STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

Measurable Goals: Modify the ThinkBlue targeted information to use on the Town's website and track the interaction with the site.

Message Dates: To be completed fiscal year 2 and continued for the duration of the permit (FY2020). The website update for year 2 was supplemented by Greenscapes North Shore Coalition public education material. The supplemental deliverables are listed at the end of this section.

BMP: Web Page

Description: Continue to maintain and update the Town's website to provide information to residents regarding stormwater management and the Town's illicit discharge detection and elimination program.

Targeted Audiences: Residents

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations/ Town Manager

Measurable Goals: Continue to maintain website annually, track interaction with the site and who the

information is reaching.

Message Dates: To be completed fiscal year 3 and continued for the duration of the permit (FY2021).

BMP: Brochures/Pamphlets

Description: Make available to developer's information on green infrastructure practices for construction projects.

Targeted Audiences: Developers (Construction)

Responsible Department/Parties: Planning/DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Distribute/make brochures available at Town Hall and maintain a list of all recipients. **Message Dates:** To be completed fiscal year 3 and continued for the duration of the permit (FY2021).

BMP: Brochures/Pamphlets

Description: Distribute educational materials to industrial properties regarding stormwater best management practices, including equipment inspection, waste disposal, dumpster maintenance, use and storage of de-icing materials, and parking lot sweeping.

Targeted Audiences: Industrial Facilities

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Track the number of industrial facilities reached.

Message Dates: To be completed fiscal year 4 and continued for the duration of the permit (FY2022).

BMP: Brochures/Pamphlets

Description: Distribute brochures to include information on vehicle maintenance fertilizer use, parking lot sweeping, ice removal optimization, and waste/material storage for local businesses.

Targeted Audiences: Businesses, Institutions and Commercial Facilities

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Track the number of businesses and institutions to which pamphlets are distributed **Message Dates:** To be completed fiscal year 5 and continued for the duration of the permit (FY2023).

Greenscapes North Shore Coalition – Supplemental Deliverables

BMP Name: Greenscapes "Water Smart" Post

<u>Message Description and Distribution Method:</u> Social media post with sustainable lawn watering tips and some common misconceptions about outdoor water usage.

Audience: Residents

Responsible Parties: Greenscapes North Shore Coalition & Municipal Staff

Measurable Goal: Shared with 75 municipal staff July 2019. Posted on GNSC Facebook May 2020.

.....

Delivery Date(s): July 2019, May 2020



BMP Name: Greenscapes "Fall Calendar" Post

Message Description and Distribution Method: Social media post including an illustrated yard waste calendar and check list.

Audience: Residents

Responsible Parties: Greenscapes North Shore Coalition & Municipal Staff

Measurable Goal: Shared with 75 municipal staff Sept 2019. Posted on GNSC Facebook May 2020

Delivery Date(s): Sept 2019, May 2020

BMP Name: Greenscapes "Keep Drains Clear" Post

<u>Message Description and Distribution Method:</u> Social media post describing the importance of keeping storm drains clear of yard debris and trash.

<u>Audience:</u> Residents Responsible Parties: Greenscapes North Shore Coalition & Municipal Staff <u>Measurable Goal:</u> Shared with 75 municipal staff October 2019. Posted on GNSC Facebook May 2020 <u>Delivery Date(s):</u> Oct 2019, May 2020

BMP Name: "Global Water Access" Post

<u>Message Description and Distribution Method:</u> Social media post containing an infographic with facts and figures about global access to clean water and common water pollutants.

Audience: Residents

Responsible Parties: Greenscapes North Shore Coalition & Municipal Staff

Measurable Goal: Shared with 75 municipal staff January 2019. Posted on GNSC Facebook May 2020

Delivery Date(s): Jan 2020, May 2020

BMP Name: EPA "Do Your Part, Be Septic Smart" Post

<u>Message Description and Distribution Method:</u> Social media post containing information and tips for proper septic system maintenance.

Audience: Residents

Responsible Parties: Greenscapes North Shore Coalition & Municipal Staff

Measurable Goal: Shared with 75 municipal staff February 2019. Posted on GNSC Facebook May 2020

Delivery Date(s): Feb 2020, May 2020

BMP Name: Greenscapes "Unflushables" Post

Message Description and Distribution Method: Social media post containing information on "what not to flush" and how to avoid common wastewater system issues.

Audience: Residents

Responsible Parties: Greenscapes North Shore Coalition & Municipal Staff

Measurable Goal: Shared with 75 municipal staff April 2020. Posted on GNSC Facebook May 2020.

Delivery Date(s): April 2020, May 2020

BMP Name: Greenscapes 101 Webinar & Videos

Message Description and Distribution Method: Greenscapes staff delivered a virtual presentation on residential stormwater management, the importance of natural solutions in combatting stormwater/water resource management, and made suggestions for at-home projects that interested residents could explore. Projects included using native grass species, converting a lawn into a water-friendly garden, and more. The webinar was recorded, and posted on the Greenscapes website.

Audience: Residents

Responsible Parties: Greenscapes North Shore Coalition

<u>Measurable Goal:</u> 35 "attended" webinar. Recordings shared with 75 municipal staff June 6, 2020 and posted on Greenscapes website at www.greenscapes.org/resources-videos/



Delivery Date(s): Webinar held 4-29-20. Recordings posted and shared June 2020.

BMP Name: Keeping Water Clean - School Program

<u>Message Description and Distribution Method:</u> Program engages 5th grade students in several activities designed to raise their stormwater and water conservation awareness. Students learn about what a watershed is, what stormwater, groundwater and wastewater are, how they can negatively or positively impact these water systems, along with more details about each system and how it should be protected/maintained.

Audience: Residents

Responsible Parties: Greenscapes North Shore Coalition

Measurable Goal: 1341 Students, 98 Teachers, 131 Parents reached throughout the north shore region

(before school closures).

Delivery Date(s): September 2019 - March 2020

BMP Name: ThinkBlueMA "Fowl Water" Video

Message Description and Distribution Method: Think Blue Massachusetts "Fowl Water" video defines stormwater and explains the impact that pollution like trash, oil, cigarettes and dog poop can have on stormwater and our waterways. Video available at https://www.thinkbluemassachusetts.org/, www.greenscapes.org/resources-videos/ and spread as an advertisement on Facebook, Instagram, & YouTube

Audience: Residents

Responsible Parties: ThinkBlueMA, Greenscapes North Shore Coalition

Measurable Goal: Total of 1,228,467 impressions recorded in the combined 24 Greenscapes

communities (665,620 views on Facebook & Instagram, 562,847 views on Youtube)

Delivery Date(s): May 16th 2020 - June 5th 2020

BMP Name: Miscellaneous Greenscapes Social Media

Message Description and Distribution Method: Additional messaging was shared with municipal staff in year 1 of the permit period. Content includes pet waste management, fertilizing recommendations, road salt alternatives, and more. All posts are also available at www.greenscapes.org/resources-socialmedia/ and on the GNSC Facebook page.

Audience: Residents

Responsible Parties: Greenscapes North Shore Coalition & Municipal Staff

Measurable Goal: Varied

Delivery Date(s): July 2019 - June 2020

BMP Name: Kitchen Counter Experiment Video Series

Message Description and Distribution Method: Episodes 1 & 2 of the "Kitchen Counter Experiment Series" were created in the spring of 2020, in an attempt to replace missed school programming, caused by the Covid-19 pandemic. The videos are exploratory experiments that cover material such as "what not to flush" and the benefits of natural/chemical free fertilizers.

Audience: Residents

Responsible Parties: Greenscapes North Shore Coalition

Measurable Goal: 210 views on Vimeo

Delivery Date(s): Apr-20



STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

BMP Name: Miscellaneous Greenscapes Tabling Events

<u>Message Description and Distribution Method:</u> Hamilton Garden Expo, Salem Sound Liquid Lecture Series, MVPC Monthly Meetings. Did not attend farmers markets, as in years past, due to covid-related restrictions.

Audience: Residents

Responsible Parties: Greenscapes North Shore Coalition

Measurable Goal: Varied

Delivery Date(s): July 2019 - March 2020

2.2.2 Public Involvement / Participation

Regulatory Requirement:

Section 2.3.3 of the 2016 MS4 Permit requires the permittee to "provide opportunities to engage the public to participate in the review and implementation of the permittee's SWMP." Public participation benefits the program by increasing public support, including additional expertise, and involving community groups/organizations.

Existing Town Practices:

The Town of Hamilton has been proactive in providing opportunities for public participation and involvement in stormwater management practices. The DPW supports volunteers in holding community clean ups throughout the Town. The Ipswich River Watershed Associated also performs cleanups of the river. The DPW holds an annual household hazardous waste removal day in conjunction with the Town of Wenham to dispose of oil-based paints, pesticides, cleaning solvents, cathode ray tubes, tires and mercury containing materials.

BMP: Public Review

Description: SWMP Review

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Allow for public review of the SWMP annually. Post the SWMP and Annual

Reports on the Town's website and/or make them available at Town Hall.

Message Dates: Completed during Permit Years 1 (FY2019) and 2 (FY2020), and to be continued for

the duration of the permit.

BMP: Public Participation Description: Clean-up Day

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Support annual community group's Clean-up Days when

scheduled/organized. Report on amount of debris collected annually through this event.

Message Dates: Completed within 1 year of the effective date of the permit (FY2019) and to be continued for the duration of the permit. In year 2 of the permit (FY2020) the Clean-up Day did not

take place due to COVID-19 restrictions.



STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

BMP: Public Participation

Description: Monitoring Teams

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Make public announcements to gain participation in inspection and monitoring

of catch basins, culverts, and drainage structures.

Message Dates: Complete within 1 year of the effective date of the permit (FY2019). There was no

public interest in the program. The Town will look into additional ways to gain interest.

BMP: Public Participation

Description: Household Hazardous Waste

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Allow residents to dispose of hazardous waste annually in the fall. Track the

number of residents that participate, amount, and types of materials collected.

Message Dates: Completed during Permit Years 1 (FY2019) and (FY2020), and to be continued for

the duration of the permit.

BMP: Public Participation

Description: Stormwater Hotline

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Continue to support stormwater hotline via the DPW main phone line to

encourage residents to report issues to DPW. Track number of calls received

Message Dates: Completed in 1 year of the effective date of the permit (FY2019) and to be

continued for the duration of the permit.

BMP: Public Participation

Description: Electronic Waste Collection

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Allow for residents to dispose of electronic waste on a monthly basis. **Message Dates:** Completed in 1 year of the effective date of the permit (FY2019) and to be

continued for the duration of the permit.

2.2.3 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination

Regulatory Requirement:

Section 2.3.4 of the 2016 MS4 General Permit requires the permittee to develop a written Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE) program. The IDDE program is designed to "systematically find and eliminate sources of non-stormwater discharges to its municipal separate storm sewer system and implement procedures to prevent such discharges."

Existing Town Practices:

The Town of Hamilton has developed an Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE) Bylaw under the coverage of the 2003 EPA MS4 Permit. The bylaw was adopted at Town Meeting during Permit Year 4 and regulates any illicit discharge/connection to the municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4), and any obstructions to the natural flow of stormwater into the drainage system. The DPW will continue to update the digital stormwater infrastructure map as more investigation is performed through TV, smoke and dye testing if required per sampling results of drain pipe in the town. Lastly, the town will



continue their effort to extend IDDE educational outreach through the town's website to be available at all times.

In addition to these measures, the 2016 permit requires that MS4s rewrite and update the IDDE written program and implementation to include a more extensive dry and wet weather sampling program. After consultation with EPA, Weston and Sampson has determined that a regulated outfall that would qualify for sampling is any outfall that is 100 linear feet from any waterbody of the US that will conceivably discharge to that waterbody. Should that outfall be located at the head of a conveyance system that will direct any flow to a waterbody of the US, that conveyance system outlet shall be sampled. These new permit requirements can be achieved by implementing the following BMPs:

BMP: Update GIS Drainage Map

Description: Update drainage map in accordance with permit conditions and update annually during the IDDE program implementation.

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Update map within 2 years of effective date of permit and complete full system map 10 years after effective date of permit.

Message Dates: Updated map within 2 years of effective date of permit and complete full system map 10 years after effective date of permit. (FY2020), (FY2028).

BMP: Written IDDE Program

Description: Create written IDDE program to meet permit conditions

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Complete within 1 year of the effective date of permit and update as required

Message Dates: Completed in fiscal year 1 after the effective date of permit (FY2019)

BMP: Implement IDDE Program

Description: Implement catchment investigations according to program and permit conditions.

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW

Measurable Goals: Begin within two years of permit effective date, and complete within 10 years after effective date of permit. Track annually the number of illicit connections that are identified and removed.

Message Dates: Completed in fiscal year 2 after the effective date of permit (FY2020) and to be continued for the duration of the permit.

BMP: Employee Training

Description: Train employees on IDDE program components and implementation.

Responsible Department/Parties: Health Department/DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Provide training to municipal employees annually. Track the number of employees that receive training.

Message Dates: Completed in fiscal year 1 after the effective date of permit (FY2019) and to be continued for the duration of the permit. The IDDE training could not be conducted during Permit Year 2 due to the outbreak of COVID-19, but the Town hopes to complete the required training by September 30th, 2020.

BMP: Conduct Dry Weather Screening and Sampling

Description: Conduct Dry Weather Screening in accordance with outfall screening procedure and permit conditions.

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations



Measurable Goals: Visit every regulated outfall based on the initial outfall prioritization ranking, record current conditions, and obtain samples of any flow that is present. Send any samples to an external laboratory to test for the presence of any indicators and place any outfalls with flow present on a list to be tested during wet weather screening.

Message Dates: Began in permit year 2 and to be completed 3 years after the effective date of permit (FY2021).

BMP: Conduct Wet Weather Screening

Description: Conduct Wet Weather Screening in accordance with outfall screening procedure and permit conditions.

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Less than 24 hours after a rain event, visit any outfall determined to require additional screening during dry weather screening (i.e. any outfall that has one or more system vulnerability factor) and obtain samples of any flow that is present to be sent to an external laboratory to be tested for any indicators.

Message Dates: Complete 10 years after the effective date of permit (FY2028).

BMP: Ongoing Screening

Description: Conduct Dry and Wet weather screening (as necessary).

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Complete ongoing outfall screening upon completion of IDDE program **Message Dates:** To be performed as needed for the duration of the permit (FY2029).

BMP: Catchment Investigation Procedures

Description: Develop written catchment investigation procedures and incorporate into IDDE Plan.

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Complete within 18 months of permit effective date.

Message Dates: Completed during permit year 1 after the effective date of permit (FY2019).

BMP: Assessment and Priority Ranking of Outfalls/Interconnections

Description: Assess and priority rank catchments in terms of their potential to have illicit discharges.

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations/Health Department

Measurable Goals: Completed during 1 year of the permit effective date (FY2019).

BMP: Follow-up Ranking

Description: Update catchment prioritization and ranking as dry weather screening information becomes available.

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Complete within 3 years of the permit effective date (FY2021).

2.2.4 Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control

Regulatory Requirement:

Section 2.3.5 of the 2016 MS4 Permit requires the permittee to create a program to "minimize or eliminate erosion and maintain sediment on site so that it is not transported in stormwater and allowed to discharge to a water of the US through the permittee's MS4." The permittee will conduct site plan reviews, site inspections and include procedures for public involvement.



Existing Town Practices:

The Town of Hamilton adopted a Stormwater Management Bylaw during Year 4 of the 2003 EPA MS4 Permit. The bylaw regulated both pre- and post-construction erosion control measures for projects that disturb greater than one acre.

To attain compliance with the 2016 MS4 Permit, the town will implement the following BMPs to supplement the guidelines set forth in their Erosion and Sediment Control Ordinance.

BMP: Site Inspection and enforcement of Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) measures Description: Review existing written procedures for site inspections and enforcement and update as needed to meet permit requirements.

Responsible Department/Parties: Planning/DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Complete within 1 year of the effective date of permit. Report on the number of site inspections and enforcement actions annually.

Message Dates: Completed during permit year 1 (FY2019).

BMP: Site Plan Review

Description: Develop written procedures for the site plan review that meet permit requirements and begin implementation.

Responsible Department/Parties: Planning/DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Complete within 1 year of the effective date of permit. Report on the number of site plan reviews conducted, inspections conducted, and enforcement actions taken annually.

Message Dates: Completed during 1 year of the effective date of the permit (FY2019).

BMP: Erosion and Sediment Control

Description: Continue to enforce the Town's existing Stormwater Management Rules and Regulations requiring sediment and erosion controls. Review and update existing regulations as needed to ensure that construction operations implement a sediment and erosion control program that includes BMPs that are appropriate for conditions at the construction sit in accordance with permit requirements.

Responsible Department/Parties: Planning/DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Continue to enforce existing sediment and erosion control requirements, and update regulations as needed within one year of the permit effective date.

Message Dates: Completed during permit year 1 (FY2019).

BMP: Waste Control

Description: The Town's existing Stormwater Management Rules and Regulations include requirements to control wastes at construction sites, including but not limited to, discarded building materials, concrete truck wash out, chemicals, litter, and sanitary wastes.

Responsible Department/Parties: Planning/DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Continue to require compliance with existing requirements related to the control of waste at construction sites.

Message Dates: Completed during permit year 1 (FY2019).



2.2.5 Post-Construction Stormwater Management

Regulatory Requirement:

Section 2.3.6 of the 2016 MS4 Permit requires the permittee to require developers to "reduce the discharge of pollutants found in stormwater through the retention or treatment of stormwater after construction on new or redeveloped sites."

In this case, a site is defined as the "area extent of construction activities which includes but is not limited to the creation of new impervious cover and improvement of existing impervious cover."

New Development is defined as construction activity that results in a total earth disturbance area equal to or greater than one acre on land that did not have any impervious area before work began.

Redevelopment is defined as any construction activity that disturbs greater than or equal to one acre and does not meet the requirements to be designated as new development.

Existing Town Practices and Amendments:

The Town of Hamilton adopted a Stormwater Management Bylaw during Year 4 of the 2003 EPA MS4 Permit. The bylaw regulated both pre- and post-construction erosion control measures for projects that disturb greater than one acre. Under the new permit, existing requirements will be reviewed for compliance with permit conditions and updated as needed.

In order to comply with the requirements of the 2016 MS4 Permit, the town shall implement the following BMPs:

BMP: As-built Plans for On-Site Stormwater Control

Description: Continue enforcing existing procedures requiring submission of as-built drawings and an Operation & Maintenance Plan for projects disturbing more than 1 acre. Update as-built requirements and Operation & Maintenance (O&M) requirements as needed to comply with permit requirements.

Responsible Department/Parties: Planning/ DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Require submission of as-built plans and long-term O&M for completed projects.

Message Dates: Complete 3 years after effective date of permit (FY2021).

BMP: Target & Rank Properties for BMP Retrofitting

Description: Identify at least 5 permittee-owned properties that could be modified or retrofitted with BMPs to reduce frequency, volume, and pollutant loads associated with stormwater discharges and update annually.

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations

Message Dates: Complete 4 years after effective date of permit and report annually on retrofitted properties for the duration of the permit (FY2022).

BMP: Allow for Green Infrastructure

Description: Develop a report assessing existing local regulations to determine the feasibility of making green infrastructure practices allowable when appropriate site conditions exist.

Responsible Department/Parties: Planning/DPW Operations



STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

Measurable Goals: Complete assessment and implement recommendations of the report. **Message Dates:** Complete 4 years after effective date of permit (FY2022).

BMP: Street Design and Parking Lot Guidelines

Description: Develop a report assessing requirements that affect the creation of impervious cover. The assessment will help determine if changes to design standards for streets and parking lots can be modified to support low impact design options.

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Complete assessment and implement recommendations of the report.

Message Dates: Complete 4 years after effective date of permit (FY2022).

BMP: Ensure the Requirements of the MA Stormwater Handbook are met

Description: Ensure any stormwater controls or management practices for new development and redevelopment meet the retention or treatment requirements of the permit and all applicable requirements of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook.

Responsible Department/Parties: Planning/ DPW Operations

Measurable Goals: Adopt, amendment, or modification of a regulatory mechanism to meet permit requirements.

Message Dates: Complete 3 years after effective date of permit (FY2021).

2.2.6 Pollution Prevention / Good Housekeeping

Regulatory Requirement:

Section 2.3.7 of the 2016 MS4 Permit requires the permittee to "implement an operations and maintenance program for permittee-owned operations that has a goal of preventing or reducing pollutant runoff and protecting water quality form all permittee-owned operations."

This minimum control measure includes a training component and has the ultimate goal of preventing or reducing stormwater pollution from municipal activities and facilities such as parks and open spaces, buildings and facilities, vehicles and equipment, and providing for the long-term operation and maintenance of MS4 infrastructure.

Existing Town Practices:

Hamilton has a list of currently employed good housekeeping measures adopted during the 2003 MS4 Permit. Every spring, the Town hires a subcontractor to inspect and clean all the town's catch basins and conduct street cleaning once per year.

To achieve compliance with the 2016 MS4 Permit, catch basins must be no more than 50% full at any given time. To achieve this, all structures must be cleaned, measured, logged and monitored to prevent excessive sediment accumulation. These measures are summarized in the following BMP practices:

BMP: O&M Procedures

<u>Description</u>: Create written operation and maintenance (O&M) procedures addressing proper storage of materials, lawn maintenance and landscaping activities, protective practices, use and storage of petroleum products, employee training, waste management procedures for buildings and facilities,



STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

location of fueling areas, evaluation of possible leaks, and storage locations of town-owned vehicles and equipment.

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW

<u>Measurable Goals:</u> Create and implement standard operation and maintenance procedures for all municipal activities and facilities. The town will be as specific with standard operating procedures as possible and ensure the continued implementation of all maintenance activities.

Message Dates: Completed during permit year 2 (FY2020).

BMP: Inventory all Permittee-Owned Property

<u>Description</u>: Inventory all permittee-owned parks and open spaces, buildings and facilities, and vehicles and equipment and update annually.

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW

<u>Measurable Goals</u>: Create inventory and update annually. <u>Message Dates</u>: Completed during permit year 2 (FY2020).

BMP: Infrastructure O&M

Description: Establish and implement a program for repair and rehabilitation of MS4 infrastructure.

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW

Measurable Goals: Create and implement an operation and maintenance plan for stormwater

infrastructure.

Message Dates: Completed during permit year 2 (FY2020).

BMP: Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)

Description: Create SWPPPs for DPW garage.

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW

<u>Measurable Goals</u>: Complete plans and implement within 2 years of the permit effective date. Complete inspections on a quarterly basis and training annually in accordance with permit conditions. <u>Message Dates</u>: Completed and implemented within 2 years of the permit effective date (FY2020). The training could not be conducted during Permit Year 2 due to the outbreak of COVID-19, but the Town hopes to complete the required training by September 30th, 2020.

BMP: Catch Basin Cleaning

<u>Description</u>: Develop a catch basin optimization plan and establish schedule for catch basin cleaning such that each catch basin is no more than 50% full and clean catch basins on that schedule.

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW

<u>Measurable Goals</u>: Clean catch basins on established schedule and report number of catch basins cleaned and volume of material removed annually. The town shall optimize the cleaning effort such that all catch basins have been located, measured, cleaned and monitored to ensure that each basin does not become more than 50% full of sediment and debris.

<u>Message Dates:</u> Completed and implemented catch basin optimization plan in permit year 2(FY2020) and continue annually for the duration of the permit.

BMP: Street Sweeping Program

<u>Description:</u> Sweep all streets and permittee-owned parking lots annually in accordance with permit conditions.

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW

Measurable Goals: Sweep all streets and permittee-owned parking lots annually.

Message Dates: Completed and implemented in permit year 2 (FY2020) and continue annually for the duration of the permit.



BMP: Road salt use optimization program

Description: Establish and implement a program to minimize the use of road salt.

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW

Measurable Goals: Implement salt use optimization during deicing season. Track reduction in salt

usage based on salt use optimization.

Message Dates: Completed and implemented within 1 year after the permit effective date (FY2019).

BMP: Inspection and maintenance of stormwater treatment structures

Description: Establish and implement inspection and maintenance procedures and frequencies.

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW

Measurable Goals: Inspect and maintain treatment structures at least annually.

Message Dates: Complete and implemented in permit year 1 (FY2019). Hamilton does not own or

maintain any stormwater treatment structures at this time.

BMP: Catch Basin Optimization

<u>Description</u>: Develop and implement a plan to optimize inspection, cleaning, and maintenance of catch basins to ensure that permit conditions are met.

Responsible Department/Parties: DPW

Measurable Goals: Complete within two years of permit effective date.

Message Dates: Completed and implemented within permit year 2 (FY2020).



3.0 REGULATORY STANDARDS

3.1 Introduction

In order to prevent pollutants from entering the drainage system and being discharged to the environment with stormwater, Hamilton has implemented a wide variety of Best Management Practices (BMPs) categorized under the six minimum control measures as discussed earlier in this document. The control measure for Post-Construction Stormwater Management is focused on improving stormwater pollution prevention into the future by ensuring that all new construction includes appropriate requirements for BMPs. To ensure post-construction stormwater management, the town previously developed and adopted the following under the 2003 MS4 Permit.

- Regulatory mechanisms establishing legal authority, prohibitions and requirements
- Design and construction standards governing stormwater infrastructure
- Requirements for long-term Operation and Maintenance (O&M) of structural BMPs.

Additional information regarding the town's current regulatory mechanisms adopted under the 2003 MS4 Permit, as well as the status of the town's compliance with the 2016 MS4 Permit regulatory requirements are included in this section.

3.2 Existing Stormwater Regulatory Mechanisms

Under the 2003 MS4 Permit, the town developed new bylaw, as well as rules and regulations, to comply with the permit, and to improve stormwater management town-wide.

3.2.1 Prohibition of Illicit Discharges to the Storm Drain System

Hamilton adopted a bylaw entitled, Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE) on October 22rd, 2007 (Chapter 30 of the Town's Bylaws). A copy of this bylaw is included in Appendix H.

The bylaw is granted authority by the Home Rule Amendment of the Massachusetts Constitution, Home Rule statues, and the Clean Water Act, 40 CFR 122.34. This bylaw prohibits non-stormwater discharges to the drainage system. It also provides a specific list of non-stormwater discharges that are permissible under federal regulations, and by reference, local ordinance/bylaw. The Department of Public Works is responsible for enforcement and has the authority to investigate suspected illicit discharges. The town has the authority to suspend or terminate the right to discharge to the MS4 of any discharger, including discharges associated with active construction sites. The bylaw mandates that all spills must be reported to the DPW, and penalties and fines may be levied.

3.2.2 Stormwater Management and Erosion Control Bylaw

The 2003 MS4 Permit required the town to develop, implement and enforce a program to address stormwater runoff from construction activities that disturb greater than one acre and discharge into the MS4. That program was also to include projects that disturb less than one acre if the project is part of a larger common plan of development which disturbs greater than one acre. As part of that program, the town was to develop an ordinance or other regulatory mechanism to address construction runoff.



On October 22, 2007, the town held a public hearing where a bylaw titled "Stormwater Management" was adopted (Chapter 29 of the Town's Bylaws). A copy of this bylaw is included in Appendix H. This bylaw provides the regulatory authority to ensure compliance with the provisions outlined through permitting, inspection, maintenance and enforcement. This bylaw requires that a Stormwater Management Permit is obtained for construction activities that disturb greater than or equal to one acre of land or which disturb less than an acre but are part of a larger plan/development which will ultimately disturb greater than or equal to one acre. Further stormwater management permit rules and regulations were adopted in August 2010 and included in Appendix H.

3.2.3 Rules and Regulations for Stormwater Management and Erosion Control

For all new development and redevelopment projects, stormwater management systems must meet the town's retention standard, and be designed such that all stormwater runoff is retained on-site to the Maximum Extent Practicable. The intent of this standard is to provide on-site stormwater retention measures (such as infiltration) for all storm events up to and including the 100-year, 24-hour storm. The Regulations also require sediment and erosion controls at construction sites, as well as the long-term operation and maintenance of BMPs.

3.3 Review of Regulatory Mechanisms for Compliance with the 2016 MS4 Permit

A comprehensive review was conducted to evaluate whether the town's existing regulatory mechanisms for construction and post-construction stormwater management comply with the 2016 MS4 Permit requirements, and identify what modifications, if any, are needed to bring the town into compliance.

3.3.1 Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control

The 2016 MS4 Permit builds on the requirements of the 2003 MS4 Permit for construction site runoff control and requires the following (Year 1 requirements):

Site Inspection & Enforcement

<u>Permit Requirement</u>: Development of written procedures for site inspections and enforcement of sediment and erosion control measures. These procedures shall clearly define who is responsible for site inspections as well as who has authority to implement enforcement procedures. The program shall provide that the permittee may, to the extent authorized by law, impose sanctions to ensure compliance with the local program. These procedures and regulatory authorities shall be documented in the SWMP.

Excerpts from Hamilton Regulations that Support Permit Requirement:

Stormwater Management Permit Rules and Regulations, 5.0 Inspections and Site Supervision

A. "Pre-construction Meeting. Prior to starting clearing, excavation, construction, or land disturbing activity the Applicant, the Applicant's technical representative, the general contractor or any other person with authority to make changes to the project, shall meet with the Permit Authority designee(s), Technical Review Agent or Inspecting Agent, and any other person designated by the Permit Authority, to review the permitted plans and their implementation.



- B. Board Inspection. The Permit Authority or its designated agent shall make inspections as hereinafter required and shall either approve that portion of the work completed or shall notify the permittee wherein the work fails to comply with the Stormwater Management Permit as approved. The Permit and associated plans for grading, stripping, excavating, and filling work, approved by the Permit Authority, shall be maintained at the site during the progress of the work. In order to obtain inspections, the permittee shall notify the Permit Authority or its designee at least two (2) working days before each of the following events:
 - 1. Erosion and sediment control measures are in place and stabilized;
 - 2. Site Clearing has been substantially completed;
 - 3. Rough Grading has been substantially completed;
 - 4. Final Grading has been substantially completed;
 - 5. Close of the Construction Season; and
 - 6. Final Landscaping (permanent stabilization) and project final completion.
- C. Applicant Inspections. The Applicant or his/her agent shall conduct and document inspections of all control measures no less than weekly or as specified in the permit, and prior to and following anticipated storm events. The purpose of such inspections is to determine the overall effectiveness of the control plan, and the need for maintenance or additional control measures. The Applicant or his/her agent shall submit monthly reports to the Permit Authority or designated agent in a format approved by the Permit Authority.
- D. Access Permission. To the extent permitted by state law, or if authorized by the owner or other party in control of the property, the Permit Authority and its agents, officers, and employees may enter upon privately owned property for the purpose of performing their duties under this by-law and may make or cause to be made such examinations, surveys or sampling as the Permit Authority deems reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the permit.(p21)"

Recommended Modification:

A. Tracking. It is the responsibility of the applicant to maintain a record of the number of site reviews, inspections, and enforcement actions. Such record shall be submitted annually to the Permit Authority or designated agent in a format approved by the Permit Authority.

Sediment and Erosion Control BMPs

<u>Permit Requirement</u>: Requirements for construction site operators performing land disturbance activities within the MS4 jurisdiction that result in stormwater discharges to the MS4 to implement a sediment and erosion control program that includes BMPs appropriate for the conditions at the construction site. The program may include references to BMP design standards in state manuals, such as the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook or design standards developed by the MS4. EPA supports and encourages the use of design standards in local programs. Examples of appropriate sediment and erosion control measures for construction sites include local requirements to:

- Minimize the amount of disturbed area and protect natural resources
- Stabilize sites when projects are complete, or operations have temporarily ceased
- Protect slopes on the construction site
- Protect all storm drain inlets and armor all newly constructed outlets
- Use perimeter controls at the site
- Stabilize construction site entrances and exists to prevent off-site tracking



Inspect stormwater controls at consistent intervals

Excerpts from Hamilton's Regulations that Support Permit Requirement:

A Stormwater Management Bylaw and Stormwater Permit Rules and Regulations are in effect. Though the degree of specificity required is based on the size of the project, a stormwater management plan is required to be submitted to the Permit Authority (either Planning Board or Zoning Board of Appeals), before any work may begin on site. Requirements of the Stormwater Management Plan include sediment and erosion control measure as well as properly manage any construction waste (as outlined in section 4 below).

Hamilton Bylaws, Chapter XXIX Stormwater Management, Section 4. Applicability "This bylaw shall apply to all activities that result in disturbance of one or more acres of land that drains to the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System. A permit from the Permit Authority shall be required for any construction activity including clearing, grading and excavation, that results in a land disturbance that will disturb equal to or greater than one acre of land, or will disturb less than one acre of land but which is part of a larger common plan of development or sale which will ultimately disturb equal to or greater than one acre of land, draining to the Town's Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System." p59

Stormwater Management Permit Rules and Regulations, 4A. Permit Procedures and Requirements – Smaller Projects

"Abbreviated Stormwater Management Permit (ASMP) Application package shall include:

- 8. Stormwater Management Plan and project description.
 - A. The Stormwater Management Plan shall contain sufficient information to describe the nature and purpose of the proposed development, pertinent conditions of the site and the adjacent areas, and proposed erosion and sedimentation controls. The Applicant shall submit such material as is necessary to show that the proposed development will comply with the design requirements.
 - B. The design requirements of the Stormwater Management Plan shall include at a minimum but not be limited to the following:
 - 4. Minimize soil erosion and control sedimentation during construction, provided that prevention of erosion is preferred over sedimentation control;..." (p5)

Stormwater Management Permit Rules and Regulations, 4B. Permit Procedures and Requirements – Larger Projects

| "The Stormwater Management Permit (SMP) Application package s | shall include |
|---|---------------|
|---|---------------|

| Stormwater | Management | Plan |
|------------|------------|------|



- A. The Stormwater Management Plan shall contain sufficient information to describe the nature and purpose of the proposed development, pertinent conditions of the site and the adjacent areas, and proposed erosion and sedimentation controls. The Applicant shall submit such material as is necessary to show that the proposed development will comply with the design requirements.
- B. The design requirements of the Stormwater Management Plan shall include at a minimum but not be limited to the following:

. . .

4. Minimize soil erosion and control sedimentation during construction, provided that prevention of erosion is preferred over sedimentation control;..." (p14)

Recommended Modification:

None Needed

Control of Wastes

<u>Permit Requirement</u>: Requirements for construction site operators within the MS4 jurisdiction to control wastes, including but not limited to, discarded building materials, concrete truck wash out, chemicals, litter, and sanitary wastes. These wastes may not be discharged to the MS4.

Excerpts from Hamilton Regulations that Support Permit Requirement:

Stormwater Management Permit Rules and Regulations, 2. Definitions

"CONSTRUCTION AND WASTE MATERIALS: Excess or discarded building or site materials, including but not limited to concrete truck washout, chemicals, litter and sanitary waste at a construction site that may adversely impact water quality"p1

Stormwater Management Permit Rules and Regulations, 4A. Permit Procedures and Requirements – Smaller Projects, Stormwater Management Plan Content (p7),

- "8. Stormwater Management Plan and Project Description...
 - B. The design requirements of the Stormwater Management Plan shall include at a minimum but not be limited to the following:...
 - 10. Comply with applicable Federal, State and local laws and regulations including waste disposal, sanitary sewer or septic system regulations, and air quality requirements, including dust control;..
 - 13. Properly manage on-site construction and waste materials; (p6)
 - D. The Stormwater Management Plan Content...
 - i. 21. A description of construction and waste materials expected to be stored on-site. The Plan shall include a description of controls to reduce pollutants from these materials, including storage practices to minimize exposure of the materials to stormwater, and spill prevention and response; (p10)"



<u>Stormwater Management Permit Rules and Regulations, 4B. Permit Procedures and Requirements – Larger Projects</u>

- "B. The design requirements of the Stormwater Management Plan shall include at a minimum but not be limited to the following:...
 - 10. Comply with applicable Federal, State and local laws and regulations including waste disposal, sanitary sewer or septic system regulations, and air quality requirements, including dust control;..
 - 13. Properly manage on-site construction and waste materials;"(p15))...
- D. The Stormwater Management Plan Content...
 - 22. A description of construction and waste materials expected to be stored on-site. The Plan shall include a description of controls to reduce pollutants from these materials, including storage practices to minimize exposure of the materials to stormwater, and spill prevention and response; (p19)"

Recommended Modification:

None needed

Site Plan Review Inspection and Enforcement

Permit Requirement: Development of written procedures for site plan review, inspection and enforcement. The site plan review procedure shall include a pre-construction review by the permittee of the site design, the planned operations at the construction site, planned BMPs during the construction phase, and the planned BMPs to be used to manage runoff created after development. The review procedure shall incorporate procedures for the consideration of potential water quality impacts, and procedures for the receipt and consideration of information submitted by the public. The site plan review procedure shall also include evaluation of opportunities for use of low impact design and green infrastructure. When the opportunity exists, the permittee shall encourage project proponents to incorporate these practices into the site design. The procedures for site inspection conducted by the permittee shall include the requirement that inspections occur during construction of BMPs as well as after construction of BMPs to ensure they are working as described in the approved plans, clearly defined procedures for inspections including qualifications necessary to perform the inspections, the use of mandated inspections forms if appropriate, and procedure for tracking the number of site reviews, inspections, and enforcement actions.

Excerpts from Hamilton's Regulations that Support Permit Requirement:

Stormwater Management Permit Rules and Regulations, 5. Inspections and Site Supervision

- A. Pre-construction Meeting. Prior to starting clearing, excavation, construction, or land disturbing activity the Applicant, the Applicant's technical representative, the general contractor or any other person with authority to make changes to the project, shall meet with the Permit Authority designee(s), Technical Review Agent or Inspecting Agent, and any other person designated by the Permit Authority, to review the permitted plans and their implementation.
- B. Board Inspection. The Permit Authority or its designated agent shall make inspections as hereinafter required and shall either approve that portion of the work completed or shall notify the permittee wherein the work fails to comply with the Stormwater Management Permit as



approved. The Permit and associated plans for grading, stripping, excavating, and filling work, approved by the Permit Authority, shall be maintained at the site during the progress of the work. In order to obtain inspections, the permittee shall notify the Permit Authority or its designee at least two (2) working days before each of the following events:

- 1. Erosion and sediment control measures are in place and stabilized;
- 2. Site Clearing has been substantially completed;
- 3. Rough Grading has been substantially completed;
- 4. Final Grading has been substantially completed;
- 5. Close of the Construction Season; and
- 6. Final Landscaping (permanent stabilization) and project final completion.
- C. Applicant Inspections. The Applicant or his/her agent shall conduct and document inspections of all control measures no less than weekly or as specified in the permit, and prior to and following anticipated storm events. The purpose of such inspections is to determine the overall effectiveness of the control plan, and the need for maintenance or additional control measures. The Applicant or his/her agent shall submit monthly reports to the Permit Authority or designated agent in a format approved by the Permit Authority.
- D. Access Permission. To the extent permitted by state law, or if authorized by the owner or other party in control of the property, the Permit Authority and its agents, officers, and employees may enter upon privately owned property for the purpose of performing their duties under this by-law and may make or cause to be made such examinations, surveys or sampling as the Permit Authority deems
- E. reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the permit.

<u>Recommended Modification:</u> The town may want to consider development of a separate site plan review checklist for use by the permittee, if one does not already exist.

3.3.2 Post-Construction Stormwater Management

The 2016 MS4 Permit builds on the requirements of the 2003 MS4 Permit for post construction runoff from new development and redevelopment and requires the following (Year 2 requirements):

Low Impact Development

<u>Permit Requirement</u>: Low Impact Development (LID) site planning and design strategies must be used to the maximum extent feasible.

Excerpts from Hamilton's Regulations that Support Permit Requirement:

<u>Stormwater Management Permit Rules and Regulations, 4B. Permit Procedures and Requirements – Larger Projects, Stormwater Management Plan Content</u>



"8. Stormwater Management Plan and Project Description...

E. Low Impact Development Techniques:
The use of low-impact development techniques is required, where applicable. The Applicant shall employ meaningful low impact techniques which will result in less impervious area, direction of roof runoff toward rain gardens and swales, and plantings indigenous to the area. The use of recycled or recaptured rainwater is encouraged... (p10)"

<u>Recommended Modification:</u> The Stormwater Management Plan shall contain an evaluation of any Low Impact Development Techniques considered for the proposed development.

BMP Design Guidance

<u>Permit Requirement:</u> The design of treatment and infiltration practices should follow the guidance in Volume 2 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook, as amended, or other federally or State approved BMP design guidance.

Excerpts from Hamilton's Regulations that Support Permit Requirement:

Stormwater Management Permit Rules and Regulations, 4.A.8.C

C. Standards:

Projects shall meet the Standards of the Massachusetts Stormwater Management Policy which are as follows:

- 1. No new stormwater conveyances (e.g. outfalls) may discharge untreated stormwater directly to or cause erosion in wetlands or water of the Commonwealth.
- 2. Stormwater management systems must be designed so that post-development peak discharge rates do not exceed pre-development peak discharge rates.
- Loss of annual recharge to groundwater should be minimized through the use of infiltration
 measures to the maximum extent practicable. The annual recharge from the postdevelopment site should approximate the annual recharge rate from the pre-development or
 existing site conditions, based on soil types.
- 4. For new development, stormwater management systems must be designed to remove 80% of the average annual load (post development conditions) of Total Suspended Solids (TSS). It is presumed that this standard is met when:
 - a. Suitable nonstructural practices for source control and pollution prevention and implemented;
 - b. Stormwater management best management practices (BMPs) are sized to capture the prescribed runoff volume; and
 - c. Stormwater management BMPs are maintained as designed.



- Stormwater discharges from areas with higher potential pollutant loads require the use of specific stormwater management BMPs (see Stormwater Management Volume I: Stormwater Policy Handbook). The use of infiltration practices without pretreatment is prohibited.
- 6. Stormwater discharges to critical areas must utilize certain stormwater management BMPs approved for critical areas (see Stormwater Management Volume I: Stormwater Policy Handbook). Critical areas are Outstanding Resource Waters (ORWs), shellfish beds, swimming beaches, cold water fisheries and recharge areas for public water supplies.
- 7. Redevelopment of previously developed sites must meet the Stormwater Management Standards to the maximum extent practicable. However, if it is not practicable to meet all the Standards, new (retrofitted or expanded) stormwater management systems must be designed to improve existing conditions.
- 8. Erosion and sediment controls must be implemented to prevent impacts during disturbance and construction activities.

Recommended Modification:

None needed.

Compliance with the Stormwater Management Standards for Redevelopment

<u>Permit Requirement</u>: Stormwater management systems on redevelopment sites shall meet the following standards to the maximum extent feasible:

- Not allow new stormwater conveyances to discharge untreated stormwater in accordance with Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook Standard 1;
- Control peak runoff rates in accordance with Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook Standard
 2:
- Recharge groundwater in accordance with Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook Standard
 3:
- The pretreatment and structural best management practices requirements of Standards 5 (eliminate or reduce the discharge of pollutants from land uses with higher pollutant loads as defined in the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook) and 6 (protect Zone 2 or Interim Wellhead Protection Areas of public water supplies in accordance with Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook Standard 6);
- Stormwater management systems on redevelopment sites shall also improve existing conditions by requiring that stormwater management systems be designed to:
 - 1. Retain the volume of runoff equivalent to, or greater than 0.8 inch multiplied by the total post-construction impervious surface area on the site;

AND/OR

2. Remove 80% of the average annual post-construction load of TSS generated from the total post-construction impervious area on the site AND 50% of the average annual load of TP generated from the total post-construction impervious surface area on the site.



Pollutant removal shall be calculated consistent with EPA Region 1's Evaluation tool provided by EPA Region 1, where available. If EPA Region 1 tools do not address the planned or installed BMP performance any federally or State approved BMP design guidance or performance standards may be used to calculated BMP performance.

• Stormwater management systems on redevelopment sites may utilize offsite mitigation within the same USGS HUC10 as the redevelopment site to meet the equivalent retention or pollutant removal requirements indicated above.

Excerpts from Hamilton's Regulations that Support Permit Requirement:

Redevelopment projects requiring a Stormwater Management Permit shall comply with the standards as listed in 4.A.8.C of the regulations (excerpt above). Redevelopment of previously developed sites must meet the Stormwater Management Standards to the maximum extent practicable. However, if it is not practicable to meet all Standards, new (retrofitted or expanded) stormwater management systems must be designed to improve existing conditions.

<u>Recommended Modification</u>: Review the Town's regulations and Stormwater Management Permit Standards to ensure they meet or exceed the requirements of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook.

<u>Permit Requirement</u>: Redevelopment activities that are exclusively limited to maintenance and improvement of existing roadways, (including widening less than a single lane, adding shoulders, correcting substandard intersections, improving existing drainage systems, and repaving projects) shall improve existing conditions where feasible and are exempt from any of the parts listed previously in part d. Roadway widening or improvements that increase the amount of impervious area on the redevelopment site by greater than or equal to a single lane width shall meet the requirements of part d fully.

Excerpts from Hamilton's Regulations that Support Permit Requirement:

Hamilton Bylaws, Chapter XXIX Stormwater Management, Section 4. Applicability

- B. Construction activities that are exempt are:
 - 1. Normal maintenance and improvement of land in agricultural use as defined by the Wetlands Protection Act regulations 310 CMR 10.04 and MGL Chapter 40A, §3;
 - Maintenance of existing landscaping, gardens, or lawn areas associated with a single family dwelling provided such maintenance does not include the addition of more than 100 cubic yards of soil material, or alteration of drainage patterns;
 - 3. The construction of fencing that will not substantially alter existing terrain or drainage patterns;
 - 4. Normal maintenance of Town owned public land, ways, and appurtenances;
 - 5. Repair or maintenance of an individual subsurface septic disposal system, and related elements such as pipes, etc., provided that the post-repair condition drainage is equal to the pre-repair condition.



- 6. Any work or projects for which all necessary approvals and permits have been issued before the effective date of this Bylaw section.
- 7. Maintenance, reconstruction or resurfacing of any public or private way; and the installation of drainage structures or utilities within or associated with such ways that have been approved by the appropriate authorities provided that written notice be filed with the Planning Board fourteen (14) days prior to commencement of activity;

Recommended Modification: Review the bylaw to ensure exempt activities meet this permit requirement.

Submission of As-Builts

<u>Permit Requirement</u>: The permittee shall require, at a minimum, the submission of as-built drawings no later than two (2) years after completion of construction projects. The as-built drawings must depict all on site controls, both structural and non-structural, designed to manage the stormwater associated with the completed site (post construction stormwater management).

Excerpts from Hamilton's Stormwater Management Permit Regulations that Support Permit Requirement

Stormwater Management Rules and Regulations, 7.0 Certificate of Completion

"At completion of the project, the permitee shall submit an as-built stamped by a registered engineer for all structural stormwater controls and treatment best management practices required for the site. The as-built will indicate all deviations from the plan. A letter certifying the completion will be issued before an occupancy permit is issued by the Building Inspector." p23

<u>Recommended Modification:</u> "At completion of the project, and not more than two (2) years following, the permittee shall submit an as-built stamped by a registered engineer for all structural and non-structural stormwater controls...".

Long-term Operation & Maintenance

<u>Permit Requirement</u>: The new development/redevelopment program shall have procedures to ensure adequate long-term operation and maintenance of stormwater management practices that are put in place after the completion of a construction project. These procedures may include the use of dedicated funds or escrow accounts for development projects or the acceptance of ownership by the permittee of all privately owned BMPs. These procedures may also include the development of maintenance contracts between the owner of the BMP and the permittee. Alternatively, these procedures may include the submission of an annual certification documenting the work that has been done over the last 12 months to properly operate and maintain the stormwater control measures. The procedures to require submission of as-built drawings and ensure long term operation and maintenances shall be a part of the SWMP.

Excerpts from Hamilton's Regulations that Support Permit Requirement:



[FOR LARGER PROJECTS ONLY] 9. All stormwater management systems must have an operation and maintenance plan to ensure that systems function as designed. When one or more of the standards cannot be met, an Applicant may demonstrate that an equivalent level of environmental protection will be provided.

Stormwater Management Rules and Regulations, 4B. Permit Procedures and Requirements – Larger Projects (p20)

[FOR LARGER PROJECTS ONLY]

"A. An Operation and Maintenance plan ("O&M Plan") is required at the time of application for all larger projects. The maintenance plan shall be designed to ensure compliance with the Permit, this Bylaw and that the Massachusetts Surface Water Quality Standards, 314, CMR 4.00 are met in all seasons and throughout the life of the system. The Permit Authority shall make the final decision of what maintenance option is appropriate in a given situation. The Permit Authority will consider natural features, proximity of site to water bodies and wetlands, extent of impervious surfaces, size of the site, the types of stormwater management structures, and potential need for ongoing maintenance activities when making this decision. The O&M Plan shall remain on file with the Permit Authority and shall be an ongoing requirement.

The O&M Plan shall include:

- 1. The name(s) of the owner(s) for all components of the system;
- 2. Maintenance agreements that specify:
 - a. The names and addresses of the person(s) responsible for operation and maintenance,
 - b. The person(s) responsible for financing maintenance and emergency repairs.
 - c. A Maintenance Schedule for all drainage structures, including swales and ponds.
 - d. A list of easements with the purpose and location of each.
 - e. The signature(s) of the owner(s)."

Recommended Modification:

None Needed



4.0 IDDE MONITORING AND PROGRESS

4.1 IDDE Plan

The Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE) Plan has been developed by the Town of Hamilton to address the requirements of the 2016 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4s) set forth by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). The permit effective date was July 1, 2018.

Under the MS4 permit, Hamilton is required to employ best management practices for the six minimum control measures in an effort to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4 to the maximum extent practicable. The measures are as follows:

- 1. Public Education and Outreach
- 2. Public Involvement and Participation
- 3. Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination
- 4. Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control
- 5. Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment (Post Construction Stormwater Management); and
- 6. Good Housekeeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations.

As part of Minimum Control Measure No. 3, Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE), the Town is required to implement an IDDE program to systematically find and eliminate sources of non-stormwater discharges to its MS4 and implement procedures to prevent such discharges. This includes, but is not limited to, the following measures:

- 1. Developing a comprehensive map of the Town's drainage system that builds upon the outfalls and receiving waters that were previously mapped under the 2003 MS4 Permit.
- 2. Ensuring that appropriate regulatory mechanisms and enforcement procedures, as required under the 2003 MS4 Permit, are in place to prohibit illicit discharges.
- 3. Developing and implementing a written plan to detect and eliminate illicit discharges, which references the Town's authority to implement all aspects of the IDDE program, clearly identifies responsibilities with regard to eliminating illicit discharges, and outlines written procedures for dry and wet weather outfall screening and sampling and catchment investigations.
- 4. Providing training annually to employees involved in the IDDE program about the program, including how to recognize illicit discharges and SSOs.

Hamilton has developed a comprehensive written IDDE Plan, under separate cover, to meet the requirements of the 2016 MS4 Permit.

Such measures will be performed with the goal of finding and removing illicit discharges, which include fixed point source discharges such as illegal/improper sanitary or floor drain connections, in addition to all isolated or recurring discharges such as illegal dumping and improper disposal of waste. Illicit Discharges could also be indirect sources that infiltrate into the drainage system through



cracks/defects in infrastructure, such as sanitary wastes from septic systems. Exceptions do exist in the regulation for the discharge of clean water from sources such as water line flushing, fire-fighting operations, non-contact cooling waters, and for other discharges that have separately obtained a permit from the NPDES Program.

4.1.1 Mapping

The Town has already developed a comprehensive map of their drainage system, which includes outfalls, pipes, manholes, and catch basins. Outfalls have been analyzed to create a defined catchment area that includes surface runoff to catch basins tributary to the identified outfall. The catchment delineation process considered each catch basin upstream from the and the area that would conceivably drain to that catch basin based on topography and impervious cover. As drainage infrastructure mapping becomes more complete over the course of the investigations performed throughout the permit term, this exercise will be refined and updated.

The Town has approximately:

- 19 miles of gravity pipe/culverts ranging
- 836 catch basins;
- 177 storm drain manholes;
- 240 municipal outfalls; both non-regulated and regulated outfalls

Mapping has been in accordance with the 2016 MS4 Permit's accuracy guidelines and has been recorded on a publicly available town map, the most recent version of which can be found attached to the NOI included in Appendix D of this report.

Hamilton has reviewed drainage infrastructure within town boundaries to determine ownership. Private infrastructure or infrastructure owned and operated by another municipality or a state entity has been determined and designated in the Town's drainage GIS.

The mapping will serve as a planning tool for the implementation and phasing of the Town's IDDE Program and demonstration of the extent of complete and planned investigations and corrections. The Town will update their mapping as needed to reflect newly discovered information and required corrections or modifications. The Town will report annually on progress toward completion of the system map in their MS4 Annual Report.

4.1.2 Catchment Prioritization and Ranking

The Town completed an initial inventory and priority ranking to assess the illicit discharge potential of each regulated catchment and the related public health significance. The ranking will determine the priority order for screening of outfalls and interconnections, catchment investigations for evidence of illicit discharges, and provide the basis for determining permit milestones. Major factors considered in the prioritization and ranking of catchments include:

- Past discharge complaints and reports
- Receiving water quality, including any dry weather sampling conducted under the 2003 MS4
 Permit
- Density of generating sites as it relates to commercial and industrial sites
- Age of development and infrastructure
- Culverted streams



Water body impairments

This inventory and ranking have been documented in the Town's IDDE Plan and will be updated annually throughout the permit term to reflect new findings from dry and wet-weather sampling and other IDDE program activities, and will be documented in the Town's MS4 Annual Reports.

4.1.3 Field Investigation

The MS4 Permit requires the Town to develop a storm drain network investigation that involves systematically and progressively observing, sampling and evaluating key junction manholes in the MS4 to determine the approximate location of suspected illicit discharges.

Once the source of an illicit discharge is approximated between two manholes, more detailed investigation techniques will be used to isolate and confirm the source of the illicit discharge. The following methods may be used in isolating and confirming the source of illicit discharges:

- Sandbagging If no flow is observed at a particular junction manhole or key junction manhole at the time of inspection, the drain segment in the area of concern can be isolated by placing sandbags within outlets to manholes to form a temporary dam that collects any intermittent flow for a 24 to 48-hour dry weather period to determine if any intermittent dry-weather flow is present. If intermittent flow is captured, grab samples will be collected and analyzed at a minimum for ammonia, chlorine, and surfactants. If it is determined that no flow is captured behind the sandbag after a 24 to 48-hour period, the tributary drainage pipes can be excluded as the source of any intermittent discharge.
- <u>Dye Testing</u> dyed water is poured into plumbing fixtures and downstream drainage is observed to confirm connections.
- ZoomCam Inspections in selected tributary areas, or where indicated based on findings from other field investigation work, drainage structures will be inspected with a "zoom camera-on-a-stick" in an attempt to gather additional information and narrow the location of observed dry-weather flow.
- <u>Smoke Testing</u> non-toxic smoke is introduced into drainage segments containing suspected illicit discharges and adjacent buildings are observed for signs of a connection, or smoke emanating from floor drains or sump pump connections.
- <u>CCTV/Video Inspections</u> drainage pipes are internally inspected to pinpoint and evaluate connections through the use of a closed-circuit television camera through all or a portion of the drain segment believed to contain the connection.

Upon location of an illicit discharge, the Town will work to eliminate the illicit discharge as expeditiously as possible. When the specific source of an illicit discharge is identified, the Town will exercise its authority as necessary to require its removal. The Town will notify all responsible parties of any such discharge and require immediate cessation of improper disposal practices in accordance with its legal authorities.



5.0 STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

5.1 MS4 Permit Requirement

As part of the minimum control measure for Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations, the MS4 Permit requires permittees to implement an Operations and Maintenance (O&M) program for permittee-owned facilities and activities to prevent or reduce pollutant runoff and protect water quality. The O&M Program is required to include the following elements:

- 1) An inventory of all permittee-owned facilities.
- 2) Written O&M procedures for the following activities:
 - a. Parks and open space
 - b. Buildings and facilities where pollutants are exposed to runoff
 - c. Vehicles and equipment
- 3) A written program detailing the activities and procedures the permittee will implement so that MS4 infrastructure is maintained in a timely manner to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4, to include:
 - a. Optimization of routine inspections, cleaning and maintenance of catch basins.
 - b. Implementation of procedures for sweeping and/or cleaning streets, and permitteeowned parking lots.
 - c. Proper storage and disposal of catch basin cleanings and street sweepings.
 - d. Implementation of procedures for winter road maintenance.
 - e. Implementation of inspection and maintenance frequencies and procedures for storm drain systems and stormwater treatment structures.
- 4) Written records for all maintenance activities, inspections and training.

5.2 Inventory of Municipal Facilities

Hamilton has developed a comprehensive Operations and Maintenance Plan (O&M) Plan to meet permit requirements, included in Appendix L. The inventory of municipally-owned facilities and property, including vehicles, equipment, and stormwater treatment structures is included in Appendix C of the O&M Plan.

5.3 Operation and Maintenance Procedures for Municipal Activities and Facilities

To address the MS4 Permit requirements, Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) associated with the identified municipal activities and facilities are required to be developed within two years of the permit effective date, except for procedures for winter road maintenance, which are required to be developed within one year of the permit effective date. The SOP for winter road maintenance, which includes snow removal and deicing, is included in Appendix I. All required SOPs were developed during Permit Years 1 and 2 and are appended in Appendix I of this SWMP.

5.4 Catch Basin Cleaning and Optimization

The town currently has approximately 770 catch basins, this number was revised from 2019 due to field investigations and mapping. Frequency of catch basins are cleaned and visually inspected



annually. To meet anticipated requirements of the new MS4 Permit, the town will need to optimize catch basin inspection, cleaning and maintenance such that the following conditions are met:

- Inspection and maintenance of catch basins located near construction activities (roadway construction, residential, commercial, or industrial development or redevelopment) are prioritized. Catch basins in such areas must be cleaned more frequently if inspection and maintenance activities indicate excessive sediment or debris loading.
- A schedule must be established such that the frequency of routine cleaning ensures that no catch basin at any time will be more than 50 percent full. A catch basin sump is more than 50 percent full if the contents within the sump exceed one half the distance between the bottom interior of the catch basin to the invert of the deepest outlet of the catch basin.
- If a catch basin sump is more than 50 percent full during two consecutive routine inspections/cleaning events, the town must document the finding, investigate the contributing drainage area for sources of excessive sediment loading, and to the extent practicable, abate contributing sources.
- The town shall maintain documentation, including metrics and other information, used to reach the determination that the established plan for cleaning and maintenance is optimal and meets the requirements of the MS4 Permit, including a log of catch basins cleaned and inspected.
- The town must track and report the following information to EPA annually:
 - o Total number of catch basins town-wide
 - o Number of catch basins inspected
 - Number of catch basins cleaned
 - o Total volume or mass of material removed from all catch basins

The Town plans to collect data for their catch basin cleaning optimization plan in 2020 to ensure that no catch basin is more than 50% full. In 2019 the Town cleaned 100% of their catch basins. The Town will collect additional data during the 2021 cleaning seasons to complete their optimization plan. Data collected will include depth from the catch basin rim to the top of sediment, to the bottom of the basin, and to the invert of the outlet pipe. This data will be integrated into the Town's GIS and utilized to identify those catch basins that are filling up more frequently, and will therefore need to be cleaned more than once annually to ensure that the catch basin sump is never more than 50% full.



6.0 TMDLS AND WATER QUALITY LIMITED WATERS

6.1 Bacteria/Pathogens

According to the Massachusetts' Year 2016 Integrated List of Waters, the Miles River (MA92-03) is no longer impaired for bacteria or pathogens.



7.0 REPORTING, EVALUATION AND MODIFICATION

7.1 MS4 Permit Reporting

The MS4 Permit requires submission of annual reports assessing the effectiveness of the proposed BMPs and reporting if the minimum control measures were met. The initial report is due 90 days from the close of the reporting period, or September 29th, 2019, and annually thereafter. Reports are to be submitted to both EPA and MADEP. At a minimum, the report should include the following:

- The status of compliance with permit conditions, including an assessment of the appropriateness of the selected BMPs and progress toward achieving the selected measurable goals for each minimum control measure.
- Results of any information collected and analyzed, including monitoring data, if any. Outfall screening and monitoring data collected shall be submitted for both the reporting cycle and cumulative for the permit term.
- A summary of the stormwater activities planned for the next reporting cycle.
- A change in any identified best management practices or measurable goals for any minimum control measure.
- Notice of relying on another governmental entity to satisfy some of the permit obligations, if applicable.

As indicated in an earlier section, copies of past annual reports submitted by Hamilton are referenced in Appendix E of this SWMP. Hamilton will append future annual reports in compliance with the 2016 MS4 Permit as they are prepared in Appendix J.

7.2 Evaluation of SWMP Success

This SWMP should be considered a dynamic document that is modified as necessary to account for changes such as in drainage infrastructure, laws and regulations, and town leadership and policy. The success of programs implemented by the SWMP – such as IDDE – should also be evaluated to ensure that they are accomplishing the goals for which they were intended and in a method and timetable that continues to be appropriate. In addition, the SWMP should be reviewed and revised as necessary to keep text and appendices current. For example:

- After each year of stormwater monitoring to update appended findings and priorities.
- As needed to keep appended IDDE investigation, identification and removal documentation current.
- After each NPDES stormwater permit renewal to incorporate new requirements, as well as append copies of new permits and associated Notices of Intent (NOIs).



 After adoption of any new or revised ordinances or other regulatory mechanisms related to stormwater or drainage infrastructure.

Hamilton undertook this SWMP, in part, in order to ensure the protection of its water resources and the large investment in drainage infrastructure. Periodic review and revision of this written document will help achieve these goals on a perpetual basis.

7.3 Modifications to the SWMP or Notice of Intent

As discussed above, minor modifications to this SWMP should be made on a regular and frequent basis to keep it current. However, major changes to the SWMP or needed modifications to the NOI for inclusion under the NPDES Permit require an official process. In accordance with the MS4 Permit, modifications to the SWMP or NOI may be made under the following provisions:

- At any time, the town may add (but not subtract or replace) components, controls or requirements to the SWMP if written notification is made to EPA and MADEP.
- The town may request to replace an ineffective or infeasible BMP specifically identified in the SWMP with an alternative BMP at any time if the request is made in writing to EPA and MADEP. Unless the request is denied, changes proposed in accordance with the criteria below shall be deemed approved and may be implemented 60 days from submittal of the request. If the request is denied, EPA or MADEP, as applicable, will send the town a written explanation of the denial.
- Modification requests must include the following information:
 - o An analysis of why the BMP is ineffective or infeasible (or cost prohibitive).
 - o Expectations on the effectiveness of the replacement BMP.
 - An analysis of why the replacement BMP is expected to achieve the goals of the BMP to be replaced.
- Change requests or notifications must be made in writing to EPA (with copy to MADEP) and signed in accordance with EPA signatory requirements.

Hamilton does not anticipate any major modifications to the SWMP or NOI requiring official notification.



APPENDIX A

Abbreviations and Definitions



ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS

Best Management Practices (BMPs) - schedules of activities, practices (and prohibitions of practices), structures, vegetation, maintenance procedures, and other management practices to prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants to waters of the United States. BMPs also include treatment requirements, operating procedures, and practices to control plant site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage.

Common Plan of Development - A "larger common plan of development or sale" is a contiguous area where multiple separate and distinct construction activities may be taking place at different times different schedules under one plan. For example, if developer buys a 20-acre lot and builds roads, installs pipes, and runs electricity with the intention of constructing homes or other structures sometime in the future, this would be considered a larger common plan of development or sale. If the land is parceled off or sold, and construction occurs on plots that are less than one acre by separate, independent builders, this activity still would be subject to stormwater permitting requirements if the smaller plots were included on the original site plan.

Control Measure - refers to any BMP or other method (including effluent limitations) used to prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants to waters of the United States.

Director - a Regional Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency or an authorized representative.

Discharge - when used without qualification, means the "discharge of a pollutant."

Discharge of a pollutant - any addition of any "pollutant" or combination of pollutants to "waters of the United States" from any "point source," or any addition of any pollutant or combination of pollutants to the waters of the "contiguous zone" or the ocean from any point source other than a vessel or other floating craft which is being used as a means of transportation. This includes additions of pollutants into waters of the United States from surface runoff which is collected or channeled by man; or discharges through pipes, sewers, or other conveyances, leading into privately owned treatment works.

Discharge-related activities - activities which cause, contribute to, or result in stormwater and allowable non-stormwater point source discharges, and measures such as the siting, construction and operation of BMPs to control, reduce, or prevent pollution in the discharges.

Disturbance - action to alter the existing vegetation and/or underlying soil of a site, such as clearing, grading, site preparation (e.g., excavating, cutting, and filling), soil compaction, and movement and stockpiling of top soils.

Existing Discharger – an operator applying for coverage under this permit for discharges covered previously under an NPDES general or individual permit.

Facility or Activity - any NPDES "point source" or any other facility or activity (including land or appurtenances thereto) that is subject to regulation under the NPDES program.



Federal Facility – Any buildings, installations, structures, land, public works, equipment, aircraft, vessels, and other vehicles and property, owned by, or constructed or manufactured for the purpose of leasing to, the federal government.

Illicit Discharge - any discharge to a municipal separate storm sewer that is not composed entirely of stormwater except discharges pursuant to a NPDES permit (other than the NPDES permit for discharges from the municipal separate storm sewer) and discharges resulting from fire fighting activities.

Impaired Water – A water is impaired if it does not meet one or more of its designated use(s). For purposes of this permit, "impaired" refers to categories 4 and 5 of the five-part categorization approach used for classifying the water quality standards attainment status for water segments under the TMDL program. Impaired waters compilations are also sometimes referred to as "303(d) lists." Category 5 waters are impaired because at least one designated use is not being supported or is threatened and a TMDL is needed. Category 4 waters indicate that at least one designated use is not being supported but a TMDL is not needed (4a indicates that a TMDL has been approved or established by EPA; 4b indicates other required control measures are expected in result in the attainment of water quality standards in a reasonable period of time; and 4c indicates that the nonattainment of the water quality standard is the result of pollution (e.g. habitat) and is not caused by a pollutant). See USEPA's 2006 Integrated Report Guidance, July 29, 2005 for more detail on the five-part categorization waters [under EPA National TMDL Guidance of http://www.epa.gov/owow/tmdl/policy.html]).

Impervious Surface- Any surface that prevents or significantly impedes the infiltration of water into the underlying soil. This can include but is not limited to: roads, driveways, parking areas and other areas created using non porous material; buildings, rooftops, structures, artificial turf and compacted gravel or soil.

Industrial Activity - the ten categories of industrial activities included in the definition of "stormwater discharges associated with industrial activity," as defined in 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14)(i)-(ix) and (xi).

Industrial Stormwater - stormwater runoff associated with the definition of "stormwater discharges associated with industrial activity."

Interconnection – the point (excluding sheet flow over impervious surfaces) where the permittee's MS4 discharges to another MS4 or other storm sewer system, through which the discharge is eventually conveyed to a water of the United States. Interconnections shall be treated similarly to outfalls throughout the permit.

Junction Manhole - For the purposes of this permit, a junction manhole is a manhole or structure with two or more inlets accepting flow from two or more MS4 alignments. Manholes with inlets solely from private storm drains, individual catch basins, or both are not considered junction manholes for these purposes.

Key Junction Manhole - For the purposes of this permit, key junction manholes are those junction manholes that can represent one or more junction manholes without compromising adequate



implementation of the illicit discharge program. Adequate implementation of the illicit discharge program would not be compromised if the exclusion of a particular junction manhole as a key junction manhole would not affect the permittee's ability to determine the possible presence of an upstream illicit discharge. A permittee may exclude a junction manhole located upstream from another located in the immediate vicinity or that is serving a drainage alignment with no potential for illicit connections.

Municipal Separate Storm Sewer - a conveyance or system of conveyances (including roads with drainage systems, municipal streets, catch basins, curbs, gutters, ditches, manmade channels, or storm drains):(i) Owned or operated by a State, city, town, borough, county, parish, district, association, or other public body (created by or pursuant to State law) having jurisdiction over disposal of sewage, industrial wastes, stormwater, or other wastes, including special districts under State law such as a sewer district, flood control district or drainage district, or similar entity, or an Indian tribe or an authorized Indian tribal organization, or a designated and approved management agency under section 208 of the CWA that discharges to waters of the United States; (ii) Designed or used for collecting or conveying stormwater; (iii) Which is not a combined sewer; and (iv) Which is not part of a Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) as defined at 40 CFR 122.2.

Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) - means all separate storm sewers that are defined as "large" or "medium" or "small" municipal storm sewer systems pursuant to paragraphs 40 CFR 122.26 (b)(4) and (b)(7), or designated under paragraph 40 126.26(a) (1)(v). For the purposes of this permit "MS4" may also refer to the permittee with jurisdiction over the sewer system.

New Development – any construction activities or land alteration resulting in total earth disturbances greater than 1 acre (or activities that are part of a larger common plan of development disturbing greater than 1 acre) on an area that has not previously been developed to include impervious cover. (see part 2.3.6. of the permit)

New Discharger – For the purposes of this permit, a new discharger is an entity that discharges stormwater from a new facility with an entirely new separate storm sewer system that is not physically located on the same or adjacent land as an existing facility and associated system operated by the same MS4.

New Source - any building, structure, facility, or installation from which there is or may be a "discharge of pollutants," the construction of which commenced:

- after promulgation of standards of performance under section 306 of the CWA which are applicable to such source, or
- after proposal of standards of performance in accordance with section 306 of the CWA which
 are applicable to such source, but only if the standards are promulgated in accordance with
 section 306 within 120 days of their proposal.

No exposure - all industrial materials or activities are protected by a storm-resistant shelter to prevent exposure to rain, snow, snowmelt, and/or runoff.

One Lane Width – The width of the travel lane for a roadway. Lane width does not include shoulders, curbs, and on-street parking areas.



Outfall Catchment – The land area draining to a single outfall or interconnection. The extent of an outfall's catchment is determined not only by localized topography and impervious cover but also by the location of drainage structures and the connectivity of MS4 pipes.

Owner or operator - the owner or operator of any "facility or activity" subject to regulation under the NPDES program.

Person - an individual, association, partnership, corporation, municipality, State or Federal agency, or an agent or employee thereof.

Point source - any discernible, confined, and discrete conveyance, including but not limited to any pipe, ditch, channel, tunnel, conduit, well, discrete fissure, container, rolling stock, concentrated animal feeding operation, landfill leachate collection system, vessel, or other floating craft from which pollutants are or may be discharged. This term does not include return flows from irrigated agriculture or agricultural stormwater runoff.

Pollutant - dredged spoil, solid waste, incinerator residue, filter backwash, sewage, garbage, sewage sludge, munitions, chemical wastes, biological materials, heat, wrecked or discarded equipment, rock, sand, cellar dirt, and industrial, municipal and agricultural waste discharged into water.

Pollutant of concern – A pollutant which causes or contributes to a violation of a water quality standard, including a pollutant which is identified as causing an impairment in a State's 303(d) list.

Redevelopment – for the purposes of part 2.3.6., any construction, land alteration, or improvement of impervious surfaces resulting in total earth disturbances greater than 1 acre (or activities that are part of a larger common plan of development disturbing greater than 1 acre) that does not meet the definition of new development (see above).

Runoff coefficient - the fraction of total rainfall that will appear at the conveyance as runoff.

Site – for the purposes of part 2.3.6., the area extent of construction activities, including but not limited to the creation of new impervious cover and improvement of existing impervious cover (e.g. repaving not covered by 2.3.6.a.ii.4.d.)

Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System – all separate storm sewer systems that are (i) owned or operated by the United States, a State, city, town, borough, county, parish, district, association, or other public body (created by or pursuant to State law) having jurisdiction over disposal of sewage, industrial wastes, storm water, or other wastes, including special districts under State law such as a sewer district, flood control district, or drainage district, or similar entity or an Indian tribe or an authorized Indian tribal organization or a designated and approved management agency under section 208 of the CWA that discharges to waters of the United States, and (ii) not defined as "large" or "medium" municipal separate storm sewer system pursuant to paragraphs 40 CFR 122.26 (b)(4) and (b)(7), or designated under paragraph 40 126.26(a) (1)(v). This term includes systems similar to separate storm sewer systems in municipalities, such as systems at military bases, large hospital or prison complexes, and highways and other thoroughfares. This term does not include separate storm sewers in very discrete areas, such as individual buildings.



Small MS4 – means a small municipal separate storm sewer system.

Stormwater - stormwater runoff, snow melt runoff, and surface runoff and drainage.

Stormwater Discharges Associated with Construction Activity - a discharge of pollutants in stormwater runoff from areas where soil disturbing activities (e.g., clearing, grading, or excavating), construction materials, or equipment storage or maintenance (e.g., fill piles, borrow areas, concrete truck washout, fueling), or other industrial stormwater directly related to the construction process (e.g., concrete or asphalt batch plants) are located. (See 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14)(x) and 40 CFR 122.26(b)(15).

Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity - the discharge from any conveyance that is used for collecting and conveying stormwater and that is directly related to manufacturing, processing or raw materials storage areas at an industrial plant. The term does not include discharges from facilities or activities excluded from the NPDES program under Part 122. For the categories of industries identified in this section, the term includes, but is not limited to, stormwater discharges from industrial plant yards; immediate access roads and rail lines used or traveled by carriers of raw materials, manufactured products, waste material, or by-products used or created by the facility; material handling sites; refuse sites; sites used for the application or disposal of process waste water (as defined at part 401 of this chapter); sites used for the storage and maintenance of material handling equipment; sites used for residual treatment, storage, or disposal; shipping and receiving areas; manufacturing buildings; storage areas (including tank farms) for raw materials, and intermediate and final products; and areas where industrial activity has taken place in the past and significant materials remain and are exposed to stormwater. For the purposes of this paragraph, material handling activities include storage, loading and unloading, transportation, or conveyance of any raw material, intermediate product, final product, by-product or waste product. The term excludes areas located on plant lands separate from the plant's industrial activities, such as office buildings and accompanying parking lots as long as the drainage from the excluded areas is not mixed with stormwater drained from the above described areas. Industrial facilities include those that are federally, State, or municipally owned or operated that meet the description of the facilities listed in Appendix D of this permit. The term also includes those facilities designated under the provisions of 40 CFR 122.26(a)(1)(v).

Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) - A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a pollutant that a waterbody can receive and still meet water quality standards, and an allocation of that amount to the pollutant's sources. A TMDL includes wasteload allocations (WLAs) for point source discharges, load allocations (LAs) for nonpoint sources and/or natural background, and must include a margin of safety (MOS) and account for seasonal variations. (See section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act and 40 CFR 130.2 and 130.7).

Urbanized Area – US Census designated area comprised of a densely settled core of census tracts and/or census blocks that meet minimum population density requirements, along with adjacent territory containing non-residential urban land uses as well as territory with low population density included to link outlying densely settled territory with the densely settled core. For the purposes of this permit, Urbanized Areas as defined by any Census since 2000 remain subject to stormwater regulation even if there is a change in the reach of the Urbanized Area because of a change in more recent Census data.



Water Quality Limited Water – for the purposes of this permit, a water quality limited water is any waterbody that does not meet applicable water quality standards, including but not limited to waters listed in categories 5 or 4b on the Massachusetts Integrated Report of waters listed pursuant to Clean Water Act section 303(d) and 305(b).

Water Quality Standards - A water quality standard defines the water quality goals of a water body, or portion thereof, by designating the use or uses to be made of the water and by setting criteria necessary to protect the uses. States and EPA adopt WQS to protect public health or welfare, enhance the quality of water and serve the purposes of the Clean Water Act (See CWA sections 101(a)2 and 303(c)).

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

BMP – Best Management Practice

BPJ – Best Professional Judgment

CGP – Construction General Permit

CWA - Clean Water Act (or the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. §1251 et seq)

DCIA - Directly Connected Impervious Area

EPA - U. S. Environmental Protection Agency

ESA - Endangered Species Act

USFWS - U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service

IA - Impervious Area

IDDE – Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination

LA - Load Allocations

MS4 - Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System

MSGP - Multi-Sector General Permit

NHPA – National Historic Preservation Act

NMFS – U. S. National Marine Fisheries Service

NOI – Notice of Intent

NPDES - National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

NRHP - National Register of Historic Places

NSPS – New Source Performance Standard

PCP - Phosphorus Control Plan

SHPO - State Historic Preservation Officer

SPCC - Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure

SWMP - Stormwater Management Program

SWPPP - Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

TMDL - Total Maximum Daily Load

TSS - Total Suspended Solids

WLA - Wasteload Allocation


WQS - Water Quality Standard



APPENDIX B

Regulated Area Map







NPDES Phase II Stormwater Program Automatically Designated MS4 Areas Hamilton, Massachusetts

Hamilton Town Boundary

Regulated Area (2000 Urbanized Area)

Regulated Population: 6,451



Data Sources: Urbanized Areas from US Census Bureau (2000). Political boundaries from MassGIS. Hydrography from NHD. Transportation data from GDT at 1:24,000. Map Created: 10/4/02; US EPA- New England GIS Center L/projects/stormwater/phase2/matowns/new/

APPENDIX C

2016 MS4 Permit



Minor Permit Modification Summary

The following permit has been modified in accordance with 40 CFR §122.63:

Permit Name: GENERAL PERMITS FOR STORMWATER DISCHARGES FROM SMALL MUNICIPAL

SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEMS IN MASSACHUSETTS

Issue date: April 4, 2016

Effective Date: July 1, 2018

The following minor modifications were made on November 7, 2018:

| Page | Modification |
|------|---|
| 2 | Table of Contents was updated to reflect the changes below |
| 3 | Table of Contents was updated to reflect the changes below |
| 5 | Line was added before first bullet point for consistency |
| 6 | Line was removed between parts for consistency |
| 8 | Lines were added and removed between parts for consistency |
| 8 | Typos were fixed |
| 11 | Extra word was removed |
| 11 | Extra spaces were removed between words for consistency |
| 12 | Extra spaces were removed between words for consistency |
| 12 | Extra words were removed |
| 12 | Text was moved to a bullet point in the last paragraph of part 1.10.2 instead of as |
| | part of the 1.10.3 title for consistency |
| 12 | Duplicate words and symbols were deleted |
| 13 | Bullets were moved to the correct subsection, consistent with other relevant |
| | sections of the permit |
| 14 | Typos were fixed |
| 15 | Extra spaces were removed between words for consistency |
| 16 | Extra spaces were removed between words for consistency |
| 27 | Extra spaces were removed between words for consistency |
| 27 | Duplicate character was removed |
| 29 | Typo was fixed |
| 30 | Duplicate character was removed |
| 32 | Lines were added before bullet points for consistency |
| 33 | Lines were added and removed between paragraphs for consistency |
| 34 | Line was added before bullet points for consistency |
| 34 | Typo was fixed |
| 34 | Duplicate spaces were removed |
| 35 | Typo was fixed |
| 35 | Line was added before bullet points for consistency |
| 36 | Lines were added before bullet points and in between parts for consistency |
| 37 | Lines were added before bullet points and in between parts for consistency |
| 38 | Line was added in between parts for consistency |
| 38 | Typos were fixed |

| 39 | Line was added in between paragraphs for consistency |
|----|--|
| 39 | Typos were fixed |
| 41 | Lines were added before bullets for consistency |
| 42 | Typos were fixed |
| 43 | Typo was fixed |
| 44 | Line was added for consistency |
| 46 | Typo was fixed |
| 50 | Typo was fixed |
| 51 | Typo was fixed |
| 54 | Line was added for consistency |
| 55 | Line was added for consistency |
| 56 | Typo was fixed |
| 56 | Line was added for consistency |
| 57 | Lines were added and removed for consistency |

United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)

GENERAL PERMITS FOR STORMWATER DISCHARGES FROM SMALL MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEMS IN MASSACHUSETTS

AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE UNDER THE NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM

In compliance with the provisions of the Clean Water Act (CWA), as amended (33 U.S.C. §1251 *et seq.*), and the Massachusetts Clean Waters Act, as amended (M.G.L. Chap.21 §§ 26-53), any operator of a small municipal separate storm sewer system whose system:

- Is located in the areas described in part 1.1;
- Is eligible for coverage under part 1.2 and part 1.9; and
- Submits a complete and accurate Notice of Intent in accordance with part 1.7 of this permit and EPA issues a written authorization

is authorized to discharge in accordance with the conditions and the requirements set forth herein.

The following appendices are also included as part of these permits:

Appendix A – Definitions, Abbreviations, and Acronyms;

Appendix B – Standard permit conditions applicable to all authorized discharges;

Appendix C - Endangered Species Act Eligibility Guidance;

Appendix D - National Historic Preservation Act Eligibility Guidance;

Appendix E – Information required for the Notice of Intent (NOI);

Appendix F - Requirements for MA Small MS4s Subject to Approved TMDLs;

Appendix G - Impaired Waters Monitoring Parameter Requirements;

Appendix H - Requirements related to discharges to certain water quality limited waterbodies;

These permits become effective on July 1, 2017.

These permits and the authorization to discharge expire at midnight, June 30, 2022.

Signed this Yth day of April, 2016

Ken Moraff, Director

Office of Ecosystem Protection

United States Environmental Protection Agency

5 Post Office Square – Suite 100

Boston, Massachusetts 02109-3912

Signed this 4 day of April 2016

Douglas E. Fine

Assistant Commissioner for Water

Resources

Department of Environmental Protection

One Winter Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02108

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1.0. | INTRODUCTION | 4 |
|--------------|---|----|
| 1.1. | Areas of Coverage | 4 |
| 1.2. | Eligibility | 4 |
| 1.2.1. | Small MS4s Covered | 4 |
| 1.3. | LIMITATIONS ON COVERAGE | 5 |
| 1.4. | Non-Stormwater Discharges | 6 |
| 1.5. | PERMIT COMPLIANCE | 6 |
| 1.6. | CONTINUATION OF THIS PERMIT | 6 |
| 1.7. | OBTAINING AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE | 7 |
| 1.7.1. | How to Obtain Authorization to Discharge | |
| 1.7.2. | Notice of Intent | |
| 1.7.3. | Submission of Notice of Intent | |
| 1.7.4. | Public Notice of NOI and Effective Date of Coverage | |
| 1.8. | INDIVIDUAL PERMITS AND ALTERNATIVE GENERAL PERMITS | |
| 1.9. | SPECIAL ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATIONS | |
| 1.9.1. | Documentation Regarding Endangered Species | |
| 1.9.2. | Documentation Regarding Historic Properties | |
| 1.10. | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (SWMP) | |
| 1.10.1. | Stormwater Management Program Availability | |
| 1.10.2. | Contents and Timelines of the Stormwater Management Program for 2003 permittees | |
| 1.10.3. | Contents and Timelines of the Stormwater Management Program for New Permittees | 12 |
| 2.0. | NON-NUMERIC EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS | 14 |
| 2.1. | Water Quality Based Effluent Limitations | |
| 2.1.1. | Requirement to Meet Water Quality Standards | |
| 2.1.2. | Increased Discharges | |
| 2.2. | DISCHARGES TO CERTAIN IMPAIRED WATERS | |
| 2.2.1. | Discharges Subject to Requirements Related to an Approved TMDL | |
| 2.2.2. | Discharges to Certain Water Quality Limited Waters Subject to Additional Requirements | |
| 2.3. | REQUIREMENTS TO REDUCE POLLUTANTS TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PRACTICABLE (MEP) | |
| 2.3.1. | Control Measures | |
| 2.3.2. | Public Education and Outreach | |
| 2.3.3. | Public Involvement and Participation | |
| 2.3.4. | Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE) Program | |
| 2.3.5. | Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control | |
| 2.3.6. | Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment (Post Construction | |
| | Stormwater Management) | 43 |
| 2.3.7. | Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations | 47 |
| 3.0. | ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR DISCHARGES TO SURFACE | |
| | 3 WATER SUPPLIES AND THEIR TRIBUTARIES | 54 |
| | | |
| 4.0. | PROGRAM EVALUATION, RECORD KEEPING, AND REPORTING. | |
| 4.1. 4.2. | Program Evaluation | - |
| 4.2. 4.3. | OUTFALL MONITORING REPORTING | |
| 4.3. 4.4. | OUTFALL MONITORING REPORTING | |
| 4.4. | ANNUAL REPORTS | 30 |
| 5.0. | NON-TRADITIONAL MS4S | 58 |

MA MS4 General Permit

| 5.1. | REQUIREMENTS FOR NON-TRADITIONAL MS4s | 58 |
|---------------|--|------------|
| 5.1.1. | Public education | 58 |
| 5.1.2. | Ordinances and regulatory mechanisms | 58 |
| 5.1.3. | Assessment of Regulations | |
| 5.1.4. | New Dischargers | |
| 6.0 TRANSP | REQUIREMENTS FOR MS4S OWNED OR OPERATED BY ORTATION AGENCIES | |
| 6.1 | PUBLIC EDUCATION | |
| 6.2 | ORDINANCES AND REGULATORY MECHANISMS | 5 0 |
| 6.3 | | |
| 6.4 | ASSESSMENT OF REGULATIONS | |

1.0. Introduction

This document consists of three (3) general permits listed in part 1.1. Each general permit is applicable to a particular type of municipal system within Massachusetts. Many of the permit terms and conditions are applicable across all regulated entities, and therefore are presented just once in parts 1-2, part 4, and Appendices A through E. Other conditions are applicable to a particular set of authorized entities; these terms and conditions are included in parts 3, and 5 and Appendices F through H. Throughout the permit, the terms "this permit" or "the permit" will refer to the three general permits.

1.1. Areas of Coverage

This permit covers small municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s) located in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts:

- Traditional Cities and Towns (NPDES Permit No. MAR041000)
- State, federal, county and other publicly owned properties (Non-traditional) (MAR042000)
- State transportation agencies (except for MassDOT- Highway Division) (MAR043000)

1.2. Eligibility

The MS4 shall meet the eligibility provisions described in part 1.2.1 and part 1.9 to be eligible for authorization under this permit.

1.2.1. Small MS4s Covered

This permit authorizes the discharge of stormwater from small MS4s as defined at 40 CFR § 122.26(b) (16). This includes MS4s described in 40 CFR §122.32(a) (1) and (a) (2). An MS4 is eligible for coverage under this permit if it is:

- A small MS4 within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts;
- Not a large or medium MS4 as defined in 40 CFR §§122.26(b)(4) or (7);
- Located either fully or partially within an urbanized area as determined by the latest Decennial Census by the Bureau of Census as of the effective date of this permit (the 2010 Census); or
- Located in a geographic area designated by EPA as requiring a permit.

If the small MS4 is not located entirely within an urbanized area, only the portion of the MS4 that is located within the urbanized area is regulated under 40 CFR §122.32(a) (1).

A small municipal separate storm sewer system means all separate storm sewers that are:

- Owned or operated by the United States, a state, city, town, borough, county, parish, district, association, or other public body (created by or pursuant to state law) having jurisdiction over disposal of sewage, industrial wastes, stormwater, or other wastes, including special districts under state law such as a sewer district, flood control district or drainage district, or similar entity, or an Indian tribe or an authorized Indian tribal organization, or a designated and approved management agency under section 208 of the CWA that discharges to waters of the United States.
- Not defined as large or medium municipal separate storm sewer systems pursuant to 40 CFR § 122.26(b) (4) and (b) (7) or designated under 40 CFR § 122.26(a) (1) (v).
- This term includes systems similar to separate storm sewer systems in municipalities such as systems at military bases, large hospitals or prison complexes, and highways

and other thoroughfares. The term does not include separate storm sewers in very discrete areas, such as individual buildings.

1.3. Limitations on Coverage

This permit does not authorize the following:

- a. Stormwater discharges mixed with sources of non-stormwater unless such non-stormwater discharges are:
 - Authorized under a separate NPDES permit; or
 - A non-stormwater discharge as listed in part 1.4.
- b. Stormwater discharges associated with industrial activity as defined in 40 CFR §122.26 (b) (14) (i)-(ix) and (xi).
- c. Stormwater discharges associated with construction activity as defined in 40 CFR §122.26(b) (14) (x) or (b) (15).
- d. Stormwater discharges currently authorized under another NPDES permit, including discharges covered under other regionally issued general permits.
- e. Stormwater discharges or discharge related activities that are likely to adversely affect any species that are listed as endangered or threatened under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) or result in the adverse modification or destruction of habitat that is designated as critical under the ESA. The permittee shall follow the procedures detailed in Appendix C to make a determination regarding eligibility. The permittee shall certify compliance with this provision on the submitted NOI.
- f. Stormwater discharges whose direct or indirect impacts do not prevent or minimize adverse effects on any Essential Fish Habitat.
- g. Stormwater discharges, or implementation of a stormwater management program, which adversely affects properties listed or eligible to be listed on the National Register of Historic Places. The permittee shall follow the procedures detailed in Appendix D to make a determination regarding eligibility. The permittee shall certify compliance with this provision on the submitted NOI.
- h. Stormwater discharges prohibited under 40 CFR § 122.4.
- i. Stormwater discharges to the subsurface subject to state Underground Injection Control (UIC) regulations. Although the permit includes provisions related to infiltration and groundwater recharge, structural controls that dispose of stormwater into the ground may be subject to UIC regulation requirements. Authorization for such discharges shall be obtained from Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Resource Protection, Drinking Water Program, Underground Injection Control, One Winter Street, Boston, MA 02108 phone 617-292-5859.
- j. Any non-traditional MS4 facility that is a "new discharger" as defined in part 5.1.4. and discharges to a waterbody listed in category 5 or 4b on the Massachusetts Integrated Report of waters listed pursuant to Clean Water Act section 303(d) and 305(b) due to nutrients (Total Nitrogen or (Total Phosphorus), metals (Cadmium, Copper, Iron, Lead or Zinc), solids (TSS or Turbidity), bacteria/pathogens (E. Coli, Enteroccus or Fecal Coliform), chloride (Chloride) or oil and grease

(Petroleum Hydrocarbons or Oil and Grease), or discharges to a waterbody with an approved TMDL for any of those pollutants.

1.4. Non-Stormwater Discharges

The following categories of non-stormwater discharges are allowed under this permit *unless* the permittee, EPA, or the MassDEP identifies any category or individual discharge of non-stormwater discharge in part 1.4.a-r as a significant contributor of pollutants to the MS4, then that category or individual discharge is not allowed under part 1.4, but rather shall be deemed an "illicit discharge" under part 2.3.4.1, and the permittee shall address that category or individual discharge as part of the Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE) Program described in part 2.3.4 of this permit.

- a. Water line flushing
- b. Landscape irrigation
- c. Diverted stream flows
- d. Rising ground water
- e. Uncontaminated ground water infiltration (as defined at 40 CFR § 35.2005(20))
- f. Uncontaminated pumped ground water
- g. Discharge from potable water sources
- h. Foundation drains
- i. Air conditioning condensation
- j. Irrigation water, springs
- k. Water from crawl space pumps
- 1. Footing drains
- m. Lawn watering
- n. Individual resident car washing
- o. Flows from riparian habitats and wetlands
- p. De-chlorinated swimming pool discharges
- q. Street wash waters
- r. Residential building wash waters without detergents

Discharges or flows from firefighting activities are allowed under this permit need only be addressed where they are identified as significant sources of pollutants to waters of the United States.

1.5. Permit Compliance

Non-compliance with any of the requirements of this permit constitutes a violation of the permit and the CWA and may be grounds for an enforcement action and may result in the imposition of injunctive relief and/or penalties.

1.6. Continuation of this Permit

If this permit is not reissued prior to the expiration date, it will be administratively continued in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act and remain in force and effect for discharges that were authorized prior to expiration. If a small MS4 was granted permit authorization prior to the expiration date of this permit, it will automatically remain authorized by this permit until the earliest of:

- Authorization under a reissued general permit following timely and appropriate submittal
 of a complete and accurate NOI requesting authorization to discharge under the reissued
 permit; or
- Issuance or denial of an individual permit for the MS4's discharges; or

• Authorization or denial under an alternative general permit.

If the MS4 operator does not submit a timely, appropriate, complete, and accurate NOI requesting authorization to discharge under the reissued permit or a timely request for authorization under an individual or alternative general permit, authorization under this permit will terminate on the due date for the NOI under the reissued permit unless otherwise specified in the reissued permit.

1.7. Obtaining Authorization to Discharge

1.7.1. How to Obtain Authorization to Discharge

To obtain authorization under this permit, a small MS4 shall:

- Be located in the areas listed in part 1.1 of this permit;
- Meet the eligibility requirements in part 1.2 and part 1.9;
- Submit a complete and accurate Notice of Intent (NOI) in accordance with the requirements of part 1.7.2; and
- EPA issues a written authorization.

1.7.2. Notice of Intent

- a. Operators of Small MS4s seeking authorization to discharge under the terms and conditions of this permit shall submit a Notice of Intent that contains the information identified in Appendix E. This includes operators of small MS4s that were previously authorized under the May 1, 2003 small MS4 general permit (MS4-2003 permit).
- b. The NOI shall be signed by an appropriate official (see Appendix B, Subparagraph B.11, Standard Conditions).
- c. The NOI shall contain the following certification: I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, I certify that the information submitted is, to best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Print the name and title of the official, followed by signature and date.

d. The NOI shall be submitted within 90 days of the effective date of the permit. If EPA notifies an MS4 that it is designated under 40 CFR § 122.32(a) (2) or (b), the NOI shall be submitted within 180 days of receipt of notice unless granted a longer period of time by EPA.

1.7.3. Submission of Notice of Intent

a. All small MS4s shall submit a complete and accurate Notice of Intent (suggested form in Appendix E) to EPA-Region 1 at the following address:

United States Environmental Protection Agency Stormwater and Construction Permits Section (OEP06-1) Five Post Office Square, Suite 100

Boston, MA 02109

Or submitted electronically to EPA at the following email address: stormwater.reports@epa.gov

b. All small MS4s shall also submit a copy of the NOI to the MassDEP at the following address:

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
One Winter Street -5th Floor
Boston, Massachusetts 02108
ATTN: Frederick Civian, Stormwater Coordinator

c. Late notification: A small MS4 is not prohibited from submitting a NOI after the dates provided in part 1.7.2.d. However, if a late NOI is submitted, authorization is only for discharges that occur after permit authorization is granted. EPA and MassDEP reserve the right to take enforcement actions for any unpermitted discharges. All NOIs submitted after December 21, 2020 must be submitted electronically.

1.7.4. Public Notice of NOI and Effective Date of Coverage

- a. EPA will provide a public notice and opportunity for comment on the contents of the submitted NOIs. The public comment period will be a minimum of 30 calendar days.
- b. Based on a review of a small MS4's NOI or other information, EPA may grant authorization, extend the public comment period, or deny authorization under this permit and require submission of an application for an individual or alternative NPDES permit. (See part 1.8) A small MS4 will be authorized to discharge under the terms and conditions of this permit upon receipt of notice of authorization from EPA.
- c. Permittees whose authorization to discharge under the MS4-2003 permit, which expired on May 1, 2008, has been administratively continued in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act 5 U.S.C. § 558(c) and 40 CFR § 122.6, who wish to obtain coverage under this permit, must submit a new NOI requesting permit coverage in accordance with the requirements of part 1.7 of this permit to EPA within 90 days after the effective date of this permit. Permittees whose authorization to discharge under the expired MS4-2003 permit was administratively continued, who fail to submit a timely, complete and accurate NOI or an application for an individual NPDES permit within 90 days after the effective date of this permit will be considered to be discharging without a permit (see 40 CFR § 122.28(b)(3)(iii)).

1.8. Individual Permits and Alternative General Permits

a. EPA may require a small MS4 to apply for and obtain authorization under either an individual NPDES permit or an alternative NPDES general permit. Any interested person may petition EPA in accordance with the provisions of 40 CFR § 122.26(f) to require a small MS4 to apply for and/or obtain authorization under either an individual NPDES permit or an alternative NPDES general permit. If EPA requires a small MS4 to apply for an individual or alternative NPDES permit, EPA will notify the small MS4 in writing that a permit application is required. This notification will include a brief statement of the reasons for this decision and will provide application information and an application deadline. If a small MS4 is authorized under the MS4-2003 permit or this permit application as required by EPA, then the authorization under the MS4-2003 permit or this permit to the small MS4 is automatically terminated at the end of the date specified by EPA as the deadline

for application submittal. EPA reserves the right to take enforcement action for any unpermitted discharge.

- b. A small MS4 may request to be excluded from this general permit by applying for an individual permit or authorization under an alternative general permit. In such a case, a small MS4 shall submit an individual permit application in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR § 122.33(b) (2) (i) or § 122.33(b) (2) (ii), with reasons supporting the request, to EPA at the address listed in part 1.7.3 of this permit. The request may be granted by issuance of an individual permit or authorization under an alternative general permit if EPA determines that the reasons stated by the small MS4 are adequate to support the request. (See 40 CFR § 122.28(b) (3)).
- c. When an individual NPDES permit is issued, or a small MS4 is authorized to discharge under an alternative NPDES general permit, authorization under this permit automatically terminates on the effective date of the individual permit or the date of authorization of coverage under the alternative general permit.

1.9. Special Eligibility Determinations

1.9.1. Documentation Regarding Endangered Species

The small MS4 shall certify eligibility regarding endangered species in the NOI required by part 1.7.2. The Stormwater Management Program (SWMP) shall include documentation supporting the permittee's eligibility determination with regard to federal Endangered and Threatened Species and Critical Habitat Protection, including:

- Results of the Appendix C U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service endangered species screening determination; and
- If applicable, a description of the measures the small MS4 shall implement to protect federally listed endangered or threatened species, or critical habitat, including any conditions imposed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. If a permittee fails to document and implement such measures, the permittee's discharges are ineligible for coverage under this permit.

1.9.2. Documentation Regarding Historic Properties

The small MS4 shall certify eligibility regarding historic properties on the NOI required by part 1.7.2. The SWMP shall include documentation supporting the small MS4's eligibility determination with regard to Historic Properties Preservation, including:

- Information on whether the permittee's stormwater discharges, allowable nonstormwater discharges, or stormwater discharge-related activities would have an effect on a property that is listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Properties (NRHP);
- Where such effects may occur, any documents received by the permittee or any written agreements the permittee has made with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO), or other Tribal representative to mitigate those effects;
- Results of the Appendix D historic property screening investigations; and
- If applicable, a description of the measures the permittee shall implement to avoid or minimize adverse impacts on places listed, or eligible for listing, on the NRHP, including any conditions imposed by the SHPO or THPO. If the permittee fails to

document and implement such measures, those discharges are ineligible for coverage under this permit.

1.10. Stormwater Management Program (SWMP)

a. The permittee shall develop and implement a written (hardcopy or electronic) SWMP. The SWMP shall be signed in accordance with Appendix B, Subsection 11, including the date of signature. A signature and date is required for initial program preparation and for any significant revision to the program, which shall be in writing. The written SWMP shall be completed within one (1) year of the effective date of the permit.

The SWMP is the document used by the permittee to describe and detail the activities and measures that will be implemented to meet the terms and conditions of the permit. The SWMP shall accurately describe the permittees plans and activities. The document should be updated and/or modified during the permit term as the permittee's activities are modified, changed or updated to meet permit conditions during the permit term.

b. Permittees authorized by the MS4-2003 permit shall modify or update their existing Best Management Practices (BMPs) and measurable goals to meet the terms and conditions of part 2.3 of this permit within one (1) year of the effective date of the permit. These modifications and updates shall be reflected in the written (hardcopy or electronic) SWMP. Permittees authorized by the MS4-2003 permit shall continue to implement their existing SWMP until the program has been updated.

1.10.1. Stormwater Management Program Availability

- a. The permittee shall retain a copy of the current SWMP required by this permit at the office or facility of the person listed as the program contact on the submitted Notice of Intent (NOI). The SWMP shall be immediately available to representatives from EPA, MassDEP, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) at the time of an onsite inspection or upon request.
- b. The permittee shall make the SWMP available to the public during normal business hours. The permittee shall also post the SWMP online¹ if the permittee has a website on which to post the SWMP.

1.10.2. Contents and Timelines of the Stormwater Management Program for 2003 permittees

The following information must be included in the SWMP within one (1) year of the permit effective date and updated annually thereafter, as necessary:

- Identification of names and titles of people responsible for program implementation. If a position is currently unfilled, list the title of the position and modify the SWMP with the name once the position is filled;
- Documentation of compliance with part 1.9.1;
- Documentation of compliance with part 1.9.2;

¹ Should a permittee not wish to post mapping information included in the SWMP (see part 1.10.2) on their website for public safety reasons, they must state the reason either with or within the online SWMP and provide how the MS4 mapping information can be obtained. The permittee must retain the entire SWMP, including all completed mapping, at a location where it can be made available to the public during normal business hours.

- Documentation of authorization of all new or increased discharges granted by MassDEP in compliance with part 2.1.2;
- Listing of all discharges identified pursuant to part 2.1.1 and description of response;
- Description of practices to achieve compliance with part 2.3 (MEP requirements) identified in the permittee's NOI and any updates to those BMPs within the first year; For each permit condition in part 2.3 identify:
 - The person(s) or department responsible for the measure;
 - The BMPs for the control measure or permit requirement;
 - The measurable goal(s) for each BMP. Each measurable goal shall include milestones and timeframes for its implementation and have a quantity or quality associated with its endpoint. Each goal shall have a measure of assessment associated with it;
- Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) inventory including all of the information required in part 2.3.4.4.b;
- Written IDDE Program pursuant to part 2.3.4.6;
- Written procedures for site inspections and enforcement of sediment and erosion control procedures in accordance with part 2.3.5;
- Description of measures to avoid or minimize impacts to surface public drinking water supply sources. The permittee is also encouraged to include provisions to notify public water supplies in the event of an emergency. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Resource Protection, Drinking Water Program, One Winter Street, Boston, MA 02108 phone 617.292.5770.
- Description of activities to achieve compliance with part 3.0;
- Annual program evaluation (part 4.1). Update annually and maintain copies.

The following information must be included in the SWMP within two (2) years of the permit effective date and updated annually thereafter, as necessary:

- Listing of all receiving waterbody segments, their classification under the applicable state water quality standards, any impairment(s) and associated pollutant(s) of concern, applicable TMDLs and WLAs, and number of outfalls from the MS4 that discharge to each waterbody. In addition to the receiving water, the permittee shall document in the SWMP all surface public drinking water sources that may be impacted by MS4 discharges;
- Listing of all interconnected MS4s and other separate storm sewer systems receiving a discharge from the permitted MS4, the receiving waterbody segment(s) ultimately receiving the discharge, their classification under the applicable state water quality standards, any impairment(s) and associated pollutant(s) of concern, applicable TMDLs and WLAs, and the number of interconnections:
- Written procedures to require submission of as-built drawings and ensure long term operation and maintenance in accordance with part 2.3.6.a.iii;
- The map of the separate storm sewer system required by part 2.3.4.5.

The following information must be included in the SWMP within four (4) years of the permit effective date and updated annually thereafter, as necessary:

• Report(s) assessing current street design and parking lot guidelines and other local requirements within the municipality that affect the creation of impervious cover.

The following information must be included in the SWMP concurrent with the applicable

deadlines in Appendix F and H and updated annually thereafter, as necessary:

- Description of practices to achieve compliance with part 2.2.1 (TMDL requirements) including:
 - The person(s) or department responsible for the measure;
 - The BMPs for the control measure or permit requirement;
 - The measurable goal(s) for each BMP. Each measurable goal shall include milestones and timeframes for its implementation and have a quantity or quality associated with its endpoint. Each goal must have an associated measure of assessment.
- Description of practices to achieve compliance with part 2.2.2 (discharges to certain water quality limited waters subject to additional requirements) including:
 - The person(s) or department responsible for the measure;
 - The BMPs for the control measure or permit requirement;
 - The measurable goal(s) for each BMP. Each measurable goal shall include milestones and timeframes for its implementation and have a quantity or quality associated with its endpoint. Each goal must have an associated measure of assessment;
- Description of any other practices to achieve compliance with part 2.1 (water quality based requirements)

1.10.3. Contents and Timelines of the Stormwater Management Program for New Permittees

- a. Permittees seeking authorization for the first time shall meet all deadlines contained in this permit except the following:
 - Timelines for public education requirements in part 2.3.2.c shall be extended by one (1) year and need to include one (1) message to each audience over the permit term;
 - The ordinances, by-laws, or other regulatory mechanisms required by parts 2.3.4, 2.3.5 and 2.3.6 shall be completed as soon as possible, but no later than three (3) years from the permit effective date; and
 - All other deadlines in part 2.3.4 shall be extended by three (3) years.
 - All other deadlines in part 2.3.5, 2.3.6 and 2.3.7 shall be extended by two (2) years.
 - All deadlines for discharges to water quality limited waters without a TMDL under part 2.2.2 shall be extended by two (2) years.

b. Contents of the Stormwater Management Program for New Permittees

The following information must be included in the SWMP within one (1) year of the permit effective date and updated annually thereafter, as necessary:

- Identification of names and titles of people responsible for program implementation. If a position is currently unfilled, list the title of the position and modify the SWMP with the name once the position is filled;
- Documentation of compliance with part 1.9.1;
- Documentation of compliance with part 1.9.2;
- Documentation of authorization of all new or increased discharges granted by MassDEP in compliance with part 2.1.2;
- Listing of all discharges identified pursuant to part 2.1.1 and description of response;
- Description of practices to achieve compliance with part 2.3 (MEP requirements) identified in the permittee's NOI and any updates to those BMPs within the first year;

For each permit condition in part 2.3 identify:

- The person(s) or department responsible for the measure;
- The BMPs for the control measure or permit requirement;
- The measurable goal(s) for each BMP. Each measurable goal shall include milestones and timeframes for its implementation and have a quantity or quality associated with its endpoint. Each goal shall have a measure of assessment associated with it;
- Description of measures to avoid or minimize impacts to surface public drinking water supply sources. The permittee is also encouraged to include provisions to notify public water supplies in the event of an emergency. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Resource Protection, Drinking Water Program, One Winter Street, Boston, MA 02108 – phone 617.292.5770. Description of activities to achieve compliance with part 3.0;
- Annual program evaluation (part 4.1). Update annually and maintain copies.

The following information must be included in the SWMP within three (3) years of the permit effective date and updated annually thereafter, as necessary:

• Written procedures for site inspections and enforcement of sediment and erosion control procedures in accordance with part 2.3.5;

The following information must be included in the SWMP within four (4) years of the permit effective date and updated annually thereafter, as necessary:

- Outfall and interconnection inventory;
- Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) inventory including all of the information required in part 2.3.4.4.b;
- Written IDDE Program pursuant to part 2.3.4.6.
- Written operation and maintenance procedures for municipal activities in part 2.3.7.a.ii;
- Written program detailing the activities and procedures the permittee will implement so that the MS4 infrastructure is maintained in a timely manner to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4 in accordance with part 2.3.7.a.iii.1;
- Written procedures to require submission of as-built drawings and ensure long term operation and maintenance in accordance with part 2.3.6.a.iii;

The following information must be included in the SWMP within five (5) years of the permit effective date and updated annually thereafter, as necessary:

- Phase 1 of the map of the separate storm sewer system required by part 2.3.4.5;
- Listing of all receiving waterbody segments, their classification under the applicable state water quality standards, any impairment(s) and associated pollutant(s) of concern, applicable TMDLs and WLAs, and number of outfalls from the MS4 that discharge to each waterbody. In addition to the receiving water, the permittee shall document in the SWMP all surface public drinking water sources that may be impacted by MS4 discharges;
- Listing of all interconnected MS4s and other separate storm sewer systems receiving a discharge from the permitted MS4, the receiving waterbody segment(s) ultimately receiving the discharge, their classification under the applicable state water quality standards, any impairment(s) and associated pollutant(s) of concern, applicable TMDLs and WLAs, and the number of interconnections;

The following information must be included in the SWMP within six (6) years of the permit effective date and updated annually thereafter, as necessary:

• Report(s) assessing current street design and parking lot guidelines and other local requirements within the municipality that affect the creation of impervious cover.

The following information must be included in the SWMP concurrent with the applicable deadlines in Appendix F and H (extended by two (2) years) and updated annually thereafter, as necessary:

- Description of practices to achieve compliance with part 2.2.1 (discharges subject to requirements related to approved TMDLs)including:
 - The person(s) or department responsible for the measure;
 - The BMPs for the control measure or permit requirement;
 - The measurable goal(s) for each BMP. Each measurable goal shall include milestones and timeframes for its implementation and have a quantity or quality associated with its endpoint. Each goal must have an associated measure of assessment.
- Description of practices to achieve compliance with part 2.2.2 (discharges to certain water quality limited waters subject to additional requirements) including:
 - The person(s) or department responsible for the measure;
 - The BMPs for the control measure or permit requirement;
 - The measurable goal(s) for each BMP. Each measurable goal shall include milestones and timeframes for its implementation and have a quantity or quality associated with its endpoint. Each goal must have an associated measure of assessment:
- Description of any other practices to achieve compliance with part 2.1 (water quality based requirements).

2.0. Non-Numeric Effluent Limitations

The permittee shall develop, implement, and enforce a program to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4 to the maximum extent practicable; to protect water quality and to satisfy the appropriate water quality requirements of the Clean Water Act and the Massachusetts Water Quality Standards.

2.1. Water Quality Based Effluent Limitations

Pursuant to Clean Water Act 402(p)(3)(B)(iii), this permit includes provisions to ensure that discharges from the permittee's small MS4 do not cause or contribute to an exceedance of water quality standards, in addition to requirements to reduce the discharge of pollutants to the maximum extent practicable. The requirements found in this part and part 2.2 constitute appropriate water quality based effluent limits of this permit. Requirements to reduce the discharge of pollutants to the maximum extent practicable are set forth in part 2.3.

2.1.1. Requirement to Meet Water Quality Standards

a. The permittee shall reduce the discharge of pollutants such that the discharges from the MS4 do not cause or contribute to an exceedance of water quality standards.

- b. If there is a discharge from the MS4 to a waterbody (or its tributaries in some cases) that is subject to an approved TMDL identified in part 2.2.1, the permittee is subject to the requirements of part 2.2.1 and Appendix F of this permit and the permittee shall comply with all applicable schedules and requirements in Appendix F. A permittee's compliance with all applicable requirements and BMP implementation schedules in Appendix F applicable to it will constitute compliance with part 2.1.1.a. of the Permit.
- c. If there is a discharge from the MS4 to a waterbody (or its tributaries in some cases) that is water quality limited (see definition in Appendix A) due to nutrients (Total Nitrogen or Total Phosphorus), metals (Cadmium, Copper, Iron, Lead or Zinc), solids (TSS or Turbidity), bacteria/pathogens (E. Coli, Enterococcus or Fecal Coliform), chloride (Chloride) or oil and grease (Petroleum Hydrocarbons or Oil and Grease) and is not subject to an approved TMDL, or the MS4 is located within a municipality listed in part 2.2.2.a.-b., the permittee is subject to the requirements of part 2.2.2 and Appendix H of this permit and the permittee shall comply with all applicable schedules and requirements in Appendix H. A permittee's compliance with all applicable requirements and BMP implementation schedules in Appendix H applicable to it will constitute compliance with part 2.1.1.a. of the Permit.
- d. Except where a pollutant of concern in a discharge is subject to the requirements of part 2.2.1 and/or part 2.2.2 of this permit or is the result of an illicit discharge and subject to part 2.3.4 of this Permit, if a pollutant in a discharge from the MS4 is causing or contributing to a violation of applicable water quality criteria² for the receiving water, the permittee shall, as expeditiously as possible, but no later than 60 days of becoming aware of the situation, reduce or eliminate the pollutant in its discharge such that the discharge meets applicable water quality criteria.

2.1.2. Increased Discharges

- a. Any increased discharge, including increased pollutant loading(s) through the MS4 to waters of the United States is subject to Massachusetts antidegradation regulations at 314 CMR 4.04. The permittee shall comply with the provisions of 314 CMR 4.04 including information submittal requirements and obtaining authorization for increased discharges where appropriate³. Any authorization of an increased discharge by MassDEP shall be incorporated into the permittee's SWMP. If an applicable MassDEP approval specifies additional conditions or requirements, then those requirements are incorporated into this permit by reference. The permittee must comply with all such requirements.
- b. There shall be no increased discharges, including increased pollutant loading(s) from the MS4 to impaired waters listed in categories 5 or 4b on the most recent Massachusetts Integrated Report of waters listed pursuant to Clean Water Act section 303(d) and 305(b) unless the permittee demonstrates that there is no net increase in loading from the MS4 to the impaired water of the pollutant(s) for which the waterbody is impaired. The permittee may demonstrate compliance with this provision by *either*:
 - i. Documenting that the pollutant(s) for which the waterbody is impaired is not present in the MS4's discharge and retaining documentation of this finding with the SWMP; or

_

² Applicable water quality criteria are part of the state standards that have been federally approved as of the effective date of this permit and are compiled by EPA at http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/standards/wqslibrary/

³ Contact MassDEP for guidance on compliance with 314 CMR 4.04

- ii. Documenting that the total load of the pollutant(s) of concern from the MS4 to any impaired portion of the receiving water will not increase as a result of the activity and retaining documentation of this finding in the SWMP. Unless otherwise determined by the Permittee, USEPA or by MassDEP that additional demonstration is necessary, compliance with the requirements of part 2.2.2 and part 2.3.6 of this Permit, including all reporting and documentation requirements, shall be considered as demonstrating no net increase as required by this part.
- c. The requirements of this part are independent of permit conditions requiring reduction in discharges of pollutants as set forth in parts 2.1.1 and 2.2 (water quality based requirements) and 2.3 (requirements to reduce discharge of pollutants to the maximum extent practicable).
 Permittees remain subject to requirements to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4 as set forth in those parts.

2.2. Discharges to Certain Impaired Waters

The permittee shall identify in the SWMP and Annual Reports all MS4 discharges, including both outfalls and interconnections to other MS4s or other separate storm sewer systems, that:

- Are subject to Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) related requirements as identified in part 2.2.1.
- Are subject to additional requirements to protect water quality as identified in part 2.2.2.

The discharge location from an interconnection shall be determined based on the receiving water of the outfall from the interconnected system.

2.2.1. Discharges Subject to Requirements Related to an Approved TMDL

- a. "Approved TMDLs" are those that have been approved by EPA as of the date of issuance of this permit.
- b. The MS4s specified below discharge to waters within Massachusetts that are subject to TMDLs, or in some cases, to tributaries of such waters, and shall comply with the requirements of Appendix F, part A. Appendix F identifies, by section, the provisions the permittee shall implement to be consistent with the terms of the approved TMDL. Alternatively, EPA may notify the permittee that an individual permit application is necessary in accordance with part 1.8.a.
 - i. The following is a list of municipalities in the Charles River Watershed:

1.

| Arlington | Mendon |
|------------|---------|
| Ashland | Milford |
| Bellingham | Millis |
| Belmont | Natick |
| Brookline | Needham |
| Cambridge | Newton |
| Dedham | Norfolk |

| Dover | Sherborn |
|------------|-----------|
| Foxborough | Walpole |
| Franklin | Waltham |
| Holliston | Watertown |
| Hopedale | Wayland |
| Hopkinton | Wellesley |
| Lexington | Weston |
| Lincoln | Westwood |
| Medfield | Wrentham |
| Medway | |

Permittees that operate regulated MS4s located in municipalities listed above that discharge to the Charles River or its Tributaries shall meet the requirements of Appendix F, part A.I with respect to the reduction of phosphorus discharges from their MS4.

ii. The following is a list of municipalities that contain a lake or pond subject to an approved lake or pond phosphorus TMDL in the Northern Blackstone Basin, Chicopee Basin, Connecticut Basin, French Basin, Millers Basin or in the watershed of Bare Hill Pond, Flint Pond, Indian Lake, Lake Boon, Lake Quinsigamond, Leesville Pond, Salisbury Pond, Quaboag Pond or Quacumquasit Pond.

1.

| Auburn | Millbury |
|-----------|-------------|
| Charlton | Oxford |
| Dudley | Shrewsbury |
| Gardner | Spencer |
| Grafton | Springfield |
| Granby | Stow |
| Hadley | Templeton |
| Harvard | Westminster |
| Hudson | Winchendon |
| Leicester | Wilbraham |
| Ludlow | |

Permittees that operate regulated MS4s in the above municipalities that discharge to waterbodies listed on Table F-6 in Appendix F or their tributaries, and any other MS4 that discharges to waterbodies listed on Table F-6 in Appendix F or their tributaries, shall meet the requirements of Appendix F, part A.II with respect to reduction of phosphorus discharges from their MS4.

iii. The following is a list of municipalities that contain waters subject to an approved TMDL for bacteria or pathogens.

1.

| Abington | Marshfield |
|------------------|---------------|
| Acushnet | Mashpee |
| Andover | Mattapoisett |
| Avon | Medfield |
| Barnstable | Medway |
| Bedford | Melrose |
| Bellingham | Mendon |
| Belmont | Milford |
| Berkley | Millis |
| Beverly | Milton |
| Billerica | Nahant |
| Bourne | Natick |
| Brewster | Needham |
| Bridgewater | New Bedford |
| Brockton | Newton |
| Brookline | Norfolk |
| Burlington | North Andover |
| Cambridge | Norton |
| Canton | Norwell |
| Chatham | Norwood |
| Cohasset | Orleans |
| Concord | Peabody |
| Danvers | Pembroke |
| Dartmouth | Plymouth |
| Dedham | Raynham |
| Dennis | Rehoboth |
| Dighton | Revere |
| Dover | Rockland |
| Duxbury | Rockport |
| East Bridgewater | Salem |
| Eastham | Sandwich |
| Essex | Saugus |
| Everett | Scituate |
| Fairhaven | Seekonk |
| Fall River | Sharon |
| Falmouth | Sherborn |
| Foxborough | Somerset |
| Franklin | Stoughton |
| | |

| Freetown | Swampscott |
|------------|------------------|
| Gloucester | Swansea |
| Hanover | Taunton |
| Hanson | Tewksbury |
| Harwich | Wakefield |
| Holliston | Walpole |
| Hopedale | Waltham |
| Hopkinton | Wareham |
| Ipswich | Watertown |
| Kingston | Wellesley |
| Lawrence | Wellfleet |
| Lexington | West Bridgewater |
| Lincoln | Weston |
| Lynn | Westport |
| Lynnfield | Westwood |
| Malden | Whitman |
| Manchester | Wilmington |
| Mansfield | Winthrop |
| Marblehead | Yarmouth |
| Marion | |

The operators of MS4s located in municipalities listed above that discharge to a waterbody segment listed on Table F-8 in Appendix F and any other MS4 that discharges directly to a waterbody segment listed on Table F-8 in Appendix F shall meet the requirements of Appendix F, part A.III with respect to reduction of bacteria/pathogens discharges from their MS4.

iv. The following is a list of municipalities located on Cape Cod that contain waters subject to an approved TMDL for nitrogen (Total Nitrogen).

1.

| Bourne |
|------------|
| Barnstable |
| Chatham |
| Falmouth |
| Harwich |
| Mashpee |
| Orleans |
| Yarmouth |

Permittees that operate regulated MS4s located in the municipalities above that discharge to waterbodies found on Table F-9 in Appendix F or their tributaries and any other MS4 that discharges to waterbodies found on Table F-9 in Appendix F or their

tributaries shall meet the requirements of Appendix F, part A.IV with respect to reduction of nitrogen discharges from their MS4.

v. The following is a list of municipalities located in the Assabet River Watershed:

1.

| Acton | Hudson |
|------------|--------------|
| Berlin | Littleton |
| Bolton | Marlborough |
| Boxborough | Maynard |
| Boylston | Northborough |
| Ca rlisle | Shrewsbury |
| Clinton | Stow |
| Concord | Westborough |
| Grafton | Westford |
| Harvard | |

Permittees that operate regulated MS4s located in the municipalities above that discharge to the Assabet River or its tributaries shall meet the requirements of Appendix F part A.V with respect to reduction of phosphorus discharges from their MS4.

- c. The MS4s specified below discharge to waters, or tributaries of waters, that have been identified in an adjacent state's approved TMDL as being impaired due, in part, to MS4 stormwater discharges in Massachusetts, and shall comply with the requirements of Appendix F, part B. Appendix F identifies, by section, the provisions the permittee shall implement to be consistent with the reasonable assumptions related to Massachusetts MS4 discharges. Alternatively, EPA may notify the permittee that an individual permit application is necessary in accordance with part 1.8.a.
 - i. The following is a list of municipalities in Massachusetts located in the watershed of Long Island Sound, which has an approved TMDL for nitrogen (Total Nitrogen).

1.

| Adams | North Adams |
|-------------|--------------|
| Agawam | Northampton |
| Amherst | Oxford |
| Ashburnham | Palmer |
| Ashby | Paxton |
| Auburn | Pelham |
| Belchertown | Pittsfield |
| Charlton | Richmond |
| Cheshire | Russell |
| Chicopee | Rutland |
| Dalton | South Hadley |
| Douglas | Southampton |

| Dudley | Southbridge |
|-----------------|------------------|
| East Longmeadow | Southwick |
| Easthampton | Spencer |
| Gardner | Springfield |
| Granby | Sturbridge |
| Hadley | Sutton |
| Hampden | Templeton |
| Hatfield | Ware |
| Hinsdale | Webster |
| Holyoke | West Springfield |
| Lanesborough | Westfield |
| Leicester | Westhampton |
| Lenox | Westminster |
| Longmeadow | Wilbraham |
| Ludlow | Williamsburg |
| Millbury | Winchendon |
| Monson | |

Permittees that operate regulated MS4s located in the municipalities above that discharge to a water within the Connecticut River Watershed, the Housatonic River Watershed, or the Thames River Watershed shall meet the requirements of Appendix F part B. I with respect to nitrogen discharges from their MS4.

ii. The following is a list of municipalities in Massachusetts identified in a TMDL as containing MS4s contributing phosphorus to waterbody segments that have out of state approved TMDLs for phosphorus:

1.

| Attleboro | |
|--------------------|--|
| North Attleborough | |
| Plainville | |
| Rehoboth | |
| Seekonk | |
| Swansea | |

Permittees that operate regulated MS4s located in the municipalities above that discharge to a waterbody found on Table F-12 in Appendix F or its tributaries shall meet the requirements of Appendix F part B. II with respect to phosphorus discharges from their MS4.

iii. The following is a list of municipalities in Massachusetts identified in a TMDL as containing MS4s contributing bacteria/pathogens to waterbody segments that have out of state approved TMDLs for bacteria/pathogens:

| 1. | | |
|----|-----------|--|
| | Attleboro | |

| North Attleborough | |
|--------------------|--|
| Plainville | |
| Rehoboth | |
| Seekonk | |

Permittees that operate regulated MS4s located in the municipalities above that discharge to a waterbody found on Table F-13 in Appendix F or its tributaries shall meet the requirements of Appendix F part B. III with respect to bacteria/pathogens discharges from their MS4.

iv. The following is a list of municipalities in Massachusetts identified in a TMDL as containing MS4s contributing metals (cadmium, lead, aluminum iron) to waterbody segments that have out of state approved TMDLs for metals (cadmium, lead, aluminum, iron):

1.

| | Attleboro |
|------------|--------------------|
| | North Attleborough |
| Plainville | |
| | Seekonk |

Permittees that operate regulated MS4s located in the municipalities above that discharge to a waterbody found on Table F-14 in Appendix F or its tributaries shall meet the requirements of Appendix F part B. IV with respect to metals discharges from their MS4.

2.2.2. Discharges to Certain Water Quality Limited Waters Subject to Additional Requirements

For purposes of this permit, a 'water quality limited water body' is any water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards, including but not limited to waters listed in categories 5 or 4b on the Massachusetts Integrated Report of waters listed pursuant to Clean Water Act section 303(d) and 305(b).

If there is a discharge from the MS4 to a water quality limited waterbody where pollutants typically found in stormwater (specifically nutrients (Total Nitrogen or Total Phosphorus), solids (TSS or Turbidity), bacteria/pathogens (E. Coli, Enteroccus or Fecal Coliform), chloride (Chloride), metals (Cadmium, Copper, Iron, Lead or Zinc) and oil and grease (Petroleum Hydrocarbons or Oil and Grease)) are the cause of the impairment and there is not an approved TMDL, or the MS4 is located in a town listed in part 2.2.2.a.-b, the permittee shall comply with the provisions in Appendix H applicable to it.

In the absence of a defined pollutant reduction target and where no approved TMDL has been established, this permit part and Appendix H define an iterative approach addressing pollutant reductions to waterbodies where the permittee's discharge is causing or contributing to an excursion above water quality standards due to nutrients (Total Nitrogen Total Phosphorus), solids (TSS or Turbidity), bacteria/pathogens (E. Coli, Enteroccus or Fecal Coliform), chloride (Chloride), metals (Cadmium, Copper, Iron, Lead or Zinc) or oil and grease (Petroleum Hydrocarbons or Oil and Grease).

- a. Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies where nitrogen (Total Nitrogen) is the cause of the impairment, or their tributaries
 - i. The requirements of this part are applicable to:
 - 1. Permittees (including traditional and non-traditional MS4s) that own or operate an MS4 in the following municipalities. Discharges from MS4s within these municipalities are to waterbodies that are impaired due to nitrogen (Total Nitrogen), or their tributaries.

| Abington | Mattapoisett |
|------------------|------------------|
| Acushnet | Middleborough |
| Attleboro | New Bedford |
| Avon | Norton |
| Barnstable | Peabody |
| Berkley | Pembroke |
| Bourne | Plainville |
| Bridgewater | Plymouth |
| Brockton | Plympton |
| Carver | Raynham |
| Dartmouth | Rehoboth |
| Dighton | Rochester |
| East Bridgewater | Salem |
| Easton | Seekonk |
| Fairhaven | Sharon |
| Fall River | Somerset |
| Foxborough | Stoughton |
| Freetown | Swansea |
| Halifax | Taunton |
| Hanson | Wakefield |
| Holbrook | Wareham |
| Kingston | West Bridgewater |
| Lakeville | Westport |
| Lynnfield | Whitman |
| Mansfield | Wrentham |
| Marion | Yarmouth |
| · | · |

- 2. Any other permittee that, during the permit term, becomes aware that its discharge is to a waterbody that is water quality limited due to nitrogen (Total Nitrogen), or a tributary of such water.
- ii. Permittees subject to part 2.2.2.a.i above shall meet the requirements of Appendix H part I with respect to the control of nitrogen discharges from their MS4;

- iii. During development of their Notice of Intent, the permittee may determine that all discharges from the regulated area through their MS4 are outside of a watershed that contains a nitrogen (Total Nitrogen) impairment in a downstream segment. The permittee shall retain all documentation used in this determination as part of their NOI and are relieved from the requirements of part 2.2.2.a.i and Appendix H part I.
- b. Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies where phosphorus ("Total Phosphorus") is the cause of the impairment, or their tributaries
 - i. The requirements of this part are applicable to:
 - 1. Permittees (including traditional and non-traditional MS4s) that own or operate an MS4 in the following municipalities. Discharges from MS4s within these municipalities are to waterbodies that are impaired due to phosphorus (Total Phosphorus), or their tributaries.

| Abington | Lynn |
|-------------|---------------|
| Acushnet | Lynnfield |
| Andover | Malden |
| Arlington | Mansfield |
| Ashburnham | Marlborough |
| Ashland | Mashpee |
| Auburn | Medfield |
| Avon | Medford |
| Ayer | Melrose |
| Barnstable | Mendon |
| Bedford | Methuen |
| Belchertown | Millbury |
| Belmont | Millville |
| Billerica | Milton |
| Blackstone | North Andover |
| Bolton | Northbridge |
| Brewster | Norton |
| Bridgewater | Norwood |
| Brockton | Oxford |
| Burlington | Peabody |
| Cambridge | Pembroke |
| Canton | Pepperell |
| Carlisle | Pittsfield |
| Carver | Quincy |
| Chelmsford | Randolph |
| Chelsea | Reading |

| Clinton Revere Concord Rockland Dalton Salem Dedham Scituate Douglas Seekonk Dover Sharon Dracut Shirley Dunstable Shrewsbury East Bridgewater Somerville Eastham Southampton Easthampton Spencer Everett Springfield Falmouth Stoneham Fitchburg Stoughton Foxborough Sudbury Framingham Sutton Gloucester Taunton Grafton Tewksbury Granby Townsend Groton Tyngsborough Halifax Upton Hanover Uxbridge Hanson Wakefield Harvard Walpole Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Watertown Hopkinton Wayland Hudson West Bridgewater Lancaster Westfield Lawrence Westminster Leicester Westwood Lenox Whitman Leominster Wilmington Littleton Winchester Lowell Winthrop Lunenburg Woburn Lynn | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| Dalton Salem Dedham Scituate Douglas Seekonk Dover Sharon Dracut Shirley Dunstable Shrewsbury East Bridgewater Somerville Eastham Southampton Easthampton Spencer Everett Springfield Falmouth Stoneham Fitchburg Stoughton Foxborough Sudbury Framingham Sutton Gloucester Taunton Grafton Tewksbury Granby Townsend Groton Tyngsborough Halifax Upton Hanover Uxbridge Hanson Wakefield Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Watertown Hopkinton Wayland Hudson West Bridgewater Lancaster Westfield Lawrence Westminster Leicester Westwood Lenox Whitman Leominster Wilmington Littleton Winchester Lowell Woburn | Clinton | Revere |
| Dedham Scituate Douglas Seekonk Dover Sharon Dracut Shirley Dunstable Shrewsbury East Bridgewater Somerville Eastham Southampton Easthampton Spencer Everett Springfield Falmouth Stoneham Fitchburg Stoughton Foxborough Sudbury Framingham Sutton Gloucester Taunton Grafton Tewksbury Granby Townsend Groton Tyngsborough Halifax Upton Hanover Uxbridge Hanson Wakefield Harvard Walpole Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Watertown Hopkinton West Bridgewater Lancaster Westfield Lawrence Westminster Leicester Westwood Lenox Whitman Leominster Wilmington Lexington Winchendon Littleton Winchester Lowell Winthrop Lunenburg Woburn | Concord | Rockland |
| Douglas Seekonk Dover Sharon Dracut Shirley Dunstable Shrewsbury East Bridgewater Somerville Eastham Southampton Easthampton Spencer Everett Springfield Falmouth Stoneham Fitchburg Stoughton Foxborough Sudbury Framingham Sutton Gloucester Taunton Grafton Tewksbury Granby Townsend Groton Tyngsborough Halifax Upton Hanover Uxbridge Hanson Wakefield Harvard Walpole Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Watertown Hopkinton Wayland Hudson West Bridgewater Lancaster Westfield Lawrence Westminster Leicester Wilmington Lexington Winchendon Littleton Winchester Lowell Woburn | Dalton | Salem |
| Dover Sharon Dracut Shirley Dunstable Shrewsbury East Bridgewater Somerville Eastham Southampton Easthampton Spencer Everett Springfield Falmouth Stoneham Fitchburg Stoughton Foxborough Sudbury Framingham Sutton Gloucester Taunton Grafton Tewksbury Granby Townsend Groton Tyngsborough Halifax Upton Hanover Uxbridge Hanson Wakefield Harvard Walpole Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Watertown Hopkinton Wayland Hudson West Bridgewater Lancaster Westfield Lawrence Westminster Leicester Wilmington Lexington Winchendon Littleton Winchester Lowell Woburn | Dedham | Scituate |
| Dracut Shirley Dunstable Shrewsbury East Bridgewater Somerville Eastham Southampton Easthampton Spencer Everett Springfield Falmouth Stoneham Fitchburg Stoughton Foxborough Sudbury Framingham Sutton Gloucester Taunton Grafton Tewksbury Granby Townsend Groton Tyngsborough Halifax Upton Hanover Uxbridge Hanson Wakefield Harvard Walpole Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Watertown Hopkinton West Bridgewater Lancaster Westfield Lawrence Westminster Leicester Westwood Lenox Whitman Leominster Winchendon Littleton Winchester Lowell Winthrop Lunenburg Woburn | Douglas | Seekonk |
| DunstableShrewsburyEast BridgewaterSomervilleEasthamSouthamptonEasthamptonSpencerEverettSpringfieldFalmouthStonehamFitchburgStoughtonFoxboroughSudburyFraminghamSuttonGloucesterTauntonGraftonTewksburyGranbyTownsendGrotonTyngsboroughHalifaxUptonHanoverUxbridgeHansonWakefieldHaverhillWarehamHinsdaleWatertownHopkintonWaylandHudsonWest BridgewaterLancasterWestminsterLeicesterWestwoodLenoxWhitmanLeominsterWilmingtonLexingtonWinchendonLittletonWinchesterLowellWinthropLunenburgWoburn | Dover | Sharon |
| East Bridgewater Eastham Southampton Easthampton Spencer Everett Springfield Falmouth Stoneham Fitchburg Stoughton Foxborough Framingham Gloucester Granby Granby Framby Townsend Groton Halifax Upton Hanover Hanover Hanover Haverhill Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Hopkinton West Bridgewater Lancaster Leicester Westwood Lenox Winchendon Littleton Winchester Lowell Woburn Worningfield Stoughton Sudbury Townsend Townsend Waterto Lewksbury Townsend Wypaborough Wakefield Wareham Walpole Wareham Walpole Wastriown West Bridgewater Westminster Uestminster Westwood Westminster Westmood Winchendon Winchendon Winchester Lowell Winthrop Lunenburg Woburn | Dracut | Shirley |
| Eastham Southampton Easthampton Spencer Everett Springfield Falmouth Stoneham Fitchburg Stoughton Foxborough Sudbury Framingham Sutton Gloucester Taunton Grafton Tewksbury Granby Townsend Groton Tyngsborough Halifax Upton Hanover Uxbridge Hanson Wakefield Harvard Walpole Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Watertown Hopkinton Wayland Hudson West Bridgewater Lancaster Westfield Lawrence Westminster Leicester Westwood Lenox Whitman Leominster Winchendon Littleton Winchester Lowell Winthrop Lunenburg Woburn | Dunstable | Shrewsbury |
| Easthampton Everett Springfield Falmouth Stoneham Fitchburg Stoughton Foxborough Framingham Gloucester Grafton Grafton Granby Townsend Groton Halifax Upton Hanover Hanson Haverhill Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Watertown Hopkinton West Bridgewater Lancaster Lancaster Leicester Westwood Lenox Winchendon Littleton Lunenburg Woburn Wstoughton Stoughton Stoughton Stutton Tewksbury Townsend Tewksbury Townsend Watenton Wayland Wakefield Wareham Walpole Watertown West Bridgewater Westfield Lawrence Westminster Westminster Westwood West Wilmington Winchendon Winchester Lowell Winthrop Lunenburg Woburn | East Bridgewater | Somerville |
| Everett Springfield Falmouth Stoneham Fitchburg Stoughton Foxborough Sudbury Framingham Sutton Gloucester Taunton Grafton Tewksbury Granby Townsend Groton Tyngsborough Halifax Upton Hanover Uxbridge Hanson Wakefield Harvard Walpole Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Watertown Hopkinton Wayland Hudson West Bridgewater Lancaster Westfield Lawrence Westminster Leicester Westwood Lenox Whitman Leominster Wilmington Littleton Winchester Lowell Winthrop Lunenburg Woburn | Eastham | Southampton |
| Falmouth Fitchburg Stoughton Foxborough Sudbury Framingham Gloucester Taunton Grafton Grafton Tewksbury Granby Townsend Groton Halifax Upton Hanover Hanson Harvard Haverhill Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Hudson Hudson Hudson West Bridgewater Lancaster Leicester Westminster Leicester Wilmington Lexington Lunenburg Woburn | Easthampton | Spencer |
| Fitchburg Foxborough Foxborough Sudbury Framingham Sutton Gloucester Taunton Grafton Grafton Tewksbury Granby Townsend Groton Halifax Upton Hanover Uxbridge Hanson Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Watertown Hopkinton West Bridgewater Lancaster Uestminster Userfield Usertminster Uwestminster Uwestminster Uwestminster Uwestminster Uwestminster Uwestminster Uwestminster Uwestminster Uwestmod Uwest Westwood Uwest Westwood Uwest Westwood Uwest Westwood Uwest Westwood Uwestwood Uwest | Everett | Springfield |
| Foxborough Framingham Sutton Gloucester Taunton Grafton Granby Townsend Groton Halifax Upton Hanover Hanson Haverhill Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Hudson Hudson West Bridgewater Lancaster Leicester Westminster Leominster Uwinchester Lowell Winchendon Lunenburg Woburn | Falmouth | Stoneham |
| Framingham Gloucester Gloucester Taunton Grafton Granby Townsend Groton Tyngsborough Halifax Upton Hanover Uxbridge Hanson Harvard Walpole Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Watertown Hopkinton West Bridgewater Lancaster Uestminster Uxbridge Watertown Walpole Watertown Wayland West Bridgewater Uxbridge Watertown Wareham Wayland West Bridgewater Uxbridge Watertown Wayland West Bridgewater Westminster Uxbridge Watertown Wayland West Bridgewater Westminster Uxbridge Westminster Westminster Uxbridge Westminster Westminster Uxbridge Westminster Westminster Westminster Uxbridge Westminster Westminster Westminster Uxbridge Westminster Westminster Westminster Uxbridge Westminster Westm | Fitchburg | Stoughton |
| Gloucester Taunton Grafton Tewksbury Granby Townsend Groton Tyngsborough Halifax Upton Hanover Uxbridge Hanson Wakefield Harvard Walpole Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Watertown Hopkinton West Bridgewater Lancaster Westfield Lawrence Westminster Leicester Westwood Lenox Whitman Leominster Wilmington Lexington Winchester Lowell Woburn | Foxborough | Sudbury |
| Grafton Tewksbury Granby Townsend Groton Tyngsborough Halifax Upton Hanover Uxbridge Hanson Wakefield Harvard Walpole Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Watertown Hopkinton Wayland Hudson West Bridgewater Lancaster Westfield Lawrence Westminster Leicester Westwood Lenox Whitman Leominster Wilmington Lexington Winchendon Littleton Winchester Lowell Woburn | Framingham | Sutton |
| Granby Townsend Groton Tyngsborough Halifax Upton Hanover Uxbridge Hanson Wakefield Harvard Walpole Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Watertown Hopkinton Wayland Hudson West Bridgewater Lancaster Westfield Lawrence Westminster Leicester Westwood Lenox Whitman Leominster Wilmington Lexington Winchendon Littleton Winchester Lowell Woburn | Gloucester | Taunton |
| Groton Tyngsborough Halifax Upton Hanover Uxbridge Hanson Wakefield Harvard Walpole Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Watertown Hopkinton Wayland Hudson West Bridgewater Lancaster Westfield Lawrence Westminster Leicester Westwood Lenox Whitman Leominster Wilmington Lexington Winchester Lowell Woburn | Grafton | Tewksbury |
| Halifax Upton Hanover Uxbridge Hanson Wakefield Harvard Walpole Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Watertown Hopkinton Wayland Hudson West Bridgewater Lancaster Westfield Lawrence Westminster Leicester Westwood Lenox Whitman Leominster Wilmington Lexington Winchendon Littleton Winchester Lowell Woburn | Granby | Townsend |
| Hanover Uxbridge Hanson Wakefield Harvard Walpole Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Watertown Hopkinton Wayland Hudson West Bridgewater Lancaster Westfield Lawrence Westminster Leicester Westwood Lenox Whitman Leominster Wilmington Lexington Winchendon Littleton Winchester Lowell Woburn | Groton | Tyngsborough |
| Hanson Wakefield Harvard Walpole Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Watertown Hopkinton Wayland Hudson West Bridgewater Lancaster Westfield Lawrence Westminster Leicester Westwood Lenox Whitman Leominster Wilmington Lexington Winchendon Littleton Winchester Lowell Winthrop Lunenburg Woburn | Halifax | Upton |
| Harvard Walpole Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Watertown Hopkinton Wayland Hudson West Bridgewater Lancaster Westfield Lawrence Westminster Leicester Westwood Lenox Whitman Leominster Wilmington Lexington Winchendon Littleton Winchester Lowell Winthrop Lunenburg Woburn | Hanover | Uxbridge |
| Haverhill Wareham Hinsdale Watertown Hopkinton Wayland Hudson West Bridgewater Lancaster Westfield Lawrence Westminster Leicester Westwood Lenox Whitman Leominster Wilmington Lexington Winchendon Littleton Winchester Lowell Winthrop Lunenburg Woburn | Hanson | Wakefield |
| Hinsdale Watertown Hopkinton Wayland Hudson West Bridgewater Lancaster Westfield Lawrence Westminster Leicester Westwood Lenox Whitman Leominster Wilmington Lexington Winchendon Littleton Winchester Lowell Winthrop Lunenburg Woburn | Harvard | Walpole |
| Hopkinton Wayland Hudson West Bridgewater Lancaster Westfield Lawrence Westminster Leicester Westwood Lenox Whitman Leominster Wilmington Lexington Winchendon Littleton Winchester Lowell Winthrop Lunenburg Woburn | Haverhill | Wareham |
| Hudson West Bridgewater Lancaster Westfield Lawrence Westminster Leicester Westwood Lenox Whitman Leominster Wilmington Lexington Winchendon Littleton Winchester Lowell Winthrop Lunenburg Woburn | Hinsdale | Watertown |
| Lancaster Westfield Lawrence Westminster Leicester Westwood Lenox Whitman Leominster Wilmington Lexington Winchendon Littleton Winchester Lowell Winthrop Lunenburg Woburn | Hopkinton | Wayland |
| Lawrence Westminster Leicester Westwood Lenox Whitman Leominster Wilmington Lexington Winchendon Littleton Winchester Lowell Winthrop Lunenburg Woburn | Hudson | West Bridgewater |
| Leicester Westwood Lenox Whitman Leominster Wilmington Lexington Winchendon Littleton Winchester Lowell Winthrop Lunenburg Woburn | Lancaster | Westfield |
| LenoxWhitmanLeominsterWilmingtonLexingtonWinchendonLittletonWinchesterLowellWinthropLunenburgWoburn | Lawrence | Westminster |
| LeominsterWilmingtonLexingtonWinchendonLittletonWinchesterLowellWinthropLunenburgWoburn | Leicester | Westwood |
| Lexington Winchendon Littleton Winchester Lowell Winthrop Lunenburg Woburn | Lenox | Whitman |
| Littleton Winchester Lowell Winthrop Lunenburg Woburn | Leominster | Wilmington |
| Lowell Winthrop Lunenburg Woburn | Lexington | Winchendon |
| Lunenburg Woburn | Littleton | Winchester |
| 5 | Lowell | Winthrop |
| Lynn | Lunenburg | Woburn |
| | Lynn | |

- 2. Any other permittee that, during the permit term, becomes aware that its discharge is to a waterbody that is water quality limited due to phosphorus ("Total Phosphorus"), or to a tributary of such water.
- ii. The permittees subject to part 2.2.2.b.i. above shall meet all requirements of Appendix H part II with respect to the control of phosphorus discharges from the MS4.
- iii. During development of their Notice of Intent, the permittee may determine that all discharges from the regulated area through their MS4 are outside of a watershed that contains a phosphorus ("Total Phosphorus") impairment in a downstream segment. The permittee shall retain all documentation used in this determination as part of their NOI and are relieved from the requirements of part 2.2.2.b.i and Appendix H part II.
- c. Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies where bacteria or pathogens is the cause of the impairment
 - i. The requirements of this part are applicable to:
 - 1. Any MS4 discharge identified by the permittee on their Notice of Intent as discharging directly to an impaired waterbody on the most recent EPA approved Massachusetts 303(d) list where bacteria or pathogens (E. Coli, Enteroccus or Fecal Coliform) is the cause of the impairment.
 - 2. Any other MS4 that, during the permit term, becomes aware that its discharge is to a waterbody that is water quality limited due to bacteria or pathogens.
 - ii. The permittees subject to part 2.2.2.c.i. shall meet all requirements of Appendix H part III with respect to reduction of bacteria or pathogens discharges from the MS4.
- d. Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies where chloride (Chloride) is the cause of the impairment
 - i. The requirements of this part are applicable to:
 - 1. Any MS4 discharge identified by the permittee on their Notice of Intent as discharging directly to an impaired waterbody on the most recent EPA approved Massachusetts 303(d) list where chloride (Chloride) is the cause of the impairment.
 - 2. Any other MS4 that, during the permit term, becomes aware that its discharge is to a waterbody that is water quality limited due to chloride (Chloride).
 - ii. The permittees subject to part 2.2.2.d.i. shall meet all requirements of Appendix H part IV with respect to reduction of chloride discharges from the MS4.
- e. Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies where oil and grease (Petroleum Hydrocarbons or Oil and Grease), solids (TSS or Turbidity) or metals (Cadmium, Copper, Iron, Lead or Zinc) is the cause of the impairment
 - i. The requirements of this part are applicable to:
 - 1. Any MS4 discharge identified by the permittee on their Notice of Intent as discharging directly to an impaired waterbody on the most recent EPA

- approved Massachusetts 303(d) list where oil and grease, solids or metals (Oil and Grease, Petroleum Hydrocarbons TSS, Turbidity, Cadmium, Copper, Iron, Lead or Zinc) is the cause of the impairment.
- 2. Any other MS4 that, during the permit term, becomes aware that its discharge is to a waterbody that is water quality limited due to oil and grease (Petroleum Hydrocarbons or Oil and Grease), solids (TSS or Turbidity) or metals (Cadmium, Copper, Iron, Lead or Zinc).
- ii. The permittees subject to part 2.2.2.d.i. shall meet all requirements of Appendix H part V with respect to reduction of solids, oil and grease or metals discharges from the MS4.

2.3. Requirements to Reduce Pollutants to the Maximum Extent Practicable (MEP)

The permittee shall reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4 to the maximum extent practicable (MEP) as detailed in parts 2.3.2 through 2.3.7.

2.3.1. Control Measures

- a. Permittees authorized under the MS4-2003 permit shall continue to implement their existing SWMPs while updating their SWMPs pursuant to this permit. This permit does not extend the compliance deadlines set forth in the MS4-2003 permit.
- b. Implementation of one or more of the minimum control measures described in parts 2.3.2-2.3.7 or other permit requirements may be shared with another entity (including another interconnected MS4) or the other entity may fully implement the measure or requirement, if the following requirements are satisfied:
 - The other entity, in fact, implements the control measure.
 - The particular control measure or component thereof undertaken by the other entity is at least as stringent as the corresponding permit requirement.
 - The other entity agrees to implement the control measure on the permittee's behalf. The annual reports must specify that the permittee is relying on another entity to satisfy some of its permit obligations and specify what those obligations are.
 - If the permittee is relying on another governmental entity regulated under 40 CFR §122 to satisfy all of its permit obligations, including the obligation to file annual reports, the permittee shall note that fact in its NOI, but is not required to file annual reports.
 - The permittee remains responsible for compliance with all permit obligations if the
 other entity fails to implement the control measures (or component thereof). The
 permittee may enter into a legally binding agreement with the other entity
 regarding the other entity's performance of control measures, but the permittee
 remains ultimately responsible for permit compliance.

2.3.2. Public Education and Outreach

Objective: The permittee shall implement an education program that includes educational goals based on stormwater issues of significance within the MS4 area. The ultimate objective of a public education program is to increase knowledge and change behavior of the public so that pollutants in stormwater are reduced.

- a. The permittee shall continue to implement the public education program required by the MS4-2003 permit by distributing educational material to the MS4 community. The educational program shall define educational goals, express specific messages, define the targeted audience for each message, and identify responsible parties for program implementation. If appropriate for the target audience, materials may be developed in a language other than English. At a minimum, the program shall provide information concerning the impact of stormwater discharges on water bodies within the community, especially those waters that are impaired or identified as priority waters. The program shall identify steps and/or activities that the public can take to reduce the pollutants in stormwater runoff and their impacts to the environment.
- b. The educational program shall include education and outreach efforts for the following four audiences: (1) residents, (2) businesses, institutions (churches, hospitals), and commercial facilities, (3) developers (construction), and (4) industrial facilities, unless one of these audiences is not present in the MS4 community. In such a situation, the MS4 must document in both the NOI and SWMP which audience is absent from the community and no educational messages are required to that audience.
- c. The permittee shall distribute a minimum of two (2) educational messages over the permit term to each audience identified in part 2.3.2.b. The distribution of materials to each audience shall be spaced at least a year apart. Educational messages may be printed materials such as brochures or newsletters; electronic materials such as websites; mass media such as newspaper articles or public service announcement (radio or cable); targeted workshops on stormwater management, or displays in a public area such as town/city hall. The permittee may use existing materials if they are appropriate for the message the permittee chooses to deliver or the permittee may develop its own educational materials. The permittee may partner with other MS4s, community groups or watershed associations to implement the education program to meet this permit requirement.

Some EPA educational materials are available at: http://cfpub.epa.gov/npstbx/index.html.

- d. The permittee shall, at a minimum, consider the topics listed in part 2.3.2.d.i. iv when developing the outreach/education program. The topics are not exclusive and the permittee shall focus on those topics most relevant to the community.
 - i. Residential program: effects of outdoor activities such as lawn care (use of pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers and information on Massachusetts Regulation 331 CMR 31 pertaining to proper use of phosphorus containing fertilizers on turf grasses) on water quality; benefits of appropriate on-site infiltration of stormwater; effects of automotive work and car washing on water quality; proper disposal of swimming pool water; proper management of pet waste; maintenance of septic systems. If the small MS4 area has areas serviced by septic systems, the permittee shall consider information pertaining to maintenance of septic systems as part of its education program.
 - ii. Business/Commercial/Institution program: proper lawn maintenance (use of pesticides, herbicides and fertilizer, and information on Massachusetts Regulation 331 CMR 31 pertaining to proper use of phosphorus containing fertilizers on turf grasses); benefits of appropriate on-site infiltration of stormwater; building maintenance (use of detergents); use of salt or other de-icing and anti-icing materials (minimize their use); proper storage of salt or other de-icing/anti-icing materials (cover/prevent runoff to storm system and contamination to ground water); proper storage of materials (emphasize pollution prevention); proper management of waste materials and dumpsters (cover and pollution

prevention); proper management of parking lot surfaces (sweeping); proper car care activities (washing of vehicles and maintenance); and proper disposal of swimming pool water by entities such as motels, hotels, and health and country clubs (discharges must be dechlorinated and otherwise free from pollutants).

- iii. Developers and Construction: proper sediment and erosion control management practices; information about Low Impact Development (LID) principles and technologies; and information about EPA's construction general permit (CGP). This education can also be a part of the Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control measure detailed in part 2.3.5.
- iv. Industrial program: equipment inspection and maintenance; proper storage of industrial materials (emphasize pollution prevention); proper management and disposal of wastes; proper management of dumpsters; minimization of use of salt or other de-icing/anti-icing materials; proper storage of salt or other de-icing/anti-icing materials (cover/prevent runoff to storm system and ground water contamination); benefits of appropriate on-site infiltration of stormwater runoff from areas with low exposure to industrial materials such as roofs or employee parking; proper maintenance of parking lot surfaces (sweeping); and requirements for coverage under EPA's Multi-Sector General Permit.
- e. The program shall show evidence of focused messages for specific audiences as well as evidence that progress toward the defined educational goals of the program has been achieved. The permittee shall identify methods that it will use to evaluate the effectiveness of the educational messages and the overall education program. Any methods used to evaluate the effectiveness of the program shall be tied to the defined goals of the program and the overall objective of changes in behavior and knowledge.
- f. The permittee shall modify any ineffective messages or distribution techniques for an audience prior to the next scheduled message delivery.
- g. The permittee shall document in each annual report the messages for each audience; the method of distribution; the measures/methods used to assess the effectiveness of the messages, and the method/measures used to assess the overall effectiveness of the education program.

2.3.3. Public Involvement and Participation

Objective: The permittee shall provide opportunities to engage the public to participate in the review and implementation of the permittee's SWMP.

- a. All public involvement activities shall comply with state public notice requirements (MGL Chapter 30A, Sections 18 25 effective 7/10/2010). The SWMP and all annual reports shall be available to the public.
- b. The permittee shall annually provide the public an opportunity to participate in the review and implementation of the SWMP.
- c. The permittee shall report on the activities undertaken to provide public participation opportunities including compliance with part 2.3.3.a. Public participation opportunities pursuant

to part 2.3.3.b may include, but are not limited to, websites; hotlines; clean-up teams; monitoring teams; or an advisory committee.

2.3.4. Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE) Program

Objective: The permittee shall implement an IDDE program to systematically find and eliminate sources of non-stormwater discharges to its municipal separate storm sewer system and implement procedures to prevent such discharges.

- a. <u>Legal Authority</u> The IDDE program shall include adequate legal authority to: prohibit illicit discharges; investigate suspected illicit discharges; eliminate illicit discharges, including discharges from properties not owned by or controlled by the MS4 that discharge into the MS4 system; and implement appropriate enforcement procedures and actions. Adequate legal authority consists of a currently effective ordinance, by-law, or other regulatory mechanism. For permittees authorized by the MS4-2003 permit, the ordinance, by-law, or other regulatory mechanism was a requirement of the MS4-2003 permit and was required to be effective by May 1, 2008. For new permittees the ordinance, by-law, or other regulatory mechanism shall be in place within 3 years of the permit effective date.
- b. During the development of the new components of the IDDE program required by this permit, permittees authorized by the MS4-2003 permit must continue to implement their existing IDDE program required by the MS4-2003 permit to detect and eliminate illicit discharges to their MS4.

2.3.4.1. Definitions and Prohibitions

The permittee shall prohibit illicit discharges and sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs) to its MS4 and require removal of such discharges consistent with parts 2.3.4.2 and 2.3.4.4 of this permit.

An SSO is a discharge of untreated sanitary wastewater from a municipal sanitary sewer.

An illicit discharge is any discharge to a municipal separate storm sewer that is not composed entirely of stormwater, except discharges pursuant to a NPDES permit (other than the NPDES permit for discharges from the municipal separate storm sewer) and discharges resulting from fire fighting activities.

2.3.4.2. Elimination of Illicit Discharges

- a. Upon detection of an illicit discharge, the permittee shall locate, identify and eliminate the illicit discharge as expeditiously as possible. Upon identification of the illicit source the MS4 notify all responsible parties for any such discharge and require immediate cessation of improper disposal practices in accordance with its legal authorities. Where elimination of an illicit discharge within 60 days of its identification as an illicit discharge is not possible, the permittee shall establish an expeditious schedule for its elimination and report the dates of identification and schedules for removal in the permittee's annual reports. The permittee shall immediately commence actions necessary for elimination. The permittee shall diligently pursue elimination of all illicit discharges. In the interim, the permittee shall take all reasonable and prudent measures to minimize the discharge of pollutants to and from its MS4.
 - b. The period between identification and elimination of an illicit discharge is not a grace period. Discharges from an MS4 that are mixed with an illicit discharge are not authorized by this Permit (part 1.3.a) and remain unlawful until eliminated.

2.3.4.3. Non-Stormwater Discharges

The permittee may presume that the sources of non-stormwater listed in part 1.4 of this permit need not be addressed. However, if the permittee identifies any of these sources as significant contributors of pollutants to the MS4, then the permittee shall implement measures to control these sources so they are no longer significant contributors of pollutants, and/or eliminate them entirely, consistent with part 2.3.4.

2.3.4.4. Sanitary Sewer Overflows

- a. Upon detection of an SSO the permittee shall eliminate it as expeditiously as possible and take interim mitigation measures to minimize the discharge of pollutants to and from its MS4 until elimination is completed.
- b. The permittee shall identify all known locations where SSOs have discharged to the MS4 within the previous five (5) years. This shall include SSOs resulting, during dry or wet weather, from inadequate conveyance capacities, or where interconnectivity of the storm and sanitary sewer infrastructure allows for communication of flow between the systems. Within one (1) year of the effective date of the permit, the permittee shall develop an inventory of all identified SSOs indicating the following information, if available:
 - 1. Location (approximate street crossing/address and receiving water, if any);
 - 2. A clear statement of whether the discharge entered a surface water directly or entered the MS4.
 - 3. Date(s) and time(s) of each known SSO occurrence (i.e., beginning and end of any known discharge);
 - 4. Estimated volume(s) of the occurrence;
 - 5. Description of the occurrence indicating known or suspected cause(s);
 - 6. Mitigation and corrective measures completed with dates implemented; and
 - 7. Mitigation and corrective measures planned with implementation schedules.

The permittee shall maintain the inventory as a part of the SWMP and update the inventory annually, all updates shall include the information in part 2.3.4.4.b.1-7.

- c. In accordance with Paragraph B.12 of Appendix B of this permit, upon becoming aware of an SSO to the MS4, the permittee shall provide oral notice to EPA within 24 hours. Additionally, the permittee shall provide written notice to EPA and MassDEP within five (5) days of becoming aware of the SSO occurrence and shall include the information in the updated inventory. The notice shall contain all of the information listed in part 2.3.4.4.b. Where common notification requirements for SSOs are included in multiple NPDES permits issued to a permittee, a single notification may be made to EPA as directed in the permittee's wastewater or CSO NPDES permit and constitutes compliance with this part.
- d. The permittee shall include and update the SSO inventory in its annual report, including the status of mitigation and corrective measures implemented by the permittee to address each SSO identified pursuant to this part.
- e. The period between detection and elimination of a discharge from the SSO to the MS4 is not a grace period. Discharges from an MS4 that are mixed with an SSO are not authorized by this Permit (part 1.3.a) and remain unlawful until eliminated.

2.3.4.5. System mapping

The permittee shall develop a revised and more detailed map than was required by the MS4-2003 permit. This revised map of the MS4 shall be completed in two phases as outlined below. The mapping shall include a depiction of the permittee's separate storm sewer system in the permit area. The mapping is intended to facilitate the identification of key infrastructure and factors influencing proper system operation, and the potential for illicit sanitary sewer discharges.

- a. Phase I: The system map shall be updated within two (2) years of the permit effective date to include the following information:
 - Outfalls and receiving waters (required by MS4-2003 permit)
 - Open channel conveyances (swales, ditches, etc.)
 - Interconnections with other MS4s and other storm sewer systems
 - Municipally-owned stormwater treatment structures (e.g., detention and retention basins, infiltration systems, bioretention areas, water quality swales, gross particle separators, oil/water separators, or other proprietary systems)
 - Waterbodies identified by name and indication of all use impairments as identified on the most recent EPA approved Massachusetts Integrated List of waters report pursuant to Clean Water Act section 303(d) and 305(b)
 - Initial catchment delineations. Any available system data and topographic information may be used to produce initial catchment delineations. For the purpose of this permit, a catchment is the area that drains to an individual outfall or interconnection.
- b. Phase II: The system map shall be updated annually as the following information becomes available during implementation of catchment investigation procedures in part 2.3.4.8. This information must be included in the map for all outfalls within ten (10) years of the permit effective date:
 - Outfall spatial location (latitude and longitude with a minimum accuracy of +/-30 feet)
 - Pipes
 - Manholes
 - Catch basins
 - Refined catchment delineations. Catchment delineations shall be updated to reflect information collected during catchment investigations
 - Municipal sanitary sewer system (if available)
 - Municipal combined sewer system (if applicable).
- c. Recommended elements to be included in the system map as information becomes available:
 - Storm sewer material, size (pipe diameter) and age
 - Sanitary sewer system material, size (pipe diameter) and age
 - Privately-owned stormwater treatment structures
 - Where a municipal sanitary sewer system exists, properties known or suspected to be served by a septic system, especially in high-density urban areas
 - Area where the permittee's MS4 has received or could receive flow from septic system discharges (e.g., areas with poor soils, or high ground water elevations unsuitable for conventional subsurface disposal systems)
 - Seasonal high water table elevations impacting sanitary alignments
 - Topography
 - Orthophotography

- Alignments, dates and representation of work completed (with legend) of past illicit discharge investigations (e.g., flow isolation, dye testing, CCTV)
- Locations of suspected, confirmed and corrected illicit discharges (with dates and flow estimates).
- d. The mapping may be produced by hand or through computer-aided methods (e.g. GIS). The required scale and detail of the map shall be appropriate to facilitate a rapid understanding of the system by the permittee, EPA and the state. In addition, the mapping shall serve as a planning tool for the implementation and phasing of the IDDE program and demonstration of the extent of complete and planned investigations and corrections. The permittee shall update the mapping as necessary to reflect newly discovered information and required corrections or modifications.
- e. The permittee shall report on the progress towards the completion of the system map in each annual report.

2.3.4.6. Written Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination Program

The IDDE program shall be recorded in a written (hardcopy or electronic) document. The IDDE program shall include each of the elements described in parts 2.3.4.7 and part 2.3.4.8, unless the permittee provides a written explanation within the IDDE program as to why a particular element is not applicable to the permittee.

Notwithstanding the permittee's explanation, EPA may at any time determine that a particular element is in fact applicable to the permittee and require the permittee to add it to the IDDE program. The written (hardcopy or electronic) IDDE program shall be completed within one (1) year of the effective date of the permit and updated in accordance with the milestones of this part. The permittee shall implement the IDDE program in accordance with the goals and milestones contained in this part.

- a. The written (hardcopy or electronic) IDDE program shall include a reference or citation of the authority the permittee will use to implement all aspects of the IDDE program.
- b. Statement of IDDE Program Responsibilities The permittee shall establish a written (hardcopy or electronic) statement that clearly identifies responsibilities with regard to eliminating illicit discharges. The statement shall identify the lead municipal agency(ies) or department(s) responsible for implementing the IDDE Program as well as any other agencies or departments that may have responsibilities for aspects of the program (e.g., board of health responsibilities for overseeing septic system construction; sanitary sewer system staff; inspectional services for enforcing plumbing codes; town counsel responsibilities in enforcement actions, etc.). Where multiple departments and agencies have responsibilities with respect to the IDDE program specific areas of responsibility shall be defined and processes for coordination and data sharing shall be established and documented.
- c. <u>Program Procedures</u> The permittee shall include in the written IDDE program all written procedures developed in accordance with the requirements and timelines in parts 2.3.4.7 and 2.3.4.8 below. At a minimum this shall include the written procedures for dry weather outfall screening and sampling and for catchment investigations.

2.3.4.7. Assessment and Priority Ranking of Outfalls/Interconnections

The permittee shall assess and priority rank the outfalls in terms of their potential to have illicit discharges and SSOs and the related public health significance. This ranking will determine the priority order for

screening of outfalls and interconnections pursuant to part 2.3.4.7.b, catchment investigations for evidence of illicit discharges and SSOs pursuant to part 2.3.4.8, and provides the basis for determining permit milestones of this part.

a. Outfall/Interconnection Inventory and Initial Ranking:

An initial outfall and interconnection inventory and priority ranking to assess illicit discharge potential based on existing information shall be completed within one (1) year from the effective date of the permit; an updated inventory and ranking will be provided in each annual report thereafter. The inventory shall be updated annually to include data collected in connection with the dry weather screening and other relevant inspections conducted by the permittee.

- i. The outfall and interconnection inventory will identify each outfall and interconnection discharging from the MS4, record its location and condition, and provide a framework for tracking inspections, screenings and other activities under the permittee's IDDE program.
 - An outfall means a point source as defined by 40 CFR § 122.2 as the point where the municipal separate storm sewer discharges to waters of the United States. An outfall does not include open conveyances connecting two municipal separate storm sewers or pipes, tunnels or other conveyances that connect segments of the same stream or other waters of the United States and that are used to convey waters of the United States. (40 CFR § 122.26(b)(9)). However, it is strongly recommended that a permittee inspect all accessible portions of the system as part of this process. Culverts longer than a simple road crossing shall be included in the inventory unless the permittee can confirm that they are free of any connections and simply convey waters of the United States.
 - An interconnection means the point (excluding sheet flow over impervious surfaces) where the
 permittee's MS4 discharges to another MS4 or other storm sewer system, through which the
 discharge is conveyed to waters of the United States or to another storm sewer system and
 eventually to a water of the United States.
- ii. The permittee shall classify each of the permittee's outfalls and interconnections into one of the following categories:
 - <u>Problem Outfalls:</u> Outfalls/interconnections with known or suspected contributions of illicit discharges based on existing information shall be designated as Problem Outfalls. This shall include any outfalls/interconnections where previous screening indicates likely sewer input.⁴ Problem Outfalls need not be screened pursuant to part 2.3.4.7.b.
 - <u>High Priority Outfalls:</u> Outfalls/interconnections that have not been classified as Problem Outfalls and that are:
 - o discharging to an area of concern to public health due to proximity of public beaches, recreational areas, drinking water supplies or shellfish beds;
 - o determined by the permittee as high priority based on the characteristics listed below or other available information;
 - <u>Low Priority Outfalls:</u> Outfalls/interconnections determined by the permittee as low priority based on the characteristics listed below or other available information.
 - Excluded outfalls: Outfalls/interconnections with no potential for illicit discharges may be

⁴ Likely sewer input indicators are any of the following:

[•] Olfactory or visual evidence of sewage,

[•] Ammonia ≥ 0.5 mg/L, surfactants ≥ 0.25 mg/L, and bacteria levels greater than the water quality criteria applicable to the receiving water, or

[•] Ammonia ≥ 0.5 mg/L, surfactants ≥ 0.25 mg/L, and detectable levels of chlorine.

excluded from the IDDE program. This category is limited to roadway drainage in undeveloped areas with no dwellings and no sanitary sewers; drainage for athletic fields, parks or undeveloped green space and associated parking without services; cross-country drainage alignments (that neither cross nor are in proximity to sanitary sewer alignments) through undeveloped land.

- iii. The permittee shall priority rank outfalls into the categories above (except for excluded outfalls), based on the following characteristics of the defined initial catchment area where information is available:
 - Past discharge complaints and reports.
 - Poor receiving water quality- the following guidelines are recommended to identify waters as having a high illicit discharge potential: exceeding water quality standards for bacteria; ammonia levels above 0.5 mg/l; surfactants levels greater than or equal to 0.25 mg/l.
 - Density of generating sites- Generating sites are those places, including institutional, municipal, commercial, or industrial sites, with a potential to generate pollutants that could contribute to illicit discharges. Examples of these sites include, but are not limited to, car dealers; car washes; gas stations; garden centers; and industrial manufacturing areas.
 - Age of development and infrastructure Industrial areas greater than 40 years old and areas where the sanitary sewer system is more than 40 years old will probably have a high illicit discharge potential. Developments 20 years or younger will probably have a low illicit discharge potential.
 - Sewer conversion contributing catchment areas that were once serviced by septic systems, but have been converted to sewer connections may have a high illicit discharge potential.
 - Historic combined sewer systems contributing areas that were once serviced by a combined sewer system, but have been separated may have a high illicit discharge potential.
 - Surrounding density of aging septic systems Septic systems thirty years or older in residential land use areas are prone to have failures and may have a high illicit discharge potential.
 - Culverted streams any river or stream that is culverted for distances greater than a simple roadway crossing may have a high illicit discharge potential.
 - Water quality limited waterbodies that receive a discharge from the MS4 or waters with approved TMDLs applicable to the permittee, where illicit discharges have the potential to contain the pollutant identified as the cause of the water quality impairment.
 - The permittee may also consider additional relevant characteristics, including location-specific characteristics; if so, the permittee shall include the additional characteristics in its written (hardcopy or electronic) IDDE program.

b. Dry Weather Outfall and Interconnection Screening and Sampling

All outfalls/interconnections (excluding Problem and excluded Outfalls) shall be inspected for the presence of dry weather flow within three (3) years of the permit effective date. The permittee shall screen all High and Low Priority Outfalls in accordance with their initial ranking developed at part 2.3.4.7.a.

- i. <u>Written procedure</u>: The permittee shall develop an outfall and interconnection screening and sampling procedure to be included in the IDDE program within one (1) year of the permit effective date. This procedure shall include the following procedures for:
 - sample collection,
 - use of field kits,

- storage and conveyance of samples (including relevant hold times), and
- field data collection and storage.

An example screening and sampling protocol (*EPA New England Bacterial Source Tracking Protocol*) can be found on EPA's website.

- ii. Weather conditions: Dry weather screening and sampling shall proceed only when no more than 0.1 inches of rainfall has occurred in the previous 24-hour period and no significant snow melt is occurring.
- iii. Screening requirements: For each outfall/interconnection:
 - 1. The permittee shall record all of the following information and include it in the outfall/interconnection inventory and priority ranking:
 - unique identifier,
 - receiving water,
 - date of most recent inspection,
 - dimensions,
 - shape,
 - material (concrete, PVC),
 - spatial location (latitude and longitude with a minimum accuracy of +/-30 feet,
 - physical condition,
 - indicators of potential non-stormwater discharges (including presence or evidence of suspect flow and sensory observations such as odor, color, turbidity, floatables, or oil sheen).
 - 2. If an outfall/interconnection is inaccessible or submerged, the permittee shall proceed to the first accessible upstream manhole or structure for the observation and sampling and report the location with the screening results.
 - 3. If no flow is observed, but evidence of illicit flow exists, the permittee shall revisit the outfall during dry weather within one week of the initial observation, if practicable, to perform a second dry weather screening and sample any observed flow (proceed as in iv. below).
 - 4. Where dry weather flow is found at an outfall/interconnection, at least one (1) sample shall be collected, and:
 - a) Samples shall be analyzed at a minimum for:
 - ammonia,
 - chlorine,
 - conductivity,
 - salinity,
 - E. coli (freshwater receiving water) or enterococcus (saline or brackish receiving water),
 - surfactants (such as MBAS),
 - temperature, and

- pollutants of concern⁵
- b) All analyses with the exception of indicator bacteria and pollutants of concern can be performed with field test kits or field instrumentation and are not subject to 40 CFR part 136 requirements. Sampling for bacteria and pollutants of concern shall be conducted using the analytical methods found in 40 CFR §136, or alternative methods approved by EPA in accordance with the procedures in 40 CFR §136. Sampling for ammonia and surfactants must use sufficiently sensitive methods to detect those parameters at or below the threshold indicator concentrations of 0.5 mg/L for ammonia and 0.25 mg/L for surfactants. Sampling for residual chlorine must use a method with a detection limit of 0.02 mg/L or 20 ug/L.
- iv. The permittee may rely on screening conducted under the MS4-2003 permit, pursuant to an EPA enforcement action, or by the state or EPA to the extent that it meets the requirements of part 2.3.4.7.b.iii.4. All data shall be reported in each annual report. Permittees that have conducted substantially equivalent monitoring to that required by part 2.3.4.7.b as part of an EPA enforcement action can request an exemption from the requirements of part 2.3.4.7.b by submitting a written request to EPA and retaining exemption approval from EPA as part of the SWMP. Until the permittee receives formal written approval of the exemption from part 2.3.4.7.b from EPA the permittee remains subject to all requirements of part 2.3.4.7.b.
- v. The permittee shall submit all screening data used in compliance with this part in its Annual Report.

c. Follow-up ranking of outfalls and interconnections:

- i. The permittee's outfall and interconnection ranking (2.3.4.7.a) shall be updated to reprioritize outfalls and interconnections based on information gathered during dry weather screening (part 2.3.4.7.b).
- ii. Outfalls/interconnections where relevant information was found indicating sewer input to the MS4 or sampling results indicating sewer input⁶ shall be considered highly likely to contain illicit discharges from sanitary sources, and such outfalls/interconnections shall be ranked at the top of the High Priority Outfalls category for investigation. At this time, permittees may choose to rank other outfalls and interconnections based on any new information from the dry weather screening.
- iii. The ranking can be updated continuously as dry weather screening information becomes available, but shall be completed within three (3) years of the effective date of the permit.

2.3.4.8. Catchment Investigations

The permittee shall develop a systematic procedure to investigate each catchment associated with an

⁵ Where the discharge is directly into a water quality limited water or a water subject to an approved TMDL as indicated in Appendix F; the sample shall be analyzed for the pollutant(s) of concern identified as the cause of the impairment as specified in Appendix G

⁶ Likely sewer input indicators are any of the following:

[•] Olfactory or visual evidence of sewage,

[•] Ammonia ≥ 0.5 mg/L, surfactants ≥ 0.25 mg/L, and bacteria levels greater than the water quality criteria applicable to the receiving water, or

[•] Ammonia ≥ 0.5 mg/L, surfactants ≥ 0.25 mg/L, and detectable levels of chlorine.

outfall or interconnection within their MS4 system.

a. Timelines:

- A written catchment investigation procedure shall be developed within 18 months of the permit effective date in accordance with the requirements of part 2.3.4.8.b below.
- Investigations of catchments associated with Problem Outfalls shall begin no later than two (2) years from the permit effective date.
- Investigations of catchments associated with High and Low Priority Outfalls shall follow the ranking of outfalls updated in part 2.3.4.7.c.
- Investigations of catchments associated with Problem Outfalls shall be completed within seven (7) years of the permit effective date
- Investigations of catchments where any information gathered on the outfall/interconnection identifies sewer input⁷ shall be completed within seven (7) years of the permit effective date.
- Investigations of catchments associated with all High- and Low-Priority Outfalls shall be completed within ten (10) years of the permit effective date.

*For the purposes of these milestones, an individual catchment investigation will be considered complete if all relevant procedures in part 2.3.4.8.c. and 2.3.4.8.d. below have been completed.

b. A written catchment investigation procedure shall be developed that:

- i. Identifies maps, historic plans and records, and other sources of data, including but not limited to plans related to the construction of the storm drain and of sanitary sewers, prior work performed on the storm drains or sanitary sewers, board of health or other municipal data on septic system failures or required upgrades, and complaint records related to SSOs, sanitary sewer surcharges, and septic system breakouts. These data sources will be used in identifying system vulnerability factors within each catchment.
- ii. **Includes a manhole inspection methodology** that shall describe a storm drain network investigation that involves systematically and progressively observing, sampling (as required below) and evaluating key junction manholes (see definition in Appendix A) in the MS4 to determine the approximate location of suspected illicit discharges or SSOs. The manhole inspection methodology may either start from the outfall and work up the system or start from the upper parts of the catchment and work down the system or be a combination of both practices. Either method must, at a minimum, include an investigation of each key junction manhole within the MS4, even where no evidence of an illicit discharge is observed at the outfall. The manhole inspection methodology must describe the method the permittee will use. The manhole inspection methodology shall include procedures for dry and wet weather investigations.
- iii. **Establishes procedures to isolate and confirm sources of illicit discharges** where manhole investigations or other physical evidence or screening has identified that MS4 alignments are influenced by illicit discharges or SSOs. These shall include isolation of the drainage area for implementation of more detailed investigations, inspection of additional manholes along the alignment to refine the location of potential contaminant sources, and methods such as sandbagging key junction manhole inlets, targeted internal plumbing inspections, dye testing,

⁷ Likely sewer input indicators are any of the following:

[•] Olfactory or visual evidence of sewage,

[•] Ammonia ≥ 0.5 mg/L, surfactants ≥ 0.25 mg/L, and bacteria levels greater than the water quality criteria applicable to the receiving water, or

[•] Ammonia ≥ 0.5 mg/L, surfactants ≥ 0.25 mg/L, and detectable levels of chlorine.

video inspections, or smoke testing to isolate and confirm the sources.

- c. Requirements for each catchment investigation associated with an outfall/interconnection:
 - i. For each catchment being investigated, the permittee shall review relevant mapping and historic plans and records gathered in accordance with Part 2.3.4.8.b.i. This review shall be used to identify areas within the catchment with higher potential for illicit connections. The permittee shall identify and record the presence of any of the following specific **System Vulnerability Factors (SVFs)**:
 - History of SSOs, including, but not limited to, those resulting from wet weather, high water table, or fat/oil/grease blockages;
 - Common or twin-invert manholes serving storm and sanitary sewer alignments;
 - Common trench construction serving both storm and sanitary sewer alignments;
 - Crossings of storm and sanitary sewer alignments where the sanitary system is shallower than the storm drain system;
 - Sanitary sewer alignments known or suspected to have been constructed with an underdrain system;
 - Inadequate sanitary sewer level of service (LOS) resulting in regular surcharging, customer back-ups, or frequent customer complaints;
 - Areas formerly served by combined sewer systems;
 - Sanitary sewer infrastructure defects such as leaking service laterals, cracked, broken, or offset sanitary infrastructure, directly piped connections between storm drain and sanitary sewer infrastructure, or other vulnerability factors identified through Inflow/Infiltration Analyses, Sanitary Sewer Evaluation Surveys, or other infrastructure investigations.

EPA recommends the permittee include the following in their consideration of System Vulnerability Factors:

- Sewer pump/lift stations, siphons, or known sanitary sewer restrictions where power/equipment failures or blockages could readily result in SSOs;
- Any sanitary sewer and storm drain infrastructure greater than 40 years old;
- Widespread code-required septic system upgrades required at property transfers (indicative of inadequate soils, water table separation, or other physical constraints of the area rather than poor owner maintenance);
- History of multiple Board of Health actions addressing widespread septic system failures (indicative of inadequate soils, water table separation, or other physical constraints of the area rather than poor owner maintenance);

The permittee shall document the presence or absence of System Vulnerability Factors for each catchment, retain this documentation as part of its IDDE program, and report this information in Annual Reports. Catchments with a minimum of one (1) System Vulnerability Factor are subject to wet weather sampling requirements of part 2.3.4.8.c.ii.2.

ii. For each catchment, the permittee must inspect key junction manholes and gather catchment information on the locations of MS4 pipes, manholes, and the extent of the contributing catchment.

1. For all catchments

a) Infrastructure information shall be incorporated into the permittee's mapping required at part 2.3.4.5; the permittee will refine their catchment delineation based on the field investigation where appropriate.

- b) The SVF inventory for the catchment will be updated based on information obtained during the inspection, including common (twin invert) manholes, directly piped connections between storm drains and sanitary sewer infrastructure, common weir walls, sanitary sewer underdrain connections and other structural vulnerabilities where sanitary discharges could enter the storm drain system during wet weather.
 - 1) Where a minimum of one (1) SVF is identified based on previous information or the investigation, a wet weather investigation must be conducted at the associated outfall (see below).
- c) During dry weather, key junction manholes⁸ shall be opened and inspected systematically for visual and olfactory evidence of illicit connections (e.g., excrement, toilet paper, gray filamentous bacterial growth, or sanitary products present).
 - 1) If flow is observed, the permittee shall sample the flow at a minimum for ammonia, chlorine and surfactants and can use field kits for these analyses.
 - 2) Where sampling results or visual or olfactory evidence indicate potential illicit discharges or SSOs, the area draining to the junction manhole shall be flagged for further upstream investigation.
- d) Key junction and subsequent manhole investigations will proceed until the location of suspected illicit discharges or SSOs can be isolated to a pipe segment between two manholes. If no evidence of an illicit discharge is found, catchment investigations will be considered complete upon completion of key junction manhole sampling.

2. For all catchments with a minimum of one (1) SVF identified

- a) The permittee shall meet the requirements above for dry weather screening
- b) The permittee shall inspect and sample under wet weather conditions to the extent necessary to determine whether wet weather-induced high flows in sanitary sewers or high groundwater in areas served by septic systems result in discharges of sanitary flow to the MS4.
 - 1) The permittee shall conduct at least one wet weather screening and sampling at the outfall that includes the same parameters required during dry weather screening, part 2.3.4.7.b.iii.4.
 - 2) Wet weather sampling and screening shall proceed during or after a storm event of sufficient depth or intensity to produce a stormwater discharge. EPA strongly recommends sampling during the spring (March through June) when groundwater levels are relatively high.
 - 3) The permit does not require a minimum rainfall event prior to wet weather screening. However, permittees may incorporate provisions that assist in targeting such discharges, including avoiding sampling during the initial period of discharge ("first flush") and/or identifying minimum storm event intensities likely to trigger sanitary sewer interconnections.
- c) This sampling can be done upon completion of any dry weather investigation but must be completed before the catchment investigation is marked as complete.
- iii. All data collected as part of the dry and wet weather catchment investigations shall be recorded and reported in each annual report.

⁸ Where catchments do not contain junction manholes, the dry weather screening and sampling shall be considered as meeting the manhole inspection requirement. In these catchments, dry weather screenings that indicate potential presence of illicit discharges shall be further investigated pursuant to part 2.3.4.8.d. Investigations in these catchments may be considered complete where dry weather screening reveals no flow; no evidence of illicit discharges or SSOs is indicated through sampling results or visual or olfactory means; and no wet weather System Vulnerability Factors are identified.

d. Identification/Confirmation of illicit source

Where the source of an illicit discharge has been approximated between two manholes in the permittee's MS4, the permittee shall isolate and identify/confirm the source of the illicit discharge using more detailed methods identified in their written procedure (2.3.4.8.b.iii). For outfalls that contained evidence of an illicit discharge, catchment investigations will be considered complete upon confirmation of all illicit sources.

e. Illicit discharge removal

When the specific source of an illicit discharge is identified, the permittee shall exercise its authority as necessary to require its removal pursuant to part 2.3.4.2 or 2.3.4.3.

- i. For each confirmed source the permittee shall include in the annual report the following information:
 - the location of the discharge and its source(s);
 - a description of the discharge;
 - the method of discovery;
 - date of discovery;
 - date of elimination, mitigation or enforcement action OR planned corrective measures and a schedule for completing the illicit discharge removal; and
 - estimate of the volume of flow removed.
- ii. Within one year of removal of all identified illicit discharges within a catchment area, confirmatory outfall or interconnection screening shall be conducted. The confirmatory screening shall be conducted in dry weather unless System Vulnerability Factors have been identified, in which case both dry weather and wet weather confirmatory screening shall be conducted. If confirmatory screening indicates evidence of additional illicit discharges, the catchment shall be scheduled for additional investigation.

2.3.4.9. Indicators of IDDE Program Progress

The permittee shall define or describe indicators for tracking program success and evaluate and report on the overall effectiveness of the IDDE program in each annual report. At a minimum the permittee shall document in each annual report:

- the number of SSOs and illicit discharges identified and removed,
- the number and percent of total outfall catchments served by the MS4 evaluated using the catchment investigation procedure,
- all dry weather and wet weather screening and sampling results and
- the volume of sewage removed

2.3.4.10 Ongoing Screening

Upon completion of all catchment investigations pursuant to part 2.3.4.8.c and illicit discharge removal and confirmation (if necessary) pursuant to paragraph 2.3.4.8.e, each outfall or interconnection shall be reprioritized for screening in accordance with part 2.3.4.7.a and scheduled for ongoing screening once every five years. Ongoing screening shall consist of dry weather screening and sampling consistent with part 2.3.4.7.b; wet weather screening and sampling shall also be required at outfalls where wet weather screening was required due to SVFs and shall be conducted in accordance with part 2.3.4.8.c.ii. All sampling results shall be reported in the permittee's annual report.

2.3.4.11 Training

The permittee shall, at a minimum, annually provide training to employees involved in IDDE program about the program, including how to recognize illicit discharges and SSOs. The permittee shall report on the frequency and type of employee training in the annual report.

2.3.5. Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control

Objective: The objective of an effective construction stormwater runoff control program is to minimize or eliminate erosion and maintain sediment on site so that it is not transported in stormwater and allowed to discharge to a water of the U.S through the permittee's MS4. The construction site stormwater runoff control program required by this permit is a separate and distinct program from EPA's stormwater construction permit program. (http://cfpub1.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/cgp.cfm)

- a. Permittees shall implement and enforce a program to reduce pollutants in any stormwater runoff discharged to the MS4 from all construction activities that result in a land disturbance of greater than or equal to one acre within the regulated area. The permittee's program shall include disturbances less than one acre if that disturbance is part of a larger common plan of development or sale that would disturb one or more acres. Permittees authorized under the MS4-2003 permit shall continue to implement and enforce their existing program and modify as necessary to meet the requirements of this part.
- b. The permittee does not need to apply its construction program requirements to projects that receive a waiver from EPA under the provisions of 40 CFR § 122.26(b) (15) (i).
- c. The permittee shall develop and implement a construction site runoff control program that includes the elements in Paragraphs i. through v. of this part:
 - i. An ordinance or regulatory mechanism that requires the use of sediment and erosion control practices at construction sites. In addition to addressing sediment and erosion control, the ordinance must include controls for other wastes on construction sites such as demolition debris, litter and sanitary wastes. Development of an ordinance or other regulatory mechanism was a requirement of the MS4-2003 permit (See part II.B.4 and part IV.B.4). The ordinance or other regulatory mechanism required by the MS4-2003 permit shall have been effective by May 1, 2008.
 - ii. Written (hardcopy or electronic) procedures for site inspections and enforcement of sediment and erosion control measures. If not already existing, these procedures shall be completed within one (1) year from the effective date of the permit. The procedures shall clearly define who is responsible for site inspections as well as who has authority to implement enforcement procedures. The program shall provide that the permittee may, to the extent authorized by law, impose sanctions to ensure compliance with the local program. These procedures and regulatory authorities shall be documented in the SWMP.
 - iii. Requirements for construction site operators performing land disturbance activities within the MS4 jurisdiction that result in stormwater discharges to the MS4 to implement a sediment and erosion control program that includes BMPs appropriate for the conditions at the construction site. The program may include references to BMP

design standards in state manuals, such as the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook⁹, or design standards developed by the MS4. EPA supports and encourages the use of design standards in local programs. Examples of appropriate sediment and erosion control measures for construction sites include local requirements to:

- 1. Minimize the amount of disturbed area and protect natural resources;
- 2. Stabilize sites when projects are complete or operations have temporarily ceased;
- 3. Protect slopes on the construction site;
- 4. Protect all storm drain inlets and armor all newly constructed outlets;
- 5. Use perimeter controls at the site;
- 6. Stabilize construction site entrances and exits to prevent off-site tracking;
- 7. Inspect stormwater controls at consistent intervals.
- iv. Requirements for construction site operators within the MS4 jurisdiction to control wastes, including but not limited to, discarded building materials, concrete truck wash out, chemicals, litter, and sanitary wastes. These wastes may not be discharged to the MS4.
- v. Written procedures for site plan review and inspection and enforcement. If not already existing, the procedures for site plan review and inspection and enforcement shall be completed within one (1) year from the effective date of the permit. The site plan review procedure shall include a pre-construction review by the permittee of the site design, the planned operations at the construction site, planned BMPs during the construction phase, and the planned BMPs to be used to manage runoff created after development. The review procedure shall incorporate procedures for the consideration of potential water quality impacts, and procedures for the receipt and consideration of information submitted by the public. The site plan review procedure shall also include evaluation of opportunities for use of low impact design and green infrastructure. When the opportunity exists, the permittee shall encourage project proponents to incorporate these practices into the site design. The procedures for site inspections conducted by the permittee shall include the requirement that inspections occur during construction of BMPs as well as after construction of BMPs to ensure they are working as described in the approved plans, clearly defined procedures for inspections including qualifications necessary to perform the inspections, the use of mandated inspection forms if appropriate, and procedure for tracking the number of site reviews, inspections, and enforcement actions. This tracking information shall be included as part of each annual report required by part 4.4.

2.3.6. Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment (Post Construction Stormwater Management)

Objective: The objective of this control measure is to reduce the discharge of pollutants found in stormwater through the retention or treatment of stormwater after construction on new or redeveloped sites. For the purposes of this part (2.3.6.), the following definitions apply:

site is defined as the area extent of construction activities, including but not limited to the creation of new impervious cover and improvement of existing impervious cover (e.g. repaving not covered by 2.3.6.a.ii.4.d.)

⁹ The handbook is available at: http://www.mass.gov/dep/water/laws/policies.htm#storm

new development is defined as any construction activities or land alteration resulting in total earth disturbances equal to or greater than 1 acre (or activities that are part of a larger common plan of development disturbing greater than 1 acre) on an area that has not previously been developed to include impervious cover.

redevelopment is defined as any construction, land alteration, or improvement of impervious surfaces resulting in total earth disturbances equal to or greater than 1 acre (or activities that are part of a larger common plan of development disturbing greater than 1 acre) that does not meet the definition of new development (see above).

- a. Permittees shall develop, implement, and enforce a program to address post-construction stormwater runoff from all new development and redevelopment sites that disturb one or more acres and discharge into the permittees MS4 at a minimum. Permittees authorized under the MS4-2003 permit shall continue to implement and enforce their program and modify as necessary to meet the requirements of this part.
 - i. The permittee's new development/ redevelopment program shall include sites less than one acre if the site is part of a larger common plan of development or redevelopment which disturbs one or more acre.
 - ii. The permittee shall develop or modify, as appropriate, an ordinance or other regulatory mechanism within two (2) years of the effective date of the permit to contain provisions that are at least as stringent as the following:
 - 1. Low Impact Development (LID) site planning and design strategies must be used to the maximum extent feasible.
 - 2. The design of treatment and infiltration practices should follow the guidance in Volume 2 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook, as amended, or other federally or State approved¹⁰ BMP design guidance.
 - 3. Stormwater management systems on new development sites shall be designed to:
 - a) Not allow new stormwater conveyances to discharge untreated stormwater in accordance with Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook Standard 1;
 - b) Control peak runoff rates in accordance with Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook Standard 2¹¹;
 - c) Recharge groundwater in accordance with Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook Standard 3¹²;
 - d) Eliminate or reduce the discharge of pollutants from land uses with higher pollutant loads as defined in the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook in accordance with Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook Standard 5;
 - e) Protect Zone II or Interim Wellhead Protection Areas of public water supplies in accordance with Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook Standard 6¹³;

¹⁰ State approved includes any state in the United States, including, but not limited to, approved guidance by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts

¹¹ Requirement necessary for Section 401 water quality certification by Massachusetts

¹² Requirement necessary for Section 401 water quality certification by Massachusetts

¹³ Requirement necessary for Section 401 water quality certification by Massachusetts

- f) Implement long term maintenance practices in accordance with Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook Standard 9; and
- g) Require that all stormwater management systems be designed to:
 - 1) Retain the volume of runoff equivalent to, or greater than, one (1.0) inch multiplied by the total post-construction impervious surface area on the site AND/OR
 - 2) Remove 90% of the average annual load of Total Suspended Solids (TSS) generated from the total post-construction impervious area on the site¹⁴ AND 60% of the average annual load of Total Phosphorus (TP) generated from the total post-construction impervious surface area on the site¹⁴. Pollutant removal shall be calculated consistent with EPA Region 1's BMP Performance Extrapolation Tool or other BMP performance evaluation tool provided by EPA Region 1, where available. If EPA Region 1 tools do not address the planned or installed BMP performance any federally or State approved¹⁵ BMP design guidance or performance standards (e.g. State stormwater handbooks and design guidance manuals) may be used to calculate BMP performance.

4. Redevelopment Requirements

- a) Stormwater management systems on Redevelopment sites shall meet the following sections of part 2.3.6.a.ii.3 to the maximum extent feasible:
 - 1) Part 2.3.6.a.ii.3(a) (Massachusetts Stormwater Standard 1);
 - 2) Part 2.3.6.a.ii.3(b) (Massachusetts Stormwater Standard 2);
 - 3) Part 2.3.6.a.ii.3(c) (Massachusetts Stormwater Standard 3); and
 - 4) The pretreatment and structural best management practices requirements of 2.3.6.a.ii.3(d) and 2.3.6.a.ii.3(e) (Massachusetts Stormwater Standards 5 and 6).
- b) Stormwater management systems on Redevelopment sites shall also improve existing conditions by requiring that stormwater management systems be designed to:
 - 1) Retain the volume of runoff equivalent to, or greater than, 0.80 inch multiplied by the total post-construction impervious surface area on the site AND/OR
 - 2) Remove 80% of the average annual post-construction load of Total Suspended Solids (TSS) generated from the total post-construction impervious area on the site AND 50% of the average annual load of Total Phosphorus (TP) generated from the total post-construction impervious surface area on the site. Pollutant removal shall be calculated consistent with EPA Region 1's BMP Performance Extrapolation Tool or other BMP performance evaluation tool provided by EPA Region 1 where available. If EPA Region 1 tools do not address the planned or installed BMP performance any federally or State approved BMP design guidance or performance standards (e.g. State stormwater handbooks and design guidance manuals) may be used to calculate BMP performance.
- c) Stormwater management systems on redevelopment sites may utilize offsite mitigation within the same USGS HUC10 as the redevelopment site

_

¹⁴ The required removal percentage is not required for each storm, it is the average removal over a year that is required

¹⁵ See footnote 14

- to meet the equivalent retention or pollutant removal requirements in part 2.3.6.a.ii.4(b).
- d) Redevelopment activities that are exclusively limited to maintenance and improvement of existing roadways, (including widening less than a single lane, adding shoulders, correcting substandard intersections, improving existing drainage systems, and repaving projects) shall improve existing conditions where feasible and are exempt from part 2.3.6.a.ii.4(a), part 2.3.6.a.ii.4(b) and part 2.3.6.a.ii.4(c). Roadway widening or improvements that increase the amount of impervious area on the redevelopment site by greater than or equal to a single lane width shall meet the requirements of part 2.3.6.a.ii.4(a) (c)fully.
- iii. The permittee shall require, at a minimum, the submission of as-built drawings no later than two (2) years after completion of construction projects. The as-built drawings must depict all on site controls, both structural and non-structural, designed to manage the stormwater associated with the completed site (post construction stormwater management). The new development/redevelopment program shall have procedures to ensure adequate long-term operation and maintenance of stormwater management practices that are put in place after the completion of a construction project. These procedures may include the use of dedicated funds or escrow accounts for development projects or the acceptance of ownership by the permittee of all privately owned BMPs. These procedures may also include the development of maintenance contracts between the owner of the BMP and the permittee. Alternatively, these procedures may include the submission of an annual certification documenting the work that has been done over the last 12 months to properly operate and maintain the stormwater control measures. The procedures to require submission of as-built drawings and ensure long term operation and maintenance shall be a part of the SWMP. The permittee shall report in the annual report on the measures that the permittee has utilized to meet this requirement.
- b. Within four (4) years of the effective date of this permit, the permittee shall develop a report assessing current street design and parking lot guidelines and other local requirements that affect the creation of impervious cover. This assessment shall be used to provide information to allow the permittee to determine if changes to design standards for streets and parking lots can be made to support low impact design options. If the assessment indicates that changes can be made, the assessment shall include recommendations and proposed schedules to incorporate policies and standards into relevant documents and procedures to minimize impervious cover attributable to parking areas and street designs. The permittee shall implement all recommendations, in accordance with the schedules, contained in the assessment. The local planning board and local transportation board should be involved in this assessment. This assessment shall be part of the SWMP. The permittee shall report in each annual report on the status of this assessment including any planned or completed changes to local regulations and guidelines.
- c. Within four (4) years from the effective date of the permit, the permittee shall develop a report assessing existing local regulations to determine the feasibility of making, at a minimum, the following practices allowable when appropriate site conditions exist:
 - i. Green roofs:
 - ii. Infiltration practices such as rain gardens, curb extensions, planter gardens, porous and pervious pavements, and other designs to manage stormwater using landscaping and structured or augmented soils; and

iii. Water harvesting devices such as rain barrels and cisterns, and the use of stormwater for non-potable uses.

The assessment should indicate if the practices are allowed in the MS4 jurisdiction and under what circumstances are they allowed. If the practices are not allowed, the permittee shall determine what hinders the use of these practices, what changes in local regulations may be made to make them allowable, and provide a schedule for implementation of recommendations. The permittee shall implement all recommendations, in accordance with the schedules, contained in the assessment. The permittee shall report in each annual report on its findings and progress towards making the practices allowable.(Information available at:

http://www.epa.gov/region1/npdes/stormwater/assets/pdf/AddressingBarrier2LID.pdf and http://www.mapc.org/resources/low-impact-dev-toolkit/local-codes-lid)

d. Four (4) years from the effective date of this permit, the permittee shall identify a minimum of 5 permittee-owned properties that could potentially be modified or retrofitted with BMPs designed to reduce the frequency, volume, and pollutant loads of stormwater discharges to and from its MS4 through the reduction of impervious area. Properties and infrastructure for consideration shall include those with the potential for reduction of on-site impervious area (IA) as well as those that could provide reduction of off-site IA. At a minimum, the permittee shall consider municipal properties with significant impervious cover (including parking lots, buildings, and maintenance yards) that could be modified or retrofitted. MS4 infrastructure to be considered includes existing street right-of-ways, outfalls and conventional stormwater conveyances and controls (including swales and detention practices) that could be readily modified or retrofitted to provide reduction in frequency, volume or pollutant loads of such discharges through reduction of impervious cover.

In determining the potential for modifying or retrofitting particular properties, the permittee shall consider factors such as access for maintenance purposes; subsurface geology; depth to water table; proximity to aquifers and subsurface infrastructure including sanitary sewers and septic systems; and opportunities for public use and education. In determining its priority ranking, the permittee shall consider factors such as schedules for planned capital improvements to storm and sanitary sewer infrastructure and paving projects; current storm sewer level of service; and control of discharges to water quality limited waters, first or second order streams, public swimming beaches, drinking water supply sources and shellfish growing areas.

Beginning with the fifth year annual report and in each subsequent annual report, the permittee shall identify additional permittee owned sites and infrastructure that could be retrofitted such that the permittee maintains a minimum of 5 sites in their inventory, until such a time as when the permittee has less than 5 sites remaining. In addition, the permittee shall report on all properties that have been modified or retrofitted with BMPs to mitigate IA that were inventoried in accordance with this part. The permittee may also include in its annual report non-MS4 owned property that has been modified or retrofitted with BMPs to mitigate IA.

2.3.7. Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations

Objective: The permittee shall implement an operations and maintenance program for permittee-owned operations that has a goal of preventing or reducing pollutant runoff and protecting water quality from all permittee-owned operations.

- a. Operations and Maintenance Programs
 - i. Within two (2) years from the effective date of the permit, the permittee shall develop, if not already developed, written (hardcopy or electronic) operations and maintenance

- procedures for the municipal activities listed below in part 2.3.7.a.ii. These written procedures shall be included as part of the SWMP.
- ii. Within two (2) year of the effective date of this permit, the permittee shall develop an inventory of all permittee owned facilities within the categories listed below. The permittee shall review this inventory annually and update as necessary.
 - 1. Parks and open space: Establish procedures to address the proper use, storage, and disposal of pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers including minimizing the use of these products and using only in accordance manufacturer's instruction. Evaluate lawn maintenance and landscaping activities to ensure practices are protective of water quality. Protective practices include reduced mowing frequencies, proper disposal of lawn clippings, and use of alternative landscaping materials (e.g., drought resistant planting). Establish pet waste handling collection and disposal locations at all parks and open space where pets are permitted, including the placing of proper signage concerning the proper collection and disposal of pet waste. Establish procedures to address waterfowl congregation areas where appropriate to reduce waterfowl droppings from entering the MS4. Establish procedures for management of trash containers at parks and open space (scheduled cleanings; sufficient number). Establish procedures to address erosion or poor vegetative cover when the permittee becomes aware of it; especially if the erosion is within 50 feet of a surface water.
 - 2. Buildings and facilities where pollutants are exposed to stormwater runoff: This includes schools (to the extent they are permittee-owned or operated), town offices, police, and fire stations, municipal pools and parking garages and other permittee-owned or operated buildings or facilities. Evaluate the use, storage, and disposal of petroleum products and other potential stormwater pollutants. Provide employee training as necessary so that those responsible for handling these products know proper procedures. Ensure that Spill Prevention Plans are in place, if applicable, and coordinate with the fire department as necessary. Develop management procedures for dumpsters and other waste management equipment. Sweep parking lots and keep areas surrounding the facilities clean to reduce runoff of pollutants.
 - 3. Vehicles and Equipment: Establish procedures for the storage of permittee vehicles. Vehicles with fluid leaks shall be stored indoors or containment shall be provided until repaired. Evaluate fueling areas owned or operated by the permittee. If possible, place fueling areas under cover in order to minimize exposure. Establish procedures to ensure that vehicle wash waters are not discharged to the municipal storm sewer system or to surface waters. This permit does not authorize such discharges.

iii. Infrastructure Operations and Maintenance

1. The permittee shall establish within two (2) year of the effective date of the permit a written (hardcopy or electronic) program detailing the activities and procedures the permittee will implement so that the MS4 infrastructure is maintained in a timely manner to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4. If the permittee has an existing program to maintain its MS4 infrastructure

in a timely manner to reduce or eliminate the discharge of pollutants from the MS4, the permittee shall document the program in the SWMP.

- 2. The permittee shall optimize routine inspections, cleaning and maintenance of catch basins such that the following conditions are met:
 - Prioritize inspection and maintenance for catch basins located near construction activities (roadway construction, residential, commercial, or industrial development or redevelopment). Clean catch basins in such areas more frequently if inspection and maintenance activities indicate excessive sediment or debris loadings.
 - Establish a schedule with a goal that the frequency of routine cleaning will ensure that no catch basin at anytime will be more than 50 percent full.
 - If a catch basin sump is more than 50 percent full during two consecutive routine inspections/cleaning events, the permittee shall document that finding, investigate the contributing drainage area for sources of excessive sediment loading, and to the extent practicable, abate contributing sources. The permittee shall describe any actions taken in its annual report.
 - For the purposes of this part, an excessive sediment or debris loading is a catch basin sump more than 50 percent full. A catch basin sump is more than 50 percent full if the contents within the sump exceed one half the distance between the bottom interior of the catch basin to the invert of the deepest outlet of the catch basin.
 - The permittee shall document in the SWMP and in the first annual report its plan for optimizing catch basin cleaning, inspection plans, or its schedule for gathering information to develop the optimization plan. Documentation shall include metrics and other information used to reach the determination that the established plan for cleaning and maintenance is optimal for the MS4. The permittee shall keep a log of catch basins cleaned or inspected.
 - The permittee shall report in each annual report the total number of catch basins, number inspected, number cleaned, and the total volume or mass of material removed from all catch basins.
- 3. The permittee shall establish and implement procedures for sweeping and/or cleaning streets, and permittee-owned parking lots. All streets with the exception of rural uncurbed roads with no catch basins or high speed limited access highways shall be swept and/or cleaned a minimum of once per year in the spring (following winter activities such as sanding). The procedures shall also include more frequent sweeping of targeted areas determined by the permittee on the basis of pollutant load reduction potential, based on inspections, pollutant loads, catch basin cleaning or inspection results, land use, water quality limited or TMDL waters or other relevant factors as determined by the permittee. The permittee shall report in each annual report the number of miles cleaned or the volume or mass of material removed.

For rural uncurbed roadways with no catch basins and limited access highways, the permittee shall either meet the minimum frequencies above, or develop and implement an inspection, documentation and targeted sweeping plan within two (2) years of the effective date of the permit, and submit such plan with its year one annual report.

- 4. The permittee shall ensure proper storage of catch basin cleanings and street sweepings prior to disposal or reuse such that they do not discharge to receiving waters. These materials should be managed in compliance with current MassDEP policies:
 - For catch basins cleanings: http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/massdep/recycle/regulations/manageme nt-of-catch-basin-cleanings.html
 - For street sweepings: http://www.mass.gov/eea/docs/dep/recycle/laws/stsweep.pdf.
- 5. The permittee shall establish and implement procedures for winter road maintenance including the use and storage of salt and sand; minimize the use of sodium chloride and other salts, and evaluate opportunities for use of alternative materials; and ensure that snow disposal activities do not result in disposal of snow into waters of the United States. For purposes of this MS4 Permit, salt shall mean any chloride-containing material used to treat paved surfaces for deicing, including sodium chloride, calcium chloride, magnesium chloride, and brine solutions.
- 6. The permittee shall establish and implement inspection and maintenance frequencies and procedures for all stormwater treatment structures such as water quality swales, retention/detention basins, infiltration structures, proprietary treatment devices or other similar structures. All permittee-owned stormwater treatment structures (excluding catch basins) shall be inspected annually at a minimum.
- iv. The permittee shall report in the annual report on the status of the inventory required by this part and any subsequent updates; the status of the O&M programs for the permittee-owned facilities and activities in part 2.3.7.a.ii; and the maintenance activities associated with each.
- v. The permittee shall keep a written (hardcopy or electronic) record of all required activities including but not limited to maintenance activities, inspections and training required by part 2.3.7.a. The permittee shall maintain, consistent with part 4.2.a, all records associated with maintenance and inspection activities required by part 2.3.7.a.

b. Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)

The permittee shall develop and fully implement a SWPPP for each of the following permittee-owned or operated facilities: maintenance garages, public works yards, transfer stations, and other waste handling facilities where pollutants are exposed to stormwater as determined by the permittee. If facilities are located at the same property, the permittee may develop one SWPPP for the entire property. The SWPPP is a separate and different document from the SWMP required in part 1.10. A SWPPP does not need to be developed for a facility if the permittee has either developed a SWPPP or received a no exposure certification for the discharge under the Multi-Sector General Permit or the discharge is authorized under another NPDES permit.

i. No later than two (2) years from the effective date of the permit, the permittee shall develop and implement a written (hardcopy or electronic) SWPPP for the facilities

described above. The SWPPP shall be signed in accordance with the signatory requirements of Appendix B – Subparagraph 11.

ii. The SWPPP shall contain the following elements:

- 1. Pollution Prevention Team
 Identify the staff on the team, by name and title. If the position is unstaffed, the title of the position should be included and the SWPPP updated when the position is filled. The role of the team is to develop, implement, maintain, and revise, as necessary, the SWPPP for the facility.
- 2. Description of the facility and identification of potential pollutant sources The SWPPP shall include a map of the facility and a description of the activities that occur at the facility. The map shall show the location of the stormwater outfalls, receiving waters, and any structural controls. Identify all activities that occur at the facility and the potential pollutants associated with each activity including the location of any floor drains. These may be included as part of the inventory required by part 2.3.7.a.
- 3. Identification of stormwater controls

 The permittee shall select, design, install, and implement the control measures detailed in paragraph 4 below to prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants from the permittee owned facility.

The selection, design, installation, and implementation of the control measures shall be in accordance with good engineering practices and manufacturer's specifications. The permittee shall also take all reasonable steps to control or address the quality of discharges from the site that may not originate at the facility.

If the discharge from the facility is to a water quality limited water and the facility has the potential to discharge the pollutant identified as causing the water quality limitation, the permittee shall identify the control measures that will be used to address this pollutant at the facility so that the discharge does not cause or contribute to a violation of a water quality standard.

- 4. The SWPPP shall include the following management practices:
 - a) Minimize or Prevent Exposure: The permittee shall to the extent practicable either locate materials and activities inside, or protect them with storm-resistant coverings in order to prevent exposure to rain, snow, snowmelt and runoff (although significant enlargement of impervious surface area is not recommended). Materials do not need to be enclosed or covered if stormwater runoff from affected areas will not be discharged directly or indirectly to surface waters or to the MS4 or if discharges are authorized under another NPDES permit.
 - b) Good Housekeeping: The permittee shall keep clean all exposed areas that are potential sources of pollutants, using such measures as sweeping at regular intervals. Ensure that trash containers are closed when not in use, keep storage areas well swept and free from leaking or damaged containers; and store leaking vehicles needing repair indoors.

- c) Preventative Maintenance: The permittee shall regularly inspect, test, maintain, and repair all equipment and systems to avoid situations that may result in leaks, spills, and other releases of pollutants in stormwater to receiving waters. Inspections shall occur at a minimum once per quarter.
- d) Spill Prevention and Response: The permittee shall minimize the potential for leaks, spills, and other releases that may be exposed to stormwater and develop plans for effective response to such spills if or when they occur. At a minimum, the permittee shall have procedures that include:
 - Preventive measures such as barriers between material storage and traffic areas, secondary containment provisions, and procedures for material storage and handling.
 - Response procedures that include notification of appropriate facility personnel, emergency agencies, and regulatory agencies, and procedures for stopping, containing, and cleaning up leaks, spills and other releases. Measures for cleaning up hazardous material spills or leaks shall be consistent with applicable Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) regulations at 40 CFR section 264 and 40 CFR section 265. Employees who may cause, detect, or respond to a spill or leak shall be trained in these procedures and have necessary spill response equipment available. If possible, one of these individuals should be a member of the Pollution Prevention Team; and
 - Contact information for individuals and agencies that shall be notified in the event of a leak, spill, or other release. Where a leak, spill, or other release containing a hazardous substance or oil in an amount equal to or in excess of a reportable quantity established under 40 CFR section 110, 40 CFR section 117, or 40 CFR section 302, occurs during a 24-hour period, the permittee shall notify the National Response Center (NRC) at (800) 424-8802 in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR section 110, 40 CFR section 117, and 40 CFR section 302 as soon as the permittee has knowledge of the discharge. State or local requirements may necessitate reporting spills or discharges to local emergency, public health or drinking water supply agencies, and owners of public drinking water supplies. Contact information shall be in locations that are readily accessible and available.
- e) <u>Erosion and Sediment Control:</u> The permittee shall use structural and non-structural control measures at the facility to stabilize and contain runoff from exposed areas and to minimize or eliminate onsite erosion and sedimentation. Efforts to achieve this may include the use of flow velocity dissipation devices at discharge locations and within outfall channels where necessary to reduce erosion.

- f) Management of Runoff: The permittee shall manage stormwater runoff from the facility to prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants. This may include management practices which divert runoff from areas that are potential sources of pollutants, contain runoff in such areas, or reuse, infiltrate or treat stormwater to reduce the discharge of pollutants.
- g) Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt: For storage piles of salt or piles containing salt used for deicing or other purposes (including maintenance of paved surfaces) for which the discharge during precipitation events discharges to the permittee's MS4, any other storm sewer system, or to a Water of the US, the permittee shall prevent exposure of the storage pile to precipitation by enclosing or covering the storage piles. Such piles shall be enclosed or covered within two (2) years of the permit effective date. The permittee shall implement appropriate measures (e.g., good housekeeping, diversions, containment) to minimize exposure resulting from adding to or removing materials from the pile. The permittee is encouraged to store piles in such a manner as not to impact surface water resources, ground water resources, recharge areas, and wells.
- h) Employee Training: The permittee shall regularly train employees who work in areas where materials or activities are exposed to stormwater, or who are responsible for implementing activities identified in the SWPPP (e.g., inspectors, maintenance personnel), including all members of the Pollution Prevention Team. Training shall cover both the specific components and scope of the SWPPP and the control measures required under this part, including spill response, good housekeeping, material management practices, any best management practice operation and maintenance, etc. EPA recommends annual training.

The permittee shall document the following information for each training:

- The training date, title and training duration;
- List of municipal attendees;
- Subjects covered during training
- i) Maintenance of Control Measures: The permittee shall maintain all control measures, required by this permit in effective operating condition. The permittee shall keep documentation onsite that describes procedures and a regular schedule for preventative maintenance of all control measures and discussions of back-up practices in place should a runoff event occur while a control measure is off-line. Nonstructural control measures shall also be diligently maintained (e.g., spill response supplies available, personnel trained).
- iii. The permittee shall conduct the following inspections:
 - 1. Site Inspections: Inspect all areas that are exposed to stormwater and all stormwater control measures. Inspections shall be conducted at least once each calendar quarter. More frequent inspections may be required if significant activities are exposed to stormwater. Inspections shall be performed when the

facility is in operation. At least one of the quarterly inspections shall occur during a period when a stormwater discharge is occurring.

The permittee shall document the following information for each facility inspection:

- The inspection date and time;
- The name of the inspector;
- Weather information and a description of any discharge occurring at the time of the inspection;
- Identification of any previously unidentified discharges from the site:
- Any control measures needing maintenance or repair;
- Any failed control measures that need replacement.
- Any SWPPP changes required as a result of the inspection.

If during the inspections, or any other time, the permittee identifies control measures that need repair or are not operating effectively, the permittee shall repair or replace them before the next anticipated storm event if possible, or as soon as practicable following that storm event. In the interim, the permittee shall have back-up measures in place.

The permittee shall report the findings from the Site Inspections in the annual report.

iv. The permittee must keep a written (hardcopy or electronic) record of all required activities including but not limited to maintenance, inspections, and training required by part 2.3.7.b.The permittee shall maintain all records associated with the development and implementation of the SWPPP required by this part consistent with the requirements of part 4.2.

3.0. Additional Requirements for Discharges to Surface Drinking Water Supplies and Their Tributaries

- a. Permittees which discharge to public surface drinking water supply sources (Class A and Class B surface waters used for drinking water) or their tributaries should consider these waters a priority in the implementation of the SWMP.
- b. Permittees should provide pretreatment and spill control measures to stormwater discharges to public drinking water supply sources or their tributaries to the extent feasible.
- c. Direct discharges to Class A waters should be avoided to the extent feasible.

4.0. Program Evaluation, Record Keeping, and Reporting

4.1. Program Evaluation

a. The permittee shall annually self-evaluate its compliance with the terms and conditions of this permit and submit each self-evaluation in the Annual Report. The permittee shall also maintain the annual evaluation documentation as part of the SWMP.

- b. The permittee shall evaluate the appropriateness of the selected BMPs in achieving the objectives of each control measure and the defined measurable goals. Where a BMP is found to be ineffective the permittee shall change BMPs in accordance with the provisions below. In addition, permittees may augment or change BMPs at any time following the provisions below:
 - Changes adding (but not subtracting or replacing) components or controls may be made at any time.
 - Changes replacing an ineffective or infeasible BMP specifically identified in the SWMP with an alternative BMP may be made as long as the basis for the changes is documented in the SWMP by, at a minimum:
 - An analysis of why the BMP is ineffective or infeasible;
 - Expectations on the effectiveness of the replacement BMP; and
 - An analysis of why the replacement BMP is expected to achieve the defined goals of the BMP to be replaced.

The permittee shall indicate BMP modifications along with a brief explanation of the modification in each Annual Report.

- c. EPA or MassDEP may require the permittee to add, modify, repair, replace or change BMPs or other measures described in the annual reports as needed:
 - To address impacts to receiving water quality caused or contributed to by discharges from the MS4; or
 - To satisfy conditions of this permit

Any changes requested by EPA or MassDEP will be in writing and will set forth the schedule for the permittee to develop the changes and will offer the permittee the opportunity to propose alternative program changes to meet the objective of the requested modification.

4.2. Record Keeping

- a. The permittee shall keep all records required by this permit for a period of at least five years. EPA may extend this period at any time. Records include information used in the development of any written (hardcopy or electronic) program required by this permit, any monitoring results, copies of reports, records of screening, follow-up and elimination of illicit discharges; maintenance records; inspection records; and data used in the development of the notice of intent, SWMP, SWPPP, and annual reports. This list provides examples of records that should be maintained, but is not all inclusive.
- b. Records other than those required to be included in the annual report, part 4.4, shall be submitted only when requested by the EPA or the MassDEP.
- c. The permittee shall make the records relating to this permit, including the written (hardcopy or electronic) stormwater management program, available to the public. The public may view the records during normal business hours. The permittee may charge a reasonable fee for copying requests. The permittee is encouraged to satisfy this requirement by posting records online.

4.3. Outfall Monitoring Reporting

- a. The permittee shall monitor and sample its outfalls at a minimum through sampling and testing at the frequency and locations required in connection with IDDE screening under part 2.3.4.7.b. and 2.3.4.8.c.ii.2. The monitoring program may also include additional outfall and interconnection monitoring as determined by the permittee in connection with assessment of SWMP effectiveness pursuant to part 4.1; evaluation of discharges to water quality limited waters pursuant to part 2.2; assessment of BMP effectiveness pursuant to part 2.2 or 2.3; or otherwise.
- b. The permittee shall document all monitoring results each year in the annual report. The report shall include the date, outfall or interconnection identifier, location, weather conditions at time of sampling, precipitation in previous 48 hours, field screening parameter results, and results of all analyses. The annual report shall include all of this information and data for the current reporting period and for the entire permit period.
- c. The permittee shall also include in the annual report results from any other stormwater or receiving water quality monitoring or studies conducted during the reporting period where that data is being used by the permittee to inform permit compliance or program effectiveness. If such monitoring or studies were conducted on behalf of the permittee, or if monitoring or studies conducted by other entities were reported to the permittee, a brief description of the type of information gathered or received shall be included in the annual report(s) covering the time period(s) the information was received.

4.4. Annual Reports

- a. The permittee shall submit annual reports each year of the permit term. The reporting period will be a one year period commencing on the permit effective date, and subsequent anniversaries thereof, except that the first annual report under this permit shall also cover the period from May 1, [year of final permit effective date] to the permit effective date. The annual report is due ninety days from the close of each reporting period.
- b. The annual reports shall contain the following information:
 - i. A self-assessment review of compliance with the permit terms and conditions.
 - ii. An assessment of the appropriateness of the selected BMPs.
 - iii. The status of any plans or activities required by part 2.1 and/ or part 2.2, including:
 - Identification of all discharges determined to be causing or contributing to an exceedance of water quality standards and description of response including all items required by part 2.1.1;
 - For discharges subject to TMDL related requirements, identification of specific BMPs used to address the pollutant identified as the cause of impairment and assessment of the BMPs effectiveness at controlling the pollutant (part 2.2.1. and Appendix F) and any deliverables required by Appendix F;
 - For discharges to water quality limited waters a description of each BMP required by Appendix H and any deliverables required by Appendix H.
 - iv. An assessment of the progress towards achieving the measurable goals and objectives of each control measure in part 2.3 including:

- Evaluation of the public education program including a description of the targeted messages for each audience; method of distribution and dates of distribution; methods used to evaluate the program; and any changes to the program.
- Description of the activities used to promote public participation including documentation of compliance with state public notice regulations.
- Description of the activities related to implementation of the IDDE program
 including: status of the map; status and results of the illicit discharge potential
 ranking and assessment; identification of problem catchments; status of all
 protocols described in part 2.3.4.(program responsibilities and systematic
 procedure); number and identifier of catchments evaluated; number and
 identifier of outfalls screened; number of illicit discharges located; number of
 illicit discharges removed; gallons of flow removed; identification of tracking
 indicators and measures of progress based on those indicators; and employee
 training.
- Evaluation of the construction runoff management including number of project plans reviewed; number of inspections; and number of enforcement actions.
- Evaluation of stormwater management for new development and redevelopment including status of ordinance development (2.3.6.a.ii.), review and status of the street design assessment(2.3.6.b.), assessments to barriers to green infrastructure (2.3.6.c), and retrofit inventory status (2.3.6.d.)
- Status of the O&M Programs required by part 2.3.7.a.
- Status of SWPPP required by part 2.3.7.b. including inspection results.
- Any additional reporting requirements in part 3.0.
- v. All outfall screening and monitoring data collected by or on behalf of the permittee during the reporting period and cumulative for the permit term, including but not limited to all data collected pursuant to part 2.3.4. The permittee shall also provide a description of any additional monitoring data received by the permittee during the reporting period.
- vi. Description of activities for the next reporting cycle.
- vii. Description of any changes in identified BMPs or measurable goals.
- viii. Description of activities undertaken by any entity contracted for achieving any measurable goal or implementing any control measure.
- c. Reports shall be submitted to EPA at the following address:

United State Environmental Protection Agency Stormwater and Construction Permits Section (OEP06-1) Five Post Office Square, Suite 100 Boston, MA 02109

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection One Winter Street – 5th Floor Boston, MA 02108 ATTN: Frederick Civian Or submitted electronically to EPA at the following email address: <u>stormwater.reports@epa.gov</u>. After December 21, 2020 all Annual Reports must be submitted electronically.

5.0. Non-Traditional MS4s

Non-traditional MS4s are MS4s owned and operated by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, counties or other public agencies within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and properties owned and operated by the United States (Federal Facilities) within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. This part addresses all non-traditional MS4s except MS4s that are owned or operated by transportation agencies, which are addressed in part 6.0 below.

5.1. Requirements for Non-Traditional MS4s

All requirements and conditions of parts 1-4 above apply to all Non-traditional MS4s, except as specifically provided below:

5.1.1. Public education

For the purpose of this permit, the audiences for a Non-traditional MS4 include the employees, clients and customers (including students at education MS4s), visitors to the property, tenants, long term contractors and any other contractors working at the facility where the MS4 is located. The permittee may use some of the educational topics included in part 2.3.2.d. as appropriate, or may focus on topics specific to the MS4. The permittee shall document the educational topics for each target audience in the SWMP and annual reports.

5.1.2. Ordinances and regulatory mechanisms

Some Non-traditional MS4s may not have authority to enact an ordinance, by-law, or other regulatory mechanisms. MS4s without the authority to enact an ordinance shall ensure that written policies or procedures are in place to address the requirements of part 2.3.4.5., part 2.3.4.6 and part 2.3.6.a.

5.1.3. Assessment of Regulations

Non-traditional MS4s do not need to meet the requirements of part 2.3.6.c.

5.1.4. New Dischargers

New MS4 facilities are subject to additional water quality-based requirements if they fall within the definition of "new discharger" under 40 CFR § 122.2: "A new discharger is any building, structure, facility or installation (a) from which there is or may be a 'discharge of pollutants' (b) that did not commence the 'discharge of pollutants' at a particular 'site' prior to August 13, 1979; (c) which is not a 'new source'; and (d) which never received a finally effective NPDES permit for discharges at that 'site.' The term "site" is defined in § 122.2 to mean "the land or water area where any 'facility or activity' is physically located or conducted including adjacent land used in connection with the facility or activity."

Consistent with these definitions, a Non-traditional MS4 is a "new discharger" if it discharges stormwater from a new facility with an entirely new separate storm sewer system that is not

physically located on the same or adjacent land as an existing facility and associated system operated by the same MS4.

Any Non-traditional MS4 facility that is a "new discharger" and discharges to a waterbody listed in category 5 or 4b on the Massachusetts Integrated Report of waters listed pursuant to Clean Water Act section 303(d) and 305(b) due to nutrients (Total Nitrogen or Total Phosphorus), metals (Cadmium, Copper, Iron, Lead or Zinc), solids (TSS or Turbidity), bacteria/pathogens (E. Coli, Enteroccus or Fecal Coliform), chloride (Chloride) or oil and grease (Petroleum Hydrocarbons or Oil and Grease), or discharges to a waterbody with an approved TMDL for any of those pollutants, is not eligible for coverage under this permit and shall apply for an individual permit.

Any Non-traditional MS4 facility that is a "new discharger" and discharges to a waterbody that is in attainment is subject to Massachusetts antidegradation regulations at 314 CMR 4.04. The permittee shall comply with the provisions of 314 CMR 4.04 including information submittal requirements and obtaining authorization for new discharges where appropriate ¹⁶. Any authorization of new discharges by MassDEP shall be incorporated into the permittee's SWMP. If an applicable MassDEP approval specifies additional conditions or requirements, then those requirements are incorporated into this permit by reference. The permittee must comply with all such requirements.

6.0 Requirements for MS4s Owned or Operated by Transportation Agencies

This part applies to all MS4s owned or operated by any state or federal transportation agency (except Massachusetts Department of Transportation –MassDOT- Highway Division, which is subject to a separate individual permit). All requirements and conditions of this permit apply with the following exceptions:

6.1 Public education

For the purpose of this permit, the audiences for a transportation agency education program include the general public (users of the roadways), employees, and any contractors working at the location. The permittee may use some of the educational topics included in part 2.3.2.d. as appropriate, or may focus on topics specific to the agency. The permittee shall document the educational topics for each target audience.

6.2 Ordinances and regulatory mechanisms

The transportation agency may not have authority to enact an ordinance, by-law or other regulatory mechanisms. The agency shall ensure that written agency policies or procedures are in place to address the requirements of part 2.3.4.5., part 2.3.4.6 and part 2.3.6.a.

6.3 Assessment of regulations

Non-traditional MS4s do not need to meet the requirements of part 2.3.6.c.

6.4 New Dischargers

New MS4 facilities are subject to additional water quality-based requirements if they fall within the definition of "new dischargers" under 40 CFR § 122.2: "A new discharger is any building, structure, facility or installation (a) from which there is or may be a 'discharge of pollutants' (b) that did not commence the 'discharge of pollutants' at a particular 'site' prior to August 13, 1979; (c) which is not a 'new source'; and (d) which never received a finally effective NPDES permit for discharges at that 'site.' The term "site" is defined

¹⁶ Contact MassDEP for guidance on compliance with 314 CMR 4.04

MA MS4 General Permit

in § 122.2 to mean "the land or water area where any 'facility or activity' is physically located or conducted including adjacent land used in connection with the facility or activity."

Consistent with these definitions, a new transportation MS4 is a "new discharger" if it discharges stormwater from a new facility with an entirely new separate storm sewer system that is not physically located on the same or adjacent land as an existing facility and associated system operated by the same MS4.

Any transportation MS4 facility that is a "new discharger" and discharges to a waterbody listed as impaired in category 5 or 4b on the Massachusetts Integrated Report of waters listed pursuant to Clean Water Act section 303(d) and 305(b) due to nutrients (Total Nitrogen or Total Phosphorus), metals (Cadmium, Copper, Iron, Lead or Zinc), solids (TSS or Turbidity), bacteria/pathogens (E. Coli, Enteroccus or Fecal Coliform), chloride (Chloride) or oil and grease (Petroleum Hydrocarbons or Oil and Grease), or discharges to a waterbody with an approved TMDL for any of those pollutants, is not eligible for coverage under this permit and shall apply for an individual permit.

Any transportation MS4 facility that is a "new discharger" and discharges to a waterbody that is in attainment is subject to Massachusetts antidegradation regulations at 314 CMR 4.04. The permittee shall comply with the provisions of 314 CMR 4.04 including information submittal requirements and obtaining authorization for new discharges where appropriate¹⁷. Any authorization of new discharges by MassDEP shall be incorporated into the permittee's SWMP. If an applicable MassDEP approval specifies additional conditions or requirements, then those requirements are incorporated into this permit by reference. The permittee must comply with all such requirements.

¹⁷ Contact MassDEP for guidance on compliance with 314 CMR 4.04

Appendix A Definitions, Abbreviations and Acronyms

Definitions

Best Management Practices (BMPs) - schedules of activities, practices (and prohibitions of practices), structures, vegetation, maintenance procedures, and other management practices to prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants to waters of the United States. BMPs also include treatment requirements, operating procedures, and practices to control plant site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage.

Common Plan of Development - A "larger common plan of development or sale" is a contiguous area where multiple separate and distinct construction activities may be taking place at different times on different schedules under one plan. For example, if a developer buys a 20-acre lot and builds roads, installs pipes, and runs electricity with the intention of constructing homes or other structures sometime in the future, this would be considered a larger common plan of development or sale. If the land is parceled off or sold, and construction occurs on plots that are less than one acre by separate, independent builders, this activity still would be subject to stormwater permitting requirements if the smaller plots were included on the original site plan.

Control Measure - refers to any BMP or other method (including effluent limitations) used to prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants to waters of the United States.

Director - a Regional Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency or an authorized representative.

Discharge - when used without qualification, means the "discharge of a pollutant."

Discharge of a pollutant - any addition of any "pollutant" or combination of pollutants to "waters of the United States" from any "point source," or any addition of any pollutant or combination of pollutants to the waters of the "contiguous zone" or the ocean from any point source other than a vessel or other floating craft which is being used as a means of transportation. This includes additions of pollutants into waters of the United States from surface runoff which is collected or channeled by man; or discharges through pipes, sewers, or other conveyances, leading into privately owned treatment works.

Discharge-related activities - activities which cause, contribute to, or result in stormwater and allowable non-stormwater point source discharges, and measures such as the siting, construction and operation of BMPs to control, reduce, or prevent pollution in the discharges.

Disturbance - action to alter the existing vegetation and/or underlying soil of a site, such as clearing, grading, site preparation (e.g., excavating, cutting, and filling), soil compaction, and movement and stockpiling of top soils.

Existing Discharger – an operator applying for coverage under this permit for discharges covered previously under an NPDES general or individual permit.

Facility or Activity - any NPDES "point source" or any other facility or activity (including land or appurtenances thereto) that is subject to regulation under the NPDES program.

Federal Facility – Any buildings, installations, structures, land, public works, equipment, aircraft, vessels, and other vehicles and property, owned by, or constructed or manufactured for the purpose of leasing to, the federal government.

Illicit Discharge - any discharge to a municipal separate storm sewer that is not composed entirely of stormwater except discharges pursuant to a NPDES permit (other than the NPDES permit for discharges from the municipal separate storm sewer) and discharges resulting from fire fighting activities.

Impaired Water — A water is impaired if it does not meet one or more of its designated use(s). For purposes of this permit, "impaired" refers to categories 4 and 5 of the five-part categorization approach used for classifying the water quality standards attainment status for water segments under the TMDL program. Impaired waters compilations are also sometimes referred to as "303(d) lists." Category 5 waters are impaired because at least one designated use is not being supported or is threatened and a TMDL is needed. Category 4 waters indicate that at least one designated use is not being supported but a TMDL is not needed (4a indicates that a TMDL has been approved or established by EPA; 4b indicates other required control measures are expected in result in the attainment of water quality standards in a reasonable period of time; and 4c indicates that the non-attainment of the water quality standard is the result of pollution (e.g. habitat) and is not caused by a pollutant). See *USEPA's 2006 Integrated Report Guidance, July 29, 2005* for more detail on the five part categorization of waters [under EPA National TMDL Guidance http://www.epa.gov/owow/tmdl/policy.html]).

Impervious Surface- Any surface that prevents or significantly impedes the infiltration of water into the underlying soil. This can include but is not limited to: roads, driveways, parking areas and other areas created using non porous material; buildings, rooftops, structures, artificial turf and compacted gravel or soil.

Industrial Activity - the ten categories of industrial activities included in the definition of "stormwater discharges associated with industrial activity," as defined in 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14)(i)-(ix) and (xi).

Industrial Stormwater - stormwater runoff associated with the definition of "stormwater discharges associated with industrial activity."

Interconnection – the point (excluding sheet flow over impervious surfaces) where the permittee's MS4 discharges to another MS4 or other storm sewer system, through which the discharge is eventually conveyed to a water of the United States. Interconnections shall be treated similarly to outfalls throughout the permit.

Junction Manhole - For the purposes of this permit, a junction manhole is a manhole or structure with two or more inlets accepting flow from two or more MS4 alignments. Manholes with inlets solely from private storm drains, individual catch basins, or both are not considered junction manholes for these purposes.

Key Junction Manhole - For the purposes of this permit, key junction manholes are those junction manholes that can represent one or more junction manholes without compromising adequate implementation of the illicit discharge program. Adequate implementation of the illicit discharge program would not be compromised if the exclusion of a particular junction manhole as a key junction manhole would not affect the permittee's ability to determine the possible presence of an upstream illicit discharge. A permittee may exclude a junction manhole located upstream from another located in the immediate vicinity or that is serving a drainage alignment with no potential for illicit connections.

Municipal Separate Storm Sewer - a conveyance or system of conveyances (including roads with drainage systems, municipal streets, catch basins, curbs, gutters, ditches, manmade channels, or storm drains):

- (i) Owned or operated by a State, city, town, borough, county, parish, district, association, or other public body (created by or pursuant to State law) having jurisdiction over disposal of sewage, industrial wastes, stormwater, or other wastes, including special districts under State law such as a sewer district, flood control district or drainage district, or similar entity, or an Indian tribe or an authorized Indian tribal organization, or a designated and approved management agency under section 208 of the CWA that discharges to waters of the United States;
- (ii) Designed or used for collecting or conveying stormwater;
- (iii) Which is not a combined sewer; and
- (iv) Which is not part of a Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) as defined at 40 CFR 122.2.

Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) - means all separate storm sewers that are defined as "large" or "medium" or "small" municipal storm sewer systems pursuant to paragraphs 40 CFR 122.26 (b)(4) and (b)(7), or designated under paragraph 40 126.26(a) (1)(v). For the purposes of this permit "MS4" may also refer to the permittee with jurisdiction over the sewer system.

New Development – any construction activities or land alteration resulting in total earth disturbances greater than 1 acre (or activities that are part of a larger common plan of development disturbing greater than 1 acre) on an area that has not previously been developed to include impervious cover. (see part 2.3.6. of the permit)

New Discharger – For the purposes of this permit, a new discharger is an entity that discharges stormwater from a new facility with an entirely new separate storm sewer system that is not physically located on the same or adjacent land as an existing facility and associated system operated by the same MS4.

New Source - any building, structure, facility, or installation from which there is or may be a "discharge of pollutants," the construction of which commenced:

- S after promulgation of standards of performance under section 306 of the CWA which are applicable to such source, or
- S after proposal of standards of performance in accordance with section 306 of the CWA which are applicable to such source, but only if the standards are promulgated in accordance with section 306 within 120 days of their proposal.

New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) – Technology-based standards for facilities that qualify as new sources under 40 CFR 122.2 and 40 CFR 122.29.

No exposure - all industrial materials or activities are protected by a storm-resistant shelter to prevent exposure to rain, snow, snowmelt, and/or runoff.

One Lane Width – The width of the travel lane for a roadway. Lane width does not include shoulders, curbs, and on-street parking areas.

Outfall Catchment – The land area draining to a single outfall or interconnection. The extent of an outfall's catchment is determined not only by localized topography and impervious cover but also by the location of drainage structures and the connectivity of MS4 pipes.

Owner or operator - the owner or operator of any "facility or activity" subject to regulation under the NPDES program.

Person - an individual, association, partnership, corporation, municipality, State or Federal agency, or an agent or employee thereof.

Point source - any discernible, confined, and discrete conveyance, including but not limited to any pipe, ditch, channel, tunnel, conduit, well, discrete fissure, container, rolling stock, concentrated animal feeding operation, landfill leachate collection system, vessel, or other floating craft from which pollutants are or may be discharged. This term does not include return flows from irrigated agriculture or agricultural stormwater runoff.

Pollutant - dredged spoil, solid waste, incinerator residue, filter backwash, sewage, garbage, sewage sludge, munitions, chemical wastes, biological materials, heat, wrecked or discarded equipment, rock, sand, cellar dirt, and industrial, municipal and agricultural waste discharged into water.

Pollutant of concern – A pollutant which causes or contributes to a violation of a water quality standard, including a pollutant which is identified as causing an impairment in a State's 303(d) list.

Redevelopment – for the purposes of part 2.3.6., any construction, land alteration, or improvement of impervious surfaces resulting in total earth disturbances greater than 1

acre (or activities that are part of a larger common plan of development disturbing greater than 1 acre) that does not meet the definition of new development (see above).

Reportable Quantity Release – a release of a hazardous substance at or above the established legal threshold that requires emergency notification. Refer to 40 CFR Parts 110, 177, and 302 for complete definitions and reportable quantities for which notification is required.

Runoff coefficient - the fraction of total rainfall that will appear at the conveyance as runoff.

Significant materials - includes, but is not limited to: raw materials; fuels; materials such as solvents, detergents, and plastic pellets; finished materials such as metallic products; raw materials used in food processing or production; hazardous substances designated under section 101(14) of CERCLA; any chemical the facility is required to report pursuant to section 313 of Title III of SARA; fertilizers; pesticides; and waste products such as ashes, slag and sludge that have the potential to be released with stormwater discharges.

Site – for the purposes of part 2.3.6., the area extent of construction activities, including but not limited to the creation of new impervious cover and improvement of existing impervious cover (e.g. repaving not covered by 2.3.6.a.ii.4.d.)

Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System – all separate storm sewer systems that are (i) owned or operated by the United States, a State, city, town, borough, county, parish, district, association, or other public body (created by or pursuant to State law) having jurisdiction over disposal of sewage, industrial wastes, storm water, or other wastes, including special districts under State law such as a sewer district, flood control district, or drainage district, or similar entity or an Indian tribe or an authorized Indian tribal organization or a designated and approved management agency under section 208 of the CWA that discharges to waters of the United States, and (ii) not defined as "large" or "medium" municipal separate storm sewer system pursuant to paragraphs 40 CFR 122.26 (b)(4) and (b)(7), or designated under paragraph 40 126.26(a) (1)(v). This term includes systems similar to separate storm sewer systems in municipalities, such as systems at military bases, large hospital or prison complexes, and highways and other thoroughfares. This term does not include separate storm sewers in very discrete areas, such as individual buildings.

Small MS4 – means a small municipal separate storm sewer system.

Stormwater - stormwater runoff, snow melt runoff, and surface runoff and drainage.

Stormwater Discharges Associated with Construction Activity - a discharge of pollutants in stormwater runoff from areas where soil disturbing activities (e.g., clearing, grading, or excavating), construction materials, or equipment storage or maintenance (e.g., fill piles, borrow areas, concrete truck washout, fueling), or other industrial

stormwater directly related to the construction process (e.g., concrete or asphalt batch plants) are located. (See 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14)(x) and 40 CFR 122.26(b)(15).

Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity - the discharge from any conveyance that is used for collecting and conveying stormwater and that is directly related to manufacturing, processing or raw materials storage areas at an industrial plant. The term does not include discharges from facilities or activities excluded from the NPDES program under Part 122. For the categories of industries identified in this section, the term includes, but is not limited to, stormwater discharges from industrial plant yards; immediate access roads and rail lines used or traveled by carriers of raw materials, manufactured products, waste material, or by-products used or created by the facility; material handling sites; refuse sites; sites used for the application or disposal of process waste waters (as defined at part 401 of this chapter); sites used for the storage and maintenance of material handling equipment; sites used for residual treatment, storage, or disposal; shipping and receiving areas; manufacturing buildings; storage areas (including tank farms) for raw materials, and intermediate and final products; and areas where industrial activity has taken place in the past and significant materials remain and are exposed to stormwater. For the purposes of this paragraph, material handling activities include storage, loading and unloading, transportation, or conveyance of any raw material, intermediate product, final product, by-product or waste product. The term excludes areas located on plant lands separate from the plant's industrial activities, such as office buildings and accompanying parking lots as long as the drainage from the excluded areas is not mixed with stormwater drained from the above described areas. Industrial facilities include those that are federally, State, or municipally owned or operated that meet the description of the facilities listed in Appendix D of this permit. The term also includes those facilities designated under the provisions of 40 CFR 122.26(a)(1)(v).

Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) - A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a pollutant that a waterbody can receive and still meet water quality standards, and an allocation of that amount to the pollutant's sources. A TMDL includes wasteload allocations (WLAs) for point source discharges, load allocations (LAs) for nonpoint sources and/or natural background, and must include a margin of safety (MOS) and account for seasonal variations. (See section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act and 40 CFR 130.2 and 130.7).

Urbanized Area – US Census designated area comprised of a densely settled core of census tracts and/or census blocks that meet minimum population density requirements, along with adjacent territory containing non-residential urban land uses as well as territory with low population density included to link outlying densely settled territory with the densely settled core. For the purposes of this permit, Urbanized Areas as defined by any Census since 2000 remain subject to stormwater regulation even if there is a change in the reach of the Urbanized Area because of a change in more recent Census data.

Water Quality Limited Water – for the purposes of this permit, a water quality limited water is any waterbody that does not meet applicable water quality standards, including but not limited to waters listed in categories 5 or 4b on the Massachusetts Integrated Report of waters listed pursuant to Clean Water Act section 303(d) and 305(b).

Water Quality Standards - A water quality standard defines the water quality goals of a water body, or portion thereof, by designating the use or uses to be made of the water and by setting criteria necessary to protect the uses. States and EPA adopt WQS to protect public health or welfare, enhance the quality of water and serve the purposes of the Clean Water Act (See CWA sections 101(a)2 and 303(c)).

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

BMP – Best Management Practice

BPJ – Best Professional Judgment

CGP – Construction General Permit

CWA – Clean Water Act (or the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. §1251 *et seq*)

DCIA – Directly Connected Impervious Area

EPA – U. S. Environmental Protection Agency

ESA – Endangered Species Act

USFWS – U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service

IA – Impervious Area

IDDE – Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination

LA – Load Allocations

MOS – Margin of Safety

MS4 – Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System

MSGP - Multi-Sector General Permit

NHPA – National Historic Preservation Act

NMFS – U. S. National Marine Fisheries Service

NOI – Notice of Intent

NPDES – National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

NRHP – National Register of Historic Places

NSPS – New Source Performance Standard

NTU – Nephelometric Turbidity Unit

PCP – Phosphorus Control Plan (pertaining to Charles River Watershed phosphorus

TMDL requirements only – Appendix F Part A.I)

LPCP – Lake Phosphorus Control Plan (pertaining to Lake or pond phosphorus TMDL requirements only – Appendix F Part A.II)

requirements only – Appendix 1 1 art A.11)

POTW – Publicly Owned Treatment Works

RCRA – Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

SHPO – State Historic Preservation Officer

SIC – Standard Industrial Classification

SPCC – Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure

SWMP – Stormwater Management Program

SWPPP – Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

TMDL – Total Maximum Daily Load

TSS – Total Suspended Solids

USGS – United States Geological Survey WLA – Wasteload Allocation

WQS – Water Quality Standard

Appendix B

Standard Permit Conditions

Standard Permit Conditions

Standard permit conditions in Appendix B are consistent with the general permit provisions required under 40 CFR 122.41.

B.1. Duty To Comply

You must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Clean Water Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or for denial of a permit renewal application.

- A. You must comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under section 307(a) of the Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under section 405(d) of the CWA within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions or standards for sewage sludge use or disposal, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.
- B. Penalties for Violations of Permit Conditions: The Director will adjust the civil and administrative penalties listed below in accordance with the Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule (61 FR 252, December 31, 1996, pp. 69359-69366, as corrected in 62 FR 54, March 20, 1997, pp.13514-13517) as mandated by the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996 for inflation on a periodic basis. This rule allows EPA's penalties to keep pace with inflation. The Agency is required to review its penalties at least once every 4 years thereafter and to adjust them as necessary for inflation according to a specified formula. The civil and administrative penalties following were adjusted for inflation starting in 1996.

1. *Criminal Penalties*.

- a. Negligent Violations. The CWA provides that any person who negligently violates permit conditions implementing Sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act is subject to criminal penalties of \$2,500 to \$25,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than one year, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a negligent violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than \$50,000 per day of violation or by imprisonment of not more than two years, or both.
- b. *Knowing Violations*. The CWA provides that any person who knowingly violates permit conditions implementing Sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act is subject to a fine of not less than \$5,000 nor more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than 3 years, or both. In the case of a

- second or subsequent conviction for a knowing violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than \$100,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than 6 years, or both.
- c. *Knowing Endangerment*. The CWA provides that any person who knowingly violates permit conditions implementing Sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act and who knows at that time that he or she is placing another person in imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury shall upon conviction be subject to a fine of not more than \$250,000 or by imprisonment of not more than 15 years, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a knowing endangerment violation, a person shall be subject to a fine of not more than \$500,000 or by imprisonment of not more than 30 years, or both. An organization, as defined in section 309(c)(3)(B)(iii) of the Act, shall, upon conviction of violating the imminent danger provision be subject to a fine of not more than \$1,000,000 and can fined up to \$2,000,000 for second or subsequent convictions.
- False Statement. The CWA provides that any person who falsifies, d. tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under this permit shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than 2 years, or both. If a conviction of a person is for a violation committed after a first conviction of such person under this paragraph, punishment is a fine of not more than \$20,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than 4 years, or both. The Act further provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this permit, including monitoring reports or reports of compliance or non-compliance shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than 6 months per violation, or by both.
- 2. Civil Penalties. The CWA provides that any person who violates a permit condition implementing Sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed the maximum amounts authorized by Section 309(d) of the Act and the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act (28 U.S.C. § 2461 note) as amended by the Debt Collection Improvement Act (31 U.S.C. § 3701 note) (currently \$32,500 per day for each violation).
- 3. *Administrative Penalties.* The CWA provides that any person who violates a permit condition implementing Sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act is subject to an administrative penalty, as follows:

- 3.1. Class I Penalty. Not to exceed the maximum amounts authorized by Section 309(g)(2)(A) of the Act and the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act (28 U.S.C. § 2461 note) as amended by the Debt Collection Improvement Act (31 U.S.C. § 3701 note) (currently \$11,000 per violation, with the maximum amount of any Class I penalty assessed not to exceed \$32,500).
- 3.2. Class II Penalty. Not to exceed the maximum amounts authorized by Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the Act and the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act (28 U.S.C. § 2461 note) as amended by the Debt Collection Improvement Act (31 U.S.C. § 3701 note) (currently \$11,000 per day for each day during which the violation continues, with the maximum amount of any Class II penalty not to exceed \$157,500).

B.2. Duty to Reapply

If you wish to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, you must apply for and obtain a new permit.

B.3. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense

It shall not be a defense for you in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.

B.4. Duty to Mitigate

You must take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this permit which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.

B.5. Proper Operation and Maintenance

You must at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by you to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit, including the requirements of your SWPPP. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by you only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit.

B.6. Permit Actions

This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. Your filing of a request for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any permit condition.

B.7. Property Rights

This permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privileges.

B.8. Duty to Provide Information

You must furnish to EPA or an authorized representative (including an authorized contractor acting as a representative of EPA), within a reasonable time, any information which EPA may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit or to determine compliance with this permit. You must also furnish to EPA upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.

B.9. Inspection and Entry

You must allow EPA or an authorized representative (including an authorized contractor acting as a representative of EPA), upon presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:

- A. Enter upon your premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- B. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- C. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
- D. Sample or monitor at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the Clean Water Act, any substances or parameters at any location.

B.10. Monitoring and Records

- A. Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring must be representative of the volume and nature of the monitored activity.
- B. You must retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit, for a period of at least three years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. This period may be extended by request of EPA at any time.
- C. Records of monitoring information must include:
 - 1. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
 - 2. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
 - 3. The date(s) analyses were performed

- 4. The individual(s) who performed the analyses;
- 5. The analytical techniques or methods used; and
- 6. The results of such analyses.
- D. Monitoring results must be conducted according to test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136 or, in the case of sludge use or disposal, approved under 40 CFR Part 136 unless otherwise specified in 40 CFR Part 503, unless other test procedures have been specified in the permit.
- E. The Clean Water Act provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under this permit shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than 2 years, or both. If a conviction of a person is for a violation committed after a first conviction of such person under this paragraph, punishment is a fine of not more than \$20,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than 4 years, or both.

B.11. Signatory Requirements

- A. All applications, including NOIs, must be signed as follows:
 - For a corporation: By a responsible corporate officer. For the purpose of this subsection, a responsible corporate officer means: (i) a president, secretary, treasurer, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy- or decision-making functions for the corporation, or (ii) the manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities, provided, the manager is authorized to make management decisions which govern the operation of the regulated facility including having the explicit or implicit duty of making major capital investment recommendations, and initiating and directing other comprehensive measures to assure long term environmental compliance with environmental laws and regulations; the manager can ensure that the necessary systems are established or actions taken to gather complete and accurate information for permit application requirements; and where authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures.
 - 2. For a partnership or sole proprietorship: By a general partner or the proprietor, respectively; or
 - 3. For a municipality, state, federal, or other public agency: By either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For purposes of this subsection, a principal executive officer of a federal agency includes (i) the chief executive officer of the agency, or (ii) a senior executive officer having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency (e.g., Regional Administrator of EPA).

- B. All reports, including SWPPPs, inspection reports, annual reports, monitoring reports, reports on training and other information required by this permit must be signed by a person described in Appendix B, Subsection 11.A above or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:
 - 1. The authorization is made in writing by a person described in Appendix B, Subsection 11.A;
 - 2. The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position); and
 - 3. The signed and dated written authorization is included in the SWPPP. A copy must be submitted to EPA, if requested.
- C. Changes to Authorization. If an authorization under Appendix B, Subsection 11.B is no longer accurate because a different operator has responsibility for the overall operation of the industrial facility, a new NOI satisfying the requirements of Subsection 11.B must be submitted to EPA prior to or together with any reports, information, or applications to be signed by an authorized representative.
- D. Any person signing documents required under the terms of this permit must include the following certification:
 - "I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."
- E. The CWA provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this permit, including monitoring reports or reports of compliance or non-compliance shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than 6 months per violation, or by both.

B.12. Reporting Requirements

- A. Planned changes. You must give notice to EPA as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility. Notice is required only when:
 - 1. The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source in 40 CFR §122.29(b); or
 - 2. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants which are subject neither to effluent limitations in the permit, nor to notification requirements under 40 CFR §122.42(a)(1).
- B. Anticipated noncompliance. You must give advance notice to EPA of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements.
- C. Transfers. This permit is not transferable to any person except after notice to EPA. EPA may require modification or revocation and reissuance of the permit to change the name of the permittee and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under the Clean Water Act. (See 40 CFR §122.61; in some cases, modification or revocation and reissuance is mandatory.)
- D. Monitoring reports. Monitoring results must be reported at the intervals specified elsewhere in this permit.
 - 1. Monitoring results must be reported on a Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) or forms (paper or electronic) provided or specified by EPA for reporting results of monitoring of sludge use or disposal practices.
 - 2. If you monitor any pollutant more frequently than required by the permit using test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136 or, in the case of sludge use or disposal, approved under 40 CFR Part 136 unless otherwise specified in 40 CFR Part 503, or as specified in the permit, the results of this monitoring must be included in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the DMR or sludge reporting form specified by EPA.
 - 3. Calculations for all limitations which require averaging of measurements must use an arithmetic mean and non-detected results must be incorporated in calculations as the limit of quantitation for the analysis.
- E. Compliance schedules. Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of this permit must be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date.
- F. Twenty-four hour reporting.
 - 1. You must report any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment. Any information must be provided orally within 24 hours

from the time you become aware of the circumstances. A written submission must also be provided within five days of the time you become aware of the circumstances. The written submission must contain a description of the noncompliance and its cause; the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times, and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue; and steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance.

- 2. The following shall be included as information which must be reported within 24 hours under this paragraph.
 - a. Any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit. (See 40 CFR §122.41(g).)
 - b. Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit
 - c. Violation of a maximum daily discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed by EPA in the permit to be reported within 24 hours. (See 40 CFR §122.44(g).)
- 3. EPA may waive the written report on a case-by-case basis for reports under Appendix B, Subsection 12.F.2 if the oral report has been received within 24 hours.
- G. Other noncompliance. You must report all instances of noncompliance not reported under Appendix B, Subsections 12.D, 12.E, and 12.F, at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports must contain the information listed in Appendix B, Subsection 12.F.
- H. Other information. Where you become aware that you failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Permitting Authority, you must promptly submit such facts or information.

B.13. Bypass

- A. Definitions.
 - 1. Bypass means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility
 - 2. Severe property damage means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production.
- B. Bypass not exceeding limitations. You may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential

maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions of Appendix B, Subsections 13.C and 13.D.

C. Notice.

- 1. Anticipated bypass. If you know in advance of the need for a bypass, you must submit prior notice, if possible at least ten days before the date of the bypass.
- 2. Unanticipated bypass. You must submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required in Appendix B, Subsection 12.F (24-hour notice).

D. Prohibition of bypass.

- 1. Bypass is prohibited, and EPA may take enforcement action against you for bypass, unless:
 - a. Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;
 - b. There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance; and
 - c. You submitted notices as required under Appendix B, Subsection 13.C.
- 2. EPA may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if EPA determines that it will meet the three conditions listed above in Appendix B, Subsection 13.D.1.

B.14. Upset

- A. Definition. Upset means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond your reasonable control. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation.
- B. Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of Appendix B, Subsection 14.C are met. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review.

- C. Conditions necessary for a demonstration of upset. A permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset must demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that:
 - 1. An upset occurred and that you can identify the cause(s) of the upset;
 - 2. The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated; and
 - 3. You submitted notice of the upset as required in Appendix B, Subsection 12.F.2.b (24 hour notice).
 - 4. You complied with any remedial measures required under Appendix B, Subsection 4.
- D. Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding, you, as the one seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset, has the burden of proof.

APPENDIX C ENDANGERED SPECIES GUIDANCE

A. Background

In order to meet its obligations under the Clean Water Act and the Endangered Species Act (ESA), and to promote the goals of those Acts, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is seeking to ensure the activities regulated by this general permit do not adversely affect endangered and threatened species or critical habitat. Applicants applying for permit coverage must assess the impacts of their stormwater discharges and discharge-related activities on federally listed endangered and threatened species ("listed species") and designated critical habitat ("critical habitat") to ensure that those goals are met. Prior to obtaining general permit coverage, applicants must meet the ESA eligibility provisions of this permit by following the steps in this Appendix¹.

Applicants also have an independent ESA obligation to ensure that their activities do not result in any prohibited "take" of listed species¹². The term "Take" is used in the ESA to include harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, collect, or attempt to engage in any such conduct. "Harm" is further defined to include significant habitat modification or degradation that results in death or injury to listed species by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns including breeding, feeding, or sheltering. "Harass" is defined as intentional or negligent actions that create the likelihood of injury to listed species to such an extent as to significantly disrupt normal behavior patterns which include, but are not limited to, breeding, feeding, or sheltering. Many of the measures required in this general permit and in these instructions to protect species may also assist in ensuring that the applicant's activities do not result in a prohibited take of species in violation of section 9 of the ESA. If the applicant has plans or activities in an area where endangered and threatened species are located, they may wish to ensure that they are protected from potential take liability under ESA section 9 by obtaining an ESA section 10 permit or by requesting formal consultation under ESA section 7. Applicants that are unsure whether to pursue a section 10 permit or a section 7 consultation for takings protection should confer with the appropriate United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) office or the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), (jointly the Services).

Currently, there are 20 species of concern for applicants applying for permit coverage, namely the Dwarf wedgemussel (Alasmidonta heterodon), Northeastern bulrush (Scirpus ancistrochaetus), Sandplain gerardia (Agalinis acuta), Piping Plover (Charadrius melodus), Roseate Tern (Sterna dougallii), Northern Red-bellied cooter (Pseudemys rubriventis), Bog Turtle (Glyptemys muhlenbergii), Small whorled Pogonia (Isotria medeoloides), Puritan tiger beetle (Cicindela puritana), American burying beetle (Nicrophorus americanus), Northeastern beach tiger beetle (Cicindela dorsalis), Northern Long-eared Bat (Myotis septentriolis)Atlantic Sturgeon (Acipenser oxyrinchus), Shortnose Sturgeon (Acipenser brevirostrum), North Atlantic Right Whale (Eubalaena glacialis) Humpback Whale (Megaptera novaengliae), Fin Whale (Balaenoptera physalus), Kemp's Ridley Sea Turtle (Lepidochelys kempii), Loggerhead Sea Turtle (Caretta caretta), Leatherback Sea Turtle (Dermochelys coriacea), and the Green Turtle (Chelonia

¹ EPA strongly encourages applicants to begin this process at the earliest possible stage to ensure the notification requirements for general permit coverage are complete upon Notice of Intent (NOI) submission.

² Section 9 of the ESA prohibits any person from "taking" a listed species (e.g. harassing or harming it) unless: (1) the taking is authorized through an "incidental take statement" as part of completion of formal consultation according to ESA section 7; (2) where an incidental take permit is obtained under ESA section 10 (which requires the development of a habitat conversion plan; or (3) where otherwise authorized or exempted under the ESA. This prohibition applies to all entities including private individuals, businesses, and governments.

mydas). The Atlantic Sturgeon, Shortnose Sturgeon, North Atlantic Right Whale, Humpback Whale, Fin Whale, Loggerhead Sea Turtle, Kemp's Ridley Sea Turtle, Leatherback Sea Turtle and Green Turtle are listed under the jurisdiction of NMFS. The Dwarf wedgemussel, Northeastern bulrush, Sandplain gerardia, Piping Plover, Northern Red-bellied cooter, Bog Turtle, Small whorled Pogonia, Roseate Tern, Puritan tiger beetle, Northeastern beach tiger beetle, Northern Long-eared Bat and American burying beetle are listed under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Any applicant seeking coverage under this general permit, must consult with the Services where appropriate. When listed species are present, permit coverage is only available if EPA determines, or the applicant determines and EPA concurs, that the discharge or discharge related activities will have "no affect" on the listed species or critical habitat, or the applicant or EPA determines that the discharge or discharge related activities are "not likely to adversely affect" listed species or critical habitat and formal or informal consultation with the Services has been concluded and results in written concurrence by the Services that the discharge is "not likely to adversely affect" an endangered or threatened species or critical habitat.

EPA may designate the applicants as non-Federal representatives for the general permit for the purpose of carrying out formal or informal consultation with the Services (See 50 CFR §402.08 and §402.13). By terms of this permit, EPA has automatically designated operators as non-Federal representatives for the purpose of conducting formal or informal consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. EPA has not designated operators as non-Federal representatives for the purpose of conducting formal or informal consultation with the National Marine Fisheries Service. EPA has determined that discharges from MS4s are not likely to adversely affect listed species or critical habitat under the jurisdiction of the National Marine Fisheries Service. EPA has initiated informal consultation with the National Marine Fisheries Service on behalf of all permittees and no further action is required by permittees in order to fulfill ESA requirements of this permit related to species under the jurisdiction of NMFS

B. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service ESA Eligibility Process

Before submitting a notice of intent (NOI) for coverage by this permit, applicants must determine whether they meet the ESA eligibility criteria by following the steps in Section B of this Appendix. Applicants that cannot meet the eligibility criteria in Section B must apply for an individual permit.

The USFWS ESA eligibility requirements of this permit relating to the Dwarf wedgemussel, Northeastern bulrush, Sandplain gerardia, Piping Plover, Northern Red-bellied cooter, Bog Turtle, Small whorled Pogonia, Roseate Tern, Puritan tiger beetle, Northeastern beach tiger beetle, Northern Long-eared Bat and American burying beetle may be satisfied by documenting that one of the following criteria has been met:

USFWS Criterion A: No endangered or threatened species or critical habitat are in proximity

to the stormwater discharges or discharge related activities.

USFWS Criterion B: In the course of formal or informal consultation with the Fish and

Wildlife Service, under section 7 of the ESA, the consultation resulted in

either a no jeopardy opinion (formal consultation) or a written

concurrence by USFWS on a finding that the stormwater discharges and

discharge related activities are "not likely to adversely affect" listed species or critical habitat (informal consultation).

USFWS Criterion C:

Using the best scientific and commercial data available, the effect of the stormwater discharge and discharge related activities on listed species and critical habitat have been evaluated. Based on those evaluations, a determination is made by EPA, or by the applicant and affirmed by EPA, that the stormwater discharges and discharge related activities will have "no affect" on any federally threatened or endangered listed species or designated critical habitat under the jurisdiction of the USFWS.

1. The Steps to Determine if the USFWS ESA Eligibility Criteria Can Be Met

To determine eligibility, you must assess the potential effects of your known stormwater discharges and discharge related activities on listed species or critical habitat, PRIOR to completing and submitting a Notice of Intent (NOI). You must follow the steps outlined below and document the results of your eligibility determination.

Step 1 - Determine if you can meet USFWS Criterion A

USFWS Criterion A:

You can certify eligibility, according to USFWS Criterion A, for coverage by this permit if, upon completing the Information, Planning, and Conservation (IPaC) online system process, you printed and saved the preliminary determination which indicated that federally listed species or designated critical habitats are not present in the action area. See Attachment 1 to Appendix C for instructions on how to use IPaC.

If you have met USFWS Criterion A skip to Step # 4.

If you have not met USFWS Criterion A, go to Step # 2.

Step 2 – Determine if You Can Meet Eligibility USFWS Criteria B

USFWS Criterion B: You can certify eligibility according to USFWS Criteria B for coverage by this permit if you answer "Yes" to **all** of the following questions:

- 1) Does your action area contain one or more of the following species: Sandplain gerardia, Small whorled Pogonia, American burying beetle, Dwarf wedgemussel, Northeastern bulrush, Piping Plover, Northern Red-bellied cooter, Bog Turtle, Roseate Tern, Puritan tiger beetle, and Northeastern beach tiger beetle? AND
- 2) Did your assessment of the discharge and discharge related activities indicate that the discharge or discharge related activities "may affect" or are "not likely to adversely affect" listed species or critical habitat? AND
- 3) Did you contact the USFWS and did the formal or informal consultation result in either a "no jeopardy" opinion by the USFWS (for formal consultation) or concurrence by the

USFWS that your activities would be "not likely to adversely affect" listed species or critical habitat (for informal consultation)?

AND

- 4) Do you agree to implement all measures upon which the consultation was conditioned?
- 5) Do you agree that if, during the course of the permit term, you plan to install a structural BMP not identified in the NOI that you will re-initiate informal or formal consultation with USFWS as necessary?

Use the guidance below Step 3 to understand effects determination and to answer these questions.

If you answered "Yes" to all four questions above, you have met eligibility USFWS Criteria B. Skip to Step 4.

If you answered "No" to any of the four questions above, go to Step 3.

Step 3 – Determine if You Can Meet Eligibility USFWS Criterion C

USFWS Criterion C: You can certify eligibility according to USFWS Criterion C for coverage by this permit if you answer "Yes" to both of the following question:

- 1) Does your action area contain one or more of the following species: Northern Long-eared Bat, Sandplain gerardia, Small whorled Pogonia and/or American burying beetle and does not contain one any following species: Dwarf wedgemussel, Northeastern bulrush, Piping Plover, Northern Red-bellied cooter, Bog Turtle, Roseate Tern, Puritan tiger beetle, and Northeastern beach tiger beetle?³
 OR
- 2) Did the assessment of your discharge and discharge related activities and indicate that there would be "no affect" on listed species or critical habitat and EPA provided concurrence with your determination?
- 3) Do you agree that if, during the course of the permit term, you plan to install a structural BMP not identified in the NOI that you will to conduct an endangered species screening for the proposed site and contact the USFWS if you determine that the new activity "may affect" or is "not likely to adversely affect" listed species or critical habitat under the jurisdiction of the USFWS.

Use the guidance below to understand effects determination and to answer these questions.

If you answered "Yes" to both the question above, you have met eligibility USFWS Criterion C. Go to Step 4.

If you answered "No" to either of the questions above, you are not eligible for coverage by this permit. You must submit an application for an individual permit for your stormwater discharges. (See 40 CFR 122.21).

USFWS Effects Determination Guidance:

Page 4 of 7

If you are unable to certify eligibility under USFWS Criterion A, you must assess whether your stormwater discharges and discharge-related activities "may affect", will have "no affect" or are "not likely to adversely affect" listed species or critical habitat. "Discharge-related activities" include: activities which cause, contribute to, or result in point source stormwater pollutant discharges; and measures to provide treatment for stormwater discharges including the siting, construction and operational procedures to control, reduce or prevent water pollution. Please be aware that no protection from incidental take liability is provided under this criterion.

The scope of effects to consider will vary with each system. If you are having difficulty in determining whether your system is likely to cause adverse effects to a listed species or critical habitat, you should contact the USFWS for assistance. In order to complete the determination of effects it may be necessary to follow the formal or informal consultation procedures in section 7 of the ESA.

Upon completion of your assessment, document the results of your effects determination. If your results indicate that stormwater discharges or discharge related activities will have "no affect" on threatened or endangered species or critical habitat and EPA concurs with your determination, you are eligible under USFWS Criterion C of this Appendix. Your determination may be based on measures that you implement to avoid, eliminate, or minimized adverse effects.

If the determination is "May affect" or "not likely to adversely affect" you must contact the USFWS to discuss your findings and measures you could implement to avoid, eliminate, or minimize adverse effects. If you and the USFWS reach agreement on measures to avoid adverse effects, you are eligible under USFWS Criterion B. Any terms and/or conditions to protect listed species and critical habitat that you relied on in order to complete an adverse effects determination, must be incorporated into your Storm Water Management Program (required by this permit) and implemented in order to maintain permit eligibility.

If endangered species issues cannot be resolved: If you cannot reach agreement with the USFWS on measures to avoid or eliminate adverse effects then you are not eligible for coverage under this permit. You must seek coverage under an individual permit.

Effects from stormwater discharges and discharge-related activities which could pose an adverse effect include:

- Hydrological: Stormwater discharges may cause siltation, sedimentation, or induce other changes in receiving waters such as temperature, salinity or pH. These effects will vary with the amount of stormwater discharged and the volume and condition of the receiving water. Where a discharge constitutes a minute portion of the total volume of the receiving water, adverse hydrological effects are less likely.
- Habitat: Excavation, site development, grading and other surface disturbance activities, including the installation or placement of treatment equipment may adversely affect listed species or their habitat. Stormwater from the small MS4 may inundate a listed species habitat.

• *Toxicity:* In some cases, pollutants in the stormwater may have toxic effects on listed species.

Step 4 - Document Results of the Eligibility Determination

Once the USFWS ESA eligibility requirements have been met, you shall include documentation of USFWS ESA eligibility in the Storm Water Management Program required by the permit. Documentation for the various eligibility criteria are as follows:

- USFWS Criterion A: A copy of the IPaC generated preliminary determination letter
 indicating that no listed species or critical habitat is present within your action area. You shall
 also include a statement on how you determined that no listed species or critical habitat are in
 proximity to your stormwater system or discharges.
- USFWS Criterion B: A dated copy of the USFWS letter of concurrence on a finding of "no jeopardy" (for formal consultation) or "not likely to adversely affect" (for informal consultation) regarding the ESA section 7 consultation.
- USFWS Criterion C: A dated copy of the EPA concurrence with the operator's determination that the stormwater discharges and discharge-related activities will have "no affect" on listed species or critical habitat.

C. Submittal of Notice of Intent

Once the ESA eligibility requirements of Part C of this Appendix have been metyoumay submit the Notice of Intent indicating which Criterion you have met to be eligible for permit coverage. Signature and submittal of the NOI constitutes your certification, under penalty of law, of eligibility for permit coverage under 40 CFR 122.21.

D. Duty to Implement Terms and Conditions upon which Eligibility was Determined

You must comply with any terms and conditions imposed under the ESA eligibility requirements to ensure that your stormwater discharges and discharge related activities do not pose adverse effects or jeopardy to listed species and/or critical habitat. You must incorporate such terms and conditions into your Storm Water Management Program as required by this permit. If the ESA eligibility requirements of this permit cannot be met, then you may not receive coverage under this permit and must apply for an individual permit.

E. Services Information

United States Fish and Wildlife Service Office

National websites for Endangered Species Information:
Endangered Species home page: http://endangered.fws.gov
ESA Section 7 Consultations: http://endangered.fws.gov/consultation/index.html
Information, Planning, and Conservation System (IPAC): http://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/

U.S. FWS – Region 5 Supervisor New England Field Office U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services 70 Commercial Street, Suite 300 Concord, NH 03301

Natural Heritage Network

The Natural Heritage Network comprises 75 independent heritage program organizations located in all 50 states, 10 Canadian provinces, and 12 countries and territories located throughout Latin America and the Caribbean. These programs gather, manage, and distribute detailed information about the biological diversity found within their jurisdictions. Developers, businesses, and public agencies use natural heritage information to comply with environmental laws and to improve the environmental sensitivity of economic development projects. Local governments use the information to aid in land use planning.

The Natural Heritage Network is overseen by NatureServe, the Network's parent organization, and is accessible on-line at: http://www.natureserve.org/nhp/us_programs.htm, which provides websites and other access to a large number of specific biodiversity centers.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife IPaC system instructions

Use the following protocol to determine if any federally listed species or designated critical habitats under USFWS jurisdiction exist in your action area:

Enter your project specific information into the "Initial Project Scoping" feature of the Information, Planning, and Conservation (IPaC) system mapping tool, which can be found at the following location:

http://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/

- a. Indicate the action area¹ for the MS4 by either:
 - a. Drawing the boundary on the map or by uploading a shapefile. Select "Continue"
- c. Click on the "SEE RESOURCE LIST" button and on the next screen you can export a trust resources list. This will provided a list of natural resources of concern, which will include an Endangered Species Act Species list. You may also request an official species list under "REGULATORY DOCUMENTS" Save copies and retain for your records

The documentation used by a Federal action agency to initiate consultation should contain a description of the action area as defined in the Services' regulations and explained in the Services' consultation handbook. If the Services determine that the action area as defined by the action agency is incorrect, the Services should discuss their rationale with the agency or applicant, as appropriate. Reaching agreement on the description of the action area is desirable but ultimately the Services can only consult when an action area is defined properly under the regulations.

For storm water discharges or discharge related activities, the action area should encompass the following:

- The immediate vicinity of, or nearby, the point of discharge into receiving waters.
- The path or immediate area through which or over which storm water flows from the municipality to the point of discharge into the receiving water. This includes areas in the receiving water downstream from the point of discharge.
- Areas that may be impacted by construction or repair activities. This extends as far as effects related to noise (from construction equipment, power tools, etc.) and light (if work is performed at night) may reach.

The action area will vary with the size and location of the outfall pipe, the nature and quantity of the storm water discharges, and the type of receiving waters, among other factors.

¹ The action area is defined by regulation as all areas to be affected directly or indirectly by the action and not merely the immediate area involved in the action (50 CFR §402.02). This analysis is not limited to the "footprint" of the action nor is it limited by the Federal agency's authority. Rather, it is a biological determination of the reach of the proposed action on listed species. Subsequent analyses of the environmental baseline, effects of the action, and levels of incidental take are based upon the action area.

Appendix D National Historic Preservation Act Guidance

Background

Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of Federal "undertakings" on historic properties that are either listed on, or eligible for listing on, the National Register of Historic Places. The term federal "undertaking" is defined in the NHPA regulations to include a project, activity, or program of a federal agency including those carried out by or on behalf of a federal agency, those carried out with federal financial assistance, and those requiring a federal permit, license or approval. See 36 CFR 800.16(y). Historic properties are defined in the NHPA regulations to include prehistoric or historic districts, sites, buildings, structures, or objects that are included in, or are eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places. This term includes artifacts, records, and remains that are related to and located within such properties. See 36 CFR 800.16(1).

EPA's issuance of a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit is a federal undertaking within the meaning of the NHPA regulations and EPA has determined that the activities to be carried out under the general permit require review and consideration, in order to be in compliance with the federal historic preservation laws and regulations. Although individual submissions for authorization under the general permit do not constitute separate federal undertakings, the screening processes provides an appropriate site-specific means of addressing historic property issues in connection with EPA's issuance of the permit. To address any issues relating to historic properties in connection with the issuance of this permit, EPA has included a screening process for applicants to identify whether properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places are within the path of their discharges or discharge-related activities (including treatment systems or any BMPs relating to the discharge or treatment process) covered by this permit.

Applicants seeking authorization under this general permit must comply with applicable, State, Tribal, and local laws concerning the protection of historic properties and places and may be required to coordinate with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) and/or Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO) and others regarding effects of their discharges on historic properties.

Activities with No Potential to Have an Effect on Historic Properties

A determination that a federal undertaking has no potential to have an effect on historic properties fulfills an agency's obligations under NHPA. EPA has reason to believe that the vast majority of activities authorized under this general permit will have no potential effects on historic properties. This permit typically authorizes discharges from existing facilities and requires control of the pollutants discharged from the facility. EPA does not anticipate effects on historic properties from the pollutants in the authorized discharges. Thus, to the extent EPA's issuance of this general permit authorizes discharges of such constituents, confined to existing channels, outfalls or natural drainage areas, the permitting action does not have the potential to cause effects on historical properties.

In addition, the overwhelming majority of sources covered under this permit will be facilities that are seeking renewal of previous permit authorization. These existing dischargers should have already addressed NHPA issues in the previous general permit as they were required to certify that they were either not affecting historic properties or they had obtained written agreement from

the applicable SHPO or THPO regarding methods of mitigating potential impacts. To the extent this permit authorizes renewal of prior coverage without relevant changes in operations the discharge has no potential to have an effect on historic properties.

Activities with Potential to Have an Effect on Historic Properties

EPA believes this permit may have some potential to have an effect on historic properties the applicant undertakes the construction and/or installation of control measures that involve subsurface disturbance that involves less than 1 acre of land. (Ground disturbances of 1 acre or more require coverage under the Construction General Permit.) Where there is disturbance of land through the construction and/or installation of control measures, there is a possibility that artifacts, records, or remains associated with historic properties could be impacted. Therefore, if the applicant is establishing new or altering existing control measures to manage their discharge that will involve subsurface ground disturbance of less than 1 acre, they will need to ensure (1) that historic properties will not be impacted by their activities or (2) that they are in compliance with a written agreement with the SHPO, THPO, or other tribal representative that outlines all measures the applicant will carry out to mitigate or prevent any adverse effects on historic properties.

Examples of Control Measures Which Involve Subsurface Disturbance

The type of control measures that are presumptively expected to cause subsurface ground disturbance include:

- Dikes
- Berms
- Catch basins, drainage inlets
- Ponds, bioretention areas
- Ditches, trenches, channels, swales
- Culverts, pipes
- Land manipulation; contouring, sloping, and grading
- Perimeter Drains
- Installation of manufactured treatment devices

EPA cautions applicants that this list is non-inclusive. Other control measures that involve earth disturbing activities that are not on this list must also be examined for the potential to affect historic properties.

Certification

Upon completion of this screening process the applicant shall certify eligibility for this permit using one of the following criteria on their Notice of Intent for permit coverage:

Criterion A: The discharges do not have the potential to cause effects on historic properties.

Criterion B: A historic survey was conducted. The survey concluded that no historic properties are present. Discharges do not have the potential to cause effects on historic properties.

Criterion C: The discharges and discharge related activities have the potential to have an effect on historic properties, and the applicant has obtained and is in compliance with a written agreement with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (TPHO), or other tribal representative that outlines measures the applicant will carry out to mitigate or prevent any adverse effects on historic properties.

Authorization under the general permit is available only if the applicant certifies and documents permit eligibility using one of the eligibility criteria listed above. Small MS4s that cannot meet any of the eligibility criteria in above must apply for an individual permit.

Screening Process

Applicants or their consultant need to answer the questions and follow the appropriate procedures below to assist EPA in compliance with 36 CFR 800.

Question 1: Is the facility an existing facility authorized by the previous permit or a new facility and the applicant is not undertaking any activity involving subsurface land disturbance less than an acre?

YES - The applicant should certify that fact in writing and file the statement with the EPA. This certification must be maintained as part of the records associated with the permit.

The applicant should certify eligibility for this permit using Criterion A on their Notice of Intent for permit coverage. The applicant does not need to contact the state Historic Commission. Based on that statement, EPA will document that the project has "no potential to cause effects" (36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)). There are no further obligations under the Section 106 regulations.

NO- Go to Question 2.

Question 2: Is the property listed in the National Register of Historic Places or have prior surveys or disturbances revealed the existence of a historic property or artifacts?

NO - The applicant should certify that fact in writing and file the statement with the EPA. This certification must be maintained as part of the records associated with the permit. The applicant should certify eligibility for this permit using Criterion B on their Notice of Intent for permit coverage. The applicant does not need to contact the state Historic Commission. Based on that statement, EPA will document that the project has "no potential to cause effects" (36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)). There are no further obligations under the Section 106 regulations.

- *YES* The applicant or their consultant should prepare a complete information submittal to the SHPO. The submittal consists of:
 - Completed Project Notification Form- forms available at http://www.sec.state.ma.us/mhc/mhcform/formidx.htm;

- •USGS map section with the actual project boundaries clearly indicated; and
- Scaled project plans showing existing and proposed conditions.
- (1) Please note that the SHPO does not accept email for review. Please mail a paper copy of your submittal (Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested) or deliver a paper copy of your submittal (and obtain a receipt) to:

State Historic Preservation Officer Massachusetts Historical Commission 220 Morrissey Blvd. Boston MA 02125.

(2) Provide a copy of your submittal and the proof of MHC delivery showing the date MHC received your submittal to:

NPDES Permit Branch Chief US EPA Region 1 (OEP06-1) 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 Boston MA 02109-3912.

The SHPO will comment within thirty (30) days of receipt of complete submittals, and may ask for additional information. Consultation, as appropriate, will include EPA, the SHPO and other consulting parties (which includes the applicant). The steps in the federal regulations (36 CFR 800.2 to 800.6, etc.) will proceed as necessary to conclude the Section 106 review for the undertaking. The applicant should certify eligibility for this permit using Criterion C on their Notice of Intent for permit coverage.

Part I: General Conditions

| General Information |
|---|
| Name of Municipality or Organization: |
| EPA NPDES Permit Number: |
| Primary MS4 Program Manager Contact Information |
| Name: Title: |
| Street Address Line 1 |
| Street Address Line 2 |
| City State Zip Code 12345-6789 |
| Email: Phone Number: (123) 456-7890 |
| Fax Number: |
| Other Information |
| Check the box if your municipality or organization was covered under the 2003 MS4 General Permit |
| Stormwater Management Program (SWMP) Location (web address or physical location): |
| Eligibility Determination |
| Endangered Species Act (ESA) Determination Complete? Eligibility Criteria (check all that apply): |
| National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) Determination Complete? Eligibility Criteria (check all that apply): |
| MS4 Infrastructure (if covered under the 2003 permit) |
| Estimated Percent of Outfall Map Complete? (Part II,III,IV or V, Subpart B.3.(a.) of 2003 permit) If 100% of 2003 requirements not met, enter an estimated date of completion (MM/DD/YY): |
| Web address where MS4 map is published: |
| If outfall map is unavailable on the internet an electronic or paper copy of the outfall map must be included with NOI submission (see section V for submission options) |
| Regulatory Authorities (if covered under the 2003 permit) |
| Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE) Authority Adopted?: (Part II,III,IV or V, Subpart B.3.(b.) of 2003 permit) Effective Date or Estimated Date of Adoption (MM/DD/YY): |
| Construction/Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) Authority Adopted?: (Part II,III,IV or V, Subpart B.4.(a.) of 2003 permit) Effective Date or Estimated Date of Adoption (MM/DD/YY): |
| Post- Construction Stormwater Management Adopted?: (Part II,III,IV or V, Subpart B.5.(a.) of 2003 permit) Effective Date or Estimated Date of Adoption (MM/DD/YY): |

Part II: Summary of Receiving Waters

Please list the waterbody segments to which your MS4 discharges. For each waterbody segment, please report the number of outfalls discharging into it and, if applicable, any impairments.

For Massachusetts list of impaired waters click here: Massachusetts 2010 List of Impaired: Waters http://www.mass.gov/dep/water/resources/10list6.pdf

For New Hampshire list of impaired waters click here: New Hampshire Final 303(d) Materials: http://des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/swqa/2010/index.htm

Source of pollutants column should be completed with a preliminary source evaluation of pollutants for discharges to impaired waterbodies (see above 303(d) lists) without an approved TMDL in accordance with Section 2.2.2a of the permit

| Waterbody segment that receives flow from the MS4 | Number of outfalls into receiving water segment | Pollutant list (select one at a time to add) | Click impairment at left to add, or at right to remove | Pollutant(s) causing impairment, if applicable (select one at a time to remove) |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| | | Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved | Add/Remove | |
| | | Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved | Add/Remove | |
| | | Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved | Add/Remove | |
| | | Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved | Add/Remove | |

Page # of ##

| | | | Pag |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------|-----|
| Chlorophyll- Dissolved ox Escherichia o Mercury Nitrogen (To Oxygen, Diss | aygen saturation coli otal) | Add/Remove | |
| Chlorophyll- Dissolved ox Escherichia o Mercury Nitrogen (To Oxygen, Diss | aygen saturation coli otal) | Add/Remove | |
| Chlorophyll- Dissolved ox Escherichia o Mercury Nitrogen (To Oxygen, Diss | xygen saturation coli otal) | Add/Remove | |
| Chlorophyll- Dissolved ox Escherichia o Mercury Nitrogen (To Oxygen, Diss | aygen saturation coli otal) | Add/Remove | |
| Chlorophyll- Dissolved ox Escherichia o Mercury Nitrogen (To Oxygen, Diss | xygen saturation coli otal) | Add/Remove | |
| Chlorophyll- Dissolved ox Escherichia o Mercury Nitrogen (To | kygen saturation coli | Add/Remove | |

Page # of ##

| <u></u> | | | Pag |
|---------|---|------------|-----|
| | Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved | Add/Remove | |
| | Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved | Add/Remove | |
| | Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved | Add/Remove | |
| | Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved | Add/Remove | |
| | Chlorophyll-a Dissolved oxygen saturation Escherichia coli Mercury Nitrogen (Total) Oxygen, Dissolved | Add/Remove | |

Click to lengthen table

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary

Identify the Best Management Practices (BMPs) that will be employed to address each of the six Minimum Control Measures (MCMs). For municipalities/organizations whose MS4 discharges into a receiving water with an approved Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) and applicable waste lod allocation (WLA), identify any additional BMPs employed to specifically support the achievement of the WLA in the TMDL section at the end of Part III.

For each MCM list each existing or proposed BMP by category and provide a brief description, responsible parties/departments, measurable goals and the year the BMP will be employed (Public education and outreach BMPs also requires a target audience). **Use the drop-down menus in each table or enter your own text to override the drop down menu**

MCM 1: Public Education and Outreach

| BMP Media/Category (enter your own text to override the drop down menu) | BMP Description | Targeted Audience | Responsible Department/Parties (enter your own text to override the drop down menu) | Measurable Goal | Beginning Year of BMP implemen tation |
|---|-----------------|---|---|-----------------|---|
| · | | Residents | • | | _ |
| · | | Businesses, Institutions and Commercial Facilities | • | | · |
| • | | Developers (construction) | • | | _ |
| · | | Industrial Facilities | • | | • |
| • | | Residents | • | | _ |
| T | | Businesses, Institutions and Commercial Facilities | · | | · |
| _ | | Developers (construction) | · | | _ |
| · | | Industrial Facilities | · | | _ |
| · | | • | • | | _ |
| v | | • | • | | _ |
| · | | • | • | | • |
| · | | • | • | | • |
| • | | • | • | | |
| | | | • | | |
| | | • | • | | |
| | | • | • | | |
| • | | • | • | | _ |
| · | | • | • | | _ |

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary

MCM 2: Public Involvement and Participation

| BMP Categorization | Brief BMP Description (enter your own text to override the drop down menu) | Responsible Department/ Parties | Additional Description/ Measurable Goal | Beginning Year of BMP implement ation |
|----------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|---|
| Public Review | SWMP Review | • | | _ |
| Public Participation | · | · | | • |
| • | · | · | | _ |
| • | v | v | | • |
| • | • | v | | _ |
| • | • | | | _ |
| • | _ | · | | _ |
| • | V | | | _ |
| • | _ | | | • |
| • | _ | · | | • |
| • | _ | | | _ |
| | | • | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| <u></u> | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | | |
| • | | | | |
| • | • | _ | | • |

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary

MCM 3: Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE)

| BMP Categorization (enter your own text to override the drop down menu) | BMP Description | Responsible Department/Parties (enter your own text to override the drop down menu) | Measurable Goal (all text can be overwritten) |
|---|-----------------|---|--|
| SSO inventory | | | Develop SSO inventory within 1 year of effective date of permit |
| Storm sewer system map | | • | Update map within 2 years of effective date of permit and complete full system map 10 years after effective date of permit |
| Written IDDE program development | | · · | Complete within 1.5 years of the effective date of permit |
| Implement IDDE Program | | _ | Implement catchment investigations according to program and permit conditions |
| Employee Training | | · | Train annually |
| Conduct dry weather screening | | | Conduct in accordance with outfall screening procedure and permit conditions |
| Conduct wet weather screening | | | Conduct in accordance with outfall screening procedure and permit conditions |
| | | V | |
| | | | |
| | | • | |
| | | • | |
| | | v | |
| | | · | |
| | | · | |
| | | · | |
| | | · | |
| | | Ţ | |
| | | v | |
| | | | |

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary

MCM 4: Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control

| BMP Categorization (enter your own text to override the drop down menu or entered text) | BMP Description | Responsible Department/ Parties (enter your own text to override the drop down menu) | Measurable Goal (all text can be overwritten) | Beginning Year of BMP implemen tation |
|--|--|--|---|---|
| Site inspection and enforcement of Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) measures | Complete written procedures of site inspections and enforcement procedures | | Complete by the end of Year 1 | |
| Site plan review | Complete written procedures of site plan review and begin implementation | | Complete by the end of Year 1 | • |
| Erosion and Sediment Control | Adoption of requirements for construction operators to implement a sediment and erosion control program | · · | | • |
| Waste Control | Adoption of requirements to control wastes, including but not limited to, discarded building materials, concrete truck wash out, chemicals, litter, and sanitary wastes. | v | | v |
| • | | · | | • |
| | | | | • |
| | | | | • |
| <u> </u> | | Ţ. | | • |
| <u> </u> | | | | _ |
| _ | | · · | | • |
| | | | | • |
| | | | | • |
| | | | | • |
| | | <u> </u> | | • |
| | | • | | • |
| | | · | | • |

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary

MCM 5: Post-Construction Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment

| BMP Categorization (enter your own text to override the drop down menu or entered text) | BMP Description | Responsible Department/ Parties (enter your own text to override the drop down menu) | Measurable Goal (all text can be overwritten) | Beginning Year of BMP implemen tation |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| As-built plans for on-site stormwater control | The procedures to require submission of asbuilt drawings and ensure long term operation and maintenance will be a part of the SWMP. | • | Require submission of as-built plans for completed projects | • |
| Inventory and priority ranking of MS4- owned properties that may be retrofitted with BMPs | Conduct detailed inventory of MS4 owned properties and rank for retrofit potential | • | Complete 4 years after permit effective date | • |
| Allow green infrastructure | Develop a report assessing existing local regulations to determine the feasibility of making green infrastructure practices allowable when appropriate site conditions exist | • | Complete 4 years after permit effective date | • |
| Street design and parking lot guidelines | Develop a report assessing requirements that affect the creation of impervious cover. The assessment will help determine if changes to design standards for streets and parking lots can be modified to support low impact design options. | • | Complete 4 years after permit effective date | • |
| Ensure any stormwater controls or management practices for new development and redevelopment will prevent or minimize impacts to water quality. | Adoption, amendment or modification of a regulatory mechanism to meet permit requirements | • | Complete 2 years after permit effective date | • |
| | | | | • |
| | | | | _ |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | <u> </u> | | |
| • | | _ | | • |

Page # of ##

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary

MCM 6: Municipal Good Housekeeping and Pollution Prevention

| BMP Categorization (enter your own text to override the drop down menu or entered text) | BMP Description | Responsible Department/ Parties (enter your own text to override the drop down menu) | Measurable Goal (all text can be overwritten) | Beginning Year of BMP implemen tation |
|--|-----------------|--|--|---|
| Create written O&M procedures for parks and open spaces, buildings and facilities, and vehicles and equipment | | | Complete 2 years after permit effective date | • |
| Inventory all permittee-owned parks and open spaces, buildings and facilities (including their storm drains), and vehicles and equipment | | | Complete 2 years after permit effective date | • |
| Establish and implement program for repair and rehabilitation of MS4 infrastructure | | | Complete 2 years after permit effective date | • |
| Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for maintenance garages, transfer stations and other waste- handling facilities | | | Complete 2 years after permit effective date | · |
| Catch Basin Cleaning | | • | | • |
| Street Sweeping Program | | · · | | _ |
| Road Salt use optimization program | | Ţ | | • |
| | | · | | - |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | _ | | _ |
| | | | | |
| | | | | • |
| • | | • | | • |
| • | | • | | _ |
| | | • | | • |

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary

Actions for meeting Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Requirements

Use the drop-down menus to select the best categorization of your BMP and responsible party. If no options are applicable, or more than one, **enter your own text to override drop-down menus.**

| Thore than one, enter your own text to over | • | |
|---|--------------------|--|
| Applicable TMDL | Action Description | Responsible Department/ Parties (enter your own text to override the drop down menu) |
| v | • | • |
| | • | • |
| | • | • |
| • | • | • |
| V | • | • |
| V | • | • |
| v | • | • |
| • | • | • |
| • | • | • |
| • | • | • |
| V | • | • |
| • | • | • |
| V | • | • |
| V | • | • |
| V | • | • |
| | • | V |
| | • | V |
| | • | · |
| v | • | v |
| V | • | • |

Use the drop-down menus to select the Pollutant causing the water quality limitation and enter the waterbody ID(s) experiencing excursions above water quality standards for that pollutant. Choose the action description from the dropdown menu and indicate the responsible party. If no options are applicable, or more than one, **enter your own text to override drop-down menus.**

Actions for meeting Requirements Related to water Quality Limited Waters

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary

Notice of Intent (NOI) for coverage under Small MS4 General Permit (continued)

| Pollutant | Waterbody ID(s) | Action Description | Responsible Department/Parties (enter your own text to override the drop down menu) |
|-----------|-----------------|--------------------|---|
| • | | • | • |
| • | | • | • |
| | | • | • |
| <u> </u> | | • | <u> </u> |
| • | | • | • |
| • | | • | |
| • | | • | • |
| • | | <u> </u> | • |
| • | | <u> </u> | · |
| • | | • | v |
| • | | • | v |
| • | | • | • |
| • | | • | V |
| • | | _ | |
| <u> </u> | | • | |
| • | | • | V |
| • | | • | • |
| • | | • | · |
| • | | • | _ |
| <u> </u> | | • | v |

Part IV: Notes and additional information

Use the space below to provide any additional information about your MS4 program

| Click to add text | |
|-------------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Part V: Certification

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, I certify that the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

| Name: | Title: | |
|-----------------|--------|--|
| Signature Field | Date: | |

NOI Submission

Please submit the form electronically via email using the "submit by Email" button below or send in a CD with your completed NOI. You may also print and submit via mail at the address below if you choose not to submit electronically. Outfall map required in Part I of the NOI (if applicable) can be submitted electronically as an email attachment OR as a paper copy.

Permittees that choose to submit their NOI electronically by email or by mailing a CD with the completed NOI form to EPA, will be able to download a partially filled Year 1 Annual Report at a later date from EPA.

| Submit by Email | Submit by email using this button. Or, send an email with attachments to: stormwater.reports@epa.go |
|-----------------|--|
| | |

Save NOI for your records

EPA Submittal Address:

United States Environmental Protection Agency
5 Post Office Square - Suite 100
Mail Code - OEP06-1
Boston, Massachusetts 02109-3912
ATTN: Newton Tedder

State Submittal Address

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
One Winter Street - 5th Floor
Boston, MA 02108
ATTN: Fred Civian

Appendix F MA MS4 General Permit

APPENDIX F
Requirements for Discharges to Impaired Waters with an Approved TMDL

Table of Contents

| A. Requirements for Discharges to Impaired Waters with an Approved MassDEP In TMDL | |
|--|----|
| I. Charles River Watershed Phosphorus TMDL Requirements | 2 |
| II. Lake and Pond Phosphorus TMDL Requirements | 18 |
| III. Bacteria and Pathogen TMDL Requirements | 27 |
| IV. Cape Cod Nitrogen TMDL Requirements | 40 |
| V. Assabet River Phosphorus TMDL Requirements | 44 |
| B. Requirements for Discharges to Impaired Waters with an Approved Out of State TMDL | |
| I. Nitrogen TMDL Requirements | 47 |
| II. Phosphorus TMDL Requirements | 51 |
| III. Bacteria and Pathogen TMDL Requirements | 55 |
| IV. Metals TMDL Requirements | 58 |
| C. Requirements for Discharges to Impaired Waters with a Regional TMDL | 61 |
| I. The "Northeast Regional Mercury TMDL (2007)" | 61 |
| Attachment 1 – Method To Calculate Baseline Watershed Phosphorus Load For Lake And Phosphorus TMDLs (Applicable To part II Of Appendix F Only) And Me To Calculate Increases in Phosphorus Load due to Development | |
| Attachment 2 – Phosphorus Reduction Credits For Selected Enhanced Non-Structural BMF | S |
| Attachment 3 - Phosphorus Reduction Credits For Selected Structural RMPs | |

A. Requirements for Discharges to Impaired Waters with an Approved MassDEP In State TMDL

I. Charles River Watershed Phosphorus TMDL Requirements

On October 17, 2007, EPA approved the *Final TMDL for Nutrients in the Lower Charles River Basin* (Lower Charles TMDL)¹ and on June 10, 2011 EPA approved the *Total Maximum Daily Load for Nutrients in the Upper/Middle Charles River* (Upper/Middle Charles TMDL)². The following phosphorus reduction requirements address phosphorus in MS4 discharges.

1. To address the discharge of phosphorus from its MS4, the permittee shall develop a Phosphorus Control Plan (PCP) designed to reduce the amount of phosphorus in stormwater (SW) discharges from its MS4 to the Charles River and its tributaries. The PCP shall be completed in phases and the permittee shall add it as an attachment to its written SWMP upon completion and report in annual reports pursuant to part 4.4 of the Permit on its progress toward achieving its Phosphorus Reduction Requirement. The PCP shall be developed and fully implemented as soon as possible but no later than 20 years after the permit effective date in accordance with the phases and schedule outlined below. Each Phase shall contain the elements required of each phase as described in parts a through c below. The timing of each phase over 20 years from the permit effective date is:

| 1-5 years after | 5-10 years after | 10-15 years after | 15-20 years after |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| permit effective | permit effective | permit effective | permit effective |
| date | date | date | date |
| Create Phase 1 | Implement Phase 1 | | |
| Plan | Plan | | |
| | Create Phase 2 | Implement Phase 2 | |
| | Plan | Plan | |
| | | Create Phase 3 | Implement Phase |
| | | Plan | 3 Plan |

a. Phase 1

1) The permittee shall complete a written Phase 1 plan of the PCP five years after the permit effective date and fully implement the Phase 1 plan of the PCP as soon as possible but no longer than 10 years after the permit effective date.

2) The Phase 1 plan of the PCP shall contain the following elements and has the following required milestones:

| Item Number | Phase 1 of the PCP Component and Milestones | Completion Date |
|----------------|---|-----------------|
| 1-1 | Legal analysis | 2 years after |
| | | permit |
| | | effective date |

¹ Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection. 2007. *Final TMDL for Nutrients in the Lower Charles River Basin*. CN 301.1

² Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection. 2011. *Total Maximum Daily Load for Nutrients in the Upper/Middle Charles River Basin, Massachusetts*. CN 272.0

| 1-2 | Funding source assessment. | 3 years after |
|------|--|-----------------------|
| | | permit |
| 1.2 | D. C. CROR (ROD 1) D. L. | effective date |
| 1-3 | Define scope of PCP (PCP Area) Baseline | 4 years after |
| | Phosphorus Load and Phosphorus Reduction | permit effective date |
| 1-4 | Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load | 5 years after |
| 1-4 | Description of Phase 1 planned nonstructural controls | permit |
| | Controls | effective date |
| 1-5 | Description of Phase 1 planned structural | 5 years after |
| | controls | permit |
| | Control | effective date |
| 1-6 | Description of Operation and Maintenance | 5 years after |
| | program for structural controls | permit |
| | | effective date |
| 1-7 | Phase 1 implementation schedule | 5 years after |
| | | permit |
| | | effective date |
| 1-8 | Estimated cost for implementing Phase 1 of the | 5 years after |
| | PCP | permit |
| 1.0 | C 1 NO | effective date |
| 1-9 | Complete Written Phase 1 PCP | 5 years after |
| | | permit effective date |
| 1-10 | Full implementation of nonstructural controls | 6 years after |
| 1-10 | 1 un implementation of honstructural controls | permit |
| | | effective date |
| 1-11 | Performance Evaluation | 6, and 7 years |
| | | after permit |
| | | effective date |
| 1-12 | 1. Performance Evaluation. | 8 years after |
| | 2. Full implementation of all structural controls | permit |
| | used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus | effective date |
| | export rate (P_{exp}) from the PCP Area in | |
| | mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable | |
| | Allowable Phosphorus Load(P _{allow}) plus the | |
| | applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.80 | |
| | | |
| 1-13 | $P_{exp} \le P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \ X \ 0.80)$ Performance Evaluation | 9 years after |
| 1-13 | 1 offormance Evaluation | permit |
| | | effective date |
| 1-14 | 1. Performance Evaluation. | 10 years after |
| | 2. Full implementation of all structural controls | permit |
| | used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus | effective date |
| | export rate (P_{exp}) from the PCP Area in | |
| | mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable | |
| | Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the | |
| | applicable Phosphorus Reduction | |
| | Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.75 | |

 $P_{exp} \le P_{allow} + (P_{RR} X 0.75)$

Table F-1:Phase 1 of the PCP components and Milestones

3) Description of Phase 1 PCP Components

<u>Legal Analysis</u>- The permittee shall develop and implement an analysis that identifies existing regulatory mechanisms available to the MS4 such as bylaws and ordinances, and describes any changes to regulatory mechanisms that may be necessary to effectively implement the entire PCP. This may include the creation or amendment of financial and regulatory authorities. The permittee shall adopt necessary regulatory changes by the end of the permit term.

<u>Funding source assessment</u> – The permittee shall describe known and anticipated funding mechanisms (e.g. general funding, enterprise funding, stormwater utilities) that will be used to fund PCP implementation. The permittee shall describe the steps it will take to implement its funding plan. This may include but is not limited to conceptual development, outreach to affected parties, and development of legal authorities.

Scope of the PCP, Baseline Phosphorus Load (Pbase), Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) and Allowable Phosphorus Load (P_{allow}) - The permittee shall indicate the area in which it plans to implement the PCP. The permittee must choose one of the following: (1) to implement its PCP in the entire area within its jurisdiction (for municipalities this would be the municipal boundary) within the Charles River Watershed; or (2) to implement its PCP only in the urbanized area portion of the permittee's jurisdiction within the Charles River Watershed. The implementation area selected by the permittee is known as the "PCP Area" for that permittee. Table F-2³ and Table F-3⁴ list the permittees subject to phosphorus reduction requirements along with the estimated Baseline Phosphorous Loads in mass/yr, the calculated Allowable Stormwater Phosphorus Load in mass/yr, the Stormwater Phosphorus Reduction Requirement in mass/yr and the respective percent reductions necessary. The two tables contain different reduction requirements for each permittee based on the PCP Area they choose (see above). If the permittee chooses to implement the PCP in its entire jurisdiction, the permittee may demonstrate compliance with the Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load requirements applicable to it through structural and non-structural controls on discharges that occur outside the regulated area. If the permittee chooses to implement the PCP in its regulated area only, the permittee must demonstrate compliance with the Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load requirements applicable to it through structural

³ The estimated Baseline Phosphorus Load, Allowable Phosphorus Load, Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and percent reductions presented in Table F-2 apply to the entire watershed land area that drains to the Charles River and its tributaries within the permittee's jurisdiction.

⁴ The estimated Baseline Phosphorus Load, Allowable Phosphorus Load, Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and percent reductions presented in Table F-3 apply only to the urbanized area portion of the permittee's jurisdiction that drains to the Charles River or its tributaries.

and non-structural controls on discharges that occur within the regulated area only.

The permittee shall select the Baseline Phosphorus Load, Stormwater Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load that corresponds to the PCP Area selected. The selected Stormwater Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load will be used to determine compliance with PCP milestones of this Phase and Phase 2 and Phase 3. If the permittee chooses to implement its PCP in all areas within its jurisdiction within the Charles River Watershed, then the permittee shall use Table F-2 to determine the Baseline Phosphorus Load, Stormwater Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load for its PCP Area. If the permittee chooses to implement its PCP only within the regulated area within the Charles River Watershed, then the permittee shall use Table F-3 to determine the Baseline Phosphorus Load, Stormwater Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load for its PCP Area.

The Permittee may submit more accurate land use data from 2005, which is the year chosen as the baseline land use for the purposes of permit compliance, for EPA to recalculate baseline phosphorus stormwater loads for use in future permit reissuances. Updated land use maps, land areas, characteristics, and MS4 area and catchment delineations shall be submitted to EPA along with the year 4 annual report in electronic GIS data layer form for consideration for future permit requirements⁵. Until such a time as future permit requirements reflect information submitted in the year 4 annual report, the permittee shall use the Baseline Phosphorus Load, Stormwater Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load Table F-2 (if its PCP Area is the permittee's entire jurisdiction) or Table F-3 (if its PCP Area is the regulated area only) to calculate compliance with milestones for Phase 1, 2, and 3 of the PCP.

Description of Phase 1 planned non-structural controls – The permittee shall describe the non-structural stormwater control measures necessary to support achievement of the phosphorus export milestones in Table F-1. The description of non-structural controls shall include the planned measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions that are expected to result from their implementation in units of mass/yr. Annual phosphorus reduction from non-structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F.

<u>Description of Phase 1 planned structural controls</u> – The permittee shall develop a priority ranking of areas and infrastructure within the municipality for potential implementation of structural phosphorus controls during Phase 1. The ranking shall be developed through the use of available

⁵ This submission is optional and needs only be done if the permittee has more accurate land use information from 2005 than information provided by MassGIS (http://www.mass.gov/anf/research-and-tech/it-serv-and-support/application-serv/office-of-geographic-information-massgis/datalayers/lus2005.html, retrieved 10/1/2013) or the permittee has updated MS4 drainage area characteristics and the permittee would like to update the Baseline Phosphorus Load.

screening and monitoring results collected during the permit term either by the permittee or another entity and the mapping required pursuant to part 2.3.4.6 of the Permit. The permittee shall also include in this priority ranking a detailed assessment of site suitability for potential phosphorus control measures based on soil types and other factors. The permittee shall coordinate this activity with the requirements of part 2.3.6.8.b of the Permit. A description and the results of this priority ranking shall be included in Phase 1 of the PCP. The permittee shall describe the structural stormwater control measures necessary to support achievement of the phosphorus export milestones in Table F-1. The description of structural controls shall include the planned and existing measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented or are currently implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions in units of mass/yr that are expected to result from their implementation. Structural measures to be implemented by a third party may be included in a municipal PCP. Annual phosphorus reductions from structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F.

Description of Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Program for all planned and existing structural BMPs – The permittee shall establish an Operation and Maintenance Program for all structural BMPs being claimed for phosphorus reduction credit as part of Phase 1 of the PCP. This includes BMPs implemented to date as well as BMPs to be implemented during Phase 1 of the PCP. The Operation and Maintenance Program shall become part of the PCP and include: (1) inspection and maintenance schedule for each BMP according to BMP design or manufacturer specification and (2) program or department responsible for BMP maintenance.

<u>Phase 1 Implementation Schedule</u> – A schedule for implementation of all planned Phase 1 BMPs, including, as appropriate: obtaining funding, training, purchasing, construction, inspections, monitoring, operation and maintenance activities, and other assessment and evaluation components of implementation. Implementation of planned BMPs must begin upon completion of the Phase 1 Plan, and all non-structural BMPs shall be fully implemented within six years of the permit effective date. Structural BMPs shall be designed and constructed to ensure the permittee will comply with the 8 and 10 year phosphorus load milestones established in Table F-1. The Phase 1 plan shall be fully implemented as soon as possible, but no later than 10 years after the effective date of permit.

<u>Estimated cost for implementing Phase 1 of the PCP – The permittee shall</u> estimate the cost of implementing the Phase 1 non-structural and structural controls and associated Operation and Maintenance Program. This cost estimate can be used to assess the validity of the funding source assessment completed by year 3 after the permit effective date and to update funding sources as necessary to complete Phase 1.

Complete written Phase 1 Plan – The permittee must complete the written Phase 1 Plan of the PCP no later than 5 years after the permit effective date. The complete Phase 1 Plan shall include Phase 1 PCP item numbers 1-1 through 1-7 in Table F-1. The permittee shall make the Phase 1 Plan

available to the public for public comment during Phase 1 Plan development. EPA encourages the permittee to post the Phase I Plan online to facilitate public involvement.

Performance Evaluation - The permittee shall evaluate the effectiveness of the PCP by tracking the phosphorus reductions achieved through implementation of structural and non-structural BMPs⁶ and tracking increases resulting from development. Phosphorus reductions shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F (non-structural BMP performance) and Attachment 3 to Appendix F (structural BMP performance) for all BMPs implemented to date. Phosphorus export increases since 2005 due to development shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix F. Phosphorus loading increases and reductions in unit of mass/yr shall be added or subtracted from the applicable Baseline Phosphorus Load given in Table F-2 or Table F-3 depending on the Scope of PCP chosen to estimate the yearly phosphorous export rate from the PCP Area. The permittee shall also include all information required in part I.2 of this Appendix in each performance evaluation. Performance evaluations will be included as part of each permittee's annual report as required by part 4.4 of the Permit.

| Community Annual Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction by Permittee, Charles River Watershed | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Community | Baseline Phosphorus Load, kg/yr | Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction Requirement kg/yr | Allowable Phosphorus Load, kg/yr | Stormwater Percent Reduction in Phosphorus Load (%) | |
| Arlington | 106 | 57 | 49 | 53% | |
| Ashland | 67 | 23 | 44 | 34% | |
| Bellingham | 947 | 331 | 616 | 35% | |
| Belmont | 202 | 86 | 116 | 42% | |
| Brookline | 1,635 | 789 | 846 | 48 % | |
| Cambridge | 512 | 263 | 249 | 51% | |
| Dedham | 805 | 325 | 480 | 40% | |
| Dover | 831 | 137 | 694 | 17% | |
| Foxborough | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0% | |
| Franklin | 2,344 | 818 | 1,526 | 35% | |

⁶ In meeting its phosphorus reduction requirements a permittee may quantify phosphorus reductions by actions undertaken by another entity, except where those actions are credited to MassDOT or another permittee identified in Appendix F Table F-2 or F-3.

Community Annual Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction by Permittee, Charles River Watershed

| Community | Baseline Phosphorus Load, kg/yr | Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction Requirement kg/yr | Allowable Phosphorus Load, kg/yr | Stormwater Percent Reduction in Phosphorus Load (%) |
|------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Holliston | 1,543 | 395 | 1,148 | 26% |
| Hopedale | 107 | 37 | 70 | 35% |
| Hopkinton | 292 | 66 | 226 | 22% |
| Lexington | 530 | 194 | 336 | 37% |
| Lincoln | 593 | 101 | 492 | 17% |
| Medfield | 955 | 277 | 678 | 29% |
| Medway | 1,063 | 314 | 749 | 30% |
| Mendon | 29 | 9 | 20 | 31% |
| Milford | 1,611 | 663 | 948 | 41% |
| Millis | 969 | 248 | 721 | 26% |
| Natick | 1,108 | 385 | 723 | 35% |
| Needham | 1,772 | 796 | 976 | 45% |
| Newton | 3,884 | 1,941 | 1,943 | 50% |
| Norfolk | 1,004 | 232 | 772 | 23% |
| Somerville | 646 | 331 | 315 | 51% |
| Sherborn | 846 | 131 | 715 | 16% |
| Walpole | 159 | 28 | 131 | 18% |
| Waltham | 2,901 | 1,461 | 1,400 | 50% |
| Watertown | 1,127 | 582 | 545 | 52% |
| Wayland | 46 | 15 | 31 | 33% |
| Wellesley | 1,431 | 661 | 770 | 46% |
| Weston | 1,174 | 281 | 893 | 24% |
| Westwood | 376 | 114 | 262 | 30% |
| Wrentham | 618 | 171 | 447 | 28% |
| Mass-DCR | 421 | 91 | 330 | 22% |

Table F-2: Baseline Phosphorus Load, Phosphorus Reduction Requirement,
Allowable Phosphorus Load and Percent Reduction in Phosphorus Load
from Charles River Watershed. For use when PCP Area is chosen to be
the entire community within the Charles River Watershed.

Urbanized Area Annual Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction by Permittee, Charles River Watershed

| Community | Baseline Watershed Phosphorus Load, kg/yr | Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction Requirement, kg/yr | Allowable Phosphorus Load, kg/yr | Stormwater Percent Reduction in Phosphorus Load (%) |
|------------|--|---|--|---|
| Arlington | 106 | 57 | 49 | 53% |
| Ashland | 67 | 23 | 44 | 34% |
| Bellingham | 801 | 291 | 510 | 36% |
| Belmont | 202 | 86 | 116 | 42% |
| Brookline | 1,635 | 789 | 846 | 48 % |
| Cambridge | 512 | 263 | 249 | 51% |
| Dedham | 805 | 325 | 480 | 40% |
| Dover | 282 | 54 | 228 | 19% |
| Foxborough | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0% |
| Franklin | 2,312 | 813 | 1,499 | 35% |
| Holliston | 1,359 | 369 | 990 | 27% |
| Hopedale | 107 | 37 | 70 | 35% |
| Hopkinton | 280 | 65 | 215 | 23% |
| Lexington | 525 | 193 | 332 | 37% |
| Lincoln | 366 | 63 | 303 | 17% |
| Medfield | 827 | 267 | 560 | 33% |
| Medway | 1,037 | 305 | 732 | 29% |
| Mendon | 10 | 5 | 5 | 50% |
| Milford | 1,486 | 653 | 833 | 44% |
| Millis | 501 | 159 | 342 | 32% |
| Natick | 994 | 359 | 635 | 36% |
| Needham | 1,771 | 795 | 976 | 45% |
| Newton | 3,884 | 1,941 | 1,943 | 50% |
| Norfolk | 1,001 | 231 | 770 | 23% |
| Somerville | 646 | 331 | 315 | 51% |
| Sherborn | 203 | 38 | 165 | 19% |
| Walpole | 159 | 28 | 131 | 18% |
| Waltham | 2,901 | 1,461 | 1,440 | 50% |
| Watertown | 1,127 | 582 | 545 | 52% |
| Wayland | 46 | 15 | 31 | 33% |
| Wellesley | 1,431 | 661 | 770 | 46% |

| Urbanized Area Annual Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction by Permittee, Charles River Watershed | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| Community | Baseline Watershed Phosphorus Load, kg/yr | Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction Requirement, kg/yr | Allowable Phosphorus Load, kg/yr | Stormwater Percent Reduction in Phosphorus Load (%) |
| Weston | 1,174 | 281 | 893 | 24% |
| Westwood | 346 | 108 | 238 | 31% |
| Wrentham | 556 | 159 | 397 | 29% |
| Mass DCR | 396 | 89 | 307 | 22% |

Table F-3: Baseline Phosphorus Load, Phosphorus Reduction Requirement, Allowable Phosphorus Load and Percent Reduction in Phosphorus Load from Charles River Watershed. For use when PCP Area is chosen to be only the urbanized area portion of a permittee's jurisdiction within the Charles River Watershed.

b. Phase 2

- 1) The permittee shall complete the Phase 2 Plan of the PCP 10 years after the permit effective date and fully implement the Phase 2 plan of the PCP as soon as possible but no longer than 15 years after the permit effective date.
- 2) The Phase 2 plan of the PCP shall be added to the Phase 1 Plan and contain the following elements and has the following required milestones:

| Item Number | Phase 2 of the PCP Component and Milestones | Completion Date |
|----------------|---|--|
| 2-1 | Update Legal analysis | As necessary |
| 2-2 | Description of Phase 2 planned nonstructural controls | 10 years after permit effective date |
| 2-3 | Description of Phase 2 planned structural controls | 10 years after permit effective date |
| 2-4 | Updated description of Operation and Maintenance Program | 10 years after permit effective date |
| 2-5 | Phase 2 implementation schedule | 10 years after permit effective date |
| 2-6 | Estimated cost for implementing Phase 2 of the PCP | 10 years after permit effective date |

| 2-7 | Complete written Phase 2 Plan | 10 years after permit effective date |
|------|--|--|
| 2-8 | Performance Evaluation. | 11, and 12 years after permit effective date |
| 2-9 | Performance Evaluation. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the PCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.65 P_{exp} ≤ P_{allow} + (P_{RR} X 0.65) | 13 years after permit effective date |
| 2-10 | Performance Evaluation | 14 years after permit effective date |
| 2-11 | Performance Evaluation. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the PCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.50 P_{exp} ≤ P_{allow} + (P_{RR} X 0.50) | 15 years after permit effective date |

Table F-4: Phase 2 of the PCP components and Milestones

3) Description of Phase 2 PCP Components

<u>Updated Legal Analysis</u>- The permittee shall update the legal analysis completed during Phase 1 of the PCP as necessary to include any new or augmented bylaws, ordinances or funding mechanisms the permittee has deemed necessary to implement the PCP. The permittee shall use experience gained during Phase 1 to inform the updated legal analysis. The permittee shall adopt necessary regulatory changes as soon as possible to implement the Phase 2 Plan.

<u>Description of Phase 2 planned non-structural controls</u> – The permittee shall describe the non-structural stormwater control measures necessary to support achievement of the phosphorus export milestones in Table F-4. The description of non-structural controls shall include the planned measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions that are expected to result from their implementation in units of mass/yr. Annual phosphorus reduction from non-structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F.

Description of planned Phase 2 structural controls – The permittee shall develop a priority ranking of areas and infrastructure within the municipality for potential implementation of phosphorus control practices during Phase 2. The ranking shall build upon the ranking developed for Phase 1. The permittee shall describe the structural stormwater control measures necessary to support achievement of the phosphorus export milestones in Table F-4. The description of structural controls shall include the planned measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions in units of mass/yr that are expected to result from their implementation. Structural measures to be implemented by a third party⁷ may be included in a municipal PCP. Annual phosphorus reductions from structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F.

<u>Updated description of Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Program for all planned and existing structural BMPs</u> – The permittee shall establish an Operation and Maintenance Program for all structural BMPs being claimed for phosphorus reduction credit as part of Phase 1 and 2 of the PCP. This includes BMPs implemented to date as well as BMPs to be implemented during Phase 2 of the PCP. The Operation and Maintenance Program shall become part of the PCP and include: (1) inspection and maintenance schedule for each BMP according to BMP design or manufacturer specification and (2) program or department responsible for BMP maintenance.

<u>Phase 2 Implementation Schedule</u> – A schedule for implementation of all planned Phase 2 BMPs, including, as appropriate: funding, training, purchasing, construction, inspections, monitoring, O&M activities and other assessment and evaluation components of implementation. Implementation of planned BMPs must begin upon completion of the Phase 2 Plan. Structural BMPs shall be designed and constructed to ensure the permittee will comply with the 13 and 15 year milestones established in Table F-4. The Phase 2 plan shall be fully implemented as soon as possible, but no later than 15 years after the effective date of permit.

Estimated cost for implementing Phase 2 of the PCP – The permittee shall estimate the cost of implementing the Phase 2 non-structural and structural controls and associated Operation and Maintenance Program. This cost estimate can be used to plan for the full implementation of Phase 2.

Complete written Phase 2 Plan – The permittee must complete a written Phase 2 Plan of the PCP no later than 10 years after the permit effective date. The complete Phase 2 Plan shall include Phase 2 PCP item numbers 2-1 through 2-6 in Table F-4. The permittee shall make the Phase 2 Plan available to the public for public comment during Phase 2 plan development. EPA encourages the permittee to post the Phase 2 Plan online to facilitate public involvement.

⁷ See footnote 6

Performance Evaluation – The permittee shall evaluate the effectiveness of the PCP by tracking the phosphorus reductions achieved through implementation of structural and non-structural BMPs⁸ and tracking increases resulting from development. Phosphorus reductions shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F (non-structural BMP performance) and Attachment 3 to Appendix F (structural BMP performance) for all BMPs implemented to date. Phosphorus export increases due to development shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix F. Phosphorus loading increases and reductions in unit of mass/yr shall be added or subtracted from the applicable Baseline Phosphorus Load given in Table F-2 or Table F-3 depending on the Scope of PCP chosen to estimate the yearly phosphorous export rate from the PCP Area. The permittee shall also include all information required in part I.2 of this Appendix in each performance evaluation. Performance evaluations will be included as part of each permittee's annual report as required by part 4.4 of the Permit.

c. Phase 3

- 1) The permittee shall complete the Phase 3 Plan of the PCP 15 years after the permit effective date and fully implement the Phase 3 plan of the PCP as soon as possible but no longer than 20 years after the permit effective date.
- 2) The Phase 3 plan of the PCP shall be added to the Phase 1 Plan and the Phase 2 Plan to create the comprehensive PCP and contain the following elements and has the following required milestones:

| Item Number | Phase 3 of the PCP Component and Milestones | Completion Date |
|----------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| 3-1 | Update Legal analysis | As necessary |
| 3-2 | Description of Phase 3 planned nonstructural controls | 15 years after permit effective date |
| 3-3 | Description of Phase 3 planned structural controls | 15 years after permit effective date |
| 3-4 | Updated description of Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Program | 15 years after permit effective date |
| 3-5 | Phase 3 implementation schedule | 15 years after permit effective date |
| 3-6 | Estimated cost for implementing Phase 3 of the PCP | 15 years after permit effective date |
| 3-7 | Complete written Phase 3 Plan | 15 years after permit effective date |

⁸ See footnote 9

| 3-8 | Performance Evaluation. | 16, and 17 years after permit effective date |
|------|---|--|
| 3-9 | Performance Evaluation. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the PCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.30 P_{exp} ≤ P_{allow} + (P_{RR} X 0.30) | 18 years after permit effective date |
| 3-10 | Performance Evaluation | 19 years after permit effective date |
| 3-11 | Performance Evaluation. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the PCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load (P_{allow}) P_{exp} ≤ P_{allow} | 20 years after permit effective date |

Table F-5:Phase 3 of the PCP components and Milestones

3) Description of Phase 3 PCP Components

<u>Updated Legal Analysis</u>- The permittee shall update the legal analysis completed during Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the PCP as necessary to include any new or augmented bylaws, ordinances or funding mechanisms the permittee has deemed necessary to implement the PCP. The permittee shall use experience gained during Phase 1 and Phase 2 to inform the updated legal analysis. The permittee shall adopt necessary regulatory changes as soon as possible to implement the Phase 3 Plan.

<u>Description of Phase 3 planned non-structural controls</u> – The permittee shall describe the non-structural stormwater control measures necessary to support achievement of the phosphorus export milestones in Table F-5. The description of non-structural controls shall include the planned measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions that are expected to result from their implementation in units of mass/yr. Annual phosphorus reduction from non-structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F.

<u>Description of planned Phase 3 structural controls</u> – The permittee shall develop a priority ranking of areas and infrastructure within the municipality for potential implementation of phosphorus control practices during Phase 3. The ranking shall build upon the ranking developed for

Phase 1 and 2. The permittee shall describe the structural stormwater control measures necessary to support achievement of the phosphorus export milestones in Table F-5. The description of structural controls shall include the planned measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions in units of mass/yr that are expected to result from their implementation. Structural measures to be implemented by a third party may be included in a municipal PCP. Annual phosphorus reduction from structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F.

<u>Updated description of Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Program for all planned and existing structural BMPs</u> – The permittee shall establish an Operation and Maintenance Program for all structural BMPs being claimed for phosphorus reduction credit as part of Phase 1, 2 and 3 of the PCP. This includes BMPs implemented to date as well as BMPs to be implemented during Phase 3 of the PCP. The Operation and Maintenance Program shall become part of the PCP and include: (1) inspection and maintenance schedule for each BMP according to BMP design or manufacturer specification and (2) program or department responsible for BMP maintenance.

<u>Phase 3 Implementation Schedule</u> – A schedule for implementation of all planned Phase 3 BMPs, including, as appropriate: funding, training, purchasing, construction, inspections, monitoring, O&M activities and other assessment and evaluation components of implementation. Implementation of planned BMPs must begin upon completion of the Phase 3 Plan. Structural BMPs shall be designed and constructed to ensure the permittee will comply with the 18 and 20 year milestones established in Table F-5. The Phase 3 plan shall be fully implemented as soon as possible, but no later than 20 years after the effective date of permit.

<u>Estimated cost for implementing Phase 3 of the PCP – The permittee shall</u> estimate the cost of implementing the Phase 3 non-structural and structural controls and associated Operation and Maintenance Program. This cost estimate can be used to plan for the full implementation of Phase 3.

Complete written Phase 3 Plan – The permittee must complete the written Phase 3 Plan of the PCP no later than 15 years after the permit effective date. The complete Phase 3 Plan shall include Phase 3 PCP item numbers 3-1 through 3-6 in Table F-5. The permittee shall make the Phase 3 Plan available to the public for public comment during Phase 3 Plan development. EPA encourages the permittee to post the Phase 3 Plan online to facilitate public involvement.

<u>Performance Evaluation</u> – The permittee shall evaluate the effectiveness of the PCP by tracking the phosphorus reductions achieved through implementation of structural and non-structural BMPs⁹ and tracking increases resulting from development. Phosphorus reductions shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F (non-structural BMP

⁹ See footnote 9

performance) and Attachment 3 to Appendix F (structural BMP performance) for all BMPs implemented to date. Phosphorus export increases due to development shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix F. Phosphorus loading increases and reductions in unit of mass/yr shall be added or subtracted from the applicable Baseline Phosphorus Load given in Table F-2 or Table F-3 depending on the Scope of PCP chosen to estimate the yearly phosphorous export rate from the PCP Area. The permittee shall also include all information required in part I.2 of this Appendix in each performance evaluation. Performance evaluations will be included as part of each permittee's annual report as required by part 4.4 of the Permit.

2. Reporting

Beginning 1 year after the permit effective date, the permittee shall include a progress report in each annual report on the planning and implementation of the PCP.

Beginning five (5) years after the permit effective date, the permittee shall include the following in each annual report submitted pursuant to part 4.4 of the Permit:

- a. All non-structural control measures implemented during the reporting year along with the phosphorus reduction in mass/yr (P_{NSred}) calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F
- b. Structural controls implemented during the reporting year and all previous years including:
 - a. Location information of structural BMPs (GPS coordinates or street address)
 - b. Phosphorus reduction from all structural BMPs implemented to date in mass/yr (P_{Sred}) calculated consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F
 - c. Date of last completed maintenance and inspection for each Structural control
- c. Phosphorus load increases due to development over the previous reporting period and incurred since 2005 (P_{DEVinc}) calculated consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix F.
- d. Estimated yearly phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the PCP Area calculated using Equation 2. Equation 2 calculates the yearly phosphorus export rate by subtracting yearly phosphorus reductions through implemented nonstructural controls and structural controls to date from the Baseline Phosphorus Load and adding loading increases incurred through development to date. This equation shall be used to demonstrate compliance with the phosphorus reduction milestones required as part of each phase of the PCP.

$$P_{exp}\left(\frac{mass}{yr}\right) = P_{base}\left(\frac{mass}{yr}\right) - \left(P_{Sred}\left(\frac{mass}{yr}\right) + P_{NSred}\left(\frac{mass}{yr}\right)\right) + P_{DEVinc}\left(\frac{mass}{yr}\right)$$

Equation 1. Equation used to calculate yearly phosphorus export rate from the chosen PCP Area. P_{exp} =Current phosphorus export rate from the PCP Area in mass/year. P_{base} =baseline phosphorus export rate from LPCP Area in mass/year. P_{Sred} = yearly phosphorus reduction from implemented structural controls in the PCP Area in mass/year. P_{NSred} = yearly phosphorus reduction from implemented non-structural controls in the PCP Area in mass/year. P_{DEVinc} = yearly phosphorus increase resulting from development since 2005 in the PCP Area in mass/year.

e. Certification that all structural BMPs are being inspected and maintained according to the O&M program specified as part of the PCP. The certification statement shall be:

I certify under penalty of law that all source control and treatment Best Management Practices being claimed for phosphorus reduction credit have been inspected, maintained and repaired in accordance with manufacturer or design specification. I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, all Best Management Practices being claimed for a phosphorus reduction credit are performing as originally designed.

- f. Certification that all municipally owned and maintained turf grass areas are being managed in accordance with Massachusetts Regulation 331 CMR 31 pertaining to proper use of fertilizers on turf grasses (see http://www.mass.gov/courts/docs/lawlib/300-399cmr/330cmr31.pdf).
- 3. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part A.I.1. as follows.
 - a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when the following conditions are met:
 - i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of phosphorus are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL
 - b. When the criteria in Appendix F part A.I.3.a. are met, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any remaining requirements of Appendix F part A.I.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
 - i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part A.I.1 to date to reduce phosphorus in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
 - ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part A.I.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications, and the reporting requirements of Appendix F part I.2. remain in place.

II. Lake and Pond Phosphorus TMDL Requirements

Between 1999 and 2010 EPA has approved 13 Lake TMDLs¹⁰ completed by MassDEP covering 78 lakes and ponds within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Any permittee (traditional or non-traditional) that discharges to a waterbody segment in Table F-6 is subject to the requirements of this part.

1. Permittees that operate regulated MS4s (traditional and non-traditional) that discharge to the identified impaired waters or their tributaries must reduce phosphorus discharges to support achievement of phosphorus load reductions identified in the TMDLs. To address phosphorus, all permittees with a phosphorus reduction requirement greater than 0% shall develop a Lake Phosphorus Control Plan (LPCP) designed to reduce the amount of phosphorus in stormwater discharges from its MS4 to the impaired waterbody or its tributaries in accordance with the phosphorus load reduction requirements set forth in Table F-6 below. Permittees discharging to waterbodies in Table F-6 with an associated 0% Phosphorus Required Percent Reduction are subject to Appendix F part II.2.f and are relieved of the requirements of Appendix F part II.1.i through Appendix F part II.2.e Table F-6 identifies the primary municipalities¹¹ located within the watershed of the respective lake or pond and the percent phosphorus reductions necessary from urban stormwater sources. Any permittee (traditional or non-traditional) that discharges to a lake or pond listed in Table F-6 or its tributaries is subject to the same phosphorus percent reduction requirements associated with that lake or pond.

| Primary Municipality | Waterbody Name | Required Percent Reduction |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Leesville Pond | 31% |
| | Auburn Pond | 24% |
| Auburn | Eddy Pond | 0% |
| | Pondville Pond | 8% |
| | Stoneville Pond | 3% |
| | Buffumville Lake | 28% |
| | Dresser Hill Pond | 17% |
| | Gore Pond | 14% |
| Charlton | Granite Reservoir | 11% |
| | Jones Pond | 13% |
| | Pierpoint Meadow Pond | 27% |
| | Pikes Pond | 38% |
| Dudley | Gore Pond | 14% |

¹⁰ Final TMDLs for lakes and ponds in the Northern Blackstone River Watershed, Chicopee Basin, Connecticut Basin, French Basin, Millers Basin and Bare Hill Pond, Flint Pond, Indian Lake, Lake Boon, Leesville Pond, Salisbury Pond, White Island Pond, Quaboag Pond and Quacumquasit Pond can be found here: http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/massdep/water/watersheds/total-maximum-daily-loads-tmdls.html
¹¹ Primary municipalities indicate the municipality in which the majority of the lake or pond is located but

does not necessarily indicate each municipality that has urbanized area that discharges to the lake or pond or its tributaries.

| Primary Municipality | Waterbody Name | Required Percent Reduction |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Larner Pond | 55% |
| | New Pond | 56% |
| | Pierpoint Meadow Pond | 27% |
| | Shepherd Pond | 25% |
| | Tobins Pond | 62% |
| | Wallis Pond | 54% |
| | Hilchey Pond | 27% |
| C 1 | Parker Pond | 47% |
| Gardner | Bents Pond | 52% |
| | Ramsdall Pond | 49% |
| Grafton | Flint Pond/Lake Quinsigamond | 59% |
| Granby | Aldrich Lake East | 0% |
| Hadley | Lake Warner | 24% |
| Harvard | Bare Hill Pond | 2% |
| Hudson | Lake Boon | 28% |
| | Smiths Pond | 30% |
| | Southwick Pond | 64% |
| T : . | Cedar Meadow Pond | 17% |
| Leicester | Dutton Pond | 23% |
| | Greenville Pond | 14% |
| | Rochdale Pond | 8% |
| Ludlow | Minechoag Pond | 48% |
| | Brierly Pond | 14% |
| Millbury | Dorothy Pond | 1% |
| | Howe Reservoir | 48% |
| | Buffumville Lake | 28% |
| | Hudson Pond | 37% |
| Oxford | Lowes Pond | 51% |
| Oxioid | McKinstry Pond | 79% |
| | Robinson Pond | 8% |
| | Texas Pond | 21% |
| | Flint Pond/Lake Quinsigamond | 49% |
| | Jordan Pond | 60% |
| Shrewsbury | Mill Pond | 43% |
| | Newton Pond | 19% |
| | Shirley Street Pond | 30% |
| Spencer | Quaboag Pond | 29% |

| Primary Municipality | Waterbody Name | Required Percent Reduction |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Quacumquasit Pond | 2% |
| | Jones Pond | 13% |
| | Sugden Reservoir | 31% |
| | Loon Pond | 10% |
| Springfield | Long Pond | 56% |
| | Mona Lake | 57% |
| Stow | Lake Boon | 28% |
| | Brazell Pond | 62% |
| T1-4 | Depot Pond | 50% |
| Templeton | Bourn-Hadley Pond | 49% |
| | Greenwood Pond 2 | 56% |
| Wilbraham | Spectacle Pond | 45% |
| | Lake Denison | 22% |
| W/:11 | Stoddard Pond | 24% |
| Winchendon | Whitney Pond | 16% |
| | Whites Mill Pond | 21% |

Table F-6: Phosphorus impaired Lakes or Ponds subject to a TMDL along with primary municipality and required percent reduction of phosphorus from urban stormwater sources

- i. The LPCP shall be implemented in accordance with the following schedule and contain the following elements:
 - a. LPCP Implementation Schedule The permittee shall complete its LPCP and fully implement all of the control measures in its LPCP as soon as possible but no later than 15 years after the effective date of the permit.
 - b. The LPCP shall be implemented in accordance with the following schedule and contain the following elements:

| Number | LPCP Component and Milestones | Completion Date |
|--------|--|------------------------|
| 1 | Legal Analysis | 2 years after permit |
| | | effective date |
| 2 | Funding source assessment | 3 years after permit |
| | | effective date |
| 3 | Define LPCP scope (LPCP Area) | 4 years after permit |
| | | effective date |
| 4 | Calculate Baseline Phosphorus, Allowable | 4 years after permit |
| | Phosphorus Load and Phosphorus Reduction | effective date |
| | Requirement | |

| Sescription of planned nonstructural and structural controls 6 Description of Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Program 7 Implementation schedule 8 Cost and Funding Source Assessment 8 Cost and Funding Source Assessment 9 Complete written LPCP 10 Full implementation of nonstructural controls. 11 Performance Evaluation. 12 1. Performance Evaluation. 13 Performance Evaluation. 14 Performance Evaluation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) Plus the applicable Phosphorus Evaluation 14 1. Performance Evaluation 15 Performance Evaluation 16 Performance Evaluation 17 Syears after permit effective date 18 Syears after permit effective date 19 Syears after permit effective date 10 Syears after permit effective date 11 Performance Evaluation 12 Performance Evaluation 13 Performance Evaluation 14 Performance Evaluation 15 Performance Evaluation 16 Performance Evaluation 17 Syears after permit effective date 18 Syears after permit effective date 19 Syears after permit effective date 10 Syears after permit effective date 11 Performance Evaluation 12 Syears after permit effective date 13 Performance Evaluation 14 Performance Evaluation 15 Performance Evaluation 16 Performance Evaluation 17 Syears after permit effective date 18 Performance Evaluation 19 years after permit effective date 19 years after permit effective date 10 Syears after permit effective date 10 Syears after permit effective date 11 Syears after permit effective date 12 Performance Evaluation 13 Performance Evaluation 14 Performance Evaluation 15 Performance Evaluation 16 Performance Evaluation 17 Performance Evaluation 18 Performance Evaluation 19 Performance Evaluation 19 Performance Evaluation 10 Performance Evaluation 10 Performance Evaluation 11 Performance Evaluation 11 Performance Evaluation 12 Performance Evaluation 13 Performance | 5 | Description of planted posteriotized and | 5 years often mannit |
|--|----|--|-----------------------|
| 6 Description of Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Program 7 Implementation schedule 8 Cost and Funding Source Assessment 8 Cost and Funding Source Assessment 9 Complete written LPCP 10 Full implementation of nonstructural controls. 11 Performance Evaluation. 12 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P _{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P _{RR}) multiplied by 0.80 P _{exp} ≤ P _{allow} + (P _{RR} X 0.80) 13 Performance Evaluation 14 1. Performance Evaluation 15 Performance Evaluation 16 1. Performance Evaluation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P _{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P _{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 P _{exp} ≤ P _{allow} + (P _{RR} X 0.60) OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus Reduction Requirement thas been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 16 1. Performance Evaluation 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 16 1. Performance Evaluation 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less fare permit effective date applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 16 1. Performance Evaluation 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less fact permit effective date less than the permit effective d | 3 | Description of planned nonstructural and | 5 years after permit |
| | 6 | | |
| To a control of the control of th | O | | |
| S Cost and Funding Source Assessment S Syears after permit effective date | 7 | | |
| Sears after permit effective date 5 years after permit effective date 10 Full implementation of nonstructural controls. 6 years after permit effective date 11 Performance Evaluation. 6 and 7 years after permit effective date 12 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.80 $P_{exp} \le P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \times 0.80)$ 9 years after permit effective date 10 years after permit effective date 11 years after permit effective date 12 years after permit effective date 13 years after permit effective date 14 1. Performance Evaluation 10 years after permit effective date 14 1. Performance Evaluation 10 years after permit effective date 15 years after permit effective date 16 years after permit effective date 17 years after permit effective date 18 years after permit effective date 19 years after permit effective date 19 years after permit effective date 10 years after permit effective date 11 years after permit effective date 12 years after permit effective date 13 years after permit effective date 14 years after permit effective date 15 years after permit effective date 16 years after permit effective date 17 years after permit effective date 18 years after permit effective date 19 years after permit effective date 10 years after permit e | , | imprementation senerate | |
| Search Section Sect | 8 | Cost and Funding Source Assessment | 5 years after permit |
| Full implementation of nonstructural controls. Full implementation of nonstructural controls. Full implementation. 6 and 7 years after permit effective date 12 | | | |
| 10 Full implementation of nonstructural controls. | 9 | Complete written LPCP | |
| Controls Performance Evaluation. Controls Performance Evaluation. Controls | | | |
| 11 Performance Evaluation. 6 and 7 years after permit effective date 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.80 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \times 0.80)$ 9 years after permit effective date 14 1. Performance Evaluation 9 years after permit effective date 14 1. Performance Evaluation 15 2. Update LPCP 3. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \times 0.60)$ OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 11 and 12 years after permit effective date 16 1. Performance Evaluation 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or large after permit effective date 17 years after permit effective date 18 years after permit effective date 19 years after permit effective date 19 years after permit effective date 10 years aft | 10 | | 1 |
| 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{ewp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR} X 0.80) 13 Performance Evaluation 14 1. Performance Evaluation 2. Update LPCP 3. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{ewp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR} X 0.60) OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 16 Performance Evaluation 17 Performance Evaluation 18 Performance Evaluation 19 years after permit effective date 10 years after permit effective date 10 years after permit effective date 11 and 12 years after permit effective date 12 Performance Evaluation 13 years after permit effective date 14 1 and 12 years after permit effective date 15 Performance Evaluation 16 1 Performance Evaluation 17 Performance Evaluation 18 Performance Evaluation 19 years after permit effective date 10 years after permit effective date 11 and 12 years after permit effective date 12 years after permit effective date | | | |
| 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P _{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P _{RR}) multiplied by 0.80 P _{exp} ≤ P _{allow} + (P _{RR} X 0.80) 13 Performance Evaluation 2. Update LPCP 3. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P _{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P _{exR}) multiplied by 0.60 P _{exp} ≤ P _{allow} + (P _{RR} X 0.60) OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 16 Performance Evaluation 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 16 Performance Evaluation 17 Performance Evaluation 18 Performance Evaluation 19 Performance Evaluation 11 and 12 years after permit effective date 12 Performance Evaluation 13 Performance Evaluation 14 Performance Evaluation 15 Performance Evaluation 15 Performance Evaluation 16 Performance Evaluation 17 Performance Evaluation 18 Performance Evaluation 29 years after permit effective date 19 Performance P | 11 | Performance Evaluation. | |
| 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P _{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P _{RR}) multiplied by 0.80 P _{exp} ≤ P _{allow} + (P _{RR} X 0.80) 13 Performance Evaluation 14 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Update LPCP 3. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P _{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P _{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 P _{exp} ≤ P _{allow} + (P _{RR} X 0.60) OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 16 1. Performance Evaluation 17 Performance Evaluation 18 Performance Evaluation 19 years after permit effective date 10 years after permit effective date 11 and 12 years after permit effective date 12 Performance Evaluation 13 years after permit effective date 14 1. Performance Evaluation 15 Performance Evaluation 15 Performance Evaluation 16 1. Performance Evaluation 17 Performance Evaluation 18 Performance Evaluation 19 years after permit effective date | | | permit effective date |
| controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.80 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} X 0.80)$ 13 Performance Evaluation 14 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Update LPCP 3. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} X 0.60)$ OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by $30 \log y$ (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | 12 | | |
| total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load (P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.80 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \times 0.80)$ 13 Performance Evaluation 9 years after permit effective date 14 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Update LPCP 3. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load (P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \times 0.60)$ OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 11 and 12 years after permit effective date 12. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | | effective date |
| the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.80 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \ X \ 0.80)$ 13 Performance Evaluation 9 years after permit effective date 14 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Update LPCP 3. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \ X \ 0.60)$ OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 11 and 12 years after permit effective date 12. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | | |
| less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.80 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} X 0.80)$ 13 Performance Evaluation 14 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Update LPCP 3. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} X 0.60)$ OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | | |
| Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.80 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \ X \ 0.80)$ 13 Performance Evaluation 9 years after permit effective date 14 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Update LPCP 3. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \ X \ 0.60)$ OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 11 and 12 years after permit effective date 16 1. Performance Evaluation 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | | |
| applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.80 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \ X \ 0.80)$ 13 Performance Evaluation 14 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Update LPCP 3. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \ X \ 0.60)$ OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | * * | |
| Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.80 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \times 0.80)$ 13 Performance Evaluation 9 years after permit effective date 14 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Update LPCP 3. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load (P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \times 0.60)$ OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | | |
| $P_{exp} \le P_{allow} + (P_{RR} X 0.80)$ 13 Performance Evaluation 9 years after permit effective date 14 1. Performance Evaluation. 10 years after permit effective date 2. Update LPCP 3. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 $P_{exp} \le P_{allow} + (P_{RR} X 0.60)$ OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 11 and 12 years after permit effective date 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 13 years after permit effective date 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 13 years after permit effective date 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 13 years after permit effective date 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 13 years after permit effective date | | | |
| 13 Performance Evaluation 9 years after permit effective date 14 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Update LPCP 3. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load (P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \times 0.60)$ OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 11 and 12 years after permit effective date 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | | |
| 14 1. Performance Evaluation. 10 years after permit 2. Update LPCP 3. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load (P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \times 0.60)$ OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 11 and 12 years after permit effective date 1. Performance Evaluation. 11 and 12 years after permit effective date 13 years after permit effective date 13 years after permit effective date 14 years after permit effective date 15 years after permit effective date 16 years after permit effective date 17 years after permit effective date 18 years after permit effective date 19 years after permit effective date 10 year | | | |
| 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Update LPCP 3. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P _{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P _{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 P _{exp} ≤ P _{allow} + (P _{RR} X 0.60) OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | 13 | Performance Evaluation | |
| 2. Update LPCP 3. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P _{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P _{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 P _{exp} ≤ P _{allow} + (P _{RR} X 0.60) OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | | |
| 3. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P _{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P _{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 P _{exp} ≤ P _{allow} + (P _{RR} X 0.60) OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | 14 | | |
| controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load (P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} X 0.60)$ OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 11 and 12 years after permit effective date 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | 1 | effective date |
| total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load (P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 $P_{exp} \le P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \ X \ 0.60)$ OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 11 and 12 years after permit effective date 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | <u> </u> | |
| the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 $P_{exp} \le P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \times 0.60)$ OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 11 and 12 years after permit effective date 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | | |
| less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 $P_{exp} \le P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \times 0.60)$ OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 11 and 12 years after permit effective date 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | | |
| Phosphorus Load(P_{allow}) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \ X \ 0.60)$ OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 $P_{exp} \le P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \ X \ 0.60)$ OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 11 and 12 years after permit effective date 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | | |
| Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.60 $P_{exp} \le P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \times 0.60)$ OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 11 and 12 years after permit effective date 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | | |
| $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \times 0.60)$ OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 11 and 12 years after permit effective date 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | | |
| OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | | |
| phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 11 and 12 years after permit effective date 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | | |
| (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 11 and 12 years after permit effective date 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | 1 | |
| Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | | |
| been met) 15 Performance Evaluation 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | | |
| Performance Evaluation 11 and 12 years after permit effective date 12. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | | |
| 16 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | , | |
| 1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | 15 | Performance Evaluation | |
| 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P _{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | | |
| controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | 16 | | |
| total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | * | effective date |
| the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | | | |
| | | | |
| less than the applicable Allowable | | | |
| | | less than the applicable Allowable | |

| | Phosphorus Load(Pallow) plus the | |
|----|---|-----------------------|
| | applicable Phosphorus Reduction | |
| | Requirement (P_{RR}) multiplied by 0.30 | |
| | $P_{exp} \le P_{allow} + (P_{RR} X 0.30)$ | |
| 17 | Performance Evaluation | 14 years after permit |
| | | effective date |
| 18 | 1. Performance Evaluation. | 15 years after permit |
| | 2. Full implementation of all structural | effective date |
| | controls used to demonstrate that the | |
| | total phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from | |
| | the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or | |
| | less than the applicable Allowable | |
| | Phosphorus Load(Pallow) | |
| | $P_{exp} \le P_{allow}$ | |

Table F-7: LPCP components and milestones

c. Description of LPCP Components:

<u>Legal Analysis</u>- The permittee shall develop and implement an analysis that identifies existing regulatory mechanisms available to the MS4 such as by-laws and ordinances and describes any changes to these regulatory mechanisms that may be necessary to effectively implement the LPCP. This may include the creation or amendment of financial and regulatory authorities. The permittee shall adopt necessary regulatory changes by the end of the permit term.

Scope of the LPCP (LPCP Area) - The permittee shall indicate the area in which the permittee plans to implement the LPCP, this area is known as the "LPCP Area". The permittee must choose one of the following: 1) to implement its LPCP in the entire area within its jurisdiction discharging to the impaired waterbody (for a municipality this would be the municipal boundary) or 2) to implement its LPCP in only the urbanized area portion of its jurisdiction discharging to the impaired waterbody. If the permittee chooses to implement the LPCP in its entire jurisdiction discharging to the impaired waterbody, the permittee may demonstrate compliance with the Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load requirements applicable to it through structural and nonstructural controls on discharges that occur both inside and outside the urbanized area. If the permittee chooses to implement the LPCP in its urbanized area only discharging to the impaired waterbody, the permittee must demonstrate compliance with the Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load requirements applicable to it through structural and non-structural controls on discharges that occur within the urbanized area only.

Calculate Baseline Phosphorus Load (P_{base}), Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (P_{RR}) and Allowable Phosphorus Load (P_{allow}) —Permittees shall calculate their numerical Allowable Phosphorus Load and Phosphorus Reduction Requirement in mass/yr by first estimating their Baseline Phosphorus Load in mass/yr from its LPCP Area consistent with the methodology in Attachment 1 to Appendix F, the baseline shall only be estimated using land use phosphorus export coefficients in Attachment 1 to Appendix F and not account for phosphorus reductions resulting from implemented structural BMPs completed to date. Table F-6 contains the

percent phosphorus reduction required from urban stormwater consistent with the TMDL of each impaired waterbody. The permittee shall apply the applicable required percent reduction in Table F-6 to the calculated Baseline Phosphorus Load to obtain the permittee specific Allowable Phosphorus Load. The Allowable Phosphorus Load shall then be subtracted from the Baseline Phosphorus Load to obtain the permittee specific Phosphorus Reduction Requirement in mass/yr.

Description of planned non-structural controls – The permittee shall describe the non-structural stormwater control measures to be implemented to support the achievement of the milestones in Table F-7. The description of non-structural controls shall include the planned measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions that are expected to result from their implementation. Annual phosphorus reduction from non-structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F. The permittee shall update the description of planned non-structural controls as needed to support the achievement of the milestones in Table F-7, including an update in the updated written LPCP 10 years after the permit effective date.

Description of planned structural controls – The permittee shall develop a priority ranking of areas and infrastructure within the municipality for potential implementation of phosphorus control practices. The ranking shall be developed through the use of available screening and monitoring results collected during the permit term either by the permittee or another entity and the mapping required pursuant to part 2.3.4.6 of the Permit. The permittee shall also include in this prioritization a detailed assessment of site suitability for potential phosphorus control measures based on soil types and other factors. The permittee shall coordinate this activity with the requirements of part 2.3.6.8.b of the Permit. A description and the result of this priority ranking shall be included in the LPCP. The permittee shall describe the structural stormwater control measures necessary to support achievement of the milestones in Table F-7. The description of structural controls shall include the planned measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions in units of mass/yr that are expected to result from their implementation. Structural measures to be implemented by a third party may be included in the LPCP. Annual phosphorus reduction from structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F. The permittee shall update the description of planned structural controls as needed to support the achievement of the milestones in Table F-7, including an update in the updated written LPCP 10 years after the permit effective date.

Description of Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Program for all planned and existing structural BMPs — The permittee shall establish an Operation and Maintenance Program for all structural BMPs being claimed for phosphorus reduction credit as part of Phase 1 and 2 of the PCP. This includes BMPs implemented to date as well as BMPs to be implemented during Phase 2 of the PCP. The Operation and Maintenance Program shall become part of the PCP and include: (1) inspection and maintenance schedule for each BMP according to BMP design or manufacturer specification and (2) program or department responsible for BMP maintenance.

Implementation Schedule – An initial schedule for implementing the BMPs, including, as appropriate: funding, training, purchasing, construction, inspections, monitoring, O&M and other assessment and evaluation components of implementation. Implementation of planned BMPs must begin upon completion of the LPCP, and all non-structural BMPs shall be fully implemented within six years of the permit effective date. Where planned structural BMP retrofits or major drainage infrastructure projects are expected to take additional time to construct, the permittee shall within four years of the effective date of the permit have a schedule for completion of construction consistent with the reduction requirements in Table F-7. The permittee shall complete the implementation of its LPCP as soon as possible or at a minimum in accordance with the milestones set forth in Table F-7. The implementation schedule shall be updated as needed to support the achievement of the milestones in Table F-7, including an update in the updated written LPCP 10 years after the permit effective date.

<u>Cost and funding source assessment</u> – The permittee shall estimate the cost for implementing its LPCP and describe known and anticipated funding mechanisms. The permittee shall describe the steps it will take to implement its funding plan. This may include but is not limited to conceptual development, outreach to affected parties, and development of legal authorities.

Complete written LPCP – The permittee must complete the written LPCP 5 years after permit effective date. The complete LPCP shall include item numbers 1-8 in Table F-7. The permittee shall make the LPCP available to the public for public comment during the LPCP development. EPA encourages the permittee to post the LPCP online to facilitate public involvement. The LPCP shall be updated as needed with an update 10 years after the permit effective date at a minimum to reflect changes in BMP implementation to support achievement of the phosphorus export milestones in Table F-7. The updated LPCP shall build upon the original LPCP and include additional or new BMPs the permittee will use to support the achievement of the milestones in Table F-7.

<u>Performance Evaluation</u> – The permittee shall evaluate the effectiveness of the LPCP by tracking the phosphorus reductions achieved through implementation of structural and non-structural BMPs¹² and tracking increases in phosphorus loading from the LPCP Area beginning six years after the effective date of the permit. Phosphorus reductions shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 (non-structural BMP performance), Attachment 3 (structural BMP performance) and Attachment 1 (reductions through land use change), to Appendix F for all BMPs implemented to date¹³. Phosphorus load increases resulting from development shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix F. Phosphorus

¹² In meeting its phosphorus reduction requirements a permittee may quantify phosphorus reductions by actions undertaken by another entity, except where those actions are credited to MassDOT or another permittee identified in Appendix F Table F-7

¹³ Annual phosphorus reductions from structural BMPs installed in the LPCP Area prior to the effective date of this permit shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F. Phosphorus Reduction Credit for previously installed BMPs will only be given if the Permittee demonstrates that the BMP is performing up to design specifications and certifies that the BMP is properly maintained and inspected according to manufacturer design or specifications. This certification shall be part of the annual performance evaluation during the year credit is claimed for the previously installed BMP.

loading increases and reductions in units of mass/yr shall be added or subtracted from the calculated Baseline Phosphorus Load to estimate the yearly phosphorous export rate from the LPCP Area in mass/yr. The permittee shall also include all information required in part II.2 of this Appendix in each performance evaluation.

2. Reporting

Beginning 1 year after the permit effective date, the permittee shall include a progress report in each annual report on the planning and implementation of the LPCP.

Beginning five (5) years after the permit effective date, the permittee shall include the following in each annual report submitted pursuant to part 4.4 of the Permit:

- a. All non-structural control measures implemented during the reporting year along with the phosphorus reduction in mass/yr (P_{NSred}) calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F
- b. Structural controls implemented during the reporting year and all previous years including:
 - a. Location information of structural BMPs (GPS coordinates or street address)
 - b. Phosphorus reduction from all structural BMPs implemented to date in mass/yr (P_{Sred}) calculated consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F
 - c. Date of last completed maintenance for each Structural control
- c. Phosphorus load increases due to development over the previous reporting period and incurred to date (P_{DEVinc}) calculated consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix F.
- d. Estimated yearly phosphorus export rate (P_{exp}) from the LPCP Area calculated using Equation 2. Equation 2 calculates the yearly phosphorus export rate by subtracting yearly phosphorus reductions through implemented nonstructural controls and structural controls to date from the Baseline Phosphorus Load and adding loading increases incurred through development to date. This equation shall be used to demonstrate compliance with the phosphorus reduction milestones required as part of each phase of the LPCP.

$$P_{exp}\left(\frac{mass}{yr}\right) = P_{base}\left(\frac{mass}{yr}\right) - \left(P_{Sred}\left(\frac{mass}{yr}\right) + P_{NSred}\left(\frac{mass}{yr}\right)\right) + P_{DEVinc}\left(\frac{mass}{yr}\right)$$

Equation 2. Equation used to calculate yearly phosphorus export rate from the chosen LPCP Area. P_{exp} =Current phosphorus export rate from the LPCP Area in mass/year. P_{base} =baseline phosphorus export rate from LPCP Area in mass/year. P_{Sred} = yearly phosphorus reduction from implemented structural controls in the LPCP Area in mass/year. P_{NSred} = yearly phosphorus reduction from implemented non-structural controls in the LPCP Area in mass/year. Area in mass/year. P_{DEVinc} = yearly phosphorus increase resulting from development since the year baseline loading was calculated in the LPCP Area in mass/year.

e. Certification that all structural BMPs are being inspected and maintained according to the O&M program specified as part of the PCP. The certification statement shall be:

I certify under penalty of law that all source control and treatment Best Management Practices being claimed for phosphorus reduction credit have been inspected, maintained and repaired in accordance with manufacturer or design specification. I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, all Best Management

Practices being claimed for a phosphorus reduction credit are performing as originally designed.

- f. Certification that all municipally owned and maintained turf grass areas are being managed in accordance with Massachusetts Regulation 331 CMR 31 pertaining to proper use of fertilizers on turf grasses (see http://www.mass.gov/courts/docs/lawlib/300-399cmr/330cmr31.pdf).
- 3. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part A.II.1. as follows:
 - a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when the following conditions are met:
 - i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of phosphorus are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL
 - b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any additional remaining requirements of Appendix F part A.II.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
 - i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part A.II.1 to date to reduce phosphorus in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
 - ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part A.I.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications, and the reporting requirements of Appendix F part A.II.2. remain in place.

III. Bacteria and Pathogen TMDL Requirements

There are currently approved 16 approved bacteria (fecal coliform bacteria) or mixed pathogen (fecal coliform, E. coli, and/or enterococcus bacteria) TMDLs for certain waterbodies in Massachusetts. ¹⁴ Any permittee (traditional or non-traditional) that discharges to a waterbody segment in Table F-8 is subject to the requirements of this part.

1. Traditional and non-traditional MS4s operating in the municipalities listed in Table F-8 and/or that discharge to a waterbody listed on Table F-8 shall comply with the following BMPs in addition to the requirements of part 2.3 of the Permit, as described below:

a. Enhanced BMPs

- i. Enhancement of BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit that shall be implemented during this permit term:
 - part 2.3.3. Public Education: The permittee shall supplement its
 Residential program with an annual message encouraging the
 proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing
 ordinances where appropriate. The permittee or its agents shall
 disseminate educational materials to dog owners at the time of
 issuance or renewal of a dog license, or other appropriate time.
 Education materials shall describe the detrimental impacts of
 improper management of pet waste, requirements for waste
 collection and disposal, and penalties for non-compliance. The
 permittee shall also provide information to owners of septic
 systems about proper maintenance in any catchment that
 discharges to a water body impaired for bacteria or pathogens. All
 public education messages can be combined with requirements of
 Appendix H part I, II and III as well as Appendix F part A.IV,
 A.V, B.I, B.II and B.III where appropriate.
 - 2. part 2.3.4 Illicit Discharge: Catchments draining to any waterbody impaired for bacteria or pathogens shall be designated either Problem Catchments or HIGH priority in implementation of the IDDE program.

| Primary | Segment | | |
|--------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| Municipality | ID | Waterbody Name | Indicator Organism |
| Abington | MA62-09 | Beaver Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Abington | MA62-33 | Shumatuscacant River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Acushnet | MA95-31 | Acushnet River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Acushnet | MA95-32 | Acushnet River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Acushnet | MA95-33 | Acushnet River | Fecal Coliform |

¹⁴ Final bacteria or pathogen TMDLs can be found here: http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/massdep/water/watersheds/total-maximum-daily-loads-tmdls.html

Page 27 of 61

| Andover | MA83-04 | Rogers Brook | Fecal Coliform |
|------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| Andover | MA83-15 | Unnamed Tributary | Fecal Coliform |
| Andover | MA83-18 | Shawsheen River | Fecal Coliform |
| Andover | MA83-19 | Shawsheen River | Fecal Coliform |
| Avon | MA62-07 | Trout Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Barnstable | MA96-01 | Barnstable Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Barnstable | MA96-02 | Bumps River | Fecal Coliform |
| Barnstable | MA96-04 | Centerville River | Fecal Coliform |
| Barnstable | MA96-05 | Hyannis Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Barnstable | MA96-06 | Maraspin Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Barnstable | MA96-07 | Prince Cove | Fecal Coliform |
| Barnstable | MA96-08 | Shoestring Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Barnstable | MA96-36 | Lewis Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Barnstable | MA96-37 | Mill Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Barnstable | MA96-63 | Cotuit Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Barnstable | MA96-64 | Seapuit River | Fecal Coliform |
| Barnstable | MA96-66 | North Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Barnstable | MA96-81 | Snows Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Barnstable | MA96-82 | Hyannis Inner Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Barnstable | MA96-92 | Santuit River | Fecal Coliform |
| Barnstable | MA96-93 | Halls Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Barnstable | MA96-94 | Stewarts Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Bedford | MA83-01 | Shawsheen River | Fecal Coliform |
| Bedford | MA83-05 | Elm Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Bedford | MA83-06 | Vine Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Bedford | MA83-08 | Shawsheen River | Fecal Coliform |
| Bedford | MA83-10 | Kiln Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Bedford | MA83-14 | Spring Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Bedford | MA83-17 | Shawsheen River | Fecal Coliform |
| Bellingham | MA72-03 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Bellingham | MA72-04 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Belmont | MA72-28 | Beaver Brook | Pathogens |
| Berkley | MA62-02 | Taunton River | Fecal Coliform |
| Berkley | MA62-03 | Taunton River | Fecal Coliform |
| Berkley | MA62-20 | Assonet River | Fecal Coliform |
| Beverly | MA93-08 | Bass River | Fecal Coliform |
| Beverly | MA93-09 | Danvers River | Fecal Coliform |
| Beverly | MA93-20 | Beverly Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Beverly | MA93-25 | Salem Sound | Fecal Coliform |
| Billerica | MA83-14 | Spring Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Billerica | MA83-17 | Shawsheen River | Fecal Coliform |

| Billerica | MA83-18 | Shawsheen River | Fecal Coliform |
|-------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| Bourne | MA95-01 | Buttermilk Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Bourne | MA95-14 | Cape Cod Canal | Fecal Coliform |
| Bourne | MA95-15 | Phinneys Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Bourne | MA95-16 | Pocasset River | Fecal Coliform |
| Bourne | MA95-17 | Pocasset Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Bourne | MA95-18 | Red Brook Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Bourne | MA95-47 | Back River | Fecal Coliform |
| Bourne | MA95-48 | Eel Pond | Fecal Coliform |
| Brewster | MA96-09 | Quivett Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Brewster | MA96-27 | Namskaket Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Bridgewater | MA62-32 | Matfield River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Brockton | MA62-05 | Salisbury Plain River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Brockton | MA62-06 | Salisbury Plain River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Brockton | MA62-07 | Trout Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Brockton | MA62-08 | Salisbury Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Brockton | MA62-09 | Beaver Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Brookline | MA72-11 | Muddy River | Pathogens |
| Burlington | MA83-06 | Vine Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Burlington | MA83-11 | Long Meadow Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Burlington | MA83-13 | Sandy Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Cambridge | MA72-36 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Cambridge | MA72-38 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Canton | MA73-01 | Neponset River | Fecal Coliform |
| Canton | MA73-01 | Neponset River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Canton | MA73-02 | Neponset River | Fecal Coliform |
| Canton | MA73-05 | East Branch | Fecal Coliform |
| Canton | MA73-20 | Beaver Meadow Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Canton | MA73-22 | Pequid Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Canton | MA73-25 | Pecunit Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Canton | MA73-27 | Ponkapog Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Chatham | MA96-11 | Stage Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Chatham | MA96-41 | Mill Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Chatham | MA96-42 | Taylors Pond | Fecal Coliform |
| Chatham | MA96-43 | Harding Beach Pond | Fecal Coliform |
| Chatham | MA96-44 | Bucks Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Chatham | MA96-45 | Oyster Pond | Fecal Coliform |
| Chatham | MA96-46 | Oyster Pond River | Fecal Coliform |
| Chatham | MA96-49 | Frost Fish Creek | Pathogens |
| Chatham | MA96-50 | Ryder Cove | Fecal Coliform |
| Chatham | MA96-51 | Muddy Creek | Pathogens |

| Chatham | MA96-79 | Cockle Cove Creek | Fecal Coliform |
|------------------|---------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Chatham | MA96-79 | Cockle Cove Creek | Enterococcus Bacteria |
| Cohasset | MA94-01 | Cohasset Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Cohasset | MA94-19 | The Gulf | Fecal Coliform |
| Cohasset | MA94-20 | Little Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Cohasset | MA94-32 | Cohasset Cove | Fecal Coliform |
| Concord | MA83-05 | Elm Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Danvers | MA93-01 | Waters River | Fecal Coliform |
| Danvers | MA93-02 | Crane Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Danvers | MA93-04 | Porter River | Fecal Coliform |
| Danvers | MA93-09 | Danvers River | Fecal Coliform |
| Danvers | MA93-36 | Frost Fish Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Danvers | MA93-41 | Crane River | Fecal Coliform |
| Dartmouth | MA95-13 | Buttonwood Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Dartmouth | MA95-34 | Slocums River | Fecal Coliform |
| Dartmouth | MA95-38 | Clarks Cove | Fecal Coliform |
| Dartmouth | MA95-39 | Apponagansett Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Dartmouth | MA95-40 | East Branch Westport River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Dartmouth | MA95-62 | Buzzards Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Dedham | MA72-07 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Dedham | MA72-21 | Rock Meadow Brook | Pathogens |
| Dedham | MA73-02 | Neponset River | Fecal Coliform |
| Dennis | MA96-09 | Quivett Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Dennis | MA96-12 | Bass River | Fecal Coliform |
| Dennis | MA96-13 | Sesuit Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Dennis | MA96-14 | Swan Pond River | Fecal Coliform |
| Dennis | MA96-35 | Chase Garden Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Dighton | MA62-02 | Taunton River | Fecal Coliform |
| Dighton | MA62-03 | Taunton River | Fecal Coliform |
| Dighton | MA62-50 | Broad Cove | Fecal Coliform |
| Dighton | MA62-51 | Muddy Cove Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Dighton | MA62-55 | Segreganset River | Fecal Coliform |
| Dighton | MA62-56 | Three Mile River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Dighton | MA62-57 | Three Mile River | Fecal Coliform |
| Dover | MA72-05 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Dover | MA72-06 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Duxbury | MA94-15 | Duxbury Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Duxbury | MA94-30 | Bluefish River | Fecal Coliform |
| East Bridgewater | MA62-06 | Salisbury Plain River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| East Bridgewater | MA62-09 | Beaver Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| East Bridgewater | MA62-32 | Matfield River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |

| East Bridgewater | MA62-33 | Shumatuscacant River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
|------------------|---------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| East Bridgewater | MA62-38 | Meadow Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Eastham | MA96-15 | Boat Meadow River | Fecal Coliform |
| Eastham | MA96-16 | Rock Harbor Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Eastham | MA96-34 | Wellfleet Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Eastham | MA96-68 | Town Cove | Fecal Coliform |
| Essex | MA93-11 | Essex River | Fecal Coliform |
| Essex | MA93-16 | Essex Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Essex | MA93-45 | Alewife Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Essex | MA93-46 | Alewife Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Everett | MA93-51 | Unnamed Tributary | Enterococcus Bacteria |
| Fairhaven | MA95-33 | Acushnet River | Fecal Coliform |
| Fairhaven | MA95-42 | New Bedford Inner Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Fairhaven | MA95-62 | Buzzards Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Fairhaven | MA95-63 | Outer New Bedford Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Fairhaven | MA95-64 | Little Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Fairhaven | MA95-65 | Nasketucket Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Fall River | MA61-06 | Mount Hope Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Fall River | MA62-04 | Taunton River | Fecal Coliform |
| Falmouth | MA95-20 | Wild Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Falmouth | MA95-21 | Herring Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Falmouth | MA95-22 | West Falmouth Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Falmouth | MA95-23 | Great Sippewisset Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Falmouth | MA95-24 | Little Sippewisset Marsh | Fecal Coliform |
| Falmouth | MA95-25 | Quissett Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Falmouth | MA95-46 | Harbor Head | Fecal Coliform |
| Falmouth | MA96-17 | Falmouth Inner Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Falmouth | MA96-18 | Great Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Falmouth | MA96-19 | Little Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Falmouth | MA96-20 | Quashnet River | Fecal Coliform |
| Falmouth | MA96-21 | Waquoit Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Falmouth | MA96-53 | Perch Pond | Fecal Coliform |
| Falmouth | MA96-54 | Great Pond | Fecal Coliform |
| Falmouth | MA96-55 | Green Pond | Fecal Coliform |
| Falmouth | MA96-56 | Little Pond | Fecal Coliform |
| Falmouth | MA96-57 | Bournes Pond | Fecal Coliform |
| Falmouth | MA96-58 | Hamblin Pond | Fecal Coliform |
| Falmouth | MA96-62 | Oyster Pond | Fecal Coliform |
| Foxborough | MA62-39 | Rumford River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Foxborough | MA62-47 | Wading River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Foxborough | MA73-01 | Neponset River | Fecal Coliform |

| Foxborough | MA73-01 | Neponset River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
|------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| Franklin | MA72-04 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Freetown | MA62-04 | Taunton River | Fecal Coliform |
| Freetown | MA62-20 | Assonet River | Fecal Coliform |
| Gloucester | MA93-12 | Annisquam River | Fecal Coliform |
| Gloucester | MA93-16 | Essex Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Gloucester | MA93-18 | Gloucester Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Gloucester | MA93-28 | Mill River | Fecal Coliform |
| Hanover | MA94-05 | North River | Fecal Coliform |
| Hanover | MA94-21 | Drinkwater River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Hanover | MA94-24 | Iron Mine Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Hanover | MA94-27 | Third Herring Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Hanson | MA62-33 | Shumatuscacant River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Harwich | MA96-22 | Herring River | Fecal Coliform |
| Harwich | MA96-23 | Saquatucket Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Harwich | MA96-51 | Muddy Creek | Pathogens |
| Holliston | MA72-16 | Bogastow Brook | Pathogens |
| Hopedale | MA72-03 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Hopkinton | MA72-01 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Ipswich | MA93-16 | Essex Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Kingston | MA94-14 | Jones River | Fecal Coliform |
| Kingston | MA94-15 | Duxbury Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Lawrence | MA83-19 | Shawsheen River | Fecal Coliform |
| Lexington | MA72-28 | Beaver Brook | Pathogens |
| Lexington | MA83-06 | Vine Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Lexington | MA83-10 | Kiln Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Lincoln | MA83-05 | Elm Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Lincoln | MA83-08 | Shawsheen River | Fecal Coliform |
| Lynn | MA93-24 | Nahant Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Lynn | MA93-44 | Saugus River | Fecal Coliform |
| Lynn | MA93-52 | Lynn Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Lynnfield | MA93-30 | Beaverdam Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Lynnfield | MA93-32 | Hawkes Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Lynnfield | MA93-34 | Saugus River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Lynnfield | MA93-35 | Saugus River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Malden | MA93-51 | Unnamed Tributary | Enterococcus Bacteria |
| Manchester | MA93-19 | Manchester Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Manchester | MA93-25 | Salem Sound | Fecal Coliform |
| Manchester | MA93-29 | Cat Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Manchester | MA93-47 | Causeway Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Mansfield | MA62-39 | Rumford River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |

| Mansfield | MA62-47 | Wading River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
|--------------|---------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Mansfield | MA62-49 | Wading River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Marblehead | MA93-21 | Salem Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Marblehead | MA93-22 | Marblehead Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Marblehead | MA93-25 | Salem Sound | Fecal Coliform |
| Marion | MA95-05 | Weweantic River | Fecal Coliform |
| Marion | MA95-07 | Sippican River | Fecal Coliform |
| Marion | MA95-08 | Sippican Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Marion | MA95-09 | Aucoot Cove | Fecal Coliform |
| Marion | MA95-56 | Hammett Cove | Fecal Coliform |
| Marshfield | MA94-05 | North River | Fecal Coliform |
| Marshfield | MA94-06 | North River | Fecal Coliform |
| Marshfield | MA94-09 | South River | Fecal Coliform |
| Marshfield | MA94-11 | Green Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Mashpee | MA96-08 | Shoestring Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Mashpee | MA96-21 | Waquoit Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Mashpee | MA96-24 | Mashpee River | Fecal Coliform |
| Mashpee | MA96-39 | Popponesset Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Mashpee | MA96-58 | Hamblin Pond | Fecal Coliform |
| Mashpee | MA96-61 | Little River | Fecal Coliform |
| Mashpee | MA96-92 | Santuit River | Fecal Coliform |
| Mattapoisett | MA95-09 | Aucoot Cove | Fecal Coliform |
| Mattapoisett | MA95-10 | Hiller Cove | Fecal Coliform |
| Mattapoisett | MA95-35 | Mattapoisett Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Mattapoisett | MA95-60 | Mattapoisett River | Fecal Coliform |
| Mattapoisett | MA95-61 | Eel Pond | Fecal Coliform |
| Mattapoisett | MA95-65 | Nasketucket Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Medfield | MA72-05 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Medfield | MA72-10 | Stop River | Pathogens |
| Medfield | MA73-09 | Mine Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Medway | MA72-04 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Medway | MA72-05 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Melrose | MA93-48 | Bennetts Pond Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Mendon | MA72-03 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Milford | MA72-01 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Millis | MA72-05 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Millis | MA72-16 | Bogastow Brook | Pathogens |
| Milton | MA73-02 | Neponset River | Fecal Coliform |
| Milton | MA73-03 | Neponset River | Fecal Coliform |
| Milton | MA73-04 | Neponset River | Fecal Coliform |
| Milton | MA73-26 | Unquity Brook | Fecal Coliform |

| Milton | MA73-29 | Pine Tree Brook | Fecal Coliform |
|---------------|---------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Milton | MA73-30 | Gulliver Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Nahant | MA93-24 | Nahant Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Nahant | MA93-52 | Lynn Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Nahant | MA93-53 | Lynn Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Natick | MA72-05 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Natick | MA72-06 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Needham | MA72-06 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Needham | MA72-07 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Needham | MA72-18 | Fuller Brook | Pathogens |
| Needham | MA72-21 | Rock Meadow Brook | Pathogens |
| Needham | MA72-25 | Rosemary Brook | Pathogens |
| New Bedford | MA95-13 | Buttonwood Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| New Bedford | MA95-33 | Acushnet River | Fecal Coliform |
| New Bedford | MA95-38 | Clarks Cove | Fecal Coliform |
| New Bedford | MA95-42 | New Bedford Inner Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| New Bedford | MA95-63 | Outer New Bedford Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Newton | MA72-07 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Newton | MA72-23 | Sawmill Brook | Pathogens |
| Newton | MA72-24 | South Meadow Brook | Pathogens |
| Newton | MA72-29 | Cheese Cake Brook | Pathogens |
| Newton | MA72-36 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Norfolk | MA72-05 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Norfolk | MA72-10 | Stop River | Pathogens |
| North Andover | MA83-19 | Shawsheen River | Fecal Coliform |
| Norton | MA62-49 | Wading River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Norton | MA62-56 | Three Mile River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Norwell | MA94-05 | North River | Fecal Coliform |
| Norwell | MA94-27 | Third Herring Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Norwell | MA94-31 | Second Herring Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Norwood | MA73-01 | Neponset River | Fecal Coliform |
| Norwood | MA73-01 | Neponset River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Norwood | MA73-02 | Neponset River | Fecal Coliform |
| Norwood | MA73-15 | Germany Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Norwood | MA73-16 | Hawes Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Norwood | MA73-17 | Traphole Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Norwood | MA73-24 | Purgatory Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Norwood | MA73-33 | Unnamed Tributary | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Orleans | MA96-16 | Rock Harbor Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Orleans | MA96-26 | Little Namskaket Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Orleans | MA96-27 | Namskaket Creek | Fecal Coliform |

| Orleans | MA96-68 | Town Cove | Fecal Coliform |
|----------|---------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Orleans | MA96-72 | Paw Wah Pond | Fecal Coliform |
| Orleans | MA96-73 | Pochet Neck | Fecal Coliform |
| Orleans | MA96-76 | The River | Fecal Coliform |
| Orleans | MA96-78 | Little Pleasant Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Peabody | MA93-01 | Waters River | Fecal Coliform |
| Peabody | MA93-05 | Goldthwait Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Peabody | MA93-39 | Proctor Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Pembroke | MA94-05 | North River | Fecal Coliform |
| Plymouth | MA94-15 | Duxbury Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Plymouth | MA94-16 | Plymouth Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Plymouth | MA94-34 | Ellisville Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Raynham | MA62-02 | Taunton River | Fecal Coliform |
| Rehoboth | MA53-03 | Palmer River | Pathogens |
| Rehoboth | MA53-04 | Palmer River | Pathogens |
| Rehoboth | MA53-05 | Palmer River | Pathogens |
| Rehoboth | MA53-07 | Palmer River - West Branch | Pathogens |
| Rehoboth | MA53-08 | Palmer River - East Branch | Pathogens |
| Rehoboth | MA53-09 | Rumney Marsh Brook | Pathogens |
| Rehoboth | MA53-10 | Beaver Dam Brook | Pathogens |
| Rehoboth | MA53-11 | Bad Luck Brook | Pathogens |
| Rehoboth | MA53-12 | Fullers Brook | Pathogens |
| Rehoboth | MA53-13 | Clear Run Brook | Pathogens |
| Rehoboth | MA53-14 | Torrey Creek | Pathogens |
| Rehoboth | MA53-15 | Old Swamp Brook | Pathogens |
| Rehoboth | MA53-16 | Rocky Run | Pathogens |
| Revere | MA93-15 | Pines River | Fecal Coliform |
| Revere | MA93-44 | Saugus River | Fecal Coliform |
| Revere | MA93-51 | Unnamed Tributary | Enterococcus Bacteria |
| Revere | MA93-52 | Lynn Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Revere | MA93-53 | Lynn Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Rockland | MA94-03 | French Stream | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Rockport | MA93-17 | Rockport Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Salem | MA93-09 | Danvers River | Fecal Coliform |
| Salem | MA93-20 | Beverly Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Salem | MA93-21 | Salem Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Salem | MA93-25 | Salem Sound | Fecal Coliform |
| Salem | MA93-39 | Proctor Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Salem | MA93-40 | Proctor Brook | Enterococcus Bacteria |
| Salem | MA93-42 | North River | Fecal Coliform |
| Sandwich | MA95-14 | Cape Cod Canal | Fecal Coliform |

| Sandwich | MA96-30 | Scorton Creek | Fecal Coliform |
|------------|---------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Sandwich | MA96-84 | Old Harbor Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Sandwich | MA96-85 | Mill Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Sandwich | MA96-86 | Dock Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Sandwich | MA96-87 | Springhill Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Saugus | MA93-15 | Pines River | Fecal Coliform |
| Saugus | MA93-33 | Hawkes Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Saugus | MA93-35 | Saugus River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Saugus | MA93-43 | Saugus River | Fecal Coliform |
| Saugus | MA93-44 | Saugus River | Fecal Coliform |
| Saugus | MA93-48 | Bennetts Pond Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Saugus | MA93-49 | Shute Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Saugus | MA93-50 | Shute Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Scituate | MA94-01 | Cohasset Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Scituate | MA94-02 | Scituate Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Scituate | MA94-05 | North River | Fecal Coliform |
| Scituate | MA94-06 | North River | Fecal Coliform |
| Scituate | MA94-07 | Herring River | Fecal Coliform |
| Scituate | MA94-09 | South River | Fecal Coliform |
| Scituate | MA94-19 | The Gulf | Fecal Coliform |
| Scituate | MA94-32 | Cohasset Cove | Fecal Coliform |
| Scituate | MA94-33 | Musquashcut Pond | Fecal Coliform |
| Seekonk | MA53-01 | Runnins River | Fecal Coliform |
| Seekonk | MA53-12 | Fullers Brook | Pathogens |
| Seekonk | MA53-13 | Clear Run Brook | Pathogens |
| Seekonk | MA53-14 | Torrey Creek | Pathogens |
| Sharon | MA62-39 | Rumford River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Sharon | MA73-17 | Traphole Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Sharon | MA73-31 | Unnamed Tributary | Fecal Coliform |
| Sherborn | MA72-05 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Somerset | MA61-01 | Lee River | Fecal Coliform |
| Somerset | MA61-02 | Lee River | Fecal Coliform |
| Somerset | MA61-06 | Mount Hope Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Somerset | MA62-03 | Taunton River | Fecal Coliform |
| Somerset | MA62-04 | Taunton River | Fecal Coliform |
| Somerset | MA62-50 | Broad Cove | Fecal Coliform |
| Stoughton | MA73-20 | Beaver Meadow Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Stoughton | MA73-32 | Unnamed Tributary | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Swampscott | MA93-24 | Nahant Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Swansea | MA53-03 | Palmer River | Pathogens |
| Swansea | MA53-06 | Warren River Pond | Fecal Coliform |

| Swansea | MA53-16 | Rocky Run | Pathogens |
|-----------|---------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Swansea | MA61-01 | Lee River | Fecal Coliform |
| Swansea | MA61-02 | Lee River | Fecal Coliform |
| Swansea | MA61-04 | Cole River | Fecal Coliform |
| Swansea | MA61-07 | Mount Hope Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Swansea | MA61-08 | Kickemuit River | Pathogens |
| Taunton | MA62-02 | Taunton River | Fecal Coliform |
| Taunton | MA62-56 | Three Mile River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Taunton | MA62-57 | Three Mile River | Fecal Coliform |
| Tewksbury | MA83-07 | Strong Water Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Tewksbury | MA83-15 | Unnamed Tributary | Fecal Coliform |
| Tewksbury | MA83-18 | Shawsheen River | Fecal Coliform |
| Wakefield | MA93-31 | Mill River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Wakefield | MA93-34 | Saugus River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Wakefield | MA93-35 | Saugus River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Walpole | MA72-10 | Stop River | Pathogens |
| Walpole | MA73-01 | Neponset River | Fecal Coliform |
| Walpole | MA73-01 | Neponset River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Walpole | MA73-06 | School Meadow Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Walpole | MA73-09 | Mine Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Walpole | MA73-17 | Traphole Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Waltham | MA72-07 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Waltham | MA72-28 | Beaver Brook | Pathogens |
| Wareham | MA95-01 | Buttermilk Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Wareham | MA95-02 | Onset Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Wareham | MA95-03 | Wareham River | Fecal Coliform |
| Wareham | MA95-05 | Weweantic River | Fecal Coliform |
| Wareham | MA95-07 | Sippican River | Fecal Coliform |
| Wareham | MA95-29 | Agawam River | Fecal Coliform |
| Wareham | MA95-49 | Broad Marsh River | Fecal Coliform |
| Wareham | MA95-50 | Wankinco River | Fecal Coliform |
| Wareham | MA95-51 | Crooked River | Fecal Coliform |
| Wareham | MA95-52 | Cedar Island Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Wareham | MA95-53 | Beaverdam Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Watertown | MA72-07 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Watertown | MA72-30 | Unnamed Tributary | Pathogens |
| Watertown | MA72-32 | Unnamed Tributary | Pathogens |
| Watertown | MA72-36 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Wellesley | MA72-06 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Wellesley | MA72-07 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Wellesley | MA72-18 | Fuller Brook | Pathogens |

| Wellesley | MA72-25 | Rosemary Brook | Pathogens |
|------------------|---------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Wellfleet | MA96-32 | Duck Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Wellfleet | MA96-33 | Herring River | Fecal Coliform |
| Wellfleet | MA96-34 | Wellfleet Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| West Bridgewater | MA62-06 | Salisbury Plain River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Weston | MA72-07 | Charles River | Pathogens |
| Westport | MA95-37 | West Branch Westport River | Fecal Coliform |
| Westport | MA95-40 | East Branch Westport River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Westport | MA95-41 | East Branch Westport River | Fecal Coliform |
| Westport | MA95-44 | Snell Creek | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Westport | MA95-45 | Snell Creek | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Westport | MA95-54 | Westport River | Fecal Coliform |
| Westport | MA95-58 | Bread And Cheese Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Westport | MA95-59 | Snell Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Westwood | MA72-21 | Rock Meadow Brook | Pathogens |
| Westwood | MA73-02 | Neponset River | Fecal Coliform |
| Westwood | MA73-15 | Germany Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Westwood | MA73-24 | Purgatory Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Westwood | MA73-25 | Pecunit Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Westwood | MA73-27 | Ponkapog Brook | Fecal Coliform |
| Whitman | MA62-09 | Beaver Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Whitman | MA62-33 | Shumatuscacant River | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Whitman | MA62-38 | Meadow Brook | Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) |
| Wilmington | MA83-18 | Shawsheen River | Fecal Coliform |
| Winthrop | MA93-53 | Lynn Harbor | Fecal Coliform |
| Yarmouth | MA96-12 | Bass River | Fecal Coliform |
| Yarmouth | MA96-35 | Chase Garden Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Yarmouth | MA96-36 | Lewis Bay | Fecal Coliform |
| Yarmouth | MA96-37 | Mill Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Yarmouth | MA96-38 | Parkers River | Fecal Coliform |
| Yarmouth | MA96-80 | Mill Creek | Fecal Coliform |
| Yarmouth | MA96-82 | Hyannis Inner Harbor | Fecal Coliform |

Table F-8: Bacteria or pathogens impaired waterbody names and segment IDs along with primary municipality and indicator organism identified by the applicable TMDL. The term primary municipality indicates the municipality in which the majority of the segment is located, but does not necessarily indicate each municipality that has regulated discharges to the waterbody segment.

- 2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part A.III.1. as follows:
 - a. The permittee is relieved of additional requirements as of the date when the following conditions are met:
 - i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable to the receiving water

- that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for bacteria/pathogens are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL
- b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any additional remaining requirements of Appendix F part A.III.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
 - i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part A.III.1 to date to reduce bacteria/pathogens in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
 - ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part A.III.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

IV. Cape Cod Nitrogen TMDL Requirements

There are 19 approved TMDLs for nitrogen for various watersheds, ponds and bays on Cape Cod. ¹⁵ The following measuress are needed to ensure that current nitrogen loads from MS4 stormwater discharged into the impaired waterbodies do not increase.

1. The operators of traditional and non-traditional MS4s located in municipalities listed in Table F-9 or any other MS4 (traditional and non-traditional) that discharges to any waterbody listed in Table F-9 or their tributaries shall comply with the following BMPs in addition to the requirements of part 2.3 of the Permit, as described below:

Enhanced BMPs

- i. Enhancement of BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit that shall be implemented during this permit term:
 - 1. part 2.3.2, Public education and outreach: The permittee shall supplement its Residential and Business/Commercial/Institution program with annual timed messages on specific topics. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the spring (April/May) timeframe that encourages the proper use and disposal of grass clippings and encourages the proper use of slow-release fertilizers. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the summer (June/July) timeframe encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the Fall (August/September/October) timeframe encouraging the proper disposal of leaf litter. The permittee shall deliver an annual message on each of these topics, unless the permittee determines that one or more of these issues is not a significant contributor of nitrogen to discharges from the MS4 and the permittee retains documentation of this finding in the SWMP. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H part I, II and III as well as Appendix F part A.III, A.V, B.I, B.II and B.III where appropriate.
 - 2. part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment: the requirement for adoption/amendment of the permittee's ordinance or other regulatory mechanism shall include a requirement that new development and redevelopment stormwater management BMPs be optimized for nitrogen removal; retrofit inventory and priority ranking under 2.3.6.1.b shall include consideration of BMPs to reduce nitrogen discharges.

¹⁵ Final nitrogen TMDLs for Cape Cod can be found here: http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/massdep/water/watersheds/total-maximum-daily-loads-tmdls.html

3. part 2.3.7, Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations: establish requirements for use of slow release fertilizers on permittee owned property currently using fertilizer, in addition to reducing and managing fertilizer use as provided in in part 2.3.7.1; establish procedures to properly manage grass cuttings and leaf litter on permittee property, including prohibiting blowing organic waste materials onto adjacent impervious surfaces; increased street sweeping frequency of all municipal owned streets and parking lots subject to Permit part 2.3.7.a.iii.(c) to a minimum of two (2) times per year, once in the spring (following winter activities such as sanding) and at least once in the fall (Sept 1 – Dec 1; following leaf fall).

| Municipality | Waterbody Name | |
|--------------|----------------------------|--|
| Barnstable | Centerville River | |
| Barnstable | Popponesset Bay | |
| Barnstable | Shoestring Bay | |
| Barnstable | Cotuit Bay | |
| Barnstable | North Bay | |
| Barnstable | Prince Cove | |
| Barnstable | West Bay | |
| Barnstable | Hyannis Inner Harbor | |
| Barnstable | Lewis Bay | |
| Bourne | Phinneys Harbor | |
| Chatham | Crows Pond | |
| Chatham | Bucks Creek | |
| Chatham | Harding Beach Pond | |
| Chatham | Mill Creek | |
| Chatham | Mill Pond | |
| Chatham | Oyster Pond | |
| Chatham | Oyster Pond River | |
| Chatham | Stage Harbor | |
| Chatham | Taylors Pond | |
| Chatham | Frost Fish Creek | |
| Chatham | Ryder Cove | |
| Falmouth | Bournes Pond | |
| Falmouth | Great Pond | |
| Falmouth | Green Pond | |
| Falmouth | Perch Pond | |
| Falmouth | Little Pond | |
| Falmouth | Oyster Pond | |
| Falmouth | Quashnet River | |
| Falmouth | Inner West Falmouth Harbor | |

| Municipality | Waterbody Name | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Falmouth | West Falmouth Harbor | |
| Falmouth | Snug Harbor | |
| Falmouth | Harbor Head | |
| Harwich | Muddy Creek - Lower | |
| Harwich | Muddy Creek - Upper | |
| Harwich | Round Cove | |
| Mashpee | Mashpee River | |
| Mashpee | Great River | |
| Mashpee | Hamblin Pond | |
| Mashpee | Jehu Pond | |
| Mashpee | Little River | |
| Orleans | Areys Pond | |
| Orleans | Little Pleasant Bay | |
| Orleans | Namequoit River | |
| Orleans | Paw Wah Pond | |
| Orleans | Pleasant Bay | |
| Orleans | Pochet Neck | |
| Orleans | Quanset Pond | |
| Yarmouth Mill Creek | | |
| Yarmouth Hyannis Inner Harbor | | |
| Yarmouth | Lewis Bay | |

Table F-9: Waterbodies subject to a Cape Cod nitrogen TMDL and the primary municipalities

- 2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part A.IV.1. applicable to it when in compliance with this part.
 - a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when one of the following criteria are met:
 - i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of nitrogen are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL
 - b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any remaining requirements of Appendix F part A.IV.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
 - i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part A.IV.1 to date to reduce nitrogen in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
 - ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part A.IV.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing

implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

V. Assabet River Phosphorus TMDL Requirements

On September 23, 2004 EPA approved the *Assabet River Total Maximum Daily Load for Total Phosphorus*¹⁶. The following measures are needed to ensure that current phosphorus loads from MS4 stormwater discharged directly or indirectly via tributaries into the Assabet River do not increase.

1. The operators of traditional and non-traditional MS4s located in municipalities listed in Table F-10 within the Assabet River Watershed shall comply with the following BMPs in addition to the requirements of part 2.3 of the Permit, as described below:

a. Enhanced BMPs

- i. Enhancement of BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit that shall be implemented during this permit term:
 - 1. part 2.3.2, Public education and outreach: The permittee shall supplement its Residential and Business/Commercial/Institution program with annual timed messages on specific topics. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the spring (March/April) timeframe that encourages the proper use and disposal of grass clippings and encourages the proper use of slowrelease and phosphorous-free fertilizers. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the summer (June/July) timeframe encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the fall (August/September/October) timeframe encouraging the proper disposal of leaf litter. The permittee shall deliver an annual message on each of these topics, unless the permittee determines that one or more of these issues is not a significant contributor of phosphorous to discharges from the MS4 and the permittee retains documentation of this finding in the SWMP. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H part I, II and III as well as Appendix F part A.III, A.IV, B.I, B.II and B.III where appropriate.
 - 2. part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment: the requirement for adoption/amendment of the permittee's ordinance or other regulatory mechanism shall include a requirement that new development and redevelopment stormwater management BMPs be optimized for phosphorus removal; retrofit inventory and priority ranking under 2.3.6.1.b shall include consideration of BMPs that infiltrate stormwater where feasible.
 - 3. part 2.3.7, Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations: Establish program to properly

¹⁶ Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, 2004. *Assabet River Total Maximum Daily Load for Total Phosphorus*. CN 201.0

manage grass cuttings and leaf litter on permittee property, including prohibiting blowing organic waste materials onto adjacent impervious surfaces; increased street sweeping frequency of all municipal owned streets and parking lots subject to Permit part 2.3.7.a.iii.(c) to a minimum of two times per year, once in the spring (following winter activities such as sanding) and at least once in the fall (Sept 1 – Dec 1; following leaf fall).

| Municipality |
|--------------|
| Acton |
| Berlin |
| Bolton |
| Boxborough |
| Boylston |
| Carlisle |
| Clinton |
| Concord |
| Grafton |
| Harvard |
| Hudson |
| Littleton |
| Marlborough |
| Maynard |
| Northborough |
| Shrewsbury |
| Stow |
| Westborough |
| Westford |

Table F-10: Municipalities located in the Assabet River Watershed

- 2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part A.V.1. as follows.
 - a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when following conditions are met:
 - i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of phosphorus are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL
 - b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any remaining requirements of Appendix F part A.V.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
 - i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part A.V.1 to

- date to reduce phosphorus in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
- ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part A.V.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

B. Requirements for Discharges to Impaired Waters with an Approved Out of State TMDL

I. Nitrogen TMDL Requirements

Discharges from MS4s in Massachusetts to waters that are tributaries to the Long Island Sound, which has an approved TMDL for nitrogen¹⁷, are subject to the requirements of this part.

1. The operators of traditional and non-traditional MS4s located in municipalities listed in Table F-11 shall comply with the following BMPs in addition to the requirements of part 2.3 of the Permit, as described below:

a. Enhanced BMPs

- i. Enhancement of BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit that shall be implemented during this permit term:
 - 1. part 2.3.2, Public education and outreach: The permittee shall supplement its Residential and Business/Commercial/Institution program with annual timed messages on specific topics. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the spring (April/May) timeframe that encourages the proper use and disposal of grass clippings and encourages the proper use of slow-release fertilizers. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the summer (June/July) timeframe encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the Fall (August/September/October) timeframe encouraging the proper disposal of leaf litter. The permittee shall deliver an annual message on each of these topics, unless the permittee determines that one or more of these issues is not a significant contributor of nitrogen to discharges from the MS4 and the permittee retains documentation of this finding in the SWMP. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H part I, II and III as well as Appendix F part A.III, A.IV, A.V, B.II and B.III where appropriate.
 - 2. part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment: the requirement for adoption/amendment of the permittee's ordinance or other regulatory mechanism shall include a requirement that new development and redevelopment stormwater management BMPs be optimized for nitrogen removal; retrofit inventory and priority ranking under 2.3.6.1.b shall include consideration of BMPs to reduce nitrogen discharges.
 - 3. part 2.3.7, Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations: establish requirements for use of

¹⁷ Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection. 2000. A Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis to Achieve Water Quality Standards for Dissolved Oxygen in Long Island Sound

slow release fertilizers on permittee owned property currently using fertilizer, in addition to reducing and managing fertilizer use as provided in in part 2.3.7.1; establish procedures to properly manage grass cuttings and leaf litter on permittee property, including prohibiting blowing organic waste materials onto adjacent impervious surfaces; increased street sweeping frequency of all municipal owned streets and parking lots subject to Permit part 2.3.7.a.iii.(c) to a minimum of two (2) times per year, once in the spring (following winter activities such as sanding) and at least once in the fall (Sept 1 – Dec 1; following leaf fall).

b. Nitrogen Source Identification Report

- i. Within four years of the permit effective date the permittee shall complete a Nitrogen Source Identification Report. The report shall include the following elements:
 - Calculation of total urbanized area within the permittee's jurisdiction that is within the Connecticut River Watershed, the Housatonic River Watershed, or the Thames River Watershed, incorporating updated mapping of the MS4 and catchment delineations produced pursuant to part 2.3.4.6,
 - 2. All screening and monitoring results pursuant to part 2.3.4.7.d., targeting the receiving water segment(s)
 - 3. Impervious area and DCIA for the target catchment
 - 4. Identification, delineation and prioritization of potential catchments with high nitrogen loading
 - 5. Identification of potential retrofit opportunities or opportunities for the installation of structural BMPs during re-development
- ii. The final Nitrogen Source Identification Report shall be submitted to EPA as part of the year 4 annual report.

c. Structural BMPs

- i. Within five years of the permit effective date, the permittee shall evaluate all properties identified as presenting retrofit opportunities or areas for structural BMP installation under permit part 2.3.6.d.ii. or identified in the Nitrogen Source Identification Report. The evaluation shall include:
 - 1. The next planned infrastructure, resurfacing or redevelopment activity planned for the property (if applicable) OR planned retrofit date;
 - 2. The estimated cost of redevelopment or retrofit BMPs; and
 - 3. The engineering and regulatory feasibility of redevelopment or retrofit BMPs.
- ii. The permittee shall provide a listing of planned structural BMPs and a plan and schedule for implementation in the year 5 annual

report. The permittee shall plan and install a minimum of one structural BMP as a demonstration project within six years of the permit effective date. The demonstration project shall be installed targeting a catchment with high nitrogen load potential. The permittee shall install the remainder of the structural BMPs in accordance with the plan and schedule provided in the year 5 annual report.

iii. Any structural BMPs listed in Table 4-3 of Attachment 1 to Appendix H installed in the urbanized area by the permittee or its agents shall be tracked and the permittee shall estimate the nitrogen removal by the BMP consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix H. The permittee shall document the BMP type, total area treated by the BMP, the design storage volume of the BMP and the estimated nitrogen removed in mass per year by the BMP in each annual report.

| Adams | North Adams |
|-----------------|------------------|
| Agawam | Northampton |
| Amherst | Oxford |
| Ashburnham | Palmer |
| Ashby | Paxton |
| Auburn | Pelham |
| Belchertown | Pittsfield |
| Charlton | Richmond |
| Cheshire | Russell |
| Chicopee | Rutland |
| Dalton | South Hadley |
| Douglas | Southampton |
| Dudley | Southbridge |
| East Longmeadow | Southwick |
| Easthampton | Spencer |
| Gardner | Springfield |
| Granby | Sturbridge |
| Hadley | Sutton |
| Hampden | Templeton |
| Hatfield | Ware |
| Hinsdale | Webster |
| Holyoke | West Springfield |
| Lanesborough | Westfield |
| Leicester | Westhampton |
| Lenox | Westminster |
| Longmeadow | Wilbraham |
| Ludlow | Williamsburg |
| Millbury | Winchendon |

Monson

Table F-11: Massachusetts municipalities in which MS4 discharges are within the Connecticut River Watershed, the Housatonic River Watershed. or the Thames River Watershed.

2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part B.I.1. as follows:

- a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when the following conditions are met:
 - i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of nitrogen are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL
- b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any remaining requirements of Appendix F part B.I.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
 - i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part B.I.1 to date to reduce nitrogen in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
 - ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part B.I.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

II. Phosphorus TMDL Requirements

There are currently eight approved phosphorus TMDLs for certain waterbody segments in Rhode Island that identify urban stormwater discharges in Massachusetts as sources that are contributing phosphorus to the impaired segments. The TMDLs include the Kickemuit Reservoir, Upper Kikemuit River, Kickemuit River, Ten Mile River, Central Pond, Turner Reservoir, Lower Ten Mile River, and Omega Pond TMDLs¹⁸. Table F-12 lists municipalities in Massachusetts identified in the TMDLs as containing MS4s contributing phosphorus to the impaired waterbody segments in Rhode Island, the impaired receiving water, and the approved TMDL name. Any permittee (traditional or non-traditional) that operates an MS4 in a municipality listed in Table F-12 and that discharges to a waterbody or tributary of a waterbody listed on Table F-12 is subject to the requirements of this part.

1. The operators of traditional and non-traditional MS4s located in municipalities listed in Table F-12 and that discharge to a waterbody or a tributary of a waterbody identified on Table F-12 shall comply with the following BMPs in addition to the requirements of part 2.3 of the Permit, as described below:

a. Enhanced BMPs

- i. Enhancement of BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit that shall be implemented during this permit term:
 - 1. part 2.3.2, Public education and outreach: The permittee shall supplement its Residential and Business/Commercial/Institution program with annual timed messages on specific topics. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the spring (March/April) timeframe that encourages the proper use and disposal of grass clippings and encourages the proper use of slow-release and phosphorousfree fertilizers. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the summer (June/July) timeframe encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the fall (August/September/October) timeframe encouraging the proper disposal of leaf litter. The permittee shall deliver an annual message on each of these topics, unless the permittee determines that one or more of these issues is not a significant contributor of phosphorous to discharges from the MS4 and the permittee retains documentation of this finding in the SWMP. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H part I, II and III as well as Appendix F part A.III, A.IV, A.V, B.I, and B.III where appropriate.
 - 2. part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment: the requirement for

¹⁸ See http://www.dem.ri.gov/programs/benviron/water/quality/rest/reports.htm for all RI TMDL documents. (retrieved 6/30/2014)

adoption/amendment of the permittee's ordinance or other regulatory mechanism shall include a requirement that new development and redevelopment stormwater management BMPs be optimized for phosphorus removal; retrofit inventory and priority ranking under 2.3.6.1.b shall include consideration of BMPs that infiltrate stormwater where feasible.

3. part 2.3.7, Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations: Establish program to properly manage grass cuttings and leaf litter on permittee property, including prohibiting blowing organic waste materials onto adjacent impervious surfaces; increased street sweeping frequency of all municipal owned streets and parking lots subject to Permit part 2.3.7.a.iii.(c) to a minimum of two times per year, once in the spring (following winter activities such as sanding) and at least once in the fall (Sept 1 – Dec 1; following leaf fall).

b. Phosphorus Source Identification Report

- i. Within four years of the permit effective date the permittee shall complete a Phosphorus Source Identification Report. The report shall include the following elements:
 - 1. Calculation of total urbanized area draining to the water quality limited receiving water segments or their tributaries, incorporating updated mapping of the MS4 and catchment delineations produced pursuant to part 2.3.4.6,
 - 2. All screening and monitoring results pursuant to part 2.3.4.7.d., targeting the receiving water segment(s)
 - 3. Impervious area and DCIA for the target catchment
 - 4. Identification, delineation and prioritization of potential catchments with high phosphorus loading
 - 5. Identification of potential retrofit opportunities or opportunities for the installation of structural BMPs during re development, including the removal of impervious area of permittee owned properties
- ii. The phosphorus source identification report shall be submitted to EPA as part of the year 4 annual report.

c. Structural BMPs

i. Within five years of the permit effective date, the permittee shall evaluate all permittee owned properties identified as presenting retrofit opportunities or areas for structural BMP installation under permit part 2.3.6.d.ii or identified in the Phosphorus Source Identification Report that are within the drainage area of the water quality limited water or its tributaries. The evaluation shall include:

- 1. The next planned infrastructure, resurfacing or redevelopment activity planned for the property (if applicable) OR planned retrofit date;
- 2. The estimated cost of redevelopment or retrofit BMPs; and
- 3. The engineering and regulatory feasibility of redevelopment or retrofit BMPs.
- ii. The permittee shall provide a listing of planned structural BMPs and a plan and schedule for implementation in the year 5 annual report. The permittee shall plan and install a minimum of one structural BMP as a demonstration project within the drainage area of the water quality limited water or its tributaries within six years of the permit effective date. The demonstration project shall be installed targeting a catchment with high phosphorus load potential. The permittee shall install the remainder of the structural BMPs in accordance with the plan and schedule provided in the year 5 annual report.
- iii. Any structural BMPs installed in the urbanized area by the permittee or its agents shall be tracked and the permittee shall estimate the phosphorus removal by the BMP consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F. The permittee shall document the BMP type, total area treated by the BMP, the design storage volume of the BMP and the estimated phosphorus removed in mass per year by the BMP in each annual report.

| Municipality | Receiving Water | TMDL Name |
|--------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| Attleboro | Upper Ten Mile | Total Maximum Daily Load |
| | River, Lower Ten | Analysis For The Ten |
| | Mile River, | Mile River Watershed |
| | Central Pond, | |
| | Omega Pond and | |
| | Turner Reservoir | |
| North | Upper Ten Mile | Total Maximum Daily Load |
| Attleborough | River, Lower Ten | Analysis For The Ten |
| | Mile River, | Mile River Watershed |
| | Central Pond, | |
| | Omega Pond and | |
| | Turner Reservoir | |
| Plainville | Upper Ten Mile | Total Maximum Daily Load |
| | River, Lower Ten | Analysis For The Ten |
| | Mile River, | Mile River Watershed |
| | Central Pond, | |
| | Omega Pond and | |
| | Turner Reservoir | |
| Rehoboth | Upper Kikemuit | Fecal Coliform and Total |
| | River, Kickemuit | Phosphorus |
| | River, Kickemuit | TMDLs: |
| | Reservoir | |

| Municipality | Receiving Water | TMDL Name |
|--------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | Kickemuit Reservoir, Rhode |
| | | Island (RI0007034L-01) |
| | | Upper Kickemuit River (RI |
| | | 0007034R-01) |
| | | Kickemuit River (MA 61- |
| | | 08_2004) |
| Seekonk | Upper Ten Mile | Total Maximum Daily Load |
| | River, Lower Ten | Analysis For The Ten |
| | Mile River, | Mile River Watershed |
| | Central Pond, | |
| | Omega Pond and | |
| | Turner Reservoir | |
| Swansea | Upper Kikemuit | Fecal Coliform and Total |
| | River, Kickemuit | Phosphorus |
| | River, Kickemuit | TMDLs: |
| | Reservoir | Kickemuit Reservoir, Rhode |
| | | Island (RI0007034L-01) |
| | | Upper Kickemuit River (RI |
| | | 0007034R-01) |
| | | Kickemuit River (MA 61- |
| | | 08_2004) |

Table F-12: Municipalities in Massachusetts identified in the TMDLs as containing MS4s contributing phosphorus to the impaired waterbody segments in Rhode Island, the impaired receiving water, and the approved TMDL name.

- 2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part B.II.1. as follows:
 - a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when one of the following criteria are met:
 - i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of phosphorus are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL
 - b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any remaining requirements of Appendix F part B.II.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
 - i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part B.II.1 to date to reduce phosphorus in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
 - ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part B.II.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

III. Bacteria and Pathogen TMDL Requirements

There are currently six approved bacteria (fecal coliform bacteria) or pathogen (fecal coliform and/or enterococcus bacteria) TMDLs for certain waterbody segments in Rhode Island that identify urban stormwater discharges in Massachusetts as sources that are contributing bacteria or pathogens to the impaired segments. The TMDLs include the Kickemuit Reservoir, Upper Kikemuit River, Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River and Omega Pond TMDLs¹⁹ Table F-13 lists municipalities in Massachusetts identified in the TMDLs as containing MS4s contributing bacteria or pathogens to the impaired waterbody segments in Rhode Island,, the impaired receiving water, and the approved TMDL name. Any permittee (traditional or non-traditional) that operates an MS4 in a municipality listed in Table F-13 and that discharges to a waterbody or a tributary of a waterbody listed on Table F-13 is subject to the requirements of this part.

1) Traditional and non-traditional MS4s operating in the municipalities identified in Table F-13 and that discharge to a waterbody or a tributary of a waterbody identified on Table F-13 shall comply with the following BMPs in addition to the requirements of part 2.3 of the Permit, as described below::

a. Enhanced BMPs

- i. Enhancement of BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit that shall be implemented during this permit term:
 - 1. part 2.3.3. Public Education: The permittee shall supplement its Residential program with an annual message encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee or its agents shall disseminate educational materials to dog owners at the time of issuance or renewal of a dog license, or other appropriate time. Education materials shall describe the detrimental impacts of improper management of pet waste. requirements for waste collection and disposal, and penalties for non-compliance. The permittee shall also provide information to owners of septic systems about proper maintenance in any catchment that discharges to a water body impaired for bacteria or pathogens. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H part I, II and III as well as Appendix F part A.III, A.IV, A.V, B.I, and B.II where appropriate.
 - 2. part 2.3.4 Illicit Discharge: Catchments draining to any waterbody impaired for bacteria or pathogens shall be designated either Problem Catchments or HIGH priority in implementation of the IDDE program.

¹⁹ See http://www.dem.ri.gov/programs/benviron/water/quality/rest/reports.htm for all RI TMDL documents. (retrieved 6/30/2014)

| Municipality | Receiving Water | TMDL Name |
|--------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| Attleboro | Upper Ten Mile | Total Maximum Daily Load |
| | River, Lower Ten | Analysis For The Ten |
| | Mile River, | Mile River Watershed |
| | Omega Pond | |
| North | Upper Ten Mile | Total Maximum Daily Load |
| Attleborough | River, Lower Ten | Analysis For The Ten |
| | Mile River, | Mile River Watershed |
| | Omega Pond | |
| Plainville | Upper Ten Mile | Total Maximum Daily Load |
| | River, Lower Ten | Analysis For The Ten |
| | Mile River, | Mile River Watershed |
| | Omega Pond | |
| Rehoboth | Upper Kikemuit | Fecal Coliform and Total |
| | River, Kickemuit | Phosphorus |
| | Reservoir | TMDLs: |
| | | Kickemuit Reservoir, Rhode |
| | | Island (RI0007034L-01) |
| | | Upper Kickemuit River (RI |
| | | 0007034R-01) |
| | | Kickemuit River (MA 61- |
| | | 08_2004) |
| Seekonk | Upper Ten Mile | Total Maximum Daily Load |
| | River, Lower Ten | Analysis For The Ten |
| | Mile River, | Mile River Watershed |
| | Omega Pond | |

Table F-13: Municipalities in Massachusetts identified in the TMDLs as containing MS4s contributing bacteria or pathogens to the impaired waterbody segments in Rhode Island,, the impaired receiving water, and the approved TMDL name

- 2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part B.III.1. applicable to it when in compliance with this part.
 - a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when one of the following criteria are met:
 - i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of bacteria/pathogens are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL
 - b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any remaining requirements of Appendix F part B.III.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
 - i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part B.III.1 to date to reduce bacteria/pathogens in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
 - ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part B.III.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation

of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

IV. Metals TMDL Requirements

There are currently five approved metals TMDL for a waterbody segment in Rhode Island that that identifies urban stormwater discharges in Massachusetts as sources that are contributing metals (Cadmium, Lead, Aluminum, Iron) to the impaired segment. The TMDLs include the Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Central Pond, Turner Reservoir and Omega Pond TMDLs. Table F-14 lists municipalities in Massachusetts identified in the TMDLs as containing MS4s contributing metals to the impaired waterbody segments in Rhode Island, the impaired receiving water, the approved TMDL name, and the pollutant of concern. Any permittee (traditional or non-traditional) that operates an MS4 in a municipality listed in Table F-14 and the discharge is to a waterbody or tributary of a waterbody listed on Table F-14 is subject to the requirements of this part.

1) Traditional and non-traditional MS4s operating in the municipalities identified in Table F-14 and that discharge to a waterbody or a tributary of a waterbody identified on Table F-14 shall identify and implement BMPs designed to reduce metals discharges from its MS4. To address metals discharges, each permittee shall comply with the following BMPs in addition to the requirements of part 2.3 of the Permit, as described below:

a. Enhanced BMPs

- i. The permittee remains subject to the requirements of part 2.3. of the permit and shall include the following enhancements to the BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit:
 - 1. part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment: stormwater management systems designed on commercial and industrial land use area draining to the water quality limited waterbody shall incorporate designs that allow for shutdown and containment where appropriate to isolate the system in the event of an emergency spill or other unexpected event. EPA also encourages the permittee to require any stormwater management system designed to infiltrate stormwater on commercial or industrial sites to provide the level of pollutant removal equal to or greater than the level of pollutant removal provided through the use of biofiltration of the same volume of runoff to be infiltrated, prior to infiltration.
 - 2. part 2.3.7, Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations: increased street sweeping frequency of all municipal owned streets and parking lots to a schedule determined by the permittee to target areas with potential for high pollutant loads. This may include, but is not limited to, increased street sweeping frequency in commercial areas and high density residential areas, or

²⁰ See http://www.dem.ri.gov/programs/benviron/water/quality/rest/reports.htm for all RI TMDL documents. (retrieved 6/30/2014)

drainage areas with a large amount of impervious area. Prioritize inspection and maintenance for catch basins to ensure that no sump shall be more than 50 percent full. Clean catch basins more frequently if inspection and maintenance activities indicate excessive sediment or debris loadings. Each annual report shall include the street sweeping schedule determined by the permittee to target high pollutant loads.

| Municipality | Receiving Water | TMDL Name |
|--------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Attleboro | Upper Ten Mile | Total Maximum Daily Load |
| | River, Lower Ten | Analysis For The Ten |
| | Mile River, | Mile River Watershed |
| | Central Pond, | |
| | Turner Reservoir, | |
| | Omega Pond | |
| North | Upper Ten Mile | Total Maximum Daily Load |
| Attleborough | River, Lower Ten | Analysis For The Ten |
| | Mile River, | Mile River Watershed |
| | Central Pond, | |
| | Turner Reservoir, | |
| | Omega Pond | |
| Plainville | Upper Ten Mile | Total Maximum Daily Load |
| | River, Lower Ten | Analysis For The Ten |
| | Mile River, | Mile River Watershed |
| | Central Pond, | |
| | Turner Reservoir, | |
| | Omega Pond | |
| Seekonk | Upper Ten Mile | Total Maximum Daily Load |
| | River, Lower Ten | Analysis For The Ten |
| | Mile River, | Mile River Watershed |
| | Central Pond, | |
| | Turner Reservoir, | |
| | Omega Pond | |

Table F-14: Municipalities in Massachusetts identified in the TMDLs as containing MS4s contributing metals to the impaired waterbody segments in Rhode Island, the impaired receiving water, the approved TMDL name, and the pollutant of concern.

- 2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part B.IV.1. applicable to it when in compliance with this part.
 - a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when one of the following criteria are met:
 - i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of metals (Cadmium, Lead, Aluminum, Iron) are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL

b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any remaining requirements of Appendix F part B.IV.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:

- i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part B.IV.1 to date to reduce metals (Cadmium, Lead, Aluminum, Iron) in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
- ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part B.IV.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

C. Requirements for Discharges to Impaired Waters with a Regional TMDL

I. The "Northeast Regional Mercury TMDL (2007)"

The Northeast Regional Mercury TMDL does not specify a wasteload allocation or other requirements either individually or categorically for the MS4 discharges and specifies that load reductions are to be achieved through reduction in atmospheric deposition sources. No requirements related to this TMDL are imposed on MS4 discharges under this part. However, if the permittee becomes aware, or EPA or MassDEP determines, that an MS4 discharge is causing or contributing to such impairment to an extent that cannot be explained by atmospheric deposition (e.g. chemical spill, acid landfill leachate or other sources), the permittee shall comply with the requirements of part 2.1.1.d and 2.3.4 of the permit.

Page 61 of 61

ATTACHMENT 1 TO APPENDIX F

Method to Calculate Baseline Phosphorus Load (Baseline), Phosphorus Reduction Requirements and Phosphorus load increases due to development (P_{DEVinc.})

The methods and annual phosphorus load export rates presented in Attachments 1, 2 and 3 are for the purpose of measuring load reductions for various stormwater BMPs treating runoff from different site conditions (i.e. impervious or pervious) and land uses (e.g. commercial, industrial, residential). The estimates of annual phosphorus load and load reductions due to BMPs are intended for use by the permittee to measure compliance with its Phosphorus Reduction Requirement under the permit.

This attachment provides the method to calculate a baseline phosphorus load discharging in stormwater for the impaired municipalities subject to Lakes and Ponds TMDL. A complete list of municipalities subject to these TMDLs is presented in Appendix F, Table F-6. This method shall be used to calculate the following annual phosphorus loads:

- 1) Baseline Phosphorus Load for Permittees
- 2) Phosphorus Reduction Requirement

This attachment also provides the method to calculate stormwater phosphorus load increases due to development for the municipalities subject to the Charles River TMDL requirements and the Lakes & Ponds TMDL requirements:

3) Phosphorus Load Increases due to Development

The **Baseline Phosphorus Load** is a measure of the annual phosphorus load discharging in stormwater from the impervious and pervious areas of the impaired Lake Phosphorus Control Plan (LPCP) Area.

The **Baseline Phosphorus Pounds Reduction** referred to as the permittee's **Phosphorus Reduction Requirement** represents the required reduction in annual phosphorus load in stormwater to meet the WLA for the impaired watershed. The percent phosphorus reduction for each watershed (identified in Appendix F, Table F-6) is applied to the Baseline Phosphorus Load to calculate the Phosphorus Pounds Reduction.

The **Phosphorus load increases due to development** (P_{DEVinc}) is the stormwater phosphorus load increases due to development over the previous reporting period and incurred to date. Increases in stormwater phosphorus load from development will increase the permittee's baseline phosphorus load and therefore, the phosphorus reduction requirement.

Examples are provided to illustrate use of the methods. Table 1-1 below provides annual composite phosphorus load export rates (PLERs) by land use category for the Baseline Load and Phosphorus Reduction Requirement calculations. The permittee shall select the land use category that most closely represents the actual use of the watershed. For watersheds with institutional type uses, such as government properties, hospitals, and schools, the permittee shall use the commercial land use category for the purpose of calculating phosphorus loads. Table 1-2 provides annual PLERs by land use category for impervious and pervious areas. The permittee shall select the land use category that most closely represents the actual use of the watershed. For pervious areas, if the hydrologic soil group (HSG) is known, use the appropriate value. If the HSG is not known, assume HSG C conditions for the phosphorus load export rate. For watersheds with

institutional type uses, such as government properties, hospitals, and schools, the permittee shall use the commercial/industrial land use category for the purpose of calculating phosphorus loads. Table 1-3 provides a crosswalk table of land use codes between Tables 1-1 and 1-2 and the codes used by MassGIS.

The composite PLERs in Table 1-1 to be used for calculating Baseline Phosphorus Load are based on the specified directly connected impervious area (DCIA). If the permittee determines through mapping and site investigations that the overall DCIA for the collective area for each land use category is different than the corresponding values in Table 1-1, then the permittee is encouraged to submit this information in its annual report and request EPA to recalculate the composite PLERs for the permittees to use in refining the Baseline Phosphorus Load calculation for the LPCP.

(1) Baseline Phosphorus Load: The permittee shall calculate the Baseline Phosphorus Load by the following procedure:

- 1) Determine the total area (acre) associated with the impaired watershed;
- 2) Sort the total area associated with the watershed into land use categories;
- 3) Calculate the annual phosphorus load associated with each land use category by multiplying the total area of land use by the appropriate land use-based composite phosphorus load export rate provided in Table 1-1; and
- 4) Determine the Baseline Phosphorus Load by summing the land use loads.

Example 1-1 to determine Baseline Phosphorus Load:

Watershed A is 18.0 acres, with 11.0 acres of industrial area (e.g. access drives, buildings, and parking lots), 3.0 acres of medium-density residential and 4.0 acres of unmanaged wooded area.

The **Baseline Phosphorus Load** = (Baseline P Load $_{IND}$) + (Baseline P Load $_{MDR}$) + (Baseline P Load $_{FOR}$)

Where:

```
Baseline P Load _{\text{IND}} = (TA_{\text{IND}}) x (PLER for industrial use (Table 1-1)) = 11.0 acre x 1.27 lbs/acre/year = 14.0 lbs P/year
```

Baseline P Load $_{MDR}$ = (TA $_{MDR}$) x (PLER for medium density residential (Table 1-1)) = 3.0 acre x 0.49 lbs/acre/year = 1.5 lbs P/year

```
\begin{aligned} \text{Baseline P Load}_{\text{ FOR}} &= (\text{TA}_{\text{FOR}}) \text{ x (PLER for forest (Table 1-1))} \\ &= 4.0 \text{ acre x } 0.12 \text{ lbs/acre/year} \\ &= 0.5 \text{ lbs P/year} \end{aligned}
```

Baseline Phosphorus Load = 14.0 lbs P/year + 1.5 lbs P/year + 0.5 lbs P/year = **16.0 lbs P/year**

(2) Baseline Phosphorus Pounds Reduction (Phosphorus Reduction Requirement): The Baselines Phosphorus Reduction requirement is the amount of reduction in annual phosphorus load (in pounds) that the permittee is required to achieve in the Watershed. The permittee shall calculate the **Phosphorus Reduction Requirement** by multiplying the **Baseline Phosphorus Load** by the applicable percent phosphorus reduction for that watershed specified in Table F-6 (Appendix F).

Example 1-2 to determine Watershed Phosphorus Reduction Requirement:

Table F-6 identifies Watershed A's percent phosphorus reduction as 45%; therefore the Watershed Phosphorus Reduction Requirement is:

Phosphorus Reduction Requirement = (Baseline Phosphorus Load) x (0.45)

 $= (16.0 \text{ lbs P/year}) \times (0.45)$

= **7.2** lbs P/year

(3) Phosphorus load increases due to development (P_{DEVinc}): To estimate the increases in stormwater phosphorus load due to development in the Watershed (either PCP or LPCP Area), the permittee will use the following procedure:

- 1) Determine the total area of development by land use category and calculate the baseline load from that area using the composite PLERs in Table 1-1;
- 2) Distribute the total development area into impervious and pervious subareas by land use category;
- 3) Calculate the phosphorus load due to development (P_{DEV}) for each land use-based impervious and pervious subarea by multiplying the subarea by the appropriate phosphorus load export rate provided in Table 1-2; and
- 4) Determine the phosphorus load increase (P_{DEVinc}) by subtracting the baseline phosphorus load from the increased phosphorus load due to development.

Note: If structural BMPs are installed as part of new development, the P_{DEVinc} will be reduced by the amount of BMP load treated by that BMP as calculated in Attachment 3.

Example 1-3 to determine Phosphorus Load Increases: For the same 15.11 acre Watershed A as specified in Example 1-1, a permittee has tracked development in the

LPCP Area in the last year that resulted in 1.5 acres of medium density residential area and 0.5 acres of forest land being converted to high density residential impervious area as detailed below. The undeveloped MDR area is pervious area, HSG C soil and the

undeveloped forest area is pervious, HSG B soil.

| Land Use Category | Baseline Area (acres) | P export rate (lbs P/acre/yr)* | Baseline area unchanged (acres) | P export rate (lbs P/acre/yr)** | Developed Area converted to HDR IA (acres) | P export rate (lbs P/acre/yr)** |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Industrial | 11.0 | 1.27 | No change | | No change | |
| MDR | 3.0 | 0.49 | 1.5 | 0.21 | 1.5 | 2.32 |

| Forest | 4.0 | 0.12 | 3.5 | 0.12 | 0.5 | 2.32 |
|--------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|

*From Table 1-1; ** From Table 1-2

The phosphorus load increase is calculated as:

$$\begin{array}{ll} P_{DEV} &= (TA_{IND} \ x \ PLER_{IND}) + (IA_{HDR} \ x \ PLER_{HDR}) + (PA_{MDR} \ x \ PLER_{MDR}) + (PA_{FOR} \ x \\ &PLER_{For}) \\ &= (11.0 \ acres \ * \ 1.27) + (2.0 \ acres \ * \ 2.32) + (1.5 \ acres \ * \ 0.21) + (3.5 \ * \\ &0.12) \\ &= \textbf{19.0 lbs P/year} \end{array}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{DEVinc} = \mathbf{P}_{DEV} - \mathbf{B}$$
aseline Load
= $19.0 - 16.0$
= $\mathbf{3.0}$ lbs/year

Table 1-1. Annual composite phosphorus load export rates

| Land Cover | Representative DCIA, % | Composite PLERs, lb/ac/yr | Composite PLERs, kg/ha/yr |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Commercial | 57 | 1.13 | 1.27 |
| Industrial | 67 | 1.27 | 1.42 |
| High Density Residential | 36 | 1.04 | 1.16 |
| Medium Density Residential | 16 | 0.49 | 0.55 |
| Low Density Residential | 11 | 0.30 | 0.34 |
| Freeway | 44 | 0.73 | 0.82 |
| Open Space | 8 | 0.26 | 0.29 |
| Agriculture | 0.4 | 0.45 | 0.50 |
| Forest | 0.1 | 0.12 | 0.13 |

Table 1-2: Proposed average annual distinct P Load export rates for use in estimating P Load reduction credits the MA MS4 Permit

| estimating P Load reduction credits the MA MS4 Permit | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Phosphorus Source Category by Land Use | Land Surface Cover | P Load Export Rate, lbs/acre/year | P Load Export Rate, kg/ha/yr | |
| Commercial (Com) and | Directly connected impervious | 1.78 | 2.0 | |
| Industrial (Ind) | Pervious | See* DevPERV | See* DevPERV | |
| Multi-Family (MFR) and High-Density Residential | Directly connected impervious | 2.32 | 2.6 | |
| (HDR) | Pervious | See* DevPERV | See* DevPERV | |
| Medium -Density Residential (MDR) | Directly connected impervious | 1.96 | 2.2 | |
| Residential (MDR) | Pervious | See* DevPERV | See* DevPERV | |
| Low Density Residential (LDR) - "Rural" | Directly connected impervious | 1.52 | 1.7 | |
| (LDR) - Kurai | Pervious | See* DevPERV | See* DevPERV | |
| Highway (HWY) | Directly connected impervious | 1.34 | 1.5 | |
| | Pervious | See* DevPERV | See* DevPERV | |
| Forest (For) | Directly connected impervious | 1.52 | 1.7 | |
| | Pervious | 0.13 | 0.13 | |
| Open Land (Open) | Directly connected impervious | 1.52 | 1.7 | |
| | Pervious | See* DevPERV | See* DevPERV | |
| Agriculture (Ag) | Directly connected impervious | 1.52 | 1.7 | |
| | Pervious | 0.45 | 0.5 | |
| *Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV)- Hydrologic Soil Group A | Pervious | 0.03 | 0.03 | |
| *Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV)- Hydrologic Soil Group B | Pervious | 0.12 | 0.13 | |
| *Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) - Hydrologic Soil Group C | Pervious | 0.21 | 0.24 | |
| *Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) - Hydrologic Soil Group C/D | Pervious | 0.29 | 0.33 | |
| *Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) - Hydrologic Soil Group D | Pervious | 0.37 | 0.41 | |

Table 1-3: Crosswalk of MassGIS land-use categories to land-use groups for P Load Calculations

| Mass GIS Land Use LU_CODE | Description | Land Use group for calculating P Load - 2013/14 MA MS4 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Crop Land | Agriculture |
| 2 | Pasture (active) | Agriculture |
| 3 | Forest | Forest |
| 4 | Wetland | Forest |
| 5 | Mining | Industrial |
| 6 | Open Land includes inactive pasture | open land |
| 7 | Participation Recreation | open land |
| 8 | spectator recreation | open land |
| 9 | Water Based Recreation | open land |
| 10 | Multi-Family Residential | High Density Residential |
| 11 | High Density Residential | High Density Residential |
| 12 | Medium Density Residential | Medium Density Residential |
| 13 | Low Density Residential | Low Density Residential |
| 14 | Saltwater Wetland | Water |
| 15 | Commercial | Commercial |
| 16 | Industrial | Industrial |
| 17 | Urban Open | open land |
| 18 | Transportation | Highway |
| 19 | Waste Disposal | Industrial |
| 20 | Water | Water |
| 23 | cranberry bog | Agriculture |
| 24 | Powerline | open land |
| 25 | Saltwater Sandy Beach | open land |
| 26 | Golf Course | Agriculture |
| 29 | Marina | Commercial |
| 31 | Urban Public | Commercial |
| 34 | Cemetery | open land |
| 35 | Orchard | Forest |
| 36 | Nursery | Agriculture |
| 37 | Forested Wetland | Forest |
| 38 | Very Low Density residential | Low Density Residential |
| 39 | Junkyards | Industrial |
| 40 | Brush land/Successional | Forest |

ATTACHMENT 2 TO APPENDIX F

Phosphorus Reduction Credits for Selected Enhanced Non-Structural BMPs

The permittee shall use the following methods to calculate phosphorus load reduction credits for the following enhanced non-structural control practices implemented in the Watershed:

- 1) Enhanced Sweeping Program;
- 2) Catch Basin Cleaning; and
- 3) Organic Waste and Leaf Litter Collection program

The methods include the use of default phosphorus reduction factors that EPA has determined are acceptable for calculating phosphorus load reduction credits for these practices.

The methods and annual phosphorus load export rates presented in this attachment are for the purpose of counting load reductions for various BMPs treating storm water runoff from varying site conditions (i.e., impervious or pervious surfaces) and different land uses (e.g. industrial and commercial) within the impaired watershed. Table 2-1 below provides annual phosphorus load export rates by land use category for impervious and pervious areas. The estimates of annual phosphorus load and load reductions resulting from BMP implementation are intended for use by the permittee to measure compliance with its Phosphorus Reduction Requirement under the permit.

Examples are provided to illustrate use of the methods. In calculating phosphorus export rates, the permittee shall select the land use category that most closely represents the actual use for the area in question. For watersheds with institutional type uses, such as government properties, hospitals, and schools, the permittee shall use the commercial land use category for the purpose of calculating phosphorus loads. Table 2-2 provides a crosswalk table of land use codes between land use groups in Table 2-1 and the codes used by Mass GIS. For pervious areas, permittees should use the appropriate value for the hydrologic soil group (HSG) if known, otherwise, assume HSG C conditions.

Alternative Methods and/or Phosphorus Reduction Factors: A permittee may propose alternative methods and/or phosphorus reduction factors for calculating phosphorus load reduction credits for these non-structural practices. EPA will consider alternative methods and/or phosphorus reduction factors, provided that the permittee submits adequate supporting documentation to EPA. At a minimum, supporting documentation shall consist of a description of the proposed method, the technical basis of the method, identification of alternative phosphorus reduction factors, supporting calculations, and identification of references and sources of information that support the use of the alternative method and/or factors in the Watershed. If EPA determines that the alternative methods and/or factors are not adequately supported, EPA will notify the permittee and the permittee may receive no phosphorus reduction credit other than a reduction credit calculated by the permittee following the methods in this attachment for the identified practices.

Table 2-1: Proposed average annual distinct P Load export rates for use in estimating P Load reduction credits in the MA MS4 Permit

| Phosphorus Source Category by Land Use | Land Surface Cover | P Load Export Rate, lbs/acre/year | P Load Export Rate, kg/ha/yr |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Commercial (Com) and Industrial | Directly connected impervious | 1.78 | 2.0 |
| (Ind) | Pervious | See* DevPERV | See* DevPERV |
| Multi-Family (MFR) and High- Density Residential (HDR) | Directly connected impervious | 2.32 | 2.6 |
| Density Residential (HDR) | Pervious | See* DevPERV | See* DevPERV |
| Medium -Density Residential | Directly connected impervious | 1.96 | 2.2 |
| (MDR) | Pervious | See* DevPERV | See* DevPERV |
| Low Density Residential (LDR) - "Rural" | Directly connected impervious | 1.52 | 1.7 |
| Kurai | Pervious | See* DevPERV | See* DevPERV |
| Highway (HWY) | Directly connected impervious | 1.34 | 1.5 |
| <i>3</i> , () | Pervious | See* DevPERV | See* DevPERV |
| Forest (For) | Directly connected impervious | 1.52 | 1.7 |
| , , | Pervious | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| Open Land (Open) | Directly connected impervious | 1.52 | 1.7 |
| | Pervious | See* DevPERV | See* DevPERV |
| Agriculture (Ag) | Directly connected impervious | 1.52 | 1.7 |
| | Pervious | 0.45 | 0.5 |
| *Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) – HSG A | Pervious | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| *Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) – HSG B | Pervious | 0.12 | 0.13 |
| *Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) – HSG C | Pervious | 0.21 | 0.24 |
| *Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) – HSG C/D | Pervious | 0.29 | 0.33 |
| *Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) – HSG D | Pervious | 0.37 | 0.41 |

Notes:

- For pervious areas, if the hydrologic soil group (HSG) is known, use the appropriate value from this table. If the HSG is not known, assume HSG C conditions for the phosphorus load export rate.
- Agriculture includes row crops. Actively managed hay fields and pasture lands. Institutional land uses
 such as government properties, hospitals and schools are to be included in the commercial and industrial
 land use grouping for the purpose of calculating phosphorus loading.
- Impervious surfaces within the forest land use category are typically roadways adjacent to forested pervious areas.

Table 2-2: Crosswalk of Mass GIS land use categories to land use groups for P load calculations

| to land use groups for P load calculations | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| Mass GIS Land Use LU_CODE | Description | Land Use group for calculating P Load - 2013/14 MA MS4 | |
| 1 | Crop Land | Agriculture | |
| 2 | Pasture (active) | Agriculture | |
| 3 | Forest | Forest | |
| 4 | Wetland | Forest | |
| 5 | Mining | Industrial | |
| 6 | Open Land includes inactive pasture | open land | |
| 7 | Participation Recreation | open land | |
| 8 | spectator recreation | open land | |
| 9 | Water Based Recreation | open land | |
| 10 | Multi-Family Residential | High Density Residential | |
| 11 | High Density Residential | High Density Residential | |
| 12 | Medium Density Residential | Medium Density Residential | |
| 13 | Low Density Residential | Low Density Residential | |
| 14 | Saltwater Wetland | Water | |
| 15 | Commercial | Commercial | |
| 16 | Industrial | Industrial | |
| 17 | Urban Open | open land | |
| 18 | Transportation | Highway | |
| 19 | Waste Disposal | Industrial | |
| 20 | Water | Water | |
| 23 | cranberry bog | Agriculture | |
| 24 | Powerline | open land | |
| 25 | Saltwater Sandy Beach | open land | |
| 26 | Golf Course | Agriculture | |
| 29 | Marina | Commercial | |
| 31 | Urban Public | Commercial | |
| 34 | Cemetery | open land | |
| 35 | Orchard | Forest | |
| 36 | Nursery | Agriculture | |
| 37 | Forested Wetland | Forest | |
| 38 | Very Low Density residential | Low Density Residential | |
| 39 | Junkyards | Industrial | |
| 40 | Brush land/Successional | Forest | |
| | | | |

(1) Enhanced Sweeping Program: The permittee may earn a phosphorus reduction credit for conducting an enhanced sweeping program of impervious surfaces. Table 2-2 below outlines the default phosphorus removal factors for enhanced sweeping programs. The credit shall be calculated by using the following equation:

Credit $_{\text{sweeping}} = \text{IA}_{\text{swept}} \times \text{PLE}_{\text{IC-land use}} \times \text{PRF}_{\text{sweeping}} \times \text{AF}$ (Equation 2-1)

Where:

Credit sweeping = Amount of phosphorus load removed by enhanced sweeping

program (lb/year)

IA swept = Area of impervious surface that is swept under the enhanced

sweeping program (acres)

PLE _{IC-land use} = Phosphorus Load Export Rate for impervious cover and specified

land use (lb/acre/yr) (see Table 2-1)

PRF sweeping = Phosphorus Reduction Factor for sweeping based on sweeper type

and frequency (see Table 2-3).

AF = Annual Frequency of sweeping. For example, if sweeping does

not occur in Dec/Jan/Feb, the AF would be 9 mo./12 mo. = 0.75.

For year-round sweeping, AF=1.0¹

As an alternative, the permittee may apply a credible sweeping model of the Watershed and perform continuous simulations reflecting build-up and wash-off of phosphorus using long-term local rainfall data.

Table 2-3: Phosphorus reduction efficiency factors (PRF_{sweeping}) for sweeping impervious areas

| Frequency ¹ | Sweeper Technology | PRF sweeping |
|---------------------------------------|---|--------------|
| 2/year (spring and fall) ² | Mechanical Broom | 0.01 |
| 2/year (spring and fall) ² | Vacuum Assisted | 0.02 |
| 2/year (spring and fall) ² | High-Efficiency Regenerative Air-Vacuum | 0.02 |
| | | |
| Monthly | Mechanical Broom | 0.03 |
| Monthly | Vacuum Assisted | 0.04 |
| Monthly | High Efficiency Regenerative Air-Vacuum | 0.08 |
| | | |
| Weekly | Mechanical Broom | 0.05 |
| Weekly | Vacuum Assisted | 0.08 |
| Weekly | High Efficiency Regenerative Air-Vacuum | 0.10 |

¹For full credit for monthly and weekly frequency, sweeping must be conducted year round. Otherwise, the credit should be adjusted proportionally based on the duration of the sweeping season (using AF factor).

² In order to earn credit for semi-annual sweeping the sweeping must occur in the spring following snowmelt and road sand applications to impervious surfaces and in the fall after leaf-fall and prior to the onset to the snow season.

Example 2-1: Calculation of enhanced sweeping program credit (Credit sweeping): A permittee proposes to implement an enhanced sweeping program and perform weekly sweeping from March 1 – December 1 (9 months) in their Watershed, using a vacuum assisted sweeper on 20.3 acres of parking lots and roadways in a high-density residential area of the Watershed. For this site the needed information is:

IA swept = 20.3 acres

PLE $_{IC-HDR}$ = 2.32 lb/acre/yr (from Table 2-1)

PRF sweeping = 0.08 (from Table 2-3)

AF = (9 months / 12 months) = 0.75

Substitution into equation 2-1 yields a Credit _{sweeping} of 3.2 pounds of phosphorus removed per year.

Credit sweeping = IA swept x PLE land use x PRF sweeping x AF

= 20.3 acres x 2.32 lbs/acre/yr x 0.08 x 0.75

= 2.8 lbs/yr

(2) Catch Basin Cleaning: The permittee may earn a phosphorus reduction credit, Credit _{CB}, by removing accumulated materials from catch basins (i.e., catch basin cleaning) in the Watershed such that a minimum sump storage capacity of 50% is maintained throughout the year. The credit shall be calculated by using the following equation:

Credit $_{CB} = IA_{CB} \times PLE_{IC-land use} \times PRF_{CB}$

(Equation 2-2)

Where:

Credit CB = Amount of phosphorus load removed by catch basin cleaning

(lb/year)

IA CB = Impervious drainage area to catch basins (acres)

PLE _{IC-and use} = Phosphorus Load Export Rate for impervious cover and specified

land use (lb/acre/yr) (see Table 2-1)

PRF_{CB} = Phosphorus Reduction Factor for catch basin cleaning

(see Table 2-4)

Table 2-4: Phosphorus reduction efficiency factor (PRF CB) for semi-annual catch basin cleaning

| Frequency | Practice | PRF CB |
|-------------|----------------------|--------|
| Semi-annual | Catch Basin Cleaning | 0.02 |

Example 2-2: Calculation for catch basin cleaning credit (Credit CB):

A permittee proposes to clean catch basins in their Watershed (i.e., remove accumulated sediments and contaminants captured in the catch basins) that drain runoff from 15.3 acres of medium-density residential impervious area. For this site the needed information is:

 $IA_{CB} = 15.3 \text{ acre}$

PLE _{IC-MDR} = 1.96 lbs/acre/yr (from Table 2-1)

PRF $_{CB}$ = 0.02 (from Table 2-4)

Substitution into equation 2-2 yields a Credit _{CB} of 0.6 pounds of phosphorus removed per year:

Credit $_{CB}$ = IA_{CB} x PLE $_{IC-MDR}$ x PRF $_{CB}$

= 15.3 acre x 1.96 lbs/acre/yr x 0.02

= 0.6 lbs/yr

(3) Enhanced Organic Waste and Leaf Litter Collection program: The permittee may earn a phosphorus reduction credit by performing regular gathering, removal and disposal of landscaping wastes, organic debris, and leaf litter from impervious surfaces from which runoff discharges to the TMDL waterbody or its tributaries. In order to earn this credit (Credit leaf litter), the permittee must gather and remove all landscaping wastes, organic debris, and leaf litter from impervious roadways and parking lots at least once per week during the period of September 1 to December 1 of each year. Credit can only be earned for those impervious surfaces that are cleared of organic materials in accordance with the description above. The gathering and removal shall occur immediately following any landscaping activities in the Watershed and at additional times when necessary to achieve a weekly cleaning frequency. The permittee must ensure that the disposal of these materials will not contribute pollutants to any surface water discharges. The permittee may use an enhanced sweeping program (e.g., weekly frequency) as part of earning this credit provided that the sweeping is effective at removing leaf litter and organic materials. The Credit leaf litter shall be determined by the following equation:

Credit $_{leaf litter} =$ (Watershed Area) x (PLE $_{IC-land use}$) x (0.05) (Equation 2-3)

Where:

Credit leaf litter = Amount of phosphorus load reduction credit for organic

waste and leaf litter collection program (lb/year)

Watershed Area = All impervious area (acre) from which runoff discharges to the

TMDL waterbody or its tributaries in the Watershed

PLE _{IC-land use} = Phosphorus Load Export Rate for impervious cover and

specified land use (lbs/acre/yr) (see Table 2-1)

0.05 = 5% phosphorus reduction factor for organic

waste and leaf litter collection program in the Watershed

Example 2-3: Calculation for organic waste and leaf litter collection program credit

(Credit leaf litter): A permittee proposes to implement an organic waste and leaf litter collection program by sweeping the parking lots and access drives at a minimum of once per week using a mechanical broom sweeper for the period of September 1 to December 1 over 12.5 acres of impervious roadways and parking lots in an industrial/commercial area of the Watershed. Also, the permittee will ensure that organic materials are removed from impervious areas immediately following all landscaping activities at the site. For this site the needed information to calculate the Credit leaf litter is:

```
Watershed Area = 12.5 acres; and
PLE <sub>IC-commercial</sub> = 1.78 lbs/acre/yr (from Table 2-1)
```

Substitution into equation 2-4 yields a Credit _{leaf litter} of 1.1 pounds of phosphorus removed per year:

```
Credit <sub>leaf litter</sub> = (12.5 \text{ acre}) \text{ x } (1.78 \text{ lbs/acre/yr}) \text{ x } (0.05)
= 1.1 \text{ lbs/yr}
```

The permittee also may earn a phosphorus reduction credit for enhanced sweeping of roads and parking lot areas (i.e., Credit sweeping) for the three months of use. Using equation 2-1, Credit sweeping is:

```
Credit sweeping = IA swept x PLE _{\text{IC-land use}} x PRF sweeping x AF (Equation 2-1) IA swept = 12.5 acre

PLE _{\text{IC-commercial}} = 1.78 lbs/acre/yr (from Table 2-1)

PRF sweeping = 0.05 (from Table 2-3)

AF = 3 mo./12 mo. = 0.25
```

Substitution into equation 2-1 yields a Credit _{sweeping} of 0.28 pounds of phosphorus removed per year.

```
Credit sweeping = IA swept x PLE IC-commercial x PRF sweeping x AF
= 12.5 acre x 1.78 lbs/acre/yr x 0.05 x 0.25
= 0.3 lbs/yr
```

ATTACHMENT 3 TO APPENDIX F

<u>Methods to Calculate Phosphorus Load Reductions for Structural Stormwater Best</u> <u>Management Practices</u>

| List | of | \mathbf{T} | ab | les: |
|------|----|--------------|----|------|
| | | | | |

| Table 3-1: Average annual distinct phosphorus load (P Load) export rates for use in estimat | ing |
|---|----------------|
| phosphorus load reduction credits the MA MS4 Permit | 10 |
| Table 3-2: MassGIS land-use categories with associated land-use groups for phosphorus loa | ad |
| calculations | |
| Table 3-3: Developed Land Pervious Area Runoff Depths based on Precipitation depth and | |
| Hydrological Soil Groups (HSGs) | |
| Table 3-4: Infiltration Trench (IR = 0.17 in/hr) BMP Performance Table | |
| Table 3- 5: Infiltration Trench (IR = 0.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table | 35 |
| Table 3- 6: Infiltration Trench (IR = 0.52 in/hr) BMP Performance Table | 36 |
| Table 3-7: Infiltration Trench (IR = 1.02 in/hr) BMP Performance Table | 37 |
| Table 3- 8: Infiltration Trench (IR = 2.41 in/hr) BMP Performance Table | 38 |
| Table 3- 9: Infiltration Trench (8.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table | 39 |
| Table 3- 10: Infiltration Basin (0.17 in/hr) BMP Performance Table | 40 |
| Table 3- 11: Infiltration Basin (0.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table | 41 |
| Table 3- 12: Infiltration Basin (0.52 in/hr) BMP Performance Table | 42 |
| Table 3- 13: Infiltration Basin (1.02 in/hr) BMP Performance Table | 43 |
| Table 3- 14: Infiltration Basin (2.41 in/hr) BMP Performance Table | 44 |
| Table 3- 15: Infiltration Basin (8.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table | 45 |
| Table 3- 16: Biofiltration BMP Performance Table | |
| Table 3- 17: Gravel Wetland BMP Performance Table | 47 |
| Table 3- 18: Porous Pavement BMP Performance Table | |
| Table 3- 19: Wet Pond BMP Performance Table | |
| Table 3- 20: Dry Pond BMP Performance Table | 49 |
| Table 3- 21: Grass Swale BMP Performance Table | |
| Table 3-22: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious | Area |
| Ratio = 8:1 | 51 |
| Table 3-23: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious | Area |
| | 53 |
| Table 3-24: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious | |
| Ratio = 4:1 | |
| Table 3-25: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious | |
| | 58 |
| Table 3- 26: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious | |
| Ratio = 1:1 | |
| Table 3- 27: Impervious Area Disconnection Performance Table | |
| Table 3-28: Performance Table for Conversion of Impervious Areas to Pervious Area based | |
| Hydrological Soil Groups | 64 |
| Table 3-29: Performance Table for Conversion of Low Permeable Pervious Area to High | . . |
| Permeable Pervious Area based on Hydrological Soil Group | 65 |
| <u>List of Figures:</u> | |

| Figure 3- 1: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 0.17 in/hr) | . 34 |
|---|------------|
| Figure 3- 2: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 0.27 in/hr) | . 35 |
| Figure 3- 3: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 0.52 in/hr) | . 36 |
| Figure 3- 4: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 1.02 in/hr) | . 37 |
| Figure 3- 5: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 2.41 in/hr) | . 38 |
| Figure 3- 6: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 8.27 in/hr) | . 39 |
| Figure 3- 7: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (infiltration rate = 0.17 in/hr) | . 40 |
| Figure 3- 8: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (infiltration rate = 0.27 in/hr) | . 41 |
| Figure 3- 9: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (infiltration rate = 0.52 in/hr) | . 42 |
| Figure 3- 10: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (Soil infiltration rate = 1.02 in/hr) | . 43 |
| Figure 3- 11: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (infiltration rate = 2.41 in/hr) | |
| Figure 3- 12: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (infiltration rate = 8.27 in/hr) | . 45 |
| Figure 3- 13: BMP Performance Curve: Biofiltration | |
| Figure 3- 14: BMP Performance Curve: Gravel Wetland | . 47 |
| Figure 3- 15: BMP Performance Curve: Porous Pavement | . 48 |
| Figure 3- 16: BMP Performance Curve: Dry Pond | . 49 |
| Figure 3- 17: BMP Performance Curve: Grass Swale | . 50 |
| Figure 3- 18: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious | |
| Area Ratio = 8:1 for HSG A Soils | . 51 |
| Figure 3- 19: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious | |
| Area Ratio = 8:1 for HSG B Soils | . 52 |
| Figure 3- 20: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious | |
| Area Ratio = 8:1 for HSG C Soils | . 52 |
| Figure 3- 21: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious | |
| Area Ratio = 8:1 for HSG D Soils | . 53 |
| Figure 3- 22: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious | |
| Area Ratio = 6:1 for HSG A Soils | . 54 |
| Figure 3- 23: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious | |
| Area Ratio = 6:1 for HSG B Soils | . 54 |
| Figure 3- 24: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious | |
| Area Ratio = 6:1 for HSG C Soils | . 55 |
| Figure 3- 25: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious | |
| Area Ratio = 6:1 for HSG D Soils | . 55 |
| Figure 3- 26: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious | |
| Area Ratio = 4:1 for HSG A Soils | . 56 |
| Figure 3- 27: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious | |
| Area Ratio = 4:1 for HSG B Soils | . 57 |
| Figure 3- 28: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious | |
| Area Ratio = 4:1 for HSG C Soils | . 57 |
| Figure 3- 29: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious | 5 0 |
| Area Ratio = 4:1 for HSG D Soils | . 58 |
| Figure 3- 30: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious | 50 |
| Area Ratio= 2:1 for HSG A Soils | . 59 |
| Figure 3- 31: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious | 50 |
| Area Ratio= 2:1 for HSG B Soils | . 59 |

| 60 |
|----|
| |
| 60 |
| |
| 61 |
| |
| 62 |
| |
| 62 |
| |
| 63 |
| 64 |
| |

Methods to Calculate Phosphorus Load Reductions for Structural Stormwater Best Management Practices in the Watershed

This attachment provides methods to determine design storage volume capacities and to calculate phosphorus load reductions for the following structural Best Management Practices (structural BMPs) for a Watershed:

- 1) Infiltration Trench;
- 2) Infiltration Basin or other surface infiltration practice;
- 3) Bio-filtration Practice;
- 4) Gravel Wetland System;
- 5) Porous Pavement:
- 6) Wet Pond or wet detention basin;
- 7) Dry Pond or detention basin; and
- 8) Dry Water Quality Swale/ Grass Swale.

Additionally, this attachment provides methods to design and quantify associated phosphorus load reduction credits for the following four types of semi-structural/non-structural BMPs

- 9) Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage (e.g., rain barrels, cisterns, etc);
- 10) Impervious Area Disconnection;
- 11) Conversions of Impervious Area to Permeable Pervious Area; and
- 12) Soil Amendments to Enhance Permeability of Pervious Areas.

Methods and examples are provided in this Attachment to calculate phosphorus load reductions for structural BMPs for the four following purposes:

- 1) To determine the design volume of a structural BMP to achieve a known phosphorus load reduction target when the contributing drainage area is 100% impervious;
- 2) To determine the phosphorus load reduction for a structural BMP with a known design volume when the contributing drainage area is 100% impervious;
- 3) To determine the design volume of a structural BMP to achieve a known phosphorus load reduction target when the contributing drainage area has impervious and pervious surfaces; and
- 4) To determine the phosphorus load reduction for a structural BMP with a known design volume when the contributing drainage area has impervious and pervious surfaces.

Examples are also provided for estimating phosphorus load reductions associated with the four semi-structural/non-structural BMPs

Also, this attachment provides the methodology for calculating the annual stormwater phosphorus load that will be delivered to BMPs for treatment (BMP Load) and to be used for quantifying phosphorus load reduction credits. The methods and annual phosphorus export load rates presented in this attachment are for the purpose of counting load reductions for various BMPs treating storm water runoff from varying site conditions (i.e., impervious or pervious surfaces) and different land uses (e.g. commercial and industrial). The estimates of annual phosphorus load and load reductions by BMPs are to demonstrate compliance with the permittee's Phosphorus Reduction Requirement under the permit.

Structural BMP performance credits: For each structural BMP type identified above (BMPs 1-8), long-term cumulative performance information is provided to calculate phosphorus load reductions or to determine needed design storage volumes to achieve a specified reduction target (e.g., 65% phosphorus load reduction). The performance information is expressed as cumulative phosphorus load removed (% removed) depending on the physical storage capacity of the structural BMP (expressed as inches of runoff from impervious area) and is provided at the end of this Attachment (see Tables 3-1 through 3-18 and performance curves Figures 3-1 through 3-17). Multiple tables and performance curves are provided for the infiltration practices to represent cumulative phosphorus load reduction performance for six infiltration rates (IR), 0.17, 0.27, 0.53, 1.02, 2.41, and 8.27 inches/hour. These infiltration rates represent the saturated hydraulic conductivity of the soils. The permittee may use the performance curves provided in this attachment to interpolate phosphorus load removal reductions for field measured infiltration rates that are different than the infiltration rates used to develop the performance curves. Otherwise, the permittee shall use the performance curve for the IR that is nearest, but less than, the field measured rate. Physical storage capacity equals the total physical storage volume of the control structure to contain water at any instant in time. Typically, this storage capacity is comprised of the surface ponding storage volume prior to overflow and subsurface storage volumes in storage units and pore spaces of coarse filter media. Table 3-30 provides the formulae to calculate physical storage capacities for the structural control types for using the performance curves.

Semi-Structural/Non-structural BMP performance credits: For each semi-structural/non-structural BMP type identified above (BMPs 9-12), long-term cumulative performance information is provided to calculate phosphorus load reductions or to determine needed design specifications to achieve a desired reduction target (e.g., 50% phosphorus load reduction). The performance information is expressed as cumulative runoff volume reduction (% removed) depending on the design specifics and actual field conditions. Cumulative percent runoff volume reduction is being used to estimate the cumulative phosphorus load reduction credit for these BMPs. To represent a wide range of potential conditions for implementing these types of BMPs, numerous performance tables and curves have been developed to reflect a wide range of potential conditions and designs such as varying storage volumes (expressed in terms of varying ratios of storage volume to impervious area (0.1 to 2.0 inches)); varying ratios of impervious source area to receiving pervious area based on hydrologic soil groups (HSGs) A, B, C and D (8:1, 6:1, 4:1, 2: 1 and 1:1); and varying discharge time periods for temporary storage (1, 2 or 3 days). The default credits are provided at the end of this Attachment (see Tables 3-19 through 3-26 and performance curves Figures 3-18 through 3-38).

EPA will consider phosphorus load reductions calculated using the methods provided below to be valid for the purpose of complying with the terms of this permit for BMPs that have not been explicitly modeled if the desired BMP has functionality that is similar to one of the simulated BMP types. Please note that only the surface infiltration and the infiltration trench BMP types were simulated to direct storm water runoff into the ground (i.e., infiltration). All of the other simulated BMPs represent practices that have either under-drains or impermeable liners and therefore, are not hydraulically connected to the sub-surface soils (i.e., no infiltration). Following are some simple guidelines for selecting the BMP type and/or determining whether the results of any of the BMP types provided are appropriate for another BMP of interest.

Infiltration Trench is a practice that provides temporary storage of runoff using the void spaces within the soil/sand/gravel mixture that is used to backfill the trench for subsequent infiltration into the surrounding sub-soils. Performance results for the infiltration trench can be used for all subsurface infiltration practices including systems that include pipes and/or chambers that provide temporary storage. Also, the results for this BMP type can be used for bio-retention systems that rely on infiltration when the majority of the temporary storage capacity is provided in the void spaces of the soil filter media and porous pavements that allow infiltration to occur.

Surface Infiltration represents a practice that provides temporary surface storage of runoff (e.g., ponding) for subsequent infiltration into the ground. Appropriate practices for use of the surface infiltration performance estimates include infiltration basins, infiltration swales, rain gardens and bio-retention systems that rely on infiltration and provide the majority of storage capacity through surface-ponding. If an infiltration system includes both surface storage through ponding and a lessor storage volume within the void spaces of a coarse filter media, then the physical storage volume capacity used to determine the long-term cumulative phosphorus removal efficiency from the infiltration basin performance curves would be equal to the sum of the surface storage volume and the void space storage volume. General design specifications for various surface infiltration systems are provided in the most recent version of *the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook*, *Volume 2/Chapter2* (http://www.mass.gov/eea/docs/dep/water/laws/i-thru-z/v2c2.pdf).

Bio-filtration is a practice that provides temporary storage of runoff for filtering through an engineered soil media. The storage capacity is typically made of void spaces in the filter media and temporary ponding at the surface of the practice. Once the runoff has passed through the filter media it is collected by an under-drain pipe for discharge. The performance curve for this control practice assumes zero infiltration. If a filtration system has subsurface soils that are suitable for infiltration, then user should use the either performance curves for the infiltration trench or the infiltration basin depending on the predominance of storage volume made up by free standing storage or void space storage. Depending on the design of the filter media manufactured or packaged bio-filter systems such as tree box filters may be suitable for using the bio-filtration performance results. Design specifications for bio-filtration systems are provided in the most recent version of *the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook*, *Volume 2/Chapter2* (http://www.mass.gov/eea/docs/dep/water/laws/i-thru-z/v2c2.pdf).

Gravel Wetland performance results should be used for practices that have been designed in accordance or share similar features with the design specifications for gravel wetland systems provided in the most recent version of *the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook*, *Volume 2/Chapter2* (http://www.mass.gov/eea/docs/dep/water/laws/i-thru-z/v2c2.pdf).

Porous Pavement performance results represent systems with an impermeable under-liner and an under-drain. *If porous pavement systems do not have an impermeable under-liner so that filtered runoff can infiltrate into sub-soils then the performance results for an infiltration trench may be used for these systems. Design specifications for porous pavement systems are provided in the most recent version of <i>the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook*, *Volume 2/Chapter2* (http://www.mass.gov/eea/docs/dep/water/laws/i-thru-z/v2c2.pdf).

Extended Dry Detention Pond performance results should only be used for practices that have been designed in accordance with the design specifications for extended dry detention ponds provided in the most recent version of *the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook*, *Volume* 2/*Chapter*2 (http://www.mass.gov/eea/docs/dep/water/laws/i-thru-z/v2c2.pdf)

Dry Water Quality Swale/ Grass Swale performance results should only be used for practices that have been designed in accordance with the design specifications for a water quality dry swale provided in the most recent version of *the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook*, *Volume 2/Chapter2* (http://www.mass.gov/eea/docs/dep/water/laws/i-thru-z/v2c2.pdf)

Impervious Area Disconnection using Storage (e.g., rain barrels, cistern, etc) performance results are for collecting runoff volumes from impervious areas such as roof tops, providing temporary storage of runoff volume using rain barrels, cisterns or other storage containers, and discharging stored volume to adjacent permeable pervious surfaces over an extended period of time.

Impervious Area Disconnection performance results are for diverting runoff volumes from impervious areas such as roadways, parking lots and roof tops, and discharging it to adjacent vegetated permeable surfaces that are of sufficient size with adequate soils to receive the runoff without causing negative impacts to adjacent down-gradient properties. Careful consideration must be given to the ratio of impervious area to the pervious area that will receive the discharge. Also, devices such as level spreaders to disperse the discharge and provide sheet flow should be employed whenever needed to increase recharge and avoid flow concentration and short circuiting through the pervious area. Soil testing is needed to classify the permeability of the receiving pervious area in terms of HSG.

Conversion of Impervious Area to Permeable Pervious Area phosphorus load reduction credits are for replacing existing impervious surfaces (such as traditional pavements and buildings with roof tops) with permeable surfaces. To be eligible for credit, it is essential that the area previously covered with impervious surface be restored to provide natural or enhanced hydrologic functioning so that the surface is permeable. Sub-soils beneath pavements are typically highly compacted and will require reworking to loosen the soil and the possible addition of soil amendments to restore permeability. Soil testing is needed to classify the permeability (in terms of HSG) of the restored pervious area.

Soil Amendments to Increase Permeability of Pervious Areas performance results are for the practice of improving the permeability of pervious areas through incorporation of soil amendments, tilling and establishing dense vegetation. This practice may be used to compliment other practices such as impervious area disconnection to improve overall performance and increase reduction credits earned. Soil testing is needed to classify the permeability (in terms of HSG) of the restored pervious area.

Alternative Methods:

A permittee may propose alternative long-term cumulative performance information or alternative methods to calculate phosphorus load reductions for the structural BMPs identified above or for other structural BMPs not identified in this Attachment.

EPA will consider alternative long-term cumulative performance information and alternative methods to calculate phosphorus load reductions for structural BMPs provided that the permittee provides EPA with adequate supporting documentation. At a minimum, the supporting documentation shall include:

- 1) Results of continuous BMP model simulations representing the structural BMP, using a verified BMP model and representative long-term (i.e., 10 years) climatic data including hourly rainfall data;
- 2) Supporting calculations and model documentation that justify use of the model, model input parameters, and the resulting cumulative phosphorus load reduction estimate;
- 3) If pollutant removal performance data are available for the specific BMP, model calibration results should be provided; and
- 4) Identification of references and sources of information that support the use of the alternative information and method.

If EPA determines that the long-term cumulative phosphorus load reductions developed based on alternative information are not adequately supported, EPA will notify the permittee in writing, and the permittee may receive no phosphorus reduction credit other than a reduction credit calculated by the permittee using the default phosphorus reduction factors provided in this attachment for the identified practices. The permittee is required to submit to EPA valid phosphorus load reductions for structural BMPs in the watershed in accordance with the submission schedule requirements specified in the permit and Appendix F.

Method to Calculate Annual Phosphorus Load Delivered to BMPs (BMP Load)

The **BMP** Load is the annual phosphorus load from the drainage area to each proposed or existing BMP used by permittee to claim credit against its stormwater phosphorus load reduction requirement (i.e., Phosphorus Reduction Requirement). The BMP Load is the starting point from which the permittee calculates the reduction in phosphorus load achieved by each existing and proposed BMP.

Examples are provided to illustrate use of the methods. Table 3-1 below provides annual phosphorus load export rates (PLERs) by land use category for impervious and pervious areas. The permittee shall select the land use category that most closely represents the actual use of the watershed. For pervious areas, if the hydrologic soil group (HSG) is known, use the appropriate value. If the HSG is not known, assume HSG C conditions for the phosphorus load export rate. For watersheds with institutional type uses, such as government properties, hospitals, and schools, the permittee shall use the commercial/industrial land use category for the purpose of calculating phosphorus loads. Table 3-2 provides a crosswalk table of land use codes between land use groups in Table 3-1 and the codes used by MassGIS.

BMP Load: To estimate the annual phosphorus load reduction that a storm water BMP can achieve, it is first necessary to estimate the amount of annual phosphorus load that the BMP will receive or treat (BMP Load).

For a given BMP:

- 1) Determine the total drainage area to the BMP;
- 2) Distribute the total drainage area into impervious and pervious subareas by land use category as defined by Tables 3-1 and 3-2;
- 3) Calculate the phosphorus load for each land use-based impervious and pervious subarea by multiplying the subarea by the appropriate phosphorus load export rate provided in Table 3-1; and
- 4) Determine the total annual phosphorus load to the BMP by summing the calculated impervious and pervious subarea phosphorus loads.

Example 3-1 to determine phosphorus load to a proposed BMP: A permittee is proposing a surface stormwater infiltration system that will treat runoff from an industrial site with an area of 12.87 acres (5.21 hectares) and is made up of 10.13 acres of impervious cover (e.g., roadways, parking areas and rooftops), 1.85 acres of landscaped pervious area and 0.89 acres of wooded area both with HSG C soils. The drainage area information for the proposed BMP is:

| BMP Subarea ID | Land Use Category | Cover Type | Area (acres) | P export rate (lb/acre/yr)* |
|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | Industrial | impervious | 10.13 | 1.78 |
| 2 | Landscaped (HSG C) | pervious | 1.85 | 0.21 |
| 3 | Forest (HSG C) | pervious | 0.89 | 0.12 |

^{*}From Table 3-1

The phosphorus load to the proposed BMP (BMP Load) is calculated as:

BMP Load =
$$(IA_{Ind} \times PLER_{Ind}) + (PA_{Ind} \times PLER_{Ind}) + (PA_{FOREST} \times PLER_{For})$$

= $(10.13 \times 1.78) + (1.85 \times 0.21) + (0.89 \times 0.12)$
= 18.53 lbs P/vear

Table 3-1: Average annual distinct phosphorus load (P Load) export rates for use in estimating phosphorus load reduction credits the MA MS4 Permit


| estimating phosphorus load reduction credits the MA MS4 Permit | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Phosphorus Source Category by Land Use | Land Surface Cover | P Load Export Rate, lbs/acre/year | P Load Export Rate, kg/ha/yr | | |
| Commercial (Com) and | Directly connected impervious | 1.78 | 2.0 | | |
| Industrial (Ind) | Pervious | See* DevPERV | See* DevPERV | | |
| Multi-Family (MFR) and High-Density Residential | Directly connected impervious | 2.32 | 2.6 | | |
| (HDR) | Pervious | See* DevPERV | See* DevPERV | | |
| Medium -Density Residential (MDR) | Directly connected impervious 1.96 | | 2.2 | | |
| Residential (MDR) | Pervious | See* DevPERV | See* DevPERV | | |
| Low Density Residential (LDR) - "Rural" | Directly connected impervious | 1.52 | 1.7 | | |
| (LDR) - Rufai | Pervious | See* DevPERV | See* DevPERV | | |
| Highway (HWY) | Directly connected impervious | 1.34 | 1.5 | | |
| | Pervious | See* DevPERV | See* DevPERV | | |
| Forest (For) | Directly connected impervious | 1.52 | 1.7 | | |
| , , | Pervious | 0.13 | 0.13 | | |
| Open Land (Open) | Directly connected impervious | 1.52 | 1.7 | | |
| | Pervious | See* DevPERV | See* DevPERV | | |
| Agriculture (Ag) | Directly connected impervious | 1.52 | 1.7 | | |
| | Pervious | 0.45 | 0.5 | | |
| *Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV)- Hydrologic Soil Group A | Pervious | 0.03 | 0.03 | | |
| *Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV)- Hydrologic Soil Group B | Pervious | 0.12 | 0.13 | | |
| *Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) - Hydrologic Soil Group C | Pervious | 0.21 | 0.24 | | |
| *Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) - Hydrologic Soil Group C/D | Pervious | 0.29 | 0.33 | | |
| *Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) - Hydrologic Soil Group D | Pervious | 0.37 | 0.41 | | |

Table 3- 2: MassGIS land-use categories with associated land-use groups for phosphorus load calculations

| oau caicuia | 110115 | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Mass GIS Land Use LU_CODE | Description | Land Use group for calculating P Load - 2013/14 MA MS4 | |
| 1 | Crop Land | Agriculture | |
| 2 | Pasture (active) | Agriculture | |
| 3 | Forest | Forest | |
| 4 | Wetland | Forest | |
| 5 | Mining | Industrial | |
| 6 | Open Land includes inactive pasture | open land | |
| 7 | Participation Recreation | open land | |
| 8 | spectator recreation | open land | |
| 9 | Water Based Recreation | open land | |
| 10 | Multi-Family Residential | High Density Residential | |
| 11 | High Density Residential | High Density Residential | |
| 12 | Medium Density Residential | Medium Density Residential | |
| 13 | Low Density Residential | Low Density Residential | |
| 14 | Saltwater Wetland | Water | |
| 15 | Commercial | Commercial | |
| 16 | Industrial | Industrial | |
| 17 | Urban Open | open land | |
| 18 | Transportation | Highway | |
| 19 | Waste Disposal | Industrial | |
| 20 | Water | Water | |
| 23 | cranberry bog | Agriculture | |
| 24 | Powerline | open land | |
| 25 | Saltwater Sandy Beach | open land | |
| 26 | Golf Course | Agriculture | |
| 29 | Marina | Commercial | |
| 31 | Urban Public | Commercial | |
| 34 | Cemetery | open land | |
| 35 | Orchard | Forest | |
| 36 | Nursery | Agriculture | |
| 37 | Forested Wetland | Forest | |
| 38 | Very Low Density residential | Low Density Residential | |
| 39 | Junkyards | Industrial | |
| 40 | Brush land/Successional | Forest | |
| | | | |

(1) Method to determine the design volume of a structural BMP to achieve a known phosphorus load reduction target when the contributing drainage area is 100% impervious:

Flow Chart 1 illustrates the steps to determine the design volume of a structural BMP to achieve a known phosphorus load reduction target when the contributing drainage area is 100% impervious.



Flow Chart 1: Method to determine BMP design volume to achieve a known phosphorous load reduction when contributing drainage area is 100% impervious.

- 1) Determine the desired cumulative phosphorus load reduction target (P target) in percentage for the structural BMP;
- 2) Determine the contributing impervious drainage area (IA) in acres to the structural BMP;
- 3) Determine the structural BMP type (e.g., infiltration trench, gravel wetland). For infiltration systems, determine the appropriate infiltration rate for the location of the BMP in the Watershed;
- 4) Using the cumulative phosphorus removal performance curve for the selected structural BMP (Figures 3-1 through 3-18), determine the storage volume for the BMP (BMP-Volume IA-in), in inches of runoff, needed to treat runoff from the contributing IA to achieve the reduction target;
- 5) Calculate the corresponding BMP storage volume in cubic feet (BMP-Volume IA-if 3) using BMP-Volume IA-in determined from step 4 and equation 3-1:

BMP-Volume $_{IA-ft}^3$ = IA (acre) x BMP-Volume $_{IA-in}$ x 3630 ft³/ac-in (Equation 3-1)

- 6) Provide supporting calculations using the dimensions and specifications of the proposed structural BMP showing that the necessary storage volume, BMP-Volume IA-ft³, determined from step 5 will be provided to achieve the P Target; and
- 7) Calculate the cumulative phosphorus load reduction in pounds of phosphorus (BMP-Reduction _{lbs-P}) for the structural BMP using the BMP Load (as calculated from the procedure in Attachment 1 to Appendix F) and P _{target} by using equation 3-2:

BMP-Reduction lbs-P = BMP Load x (P target /100) (Equation 3-2)

Example 3-2 to determine design volume of a structural BMP with a 100% impervious drainage area to achieve a known phosphorus load reduction target:

A permittee is considering a surface infiltration practice to capture and treat runoff from 2.57 acres (1.04 ha) of commercial impervious area that will achieve a 70% reduction in annual phosphorus load. The infiltration practice would be located adjacent to the impervious area. The permittee has measured an infiltration rate (IR) of 0.39 inches per hour (in/hr) in the vicinity of the proposed infiltration practice. Determine the:

- **A)** Design storage volume needed for an surface infiltration practice to achieve a 70% reduction in annual phosphorus load from the contributing drainage area (BMP-Volume IA-ft³); and
- **B)** Cumulative phosphorus reduction in pounds that would be accomplished by the BMP (BMP-Reduction _{lbs-P})

Solution:

1) Contributing impervious drainages area (IA) = 2.57 acres

BMP type is a surface infiltration practice (i.e., basin) with an infiltration rate (IR) of 0.39 in/hr

Solution continued:

- 3) Phosphorus load reduction target (P $_{target}$) = 70%
- 4) The performance curve for the infiltration basin (i.e., surface infiltration practice), Figure 3-8, IR = 0.27 in/hr is used to determine the design storage volume of the BMP (BMP-Volume $_{\text{IA-in}}$) needed to treat runoff from the contributing IA and achieve a P $_{\text{target}}$ = 70%. The curve for an infiltration rate of 0.27 in/hr is chosen because 0.27 in/hr is the nearest simulated IR that is less than the field measured IR of 0.39 in/hr. From Figure 3-8, the BMP-Volume $_{\text{IA-in}}$ for a P $_{\text{target}}$ = 70% is 0.36 in.
- 5) The BMP-Volume _{IA-in} is converted to cubic feet (BMP-Volume _{IA-ft}³) using Equation 3-1:

BMP-Volume
$$_{\text{IA-ft}}^3$$
 = IA (acre) x BMP-Volume $_{\text{IA-in}}$ x 3,630 ft³/acre-in BMP-Volume $_{\text{IA-ft}}^3$ = 2.57 acre x 0.36 in x 3,630 ft³/acre-in = 3,359 ft³

6) A narrow trapezoidal infiltration basin with the following characteristics is proposed to achieve the P _{Target} of 70%:

| Length (ft) | Design | Side Slopes | Bottom area | Pond surface | Design |
|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| | Depth (ft) | | (ft^2) | area (ft²) | Storage |
| | | | | | Volume (ft ³) |
| 355 | 1.25 | 3:1 | 1,387 | 4,059 | 3,404 |

The volume of the proposed infiltration practice, 3,404 ft³, exceeds the BMP-Volume IA-ft³ needed, 3,359 ft³ and is sufficient to achieve the P Target of 70%.

7) The cumulative phosphorus load reduction in pounds of phosphorus for the infiltration practice (BMP-Reduction _{lbs-P}) is calculated using Equation 3-2. The BMP Load is first determined using the method described above.

BMP Load = IA x impervious cover phosphorus export loading rate for commercial use (see Table 3-1)

= 2.57 acres x 1.78 lbs/acre/yr

= 4.58 lbs/yr

BMP-Reduction $_{lbs-P}$ = BMP Load x (P $_{target}$ /100)

BMP-Reduction lbs-P = 4.58 lbs/yr x (70/100)

= 3.21 lbs/yr

<u>Alternate Solution</u>: Alternatively, the permittee could determine the design storage volume needed for an IR = 0.39 in/hr by performing interpolation of the results from the surface

infiltration performance curves for IR = 0.27 in/hr and IR = 0.52 in/hr as follows (replacing steps 3 and 4 on the previous page):

Alternate solution continued:

Using the performance curves for the infiltration basin (i.e., surface infiltration practice), Figures 3-8, IR = 0.27 in/hr and 3-9, IR = 0.52 in/hr, interpolate between the curves to determine the design storage volume of the BMP (BMP-Volume $_{\text{IA-in}}$) needed to treat runoff from the contributing IA and achieve a P $_{\text{target}}$ = 70%.

First calculate the interpolation adjustment factor (IAF) to interpolate between the infiltration basin performance curves for infiltration rates of 0.27 and 0.52 in/hr:

$$IAF = (0.39 - 0.27)/(0.52 - 0.27) = 0.48$$

From the two performance curves, develop the following table to estimate the general magnitude of the needed storage volume for an infiltration swale with an IR = 0.39 in/hr and a P _{target} of 70%.

Table Example 3-1-1: Interpolation Table for determining design storage volume of infiltration basin with IR = 0.39 in/hr and a phosphorus load reduction target of 70%

| BMP | % Phosphorus Load | % Phosphorus Load | Interpolated % Phosphorus Load | |
|---------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Storage | Reduction IR = 0.27 in/hr | Reduction IR = 0.52 in/hr | Reduction IR = 0.39 in/hr (PR _{IR=0.39}) | |
| Volume | $(PR_{IR=0.27})$ | $(PR_{IR=0.52})$ | $PR_{IR=0.39} = IAF(PR_{IR=0.52} - PR_{IR=0.27}) +$ | |
| | ` ´ | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | $PR_{IR=0.27}$ | |
| 0.3 | 64% | 67% | 65% | |
| 0.4 | 74% | 77% | 75% | |
| 0.4 | /470 | / / / 0 | 73% | |
| 0.5 | 79% | 82% | 80% | |

As indicated from Table Example 3-1, the BMP-Volume IA-in for PRIR=0.39 of 70% is between 0.3 and 0.4 inches and can be determined by interpolation:

BMP-Volume
$$_{\text{IA-in}} = (70\% - 65\%)/(75\% - 65\%) \times (0.4 \text{ in} - 0.3 \text{ in}) + 0.3 \text{ in}$$


= 0.35 inches

5 alternative) Convert the resulting BMP-Volume IA-in to cubic feet (BMP-Volume IA-ft³) using equation 3-1:

BMP-Volume
$$_{\text{IA-ft}}^3$$
 = 2.57 acre x 0.35 in x 3,630 ft³/acre-in = **3,265** ft³

(2) <u>Method to determine the phosphorus load reduction for a structural BMP with a known design volume when the contributing drainage area is 100% impervious:</u>

Flow Chart 2 illustrates the steps to determine the phosphorus load reduction for a structural BMP with a known design volume when the contributing drainage area is 100% impervious.



Flow Chart 2: Method to determine the phosphorus load reduction for a BMP with a known design volume when contributing drainage area is 100% impervious.

- 1) Identify the structural BMP type and contributing impervious drainage area (IA);
- 2) Document the available storage volume (ft³) of the structural BMP (BMP-Volume ft³) using the BMP dimensions and design specifications (e.g., maximum storage depth, filter media porosity);
- 3) Convert BMP-Volume ft³ into inches of runoff from the contributing impervious area (BMP-Volume IA-in) using equation 3-3:
 - BMP-Volume $_{IA-in}$ = BMP-Volume $_{ft}$ / IA (acre) x 12 in/ft x 1 acre/43560 ft² (Equation 3-3)
- 4) Determine the % phosphorus load reduction for the structural BMP (BMP Reduction %-P) using the appropriate BMP performance curve (Figures 3-1 through 3-18) and the BMP-Volume IA-in calculated in step 3; and

5) Calculate the cumulative phosphorus load reduction in pounds of phosphorus for the structural BMP (BMP Reduction _{lbs-P}) using the BMP Load as calculated from the procedure described above and the percent phosphorus load reduction determined in step 4 by using equation 3-4:

BMP Reduction $_{lbs-P}$ = BMP Load x (BMP Reduction $_{\%-P}/100$) (Equation 3-4)

Example 3-2: Determine the phosphorus load reduction for a structural BMP with a known storage volume capacity when the contributing drainage area is 100% impervious:

A permittee is considering a bio-filtration system to treat runoff from 1.49 acres of high density residential (HDR) impervious area. Site constraints would limit the bio-filtration system to have a surface area of 1200 ft² and the system would have to be located next to the impervious drainage area to be treated. The design parameters for the bio-filtration system are presented in Table Example 3-2-1.

Table Example 3-2-1: Design parameters for bio-filtration system for Example 3-2

| Components of representation | Parameters | Value |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Maximum depth | 0.5 ft |
| Ponding | Surface area | $1200 \; \mathrm{ft}^2$ |
| | Vegetative parameter ^a | 85-95% |
| | Depth | 2.5 ft |
| Soil mix | Porosity | 0.40 |
| | Hydraulic conductivity | 4 inches/hour |
| | Depth | 0.67 ft |
| Gravel layer | Porosity | 0.40 |
| | Hydraulic conductivity | 14 inches/hour |
| Orifice #1 | Diameter | 0.5 ft |

^a Refers to the percentage of surface covered with vegetation

Determine the:

- **A)** Percent phosphorus load reduction (BMP Reduction %-P) for the specified bio-filtration system and contributing impervious drainage area; and
- **B)** Cumulative phosphorus reduction in pounds that would be accomplished by the biofiltration system (BMP-Reduction _{lbs-P})

Solution:

- 1) The BMP is a bio-filtration system that will treat runoff from 1.49 acres of impervious area (IA = 1.49 acre);
- 2) The available storage volume capacity (ft³) of the bio-filtraton system (BMP-Volume BMP-ft³) is determined using the surface area of the system, depth of ponding, and the porosity of the filter media:

BMP-Volume
$$_{BMP-ft}^3$$
 = (surface area x pond maximum depth) + ((soil mix depth + gravel layer depth)/12 in/ft) x surface area x gravel layer porosity) = (1,200 ft² x 0.5 ft) + ((38/12) x 1,200 ft² x 0.4) = 2,120 ft³

Solution continued:

3) The available storage volume capacity of the bio-filtration system in inches of runoff from the contributing impervious area (BMP-Volume IA-in) is calculated using equation 3-3:

```
BMP-Volume _{\text{IA-in}} = (BMP\text{-Volume }_{\text{ft}^3}/\text{ IA (acre)} \times 12 \text{ in/ft } \times 1 \text{ acre/43560 ft}^2
BMP-Volume _{\text{IA-in}} = (2120 \text{ ft}^3/1.49 \text{ acre)} \times 12 \text{ in/ft } \times 1 \text{ acre/43560 ft}^2
= 0.39 in
```

- 4) Using the bio-filtration performance curve shown in Figure 3-13, a **51%** phosphorus load reduction (BMP Reduction %-P) is determined for a bio-filtration system sized for 0.39 in of runoff from 1.49 acres of impervious area; and
- 5) Calculate the cumulative phosphorus load reduction in pounds of phosphorus for the biofiltration system (BMP Reduction _{lbs-P}) using the BMP Load as calculated from the procedure described above and the BMP Reduction %-P determined in step 4 by using equation 3-4. First, the BMP Load is determined as specified above:

```
BMP Load = IA x impervious cover phosphorus export loading rate for HDR (see Table 3-1) = 1.49 acres x 2.32 lbs/acre/yr = 3.46 lbs/yr


BMP Reduction <sub>lbs-P</sub> = BMP Load x (BMP Reduction %-P/100)

BMP Reduction <sub>lbs-P</sub> = 3.46 lbs/yr x (51/100)
```

= 1.76 lbs/yr

(3) Method to determine the design storage volume of a structural BMP to achieve a known phosphorus load reduction target when the contributing drainage area has impervious and pervious surfaces:

Flow Chart 3 illustrates the steps to determine the design storage volume of a structural BMP to achieve a known phosphorus load reduction target when the contributing drainage area has impervious and pervious surfaces.



Flow Chart 3: Method to determine the design storage volume of a BMP to reach a known P load reduction when both impervious and pervious drainage areas are present.

- 1) Determine the desired cumulative phosphorus load reduction target (P target) in percentage for the structural BMP:
- 2) Characterize the contributing drainage area to the structural BMP by identifying the following information for the impervious and pervious surfaces:

 Impervious area (IA) Area (acre) and land use (e.g., commercial)

Pervious area (**PA**) – Area (acre) and runoff depths based on hydrologic soil group (HSG) and rainfall depth. Table 3-3 provides values of runoff depth from pervious areas for various rainfall depths and HSGs. Soils are assigned to an HSG on the basis of their permeability. HSG A is the most permeable, and HSG D is the least permeable. HSG categories for pervious areas in the drainage area shall be estimated by consulting local soil surveys prepared by the National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) or by a storm water professional evaluating soil testing results from the drainage area. If the HSG condition is not known, a HSG D soil condition should be assumed.

Table 3- 3: Developed Land Pervious Area Runoff Depths based on Precipitation depth and Hydrological Soil Groups (HSGs)

| Developed Land Pervious Area Runoff Depths based on Precipitation depth and Hydrological Soil Groups | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| | | Runoff Depth, inches | | | | | | |
| Rainfall Depth, | Pervious HSG | | | Pervious HSG | | | | |
| Inches | Α | Pervious HSG B | Pervious HSG C | C/D | Pervious HSG D | | | |
| 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | |
| 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | | | |
| 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.06 | | | |
| 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.09 | | | |
| 0.60 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.11 | | | |
| 0.80 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.16 | | | |
| 1.00 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.21 | | | |
| 1.20 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.27 | 0.39 | | | |
| 1.50 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.39 | 0.55 | 0.72 | | | |
| 2.00 | 0.14 | 0.22 | 0.69 | 0.89 | 1.08 | | | |

Notes: Runoff depths derived from combination of volumetric runoff coefficients from Table 5 of *Small Storm Hydrology and Why it is Important for the Design of Stormwater Control Practices*, (Pitt, 1999), and using the Stormwater Management Model (SWMM) in continuous model mode for hourly precipitation data for Boston, MA, 1998-2002.

- 3) Determine the structural BMP type (e.g., infiltration trench, gravel wetland). For infiltration systems, determine the appropriate infiltration rate for the location of the BMP in the Watershed;
- 4) Using the cumulative phosphorus removal performance curve for the selected structural BMP, determine the storage volume capacity of the BMP in inches needed to treat runoff from the contributing impervious area (BMP-Volume IA-in);

5) Using Equation 3-5 below and the pervious area runoff depth information from Table 3-3-1, determine the total volume of runoff from the contributing pervious drainage area in cubic feet (BMP Volume PA-ft³) for a rainfall size equal to the sum of BMP Volume IA-in, determined in step 4. The runoff volume for each distinct pervious area must be determined;

BMP-Volume
$$_{PA \text{ ft}}^3 = \sum (PA \text{ x (runoff depth) x 3,630 ft}^3/\text{acre-in)}_{(PA1,...PAn)}$$
 (**Equation 3-5**)

- 6) Using equation 3-6 below, calculate the BMP storage volume in cubic feet (BMP-Volume IA&PA-ft³) needed to treat the runoff depth from the contributing impervious (IA) and pervious areas (PA);
 - BMP-Volume $_{IA\&PA-ft}^3$ = BMP Volume $_{PA-ft}^3$ + (BMP Volume $_{IA-in}$ x IA (acre) x 3,630 ft³/acre-in) (**Equation 3-6**)
- 7) Provide supporting calculations using the dimensions and specifications of the proposed structural BMP showing that the necessary storage volume determined in step 6, BMP-Volume IA&PA-ft³, will be provided to achieve the P Target; and
- 8) Calculate the cumulative phosphorus load reduction in pounds of phosphorus (BMP-Reduction _{lbs-P}) for the structural BMP using the BMP Load (as calculated from the procedure in Attachment 1 to Appendix F) and the P _{target} by using equation 3-2:

BMP-Reduction $_{lbs-P}$ = BMP Load x (P $_{target}$ /100) (Equation 3-2)

Example 3-3: Determine the design storage volume of a structural BMP to achieve a known phosphorus load reduction target when the contributing drainage area has impervious and pervious surfaces

A permittee is considering a gravel wetland system to treat runoff from a high-density residential (HDR) site. The site is 7.50 acres of which 4.00 acres are impervious surfaces and 3.50 acres are pervious surfaces. The pervious area is made up of 2.5 acres of lawns in good condition surrounding cluster housing units and 1.00 acre of stable unmanaged woodland. Soils information indicates that all of the woodland and 0.50 acres of the lawn is hydrologic soil group (HSG) B and the other 2.00 acres of lawn are HSG C. The permittee wants to size the gravel wetland system to achieve a cumulative phosphorus load reduction (P Target) of 55% from the entire 7.50 acres.

Determine the:

- **A)** Design storage volume needed for a gravel wetland system to achieve a 55% reduction in annual phosphorus load from the contributing drainage area (BMP-Volume IA&PA-ft³); and
- **B)** Cumulative phosphorus reduction in pounds that would be accomplished by the BMP (BMP-Reduction _{lbs-P})

Example 3-3 continued:

Solution:

- 1) The BMP type is gravel wetland system.
- 2) The phosphorus load reduction target (P $_{Target}$) = 55%.
- 3) Using the cumulative phosphorus removal performance curve for the gravel wetland system shown in Figure 3-14, the storage volume capacity in inches needed to treat runoff from the contributing impervious area (BMP Volume IA-in) is 0.71 in;

Using equation 3-5 and the pervious runoff depth information from Table 3-3, the volume of runoff from the contributing pervious drainage area in cubic feet (BMP Volume $_{PA-ft}$ ³) for a rainfall size equal to 0.71 in is summarized in Table Example 3-3-A. As indicated from Table 3-3, the runoff depth for a rainfall size equal to 0.71 inches is between 0.6 and 0.8 inches and can be determined by interpolation (example shown for runoff depth of HSG C):

Runoff depth (HSG C) =
$$(0.71 - 0.6)/(0.8 - 0.6) \times (0.09 \text{ in} - 0.06 \text{ in}) + 0.06 \text{ in}$$

= 0.07 inches

Table Example 3-3-A: Runoff contributions from pervious areas for HDR site

| Tuble Example 6 6 11. Runoit contributions from per vious areas for fibre site | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|-----|--------|-----------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| | | Pervious | HSG | Runoff | Runoff | Runoff | | |
| ID | Type | Area | | (in) | = (runoff) x PA | = Runoff (acre-in) x 3630 | | |
| | 31 | (acre) | | , í | (acre-in) | ft ³ /acre-in | | |
| | | (dere) | | | , , , , | (ft^3) | | |
| PA1 | Grass | 2.00 | С | 0.07 | 0.14 | 508 | | |
| PA2 | Grass | 0.50 | В | 0.01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| PA3 | Woods | 1.00 | В | 0.01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Total | | 3.50 | | | 0.14 | 508 | | |

4) Using equation 3-6, determine the BMP storage volume in cubic feet (BMP-Volume IA&PA-ft³) needed to treat 0.71 inches of runoff from the contributing impervious area (IA) and the runoff of 0.14 acre-in from the contributing pervious areas, determined in step 5 is:

5) Table Example 3-3-B provides design details for of a potential gravel wetland system

Solution continued:

| Table Exampl | e 3-3 | -B: | Design | details | for | gravel | wetland | system |
|--------------|--------------|-----|---------------|---------|-----|--------|---------|--------|
| | | | | | | | | |

| | bie Enumpie e e bi Design detuns for graver wettund system | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|-------|--------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Gravel Wetland System | Design Detail | Depth | Surface Area | Volume | | | | |
| Components | | (ft) | (ft^2) | (ft^3) | | | | |
| Sediment Forebay | 10% of Treatment Volume | | | | | | | |
| Pond area | | 1.33 | 896 | 1,192 | | | | |
| Wetland Cell #1 | 45% of Treatment Volume | | | | | | | |
| Pond area | | 2.00 | 1,914 | 3,828 | | | | |
| Gravel layer | porosity = 0.4 | 2.00 | 1,914 | 1,531 | | | | |
| Wetland Cell #2 | 45% of Treatment Volume | | | | | | | |
| Pond area | | 2.00 | 1,914 | 3,828 | | | | |
| Gravel layer | porosity = 0.4 | 2.00 | 1,914 | 1,531 | | | | |

The total design storage volume for the proposed gravel wetland system identified in Table Example 3-3-C is 11,910 ft³. This volume is greater than 11,834 ft³ ((BMP-Volume _{IA&PA-ft}³), calculated in step 6) and is therefore sufficient to achieve a P _{Target} of 55%.

6) The cumulative phosphorus load reduction in pounds of phosphorus (BMP-Reduction $_{lbs-P}$) for the proposed gravel wetland system is calculated by using equation 3-2 with the BMP Load and the P $_{target}$ = 55%.

BMP-Reduction $_{lbs-P}$ = BMP Load x (P $_{target}$ /100) (Equation 3-2)

Using Table 3-1, the BMP Load is calculated:

BMP Load = $(IA \times PLER_{HDR}) + (PA lawn_{HSGB} \times PLER_{HSGB}) + (PA lawn_{HSGC} \times PLER_{HSGC}) + (PA forest \times PA PLER_{For})$

= $(4.00 \text{ acre } \times 2.32 \text{ lbs/acre/yr}) + (0.50 \text{ acres } \times 0.12 \text{ lbs/acre/yr}) + (1.00 \text{ acre } \times 0.21 \text{ lbs/acre/yr}) + (1.00 \text{ acres } \times 0.13)$

 $= 9.68 \, lbs/yr$


BMP-Reduction _{lbs-P} = BMP Load x (P _{target} /100)

BMP-Reduction $_{lbs-P} = 9.68 lbs/yr \times 55/100$

= 5.32 lbs/yr

(4) Method to determine the phosphorus load reduction for a structural BMP with a known storage volume when the contributing drainage area has impervious and pervious surfaces:

Flow Chart 4 illustrates the steps to determine the phosphorus load reduction for a structural BMP with a known storage volume when the contributing drainage area has impervious and pervious surfaces.



Flow Chart 4: Method to determine the phosphorus load reduction for a BMP with known storage volume when both pervious and impervious drainage areas are present.

1) Identify the type of structural BMP and characterize the contributing drainage area to the structural BMP by identifying the following information for the impervious and pervious surfaces:

Impervious area (IA) – Area (acre) and land use (e.g., commercial)

Pervious area (PA) – Area (acre) and runoff depth based on hydrologic soil group (HSG) and size of rainfall event. Table 3-3 provides values of runoff depth for various rainfall depths and HSGs. Soils are assigned to an HSG based on their permeability. HSG categories for pervious areas in the Watershed shall be estimated by consulting local soil surveys prepared by the National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) or by a storm water professional evaluating soil testing results from the Watershed. If the HSG condition is not known, a HSG C/D soil condition should be assumed.

- 2) Determine the available storage volume (ft³) of the structural BMP (BMP-Volume ft³) using the BMP dimensions and design specifications (e.g., maximum storage depth, filter media porosity);
- 3) To estimate the phosphorus load reduction of a BMP with a known storage volume capacity, it is first necessary to determine the portion of available BMP storage capacity (BMP-Volume $_{\rm ft}$ ³) that would treat the runoff volume generated from the contributing impervious area (IA) for a rainfall event with a depth of i inches (in). This will require knowing the corresponding amount of runoff volume that would be generated from the contributing pervious area (PA) for the same rainfall event (depth of i inches). Using equation 3-6a below, solve for the BMP capacity that would be available to treat runoff from the contributing imperious area for the unknown rainfall depth of i inches (see equation 3-6b):

BMP-Volume $_{ft}^3$ = BMP-Volume $_{(IA-ft^3)i}$ + BMP-Volume $_{(PA-ft^3)i}$ (Equation 3-6a)

Where:

BMP-Volume ft³= the available storage volume of the BMP;

BMP-Volume $_{(IA-ft^3)i}$ = the available storage volume of the BMP that would fully treat runoff generated from the contributing impervious area for a rainfall event of size i inches; and

BMP-Volume $(PA-ft^3)_i$ = the available storage volume of the BMP that would fully treat runoff generated from the contributing pervious area for a rainfall event of size i inches

Solving for BMP-Volume (IA-ft³)*i*:

BMP-Volume
$$_{(IA-ft^3)i}$$
 = BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$ - BMP-Volume $_{(PA-ft^3)i}$ (Equation 3-6b)

To determine BMP-Volume (IA-ft³)*i*, requires performing an iterative process of refining estimates of the rainfall depth used to calculate runoff volumes until the rainfall depth used results in the sum of runoff volumes from the contributing IA and PA equaling the available BMP storage capacity (BMP-Volume ft³). For the purpose of estimating BMP performance, it will be considered adequate when the IA runoff depth (in) is within 5% IA runoff depth used in the previous iteration.

For the first iteration (1), convert the BMP-Volume ft³ determined in step 2 into inches of runoff from the contributing impervious area (BMP Volume (IA-in)1) using equation 3-7a.

BMP-Volume
$$_{(IA-in)1} = (BMP-Volume_{ft}^3/IA (acre)) \times (12 in/ft/43,560 ft^2/acre)$$
 (Equation 3-7a);

For iterations 2 through n (2...n), convert the BMP Volume (IA-ft³)2...n, determined in step 5a below, into inches of runoff from the contributing impervious area (BMP Volume (IA-in)2...n) using equation 3-7b.

BMP-Volume
$$_{(IA-in)2...n} = (BMP-Volume_{(IA-ft^3)2...n} / IA (acre)) x (12 in/ft /43,560 ft^2/acre) (Equation 3-7b);$$

4) For 1 to n iterations, use the pervious runoff depth information from Table 3-3 and equation 3-8 to determine the total volume of runoff (ft³) from the contributing PA (BMP Volume PA-ft³) for a rainfall size equal to the sum of BMP-Volume (IA-in)1, determined in step 3. The runoff volume for each distinct pervious area must be determined.

BMP Volume
$$_{(PA-ft^3)_{1...n}} = \sum ((PA \times (runoff depth)_{(PA1, PA2..PAn)} \times (3,630 \text{ ft}^3/acre-in))$$
 (Equation 3-8)

5) For iteration 1, estimate the portion of BMP Volume that is available to treat runoff from only the IA by subtracting BMP-Volume $_{PA-ft}^3$, determined in step 4, from BMP-Volume $_{ft}^3$, determined in step 2, and convert to inches of runoff from IA (see equations 3-9a and 3-9b):

BMP-Volume
$$_{(IA-ft^3)2} = ((BMP-Volume_{ft^3}-BMP Volume_{(PA-ft^3)1})$$
 (Equation 3-9a)

BMP-Volume
$$_{(IA-in)2} = (BMP-Volume_{(IA-ft^3)2}/IA_{(acre)}) \times (12_{in}/ft \times 1_{acre}/43,560_{ft^2})$$
 (Equation 3-9b)

If additional iterations (i.e., 2 through n) are needed, estimate the portion of BMP volume that is available to treat runoff from only the IA (BMP-Volume (IA-in)3..n+1) by subtracting BMP Volume (PA-ft³)2..n, determined in step 4, from BMP Volume (IA-ft³)3..n+1, determined in step 5, and by converting to inches of runoff from IA using equation 3-9b):

- 6) For iteration a (an iteration between 1 and n+1), compare BMP Volume (IA-in)a to BMP Volume (IA-in)a-1 determined from the previous iteration (a-1). If the difference in these values is greater than 5% of BMP Volume (IA-in)a then repeat steps 4 and 5, using BMP Volume (IA-in)a as the new starting value for the next iteration (a+1). If the difference is less than or equal to 5 % of BMP Volume (IA-in)a then the permittee may proceed to step 7;
- 7) Determine the % phosphorus load reduction for the structural BMP (BMP Reduction %-P) using the appropriate BMP performance curve and the BMP-Volume (IA-in)n calculated in the final iteration of step 5; and
- 8) Calculate the cumulative phosphorus load reduction in pounds of phosphorus for the structural BMP (BMP Reduction _{lbs-P}) using the BMP Load as calculated from the procedure in Attachment 1 to Appendix F and the percent phosphorus load reduction (BMP Reduction _{%-P}) determined in step 7 by using equation 3-4:

BMP Reduction $_{lbs-P}$ = BMP Load x (BMP Reduction $_{\%-P}/100$) (Equation 3-4)

Example 3-4: Determine the phosphorus load reduction for a structural BMP with a known design volume when the contributing drainage area has impervious and pervious surfaces

A permittee is considering an infiltration basin to capture and treat runoff from a portion of the medium density residential area (MDR). The contributing drainage area is 16.55 acres and has 11.75 acres of impervious area and 4.8 acres of pervious area (PA) made up mostly of lawns and landscaped areas that is 80% HSG D and 20% HSG C. An infiltration basin with the following specifications can be placed at the down-gradient end of the contributing drainage area where soil testing results indicates an infiltration rate (IR) of 0.28 in/hr:

Table Example 3-4-A: Infiltration basin characteristics

| Tuble Example 5 171. Initiation busin characteristics | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Structure | Bottom area (acre) | Top surface area (acre) | Maximum pond depth (ft) | Design storage volume (ft ³) | Infiltration Rate (in/hr) | | |
| Infiltration basin | 0.65 | 0.69 | 1.65 | 48,155 | 0.28 | | |

Determine the:

- **A)** Percent phosphorus load reduction (BMP Reduction %-P) for the specified infiltration basin and the contributing impervious and pervious drainage area; and
- **B)** Cumulative phosphorus reduction in pounds that would be accomplished by the BMP (BMP-Reduction _{lbs-P})

Example continued:

Solution:

1) A surface infiltration basin is being considered. Information for the contributing impervious (IA) and pervious (PA) areas are summarized in Tables Example 3-4-A and Example 3-4-B, respectively.

Table Example 3-4-B: Impervious area characteristics

| ID | Land | Area |
|-----|------|--------|
| | use | (acre) |
| IA1 | MDR | 11.75 |

Table Example 3-4-C: Pervious area characteristics

| ID | Area (acre) | Hydrologic Soil Group |
|-----|-------------|--------------------------|
| | , | (HSG) |
| PA1 | 3.84 | D |
| PA2 | 0.96 | C |

- 2) The available storage volume (ft^3) of the infiltration basin (BMP-Volume ft^3) is determined from the design details and basin dimensions; BMP-Volume $ft^3 = 48,155$ ft³.
- **3)** To determine what the BMP design storage volume is in terms of runoff depth (in) from IA, an iterative process is undertaken:

Solution Iteration 1

For the first iteration (1), the BMP-Volume_{ft}³ is converted into inches of runoff from the contributing impervious area (BMP Volume (IA-in)1) using equation 3-5a.

BMP Volume
$$_{\text{(IA-in)l}} = (48,155 \text{ ft}^2/11.75 \text{ acre}) \text{ x } (12 \text{ in/ft } /43,560 \text{ ft}^2/\text{acre})$$

= 1.13 in

4-1) The total volume of runoff (ft³) from the contributing PA (BMP Volume PA-ft³) for a rainfall size equal to the sum of BMP Volume (IA-in)1 determined in step 3 is determined for each distinct pervious area identified in Table Example 3-4-B using the information from Table 3-3 and equation 3-5. Interpolation was used to determine runoff depths.

BMP Volume
$$_{(PA-ft}^3)_1 = ((3.84 \text{ acre x } (0.33 \text{ in}) + (0.96 \text{ acre x } (0.13 \text{ in})) \times 3,630 \text{ ft}^3/\text{acre-in})$$

= 5052 ft³

5-1) For iteration 1, the portion of BMP Volume that is available to treat runoff from only the IA is estimated by subtracting the BMP Volume (PA-ft³)1, determined in step 4-1, from BMP Volumeft³, determined in step 2, and converted to inches of runoff from IA:

BMP Volume
$$_{(IA-ft^3)}{}_2 = 48,155 \text{ ft}^3 - 5052 \text{ ft}^3$$

= 43,103 ft³
BMP Volume $_{(IA-in)}{}_2 = (43,103 \text{ ft}^3/11.75 \text{ acre}) \text{ x } (12 \text{ in/ft x } 1 \text{ acre/43,560 ft}^2)$
= 1.01 in

Solution continued:

6-1) The % difference between BMP Volume (IA-in) 2, 1.01 in, and BMP Volume (IA-in)1, 1.13 in is determined and found to be significantly greater than 5%:

% Difference =
$$((1.13 \text{ in} - 1.01 \text{ in})/1.01 \text{ in}) \times 100$$

= 12%

Therefore, steps 4 through 6 are repeated starting with BMP Volume (IA-in) 2 = 1.01 in.

Solution Iteration 2

- **4-2)** BMP-Volume $_{\text{(PA-ft}^3)2}$ = ((3.84 acre x 0.21 in) + (0.96 acre x 0.12 in)) x 3,630 ft³/acre-in = 3,358 ft³
- **5-2)** BMP-Volume $_{\text{(IA-ft}^3)3} = 48,155 \text{ ft}^3 3,358 \text{ ft}^3$ = $44,797 \text{ ft}^3$ BMP-Volume $_{\text{(IA-in)}3} = (44,797 \text{ ft}^3/11.75 \text{ acre}) \text{ x } (12 \text{ in/ft x } 1 \text{ acre/43,560 ft}^2)$ = 1.05 in
- **6-2)** % Difference = $((1.05 \text{ in} 1.01 \text{ in})/1.05 \text{ in}) \times 100$ = 4%

The difference of 4% is acceptable.

7) The % phosphorus load reduction for the infiltration basin (BMP Reduction %-P) is determined by using the infiltration basin performance curve for an infiltration rate of 0.27 in/hr and the treatment volume (BMP-Volume Net IA-in = 1.05 in) calculated in step 5-2 and is **BMP Reduction** %-P = 93%.

The performance curve for IR = 0.27 is used rather than interpolating between the performance curves for IR = 0.27 in/hr and 0.52 in/hr to estimate performance for IR = 0.28 in/hr. An evaluation of the performance curves for IR = 0.27 in/hr and IR = 0.52 in/hr for a design storage volume of 1.05 in indicate a small difference in estimated performance (BMP Reduction %-P = 93% for IR = 0.27 in/hr and BMP Reduction %-P = 95% for IR = 0.52 in/hr).

8) The cumulative phosphorus load reduction in pounds of phosphorus (BMP-Reduction lbs-P) for the proposed infiltration basin is calculated by using equation 3-2 with the BMP Load and the P target of 93%.

BMP-Reduction lbs-P = BMP Load x (P target /100) (Equation 3-2)

Using Table 3-1, the BMP load is calculated:

BMP Load = (IA x impervious cover phosphorus export loading rate for industrial)

- + (PA _{HSG D} x pervious cover phosphorus export loading rate for HSG D)
- + (PA _{HSG C} x pervious cover phosphorus export loading rate for HSG C)

Solution continued:

BMP-Reduction $_{lbs-P} = 24.22 lbs/yr \times 93/100 = 22.93 lbs/yr$

Example 3-5: Determine the phosphorus load reduction for disconnecting impervious area using storage with delayed release.

A commercial operation has an opportunity to divert runoff from 0.75 acres of impervious roof top to a 5000 gallon (668.4 ft³) storage tank for temporary storage and subsequent release to 0.09 acres of pervious area (PA) with HSG C soils.

Determine the:

- A) Percent phosphorus load reduction rates (BMP Reduction %-P) for the specified impervious area (IA) disconnection and storage system assuming release times of 1, 2 and 3 days for the stored volumes to discharge to the pervious area; and
- B) Cumulative phosphorus reductions in pounds that would be accomplished by the system (BMP-Reduction _{lbs-P}) for the three storage release times, 1, 2 and 3 days.

Solution:

1. Determine the storage volume in units of inches of runoff depth from contributing impervious area:

Storage Volume
$$_{\text{IA-in}} = (668.4 \text{ ft}^3/(0.75 \text{ acre x } 43.560 \text{ ft}^2/\text{acre})) \times 12 \text{ inch/ft}$$

= 0.25 inches

2. Determine the ratio of the contributing impervious area to the receiving pervious area:

IA:PA =
$$0.75 \text{ acres}/0.09 \text{ acres}$$

$$= 8.3$$

3. Using Table 3-21 for a IA:PA ratio of 8:1, determine the phosphorus load reduction rates for a storage volume of 0.25 inches that discharges to HSG C with release rates of 1, 2 and 3 days: Using interpolation the reduction rates are shown in Table 3-5-A:

Table Example 3-5-A: Reduction Rates

| Percent Phosphorus load reduction for | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----|-----|--|--|
| IA disconnection with storage HSG C | | | | | |
| Storage | Storage release rate, days | | | | |
| Volume IA-in | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| 0.25 | 39% | 42% | 43% | | |

4. The cumulative phosphorus load reduction in pounds of phosphorus for the IA disconnection with storage (BMP-Reduction _{lbs-P}) is calculated using Equation 3-2. The BMP Load is first determined using the method described above.

Solution continued:

BMP Load = IA x phosphorus export loading rate for commercial IA (see Table 3-1)

= 0.75 acres x 1.78 lbs/acre/yr

= 1.34 lbs/yr

BMP Reduction $_{lbs-P}$ = BMP Load x (BMP Reduction $_{\%-P}/100$)

BMP Reduction $_{lbs-P} = 1.34 lbs/yr x (39/100)$

= 0.53 lbs/yr

Table Example 3-5-B presents the BMP Reduction _{lbs-P} for each of the release rates:

Table Example 3-5-B: Reduction Load

| Tubic Enumpie o e Di Iteauction Eoua | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|------|------|--|--|--|
| Phosphorus load reduction for IA | | | | | | |
| disconnection with storage HSG C, lbs | | | | | | |
| Storage | Storage release rate, days | | | | | |
| Volume IA-in | 1 2 3 | | | | | |
| 0.25 | 0.53 | 0.56 | 0.58 | | | |

Example 3-6: Determine the phosphorus load reduction for disconnecting impervious area with and without soil augmentation in the receiving pervious area.

The same commercial property as in example 3-5 wants to evaluate disconnecting drainage from the 0.75 acre impervious roof top and discharging it directly to 0.09 acres of pervious area (PA) with HSG C. Also, the property has the opportunity to purchase a small adjoining area (0.06 acres), also HSG C, to increase the size of the receiving PA from 0.09 to 0.15 acres and to allow the property owner to avoid having to install a drainage structure to capture overflow runoff from the PA. The property owner has been informed that the existing PA soil can be tilled and augmented with soil amendments to support denser vegetative growth and improve hydrologic function to approximate HSG B.

Determine the:

- A) Percent phosphorus load reduction rates (BMP Reduction %-P) for the specified impervious area (IA) disconnection to both the 0.09 and 0.15 acre receiving PAs with and without soil augmentation; and
- B) Cumulative phosphorus reductions in pounds that would be accomplished by the IA disconnection for the various scenarios (BMP-Reduction _{lbs-P}).

Solution:

1. Determine the ratio of the contributing impervious area to the receiving pervious area:

Solution Continued:

2. Using Table 3-26 and Figure 3-40 for a IA:PA ratios of 8:1 and 5:1, respectively, determine the phosphorus load reduction rates for IA disconnections to HSG C and HSG B:

Table Example 3-6-A: Reduction Rates

| Percent Phosphorus load reduction rates for IA disconnection | | | | |
|--|-------|-----|--|--|
| Receiving PA | IA:PA | | | |
| Receiving FA | 8:1 | 5:1 | | |
| HSG C | 7% | 14% | | |
| HSG B (soil augmentation) | 14% | 22% | | |

3. The cumulative phosphorus load reduction in pounds of phosphorus for the IA disconnection with storage (BMP-Reduction _{lbs-P}) is calculated using Equation 3-2. The BMP Load was calculated in example 3-5 and is 1.34 lbs/yr.

BMP Reduction $_{lbs-P}$ = BMP Load x (BMP Reduction $_{\%-P}/100$) For PA of 0.09 acres HSG C the BMP Reduction $_{lbs-P}$ is calculated as follows: BMP Reduction $_{lbs-P(0.09ac-HSG\ C)}$ = 1.34 lbs/yr x (7/100)

= 0.09 lbs/yr

Table Example 3-6-B presents the BMP Reduction _{lbs-P} for each of the scenarios:

Table Example 3-6-B: Reduction

| Pounds Phosphorus load red disconnection, lbs | | for IA | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------|--|--|
| Receiving PA | Area of Receiving PA, acres | | | |
| | 0.09 | 0.15 | | |
| HSG C | 0.09 | 0.19 | | |
| HSG B (soil augmentation) | 0.19 | 0.29 | | |

Example 3-7: Determine the phosphorus load reduction for converting impervious area to permeable/pervious area.

A municipality is planning upcoming road reconstruction work in medium density residential (MDR) neighborhoods and has identified an opportunity to convert impervious surfaces to permeable/pervious surfaces by narrowing the road width of 3.7 miles (mi) of roadway from 32 feet (ft) to 28 ft and eliminating 3.2 miles of 4 ft wide paved sidewalk (currently there are sidewalks on both sides of the roadways targeted for restoration). The newly created permeable/pervious area will be tilled and treated with soil amendments to support vegetated growth in order to restore hydrologic function to at least HSG B. Determine the:

- A) Percent phosphorus load reduction rate (BMP Reduction %-P) for the conversion of impervious area (IA) to permeable/pervious area (PA); and
- B) Cumulative phosphorus reduction in pounds that would be accomplished by the project (BMP-Reduction _{lbs-P}).

Solution:

1. Determine the area of IA to be converted to PA:

New PA =
$$(((3.7 \text{ mi x 4 ft}) + (3.2 \text{ mi x 4 ft})) \times 5280 \text{ ft/mi})/43,560 \text{ ft}^2/\text{acre}$$

= 3.35 acres

- 2. Using Table 3-27, the phosphorus load reduction rate for converting IA to HSG B is 94.1%
- 3. The BMP Load is first determined using the method described above.

```
BMP Load = IA x phosphorus export loading rate for MDR IA (see Table 3-1) = 3.35 acres x 1.96 lbs/acre/yr = 6.57 lbs/yr
```

4. The cumulative phosphorus load reduction in pounds of phosphorus for the IA conversion (BMP-Reduction _{lbs-P}) is calculated using Equation 3-2.

```
BMP Reduction _{lbs-P} = BMP Load x (BMP Reduction _{hbs-P}/100)
BMP Reduction _{lbs-P} = 6.57 lbs/yr x (94.1/100)
= 6.18 lbs/yr
```

Table 3- 4: Infiltration Trench (IR = 0.17 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

| Infiltration Trench (IR = 0.17 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.5 2.0 (inches) | | | | | | | | | |
| Runoff Volume Reduction | 14.7% | 27.6% | 48.6% | 64.1% | 74.9% | 82.0% | 91.6% | 95.4% | |
| Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction | 18% | 33% | 57% | 73% | 83% | 90% | 97% | 99% | |

Figure 3-1: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 0.17 in/hr) **BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench** (Soil infiltration rate 0.17 in/hr) 100% 100% 90% 90% 80% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% A0% 20% Bunoff Volume Reduction 70% Pollutant Removal 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 10% 0% 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 8.0 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 Physical Storage Capacity: Depth of Runoff from Impervious Area (inches)

Table 3-5: Infiltration Trench (IR = 0.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

| | Infiltration Trench (IR = 0.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches) O.1 O.2 O.4 O.6 O.8 1.0 1.5 2.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| Runoff Volume Reduction | 17.8% | 32.5% | 55.0% | 70.0% | 79.3% | 85.2% | 93.3% | 96.3% | |
| Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction | 20% | 37% | 63% | 78% | 86% | 92% | 97% | 99% | |

Figure 3-2: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 0.27 in/hr) **BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench** (Soil infiltration rate 0.27 in/hr) 100% 100% 90% 90% 80% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% A0% 20% Wanoff Volume Reduction 70% Pollutant Removal 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 10% 0% - 0% 0.2 0.4 0.0 0.6 8.0 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 Physical Storage Capacity: Depth of Runoff from Impervious Area (inches) → Total Phosphorus → Volume

Table 3- 6: Infiltration Trench (IR = 0.52 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

| Infiltration Trench (IR = 0.52 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches) 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.5 2.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| Runoff Volume Reduction | 22.0% | 38.5% | 61.8% | 75.7% | 83.7% | 88.8% | 95.0% | 97.2% | |
| Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction | 23% | 42% | 68% | 82% | 89% | 94% | 98% | 99% | |

Figure 3-3: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 0.52 in/hr) **BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench** (infiltration rate = 0.52 in/hr) 100% 100% 90% 90% 80% 80% 70% 50% 40% 50% 40% Annoff Volume Reduction 70% Pollutant Removal 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 10% 0% 0% 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 8.0 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 Physical Storage Capacity: Depth of Runoff from Impervious Area (inches) → Total Phosphorus → Volume

Table 3-7: Infiltration Trench (IR = 1.02 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

| | Infiltration Trench (IR = 1.02 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches) 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.5 2.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| Runoff Volume Reduction | 26.3% | 44.6% | 68.2% | 81.0% | 88.0% | 92.1% | 96.5% | 98.3% | |
| Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction | 27% | 47% | 73% | 86% | 92% | 96% | 99% | 100% | |

Figure 3- 4: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 1.02 in/hr) **BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench** (infiltration rate = 1.02 in/hr) 100% 100% 90% 90% 80% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% A0% 20% Wanoff Volume Reduction 70% Pollutant Removal 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 10% 0% - 0% 0.2 0.4 0.0 0.6 8.0 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 Physical Storage Capacity: Depth of Runoff from Impervious Area (inches) → Total Phosphorus → Volume

Table 3-8: Infiltration Trench (IR = 2.41 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

| Infiltration Trench (IR = 2.41 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches) 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.5 2.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| Runoff Volume Reduction | 34.0% | 54.7% | 78.3% | 88.4% | 93.4% | 96.0% | 98.8% | 99.8% | |
| Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction | 33% | 55% | 81% | 91% | 96% | 98% | 100% | 100% | |

Figure 3-5: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench (infiltration rate = 2.41 in/hr) **BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Trench** (infiltration rate = 2.41 in/hr) 100% 100% 90% 90% 80% 80% 70% 50% 40% 30% 20% **Brunoff Volume Reduction** 70% Pollutant Removal 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 10% 0% 0% 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 8.0 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 Physical Storage Capacity: Depth of Runoff from Impervious Area (inches) → Total Phosphorus → Volume

Table 3-9: Infiltration Trench (8.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

| , | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| Infiltration Trench (8.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches) 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.5 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Runoff Volume Reduction | 53.6% | 76.1% | 92.6% | 97.2% | 98.9% | 99.5% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | |
| Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction | 50% | 75% | 94% | 98% | 99% | 100% | 100% | 100% | | | |




Table 3-10: Infiltration Basin (0.17 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

| Infiltration Basin (0.17 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
| BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches) 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.5 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Runoff Volume Reduction | 13.0% | 24.6% | 44.2% | 59.5% | 70.6% | 78.1% | 89.2% | 93.9% | | |
| Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction | 35% | 52% | 72% | 82% | 88% | 92% | 97% | 99% | | |

Figure 3-7: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (infiltration rate = 0.17 in/hr) **BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin** (infiltration rate = 0.17 in/hr) 100% 100% 90% 90% 80% 80% 70% 50% 40% 30% 40% Annoff Volume Reduction 70% Pollutant Removal 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 10% 0% **+** 0% 0.2 0.4 0.6 8.0 1.2 0.0 1.0 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 **Physical Storage Capacity: Depth of Runoff from Impervious** Area (inches) → Total Phosphorus → Volume

Table 3-11: Infiltration Basin (0.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

| Infiltration Basin (0.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
| BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches) 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.5 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Runoff Volume Reduction | 16.3% | 29.8% | 51.0% | 66.0% | 76.0% | 82.4% | 91.5% | 95.2% | | |
| Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction | 37% | 54% | 74 % | 85% | 90% | 93% | 98% | 99% | | |

Figure 3-8: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (infiltration rate = 0.27 in/hr) **BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin** (infiltration rate = 0.27 in/hr) 100% 100% 90% 90% 80% 80% 70% 70% Pollutant Removal 60% 60% 50% 50% 40% 40% 30% 30% 20% 20% 10% 10% 0% - 0% 0.2 0.0 0.4 0.6 8.0 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 Physical Storage Capacity: Depth of Runoff from Impervious Area (inches) Total Phosphorus → Volume

Table 3-12: Infiltration Basin (0.52 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

| Infiltration Basin (0.52 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
| BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches) 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.5 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Runoff Volume Reduction | 20.2% | 35.6% | 58.0% | 72.6% | 81.3% | 86.9% | 94.2% | 96.7% | | |
| Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction | 38% | 56% | 77% | 87% | 92% | 95% | 98% | 99% | | |

Figure 3- 9: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (infiltration rate = 0.52 in/hr) **BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin** (infiltration rate = 0.52 in/hr) 100% 100% 90% 90% 80% 80% 70% 50% 40% 30% 20% **Brunoff Volume Reduction** 70% Pollutant Removal 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 10% 0% 0% 0.2 0.4 1.2 0.0 0.6 8.0 1.0 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 Physical Storage Capacity: Depth of Runoff from Impervious Area (inches) Total Phosphorus → Volume

Table 3-13: Infiltration Basin (1.02 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

| Infiltration Basin (1.02 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
| BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches) 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.5 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Runoff Volume Reduction | 24.5% | 42.0% | 65.6% | 79.4% | 86.8% | 91.3% | 96.2% | 98.1% | | |
| Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction | 41% | 60% | 81% | 90% | 94% | 97% | 99% | 100% | | |

Figure 3-10: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (Soil infiltration rate = 1.02 in/hr) **BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin** (Soil infiltration rate = 1.02 in/hr) 100% 100% 90% 90% 80% 80% Runoff Volume Reduction 70% 70% Pollutant Removal 60% 60% 50% 50% 40% 40% 30% 30% 20% 20% 10% 10% 0% → 0% 0.2 0.4 1.0 1.2 1.8 0.0 0.6 8.0 1.4 1.6 2.0 Physical Storage Capacity: Depth of Runoff from Impervious Area (inches) -Total Phosphorus — - Volume

Table 3-14: Infiltration Basin (2.41 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

| Infiltration Basin (2.41 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
| BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches) 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.5 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Runoff Volume Reduction | 32.8% | 53.8% | 77.8% | 88.4% | 93.4% | 96.0% | 98.8% | 99.8% | | |
| Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction | 46% | 67% | 87% | 94% | 97% | 98% | 100% | 100% | | |

Figure 3-11: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (infiltration rate = 2.41 in/hr) **BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin** (infiltration rate = 2.41 in/hr) 100% 100% 90% 90% 80% 80% Runoff Volume Reduction 70% 70% Pollutant Removal 60% 60% 50% 50% 40% 40% 30% 30% 20% 20% 10% 10% 0% ∔ 0% 0.2 1.0 1.2 0.0 0.4 0.6 8.0 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 Physical Storage Capacity: Depth of Runoff from Impervious Area (inches) -Total Phosphorus → -Volume

Table 3-15: Infiltration Basin (8.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table

| Infiltration Basin (8.27 in/hr) BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--|--|
| BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | | |
| Runoff Volume Reduction | 54.6% | 77.2% | 93.4% | 97.5% | 99.0% | 99.6% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | |
| Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction | 59% | 81% | 96% | 99% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | | |

Figure 3-12: BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin (infiltration rate = 8.27 in/hr) **BMP Performance Curve: Infiltration Basin** (infiltration rate = 8.27 in/hr) 100% 100% 90% 90% 80% 80% 70% 70% Pollutant Removal 60% 60% 50% 50% 40% 40% 30% 30% 20% 20% 10% 10% 0% - − 0% 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 8.0 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 Physical Storage Capacity: Depth of Runoff from Impervious Area (inches)

Table 3-16: Biofiltration BMP Performance Table

| Biofiltration BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
| BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | | |
| Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction | 19% | 34% | 53% | 64% | 71% | 76% | 84% | 89% | | |

Figure 3- 13: BMP Performance Curve: Biofiltration **BMP Performance Curve: Biofiltration** 100% **Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction** 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 0% 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 8.0 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 Physical Storage Capacity, Depth of Runoff from Impervious Area (inches) → Total Phosphorus

Table 3-17: Gravel Wetland BMP Performance Table

| Gravel Wetland BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
| BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | | |
| Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction | 19% | 26% | 41% | 51% | 57% | 61% | 65% | 66% | | |

Figure 3-14: BMP Performance Curve: Gravel Wetland **BMP Performance Curve: Gravel Wetland** 100% **Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction** 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 0% 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 8.0 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 Physical Storage Capacity, Depth of Runoff from Impervious Area (inches) → Total Phosphorus

Table 3-18: Porous Pavement BMP Performance Table

| Porous Pavement BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|--|--|--|
| BMP Capacity: Depth of Filter Course Area (inches) | 12.0 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 32.0 | | | |
| Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction 62% 70% 75% 78% | | | | | | | |

Figure 3- 15: BMP Performance Curve: Porous Pavement




Table 3-19: Wet Pond BMP Performance Table

| Wet Pond BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
| BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | | |
| Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction | 14% | 25% | 37% | 44% | 48% | 53% | 58% | 63% | | |

Table 3- 20: Dry Pond BMP Performance Table

| Dry Pond BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
| BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | | |
| Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction | 3% | 6% | 8% | 9% | 11% | 12% | 13% | 14% | | |

Figure 3-16: BMP Performance Curve: Dry Pond




Table 3-21: Grass Swale BMP Performance Table

| Grass Swale BMP Performance Table: Long-Term Phosphorus Load Reduction | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|
| BMP Capacity: Depth of Runoff Treated from Impervious Area (inches) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | |
| Cumulative Phosphorus Load Reduction | 2% | 5% | 9% | 13% | 17% | 21% | 29% | 36% | |

Figure 3-17: BMP Performance Curve: Grass Swale




Table 3- 22: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 8:1

| Imper | npervious Area Disconnection through Storage : Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 8:1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Storage | | Total Runoff Volume (TP) Reduction Percentages | | | | | | | | | | |
| volume to | HSG A | | | HSG B | | | HSG C | | | HSG D | | |
| impervious area ratio | 1-day | 2-day | 3-day | 1-day | 2-day | 3-day | 1-day | 2-day | 3-day | 1-day | 2-day | 3-day |
| 0.1 in | 24% | 23% | 22% | 24% | 23% | 22% | 24% | 23% | 22% | 22% | 22% | 21% |
| 0.2 in | 40% | 38% | 37% | 40% | 38% | 37% | 37% | 38% | 37% | 24% | 26% | 27% |
| 0.3 in | 52% | 50% | 49% | 52% | 50% | 49% | 40% | 46% | 49% | 24% | 26% | 27% |
| 0.4 in | 61% | 59% | 58% | 59% | 59% | 58% | 40% | 48% | 54% | 24% | 26% | 27% |
| 0.5 in | 67% | 66% | 64% | 62% | 66% | 64% | 40% | 48% | 56% | 24% | 26% | 27% |
| 0.6 in | 70% | 71% | 70% | 62% | 70% | 70% | 40% | 48% | 56% | 24% | 26% | 27% |
| 0.8 in | 71% | 78% | 77% | 62% | 73% | 77% | 40% | 48% | 56% | 24% | 26% | 27% |
| 1.0 in | 71% | 80% | 80% | 62% | 73% | 79% | 40% | 48% | 56% | 24% | 26% | 27% |
| 1.5 in | 71% | 81% | 87% | 62% | 73% | 81% | 40% | 48% | 56% | 24% | 26% | 27% |
| 2.0 in | 71% | 81% | 88% | 62% | 73% | 81% | 40% | 48% | 56% | 24% | 26% | 27% |

Figure 3- 18: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious
Area Ratio = 8:1 for HSG A Soils




Figure 3- 19: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious
Area Ratio = 8:1 for HSG B Soils




Figure 3- 20: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 8:1 for HSG C Soils




Figure 3- 21: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious
Area Ratio = 8:1 for HSG D Soils




Table 3- 23: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 6:1

| Imp | ervious A | Area Dis | connect | ion thro | ugh Stor | age: Imp | ervious . | Area to l | Pervious | Area R | atio = 6: | 1 | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|--|---------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|-------|--|
| Rain barrel volume to | | Total Runoff Volume and Phosphorus Load (TP) Reduction Percentages | | | | | | | | | | | |
| impervious | mpervious HSG A | | | | HSG B | | HSG C | | | HSG D | | | |
| area ratio | 1-day | 2-day | 3-day | 1-day | 2-day | 3-day | 1-day | 2-day | 3-day | 1-day | 2-day | 3-day | |
| 0.1 in | 24% | 23% | 22% | 24% | 23% | 22% | 24% | 23% | 22% | 23% | 23% | 22% | |
| 0.2 in | 40% | 38% | 37% | 40% | 38% | 37% | 40% | 38% | 37% | 28% | 30% | 33% | |
| 0.3 in | 52% | 50% | 49% | 52% | 50% | 49% | 47% | 50% | 49% | 29% | 31% | 34% | |
| 0.4 in | 61% | 59% | 58% | 61% | 59% | 58% | 48% | 55% | 58% | 29% | 31% | 34% | |
| 0.5 in | 67% | 66% | 64% | 67% | 66% | 64% | 48% | 57% | 63% | 29% | 31% | 34% | |
| 0.6 in | 73% | 71% | 70% | 70% | 71% | 70% | 48% | 57% | 65% | 29% | 31% | 34% | |
| 0.8 in | 78% | 78% | 77% | 71% | 78% | 77% | 48% | 57% | 66% | 29% | 31% | 34% | |
| 1.0 in | 79% | 81% | 80% | 71% | 79% | 80% | 48% | 57% | 66% | 29% | 31% | 34% | |
| 1.5 in | 79% | 87% | 88% | 71% | 80% | 87% | 48% | 57% | 66% | 29% | 31% | 34% | |
| 2.0 in | 79% | 87% | 91% | 71% | 80% | 87% | 48% | 57% | 66% | 29% | 31% | 34% | |

Figure 3- 22: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 6:1 for HSG A Soils




Figure 3- 23: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 6:1 for HSG B Soils




Figure 3- 24: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious
Area Ratio = 6:1 for HSG C Soils




Figure 3- 25: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious
Area Ratio = 6:1 for HSG D Soils




Table 3- 24: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 4:1

| 114110 | Natio - 4.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Imp | Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 4:1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Storage | | Total Runoff Volume and Phosphorus Load (TP) Reduction Percentages | | | | | | | | | | | |
| volume to impervious | HSG- A | | | HSG B | | | HSG C | | HSG D | | | | |
| area ratio | 1-day | 2-day | 3-day | 1-day | 2-day | 3-day | 1-day | 2-day | 3-day | 1-day | 2-day | 3-day | |
| 0.1 in | 24% | 23% | 22% | 24% | 23% | 22% | 24% | 23% | 22% | 24% | 23% | 22% | |
| 0.2 in | 40% | 38% | 37% | 40% | 38% | 37% | 40% | 38% | 37% | 37% | 37% | 37% | |
| 0.3 in | 52% | 50% | 49% | 52% | 50% | 49% | 52% | 50% | 49% | 39% | 42% | 45% | |
| 0.4 in | 61% | 59% | 58% | 61% | 59% | 58% | 58% | 59% | 58% | 39% | 42% | 47% | |
| 0.5 in | 67% | 66% | 64% | 67% | 66% | 64% | 60% | 65% | 64% | 40% | 42% | 47% | |
| 0.6 in | 73% | 71% | 70% | 73% | 71% | 70% | 61% | 68% | 70% | 40% | 42% | 47% | |
| 0.8 in | 79% | 78% | 77% | 79% | 78% | 77% | 61% | 69% | 75% | 40% | 42% | 47% | |
| 1.0 in | 82% | 81% | 80% | 80% | 81% | 80% | 61% | 69% | 76% | 40% | 42% | 47% | |
| 1.5 in | 87% | 89% | 88% | 80% | 87% | 88% | 61% | 69% | 76% | 40% | 42% | 47% | |
| 2.0 in | 87% | 91% | 91% | 80% | 88% | 91% | 61% | 69% | 76% | 40% | 42% | 47% | |

Figure 3- 26: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious
Area Ratio = 4:1 for HSG A Soils




Figure 3- 27: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 4:1 for HSG B Soils




Figure 3- 28: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious
Area Ratio = 4:1 for HSG C Soils




Figure 3- 29: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious
Area Ratio = 4:1 for HSG D Soils




Table 3- 25: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 2:1

| 11411 | Natio = 2.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Impe | Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 2:1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Storage | | Total Runoff Volume and Phosphorus Load (TP) Reduction Percentages | | | | | | | | | | | |
| volume to impervious | volume to HSG A | | | HSG B | | | HSG C | | | HSG D | | | |
| area ratio | 1-day | 2-day | 3-day | 1-day | 2-day | 3-day | 1-day | 2-day | 3-day | 1-day | 2-day | 3-day | |
| 0.1 in | 24% | 23% | 22% | 24% | 23% | 22% | 24% | 23% | 22% | 24% | 23% | 22% | |
| 0.2 in | 40% | 38% | 37% | 40% | 38% | 37% | 40% | 38% | 37% | 40% | 38% | 37% | |
| 0.3 in | 52% | 50% | 49% | 52% | 50% | 49% | 52% | 50% | 49% | 51% | 50% | 49% | |
| 0.4 in | 61% | 59% | 58% | 61% | 59% | 58% | 61% | 59% | 58% | 57% | 58% | 57% | |
| 0.5 in | 67% | 66% | 64% | 67% | 66% | 64% | 67% | 66% | 64% | 59% | 62% | 63% | |
| 0.6 in | 73% | 71% | 70% | 73% | 71% | 70% | 72% | 71% | 70% | 59% | 62% | 67% | |
| 0.8 in | 79% | 78% | 77% | 79% | 78% | 77% | 77% | 78% | 77% | 59% | 62% | 67% | |
| 1.0 in | 82% | 81% | 80% | 82% | 81% | 80% | 78% | 81% | 80% | 59% | 62% | 67% | |
| 1.5 in | 89% | 89% | 88% | 89% | 89% | 88% | 78% | 84% | 88% | 59% | 62% | 67% | |
| 2.0 in | 92% | 92% | 91% | 91% | 92% | 91% | 78% | 84% | 89% | 59% | 62% | 67% | |

Figure 3- 30: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio= 2:1 for HSG A Soils




Figure 3- 31: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio= 2:1 for HSG B Soils




Figure 3- 32: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio= 2:1 for HSG C Soils




Figure 3- 33: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio= 2:1 for HSG D Soils




Table 3- 26: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious Area Ratio = 1:1

| Impe | rvious A | rea Disc | onnectio | n throu | gh Stora | ge: Impe | rvious A | rea to Pe | ervious <i>A</i> | Area Rat | io = 1:1 | |
|-----------------------|--|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|------------------|----------|----------|-------|
| Storage | Total Runoff Volume and Phosphorus Load (TP) Reduction Percentages | | | | | | | iges | | | | |
| volume to | | HSG A | | | HSG B | | | HSG C | | | HSG D | |
| impervious area ratio | 1-day | 2-day | 3-day | 1-day | 2-day | 3-day | 1-day | 2-day | 3-day | 1-day | 2-day | 3-day |
| 0.1 in | 24% | 23% | 22% | 24% | 23% | 22% | 24% | 23% | 22% | 24% | 23% | 22% |
| 0.2 in | 40% | 38% | 37% | 40% | 38% | 37% | 40% | 38% | 37% | 40% | 38% | 37% |
| 0.3 in | 52% | 50% | 49% | 52% | 50% | 49% | 52% | 50% | 49% | 52% | 50% | 49% |
| 0.4 in | 61% | 59% | 58% | 61% | 59% | 58% | 61% | 59% | 58% | 61% | 59% | 58% |
| 0.5 in | 67% | 66% | 64% | 67% | 66% | 64% | 67% | 66% | 64% | 67% | 66% | 64% |
| 0.6 in | 73% | 71% | 70% | 73% | 71% | 70% | 73% | 71% | 70% | 72% | 71% | 70% |
| 0.8 in | 79% | 78% | 77% | 79% | 78% | 77% | 79% | 78% | 77% | 78% | 78% | 77% |
| 1.0 in | 82% | 81% | 80% | 82% | 81% | 80% | 82% | 81% | 80% | 79% | 80% | 80% |
| 1.5 in | 89% | 89% | 88% | 89% | 89% | 88% | 89% | 89% | 88% | 80% | 82% | 86% |
| 2.0 in | 92% | 92% | 91% | 92% | 92% | 91% | 91% | 92% | 91% | 80% | 82% | 86% |

Figure 3- 34: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious
Area Ratio = 1:1 for HSG A Soils




Figure 3- 35: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious
Area Ratio = 1:1 for HSG B Soils




Figure 3- 36: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious
Area Ratio = 1:1 for HSG C Soils




Figure 3- 37: Impervious Area Disconnection through Storage: Impervious Area to Pervious
Area Ratio = 1:1 for HSG D Soils




Table 3-27: Impervious Area Disconnection Performance Table

| Impervious area | Soi | type of Rece | eiving Perviou | us Area |
|------------------------|-------|--------------|----------------|---------|
| to pervious area ratio | HSG A | HSG B | HSG C | HSG D |
| 8:1 | 30% | 14% | 7% | 3% |
| 6:1 | 37% | 18% | 11% | 5% |
| 4:1 | 48% | 27% | 17% | 9% |
| 2:1 | 64% | 45% | 33% | 21% |
| 1:1 | 74% | 59% | 49% | 36% |
| 1:2 | 82% | 67% | 60% | 49% |
| 1:4 | 85% | 72% | 67% | 57% |




Figure 3-38: Impervious Area Disconnection Performance Curves

Table 3- 28: Performance Table for Conversion of Impervious Areas to Pervious Area based on Hydrological Soil Groups

| ilyurologicar son Group. | | tive Reduction | in Annual Storn | nwater Phospho | orus Load |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Land-Use Group | Conversion of impervious area to pervious area-HSG A | Conversion of impervious area to pervious area-HSG B | Conversion of impervious area to pervious area-HSG C | Conversion of impervious area to pervious area-HSG C/D | Conversion of impervious area to pervious area-HSG D |
| Commercial (Com) and Industrial (Ind) | 98.5% | 93.5% | 88.0% | 83.5% | 79.5% |
| Multi-Family (MFR) and High-Density Residential (HDR) | 98.8% | 95.0% | 90.8% | 87.3% | 84.2% |
| Medium -Density Residential (MDR) | 98.6% | 94.1% | 89.1% | 85.0% | 81.4% |
| Low Density Residential (LDR) - "Rural" | 98.2% | 92.4% | 85.9% | 80.6% | 75.9% |
| Highway (HWY) | 98.0% | 91.3% | 84.0% | 78.0% | 72.7% |
| Forest (For) | 98.2% | 92.4% | 85.9% | 80.6% | 75.9% |
| Open Land (Open) | 98.2% | 92.4% | 85.9% | 80.6% | 75.9% |
| Agriculture (Ag) | 70.6% | 70.6% | 70.6% | 70.6% | 70.6% |

Table 3- 29: Performance Table for Conversion of Low Permeable Pervious Area to High Permeable Pervious Area based on Hydrological Soil Group

| | Cumulati | ive Reduction in An | nual SW Phosphor | us Load from Per | vious Area |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Land Cover | Conversion of pervious area HSG D to pervious area-HSG A | Conversion of pervious area HSG D to pervious area-HSG B | Conversion of pervious area HSG D to pervious area-HSG C | Conversion of pervious area HSG C to pervious area-HSG A | Conversion of pervious area HSG C to pervious area-HSG B |
| Developed Pervious Land | 92.7% | 68.3% | 41.5% | 83.5% | 79.5% |

Table 3-30 Method for determining stormwater control design volume (DSV) (i.e., capacity) using Long-term cumulative performance curves

| Stormwater Control Type | Description | Applicable Structural Stormwater Control Performance Curve | Equation for calculating Design Storage Capacity for Estimating Cumulative Reductions using Performances Curves | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Infiltration Trench | Provides temporary storage of runoff using the void spaces within the soil/sand/gravel mixture that is used to backfill the trench for subsequent infiltration into the surrounding sub-soils. | Infiltration Trench (6 infiltration rates: 0.17, 0.27, 0.52, 1.02, 2.41 and 8.27 inches per hour) | DSV = void space volumes of gravel and sand layers DSV = $(L \times W \times D_{stone} \times n_{stone}) + (L \times W \times D_{sand} \times n_{sand})$ | |
| Subsurface Infiltration | Provides temporary storage of runoff using the combination of storage structures (e.g., galleys, chambers, pipes, etc.) and void spaces within the soil/sand/gravel mixture that is used to backfill the system for subsequent infiltration into the surrounding sub-soils. | Infiltration Trench (6 infiltration rates: 0.17, 0.27, 0.52, 1.02, 2.41 and 8.27 inches per hour) | DSV = Water storage volume of storage units and void space volumes of backfill materials. Example for subsurface galleys backfilled with washed stone: DSV = (L x W x D) _{galley} + (L x W x D _{stone} x n _{stone}) | |
| Surface Infiltration | Provides temporary storage of runoff through surface ponding storage structures (e.g., basin or swale) for subsequent infiltration into the underlying soils. | Infiltration Basin (6 infiltration rates: 0.17, 0.27, 0.52, 1.02, 2.41 and 8.27 inches per hour) | DSV = Water volume of storage structure before bypass. Example for linear trapezoidal vegetated swale DSV = (L x ((Wbottom+Wtop@Dmax)/2) x D) | |
| Rain Garden/Bio- retention (no underdrains) | Provides temporary storage of runoff through surface ponding and possibly void spaces within the soil/sand/gravel mixture that is used to filter runoff prior to infiltration into underlying soils. | Infiltration Basin (6 infiltration rates: 0.17, 0.27, 0.52, 1.02, 2.41 and 8.27 inches per hour) | DSV = Ponding water storage volume and void space volumes of soil filter media. Example for raingarden: $DSV = (A_{pond} \times D_{pond}) + (A_{soil} \times D_{soil} \times n_{soil mix})$ | |
| Tree Filter (no underdrain) | Provides temporary storage of runoff through surface ponding and void spaces within the soil/sand/gravel mixture that is used to filter runoff prior to infiltration into underlying soils. | Infiltration Trench (6 infiltration rates: 0.17, 0.27, 0.52, 1.02, 2.41 and 8.27 inches per hour) | DSV = Ponding water storage volume and void space volumes of soil filter media. DSV = (L x W x D _{ponding}) + (L x W x D _{soil} x n _{soil mix}) | |
| Bio-Filtration (w/underdrain) | Provides temporary storage of runoff for filtering through an engineered soil media. The storage capacity includes void spaces in the filter media and temporary ponding at the surface. After runoff has passed through the filter media it is collected by an underdrain pipe for discharge. Manufactured or packaged bio-filter systems such as tree box filters may be suitable for using the bio-filtration performance results. | Bio-filtration | DSV = Ponding water storage volume and void space volume of soil filter media. Example of a linear biofilter: DSV = (L x W x D _{ponding})+ (L x W x D _{soil} x n _{soil}) | |
| Gravel Wetland | Based on design by the UNH Stormwater Center (UNHSC). Provides temporary surface ponding storage of runoff in a vegetated wetland cell that is eventually routed to an underlying saturated gravel internal storage reservoir (ISR) for nitrogen treatment. Outflow is controlled by an elevated orifice that has its invert elevation equal to the top of the ISR layer and provides a retention time of at least 24 hours. | Gravel Wetland | $\begin{split} DSV &= pretreatment \ volume \ + ponding \ volume \ + void \\ space \ volume \ of \ gravel \ ISR. \\ DSV &= (A \ pretreatment \ x \ D_{preTreatment}) + (A \ wetland \ x \ D_{ponding}) + \\ (A_{ISR} \ x \ D_{gravel} \ x \ n_{gravel}) \end{split}$ | |
| Porous Pavement with subsurface infiltration | Provides filtering of runoff through a filter course and temporary storage of runoff within the void spaces of a subsurface gravel reservoir prior to infiltration into subsoils. | Infiltration Trench (6 infiltration rates: 0.17, 0.27, 0.52, 1.02, 2.41 and 8.27 inches per hour) | DSV = void space volumes of gravel layer DSV = $(L \times W \times D_{\text{stone}} \times n_{\text{stone}})$ | |
| Porous pavement w/ impermeable underliner w/underdrain | Provides filtering of runoff through a filter course and temporary storage of runoff within the void spaces prior to discharge by way of an underdrain. | Porous Pavement | Depth of Filter Course = D FC | |
| Wet Pond | Provides treatment of runoff through routing through permanent pool. | Wet Pond | DSV= Permanent pool volume prior to high flow bypass DSV=Apond x Dpond (does not include pretreatment volume) | |
| Extended Dry Detention Basin | Provides temporary detention storage for the design storage volume to drain in 24 hours through multiple out let controls. | Dry Pond | DSV=Ponding volume prior to high flow bypass DSV=Apond x Dpond (does not include pretreatment volume) | |
| Dry Water Quality Swale/Grass Swale | Based on MA design standards. Provides temporary surface ponding storage of runoff in an open vegetated channel through permeable check dams. Treatment is provided by filtering of runoff by vegetation and check dams and infiltration into subsurface soils. | Grass swale | DSV = Volume of swale at full design depth DSV=Lswale x Aswale | |

Definitions: DSV= Design Storage Volume = physical storage capacity to hold water; **VSV** = Void Space Volume; **L** = length, **W** = width, **D** = depth at design capacity before bypass, **n** = porosity fill material, **A**= average surface area for calculating volume; **Infiltration rate** = saturated soil hydraulic conductivity

Appendix G Massachusetts Small MS4 Permit Monitoring Requirements For Discharges into Impaired Waters – Parameters and Methods

| Pollutant Causing Impairment | Monitoring Parameter | EPA or Approved Method No. |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| Aluminum | Aluminum, Total | 200.7; 200.8; 200.9 |
| Ammonia (Un-ionized) | Ammonia – Nitrogen | 350.1 |
| Arsenic | Arsenic, Total | 200.7; 200.8; 200.9 |
| Cadmium | Cadmium, Total | 200.7; 200.8; 200.9 |
| Chlordane | NMR | 608; 625 |
| Chloride | Chloride | 300 |
| Chromium (total) | Chromium, Total | 200.7; 200.8; 200.9 |
| Copper | Copper, Total | 200.7; 200.8; 200.9 |
| DDT | NMR | 608; 625 |
| DEHP (Di-sec-octyl phthalate) | NMR | |
| Dioxin (including 2,3,7,8-TCDD) | NMR | 613; 1613 |
| Dioxin (2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin only) | NMR | 613 |
| Lead | Lead, Total | 200.7; 200.8; 200.9 |
| Mercury in Water Column | NMR unless potentially present such (e.g., salvage yards crushing vehicles with Hg switches) | 200.7; 200.8; 200.9 |
| Nitrogen (Total) | Nitrogen, Total | 351.1/351.2 + 353.2 |
| Pentachlorophenol (PCP) | NMR | |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons | Oil and Grease | 1664 |
| Phosphorus (Total) | Phosphorus, Total | 365.1; 365.2; 365.3; SM 4500-P-E |
| Polychlorinated biphenyls | NMR | |
| Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) (Aquatic Ecosystems) | PAHs | 610; 1625 |
| Sulfide-Hydrogen Sulfide | NMR | |
| Mercury in Fish Tissue | NMR | |
| PCB in Fish Tissue | NMR | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | Total Dissolved Solids | 160.1 |
| Total Suspended Solids (TSS) | Total Suspended Solids | 160.2, 180.1 |
| Turbidity | Total Suspended Solids and Turbidity | 160.2, 180.1 |
| Secchi disk transparency | Total Suspended Solids | 160.2 |
| Sediment Screening Value (Exceedence) | Total Suspended Solids | 160.2 |

| Sedimentation/Siltation | Total Suspended Solids | 160.2 |
|---|--|---|
| Bottom Deposits | Total Suspended Solids | 160.2 |
| Color | NMR | |
| pH, High | pН | 150.2 |
| pH, Low | pН | 150.2 |
| Taste and Odor | NMR | |
| Temperature, water | NMR | |
| Salinity | Specific Conductance | 120.1 |
| Enterococcus | Enterococcus | 1106.1; 1600; Enterolert® 12 22. |
| Escherichia coli | E. coli | 1103.1; 1603; Colilert® 12 16, Colilert-18® 12 15 16.; mColiBlue- 24®17. |
| Fecal Coliform | Fecal Coliform | 1680; 1681 |
| Organic Enrichment (Sewage) Biological Indicators | Enterococcus (marine waters) or E. coli (freshwater) | 1106.1; 1600 |
| Debris/Floatables/Trash | NMR | or |
| Foam/Flocs/Scum/Oil Slicks | Contact MassDEP | 1103.1; 1603 |
| Oil and Grease | Oil and Grease | |
| Chlorophyll-a | Total Phosphorus (freshwater) | |
| Сшогориун-а | Total Nitrogen (marine waters) | 1664 |
| Nutrient/Eutrephiaction Dielegical Indicators | Total Phosphorus (freshwater) | 365.1; 365.2; 365.3 |
| Nutrient/Eutrophication Biological Indicators | Total Nitrogen (marine waters) | 351.1/351.2 + 353.2 |
| | Dissolved Oxygen | 365.1; 365.2; 365.3 |
| | Temperature | 351.1/351.2 + 353.2 |
| Dissolved oxygen saturation / Oxygen, Dissolved | BOD_5 | 360.1; 360.2 |
| Dissolved oxygen saturation / Oxygen, Dissolved | Total Phosphorus (freshwater) | SM-2550 |
| | Total Nitrogen (marine waters) | SM-5210 |
| Excess Algal Growth | Total Phosphorus (freshwater) | 365.1; 365.2; 365.3 |
| Lacess Aigai Giowili | Total Nitrogen (marine waters) | 351.1/351.2 + 353.2 |
| Aquatic Plants (Macrophytes) | NMR | |

| Abnormal Fish deformities, erosions, lesions, tumors (DELTS) | NMR | |
|--|-----------------|--|
| Abnormal Fish Histology (Lesions) | NMR | |
| Estuarine Bioassessments | Contact MassDEP | |
| Fishes Bioassessments | Contact MassDEP | |
| Aquatic Macroinvertebrate Bioassessments | Contact MassDEP | |
| Combined Biota/Habitat Bioassessments | Contact MassDEP | |
| Habitat Assessment (Streams) | Contact MassDEP | |
| Lack of a coldwater assemblage | Contact MassDEP | |
| Fish Kills | Contact MassDEP | |
| Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) | Contact MassDEP | |
| Ambient Bioassays Chronic Aquatic Toxicity | Contact MassDEP | |
| Sediment Bioassays Acute Toxicity Freshwater | Contact MassDEP | |
| Sediment Bioassays Chronic Toxicity Freshwater | Contact MassDEP | |
| Fish-Passage Barrier | NMR | |
| Alteration in stream-side or littoral vegetative covers | NMR | |
| Low flow alterations | NMR | |
| Other flow regime alterations | NMR | |
| Physical substrate habitat alterations | NMR | |
| Other anthropogenic substrate alterations | NMR | |
| Non-Native Aquatic Plants | NMR | |
| Eurasian Water Milfoil, Myriophyllum spicatum | NMR | |
| Zebra mussel, Dreissena polymorph | NMR | |
| Other | Contact MassDEP | |

Notes:

NMR" indicates no monitoring required

"Total Phosphorus (freshwater)" indicates monitoring required for total phosphorus where stormwater discharges to a water body that is freshwater

"Total Nitrogen (marine water)" indicates monitoring required for total nitrogen where stormwater discharges to a water body that is a marine or estuarine water

APPENDIX H

Requirements Related to Discharges to Certain Water Quality Limited Waterbodies

Table of Contents

| I. | Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies and their tributaries where nitrogen is the cause of the impairment |
|------|---|
| II. | Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies and their tributaries where phosphorus is the cause of the impairment |
| III. | Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies where bacteria or pathogens is the cause of the impairment |
| IV. | Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies where chloride is the cause of the impairment10 |
| V. | Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies and their tributaries where solids, oil and grease (hydrocarbons), or metals is the cause of the impairment |

Attachment 1- Nitrogen Reduction Credits For Selected Structural BMPs

I. <u>Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies and their tributaries where nitrogen is the cause of the impairment</u>

1. Part 2.2.2.a.i. of the permit identifies the permittees subject to additional requirements to address nitrogen in their stormwater discharges because they discharge to waterbodies that are water quality limited due to nitrogen, or their tributaries, without an EPA approved TMDL. Permittees identified in part 2.2.2.a.i of the permit must identify and implement BMPs designed to reduce nitrogen discharges in the impaired catchment(s). To address nitrogen discharges each permittee shall comply with the following requirements:

a. Additional or Enhanced BMPs

- i. The permittee remains subject to all the requirements of part 2.3. of the permit and shall include the following enhancements to the BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit:
 - 1. Part 2.3.2, Public education and outreach: The permittee shall supplement its Residential and Business/Commercial/Institution program with annual timed messages on specific topics. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the spring (April/May) timeframe that encourages the proper use and disposal of grass clippings and encourages the proper use of slow-release fertilizers. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the summer (June/July) timeframe encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the Fall (August/September/October) timeframe encouraging the proper disposal of leaf litter. The permittee shall deliver an annual

message on each of these topics, unless the permittee determines that one or more of these issues is not a significant contributor of nitrogen to discharges from the MS4 and the permittee retains documentation of this finding in the SWMP. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H part II and III as well as Appendix F part A.III, A.IV, A.V, B.I, B.II and B.III where appropriate.

- 2. Part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment: the requirement for adoption/amendment of the permittee's ordinance or other regulatory mechanism shall include a requirement that new development and redevelopment stormwater management BMPs be optimized for nitrogen removal; retrofit inventory and priority ranking under 2.3.6.1.b shall include consideration of BMPs to reduce nitrogen discharges.
- 3. Part 2.3.7, Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations: establish requirements for use of slow release fertilizers on permittee owned property currently using fertilizer, in addition to reducing and managing fertilizer use as provided in 2.3.7.1; establish procedures to properly manage grass cuttings and leaf litter on permittee property, including prohibiting blowing organic waste materials onto adjacent impervious surfaces; increase street sweeping frequency of all municipal owned streets and parking lots subject to Permit part 2.3.7.a.iii.(c) to a minimum of two times per year, once in the spring (following winter activities such as sanding) and at least once in the fall (Sept 1 Dec 1; following leaf fall).

b. Nitrogen Source Identification Report

- i. Within four years of the permit effective date the permittee shall complete a Nitrogen Source Identification Report. The report shall include the following elements:
 - 1. Calculation of total MS4 area draining to the water quality limited water segments or their tributaries, incorporating updated mapping of the MS4 and catchment delineations produced pursuant to part 2.3.4.6,
 - 2. All screening and monitoring results pursuant to part 2.3.4.7.d., targeting the receiving water segment(s)
 - 3. Impervious area and DCIA for the target catchment
 - 4. Identification, delineation and prioritization of potential catchments with high nitrogen loading
 - 5. Identification of potential retrofit opportunities or opportunities for the installation of structural BMPs during redevelopment
- ii. The final Nitrogen Source Identification Report shall be submitted to EPA as part of the year 4 annual report.
- c. Potential Structural BMPs

i. Within five years of the permit effective date, the permittee shall evaluate all permittee-owned properties identified as presenting retrofit opportunities or areas for structural BMP installation under permit part 2.3.6.d.ii. or identified in the Nitrogen Source Identification Report that are within the drainage area of the impaired water or its tributaries. The evaluation shall include:

- 1. The next planned infrastructure, resurfacing or redevelopment activity planned for the property (if applicable) OR planned retrofit date:
- 2. The estimated cost of redevelopment or retrofit BMPs; and
- 3. The engineering and regulatory feasibility of redevelopment or retrofit BMPs.
- ii. The permittee shall provide a listing of planned structural BMPs and a plan and schedule for implementation in the year 5 annual report. The permittee shall plan and install a minimum of one structural BMP as a demonstration project within the drainage area of the water quality limited water or its tributaries within six years of the permit effective date. The demonstration project shall be installed targeting a catchment with high nitrogen load potential. The permittee shall install the remainder of the structural BMPs in accordance with the plan and schedule provided in the year 5 annual report.
- iii. Any structural BMPs listed in Table 3 of Attachment 1 to Appendix H already existing or installed in the regulated area by the permittee or its agents shall be tracked and the permittee shall estimate the nitrogen removal by the BMP consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix H. The permittee shall document the BMP type, total area treated by the BMP, the design storage volume of the BMP and the estimated nitrogen removed in mass per year by the BMP in each annual report.
- 2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix H part I.1. applicable to it when in compliance with this part.
 - a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when one of the following criteria are met:
 - The receiving water and all downstream segments are determined to no longer be impaired due to nitrogen by MassDEP and EPA concurs with such determination.
 - ii. An EPA approved TMDL for the receiving water or downstream receiving water indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of nitrogen are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations as part of the approved TMDL.
 - b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the determination provided for in paragraph a. above or the approved TMDL date in its SWMP and is relieved of any additional requirements of Appendix H part I.1. as of the applicable date and the permittee shall comply with the following:

i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities that have been implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix H part I.1. as of the applicable date to reduce nitrogen in its discharges, including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs

ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix H part I.1. required to be done prior to the date of determination or the date of the approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

II. <u>Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies and their tributaries where phosphorus is</u> the cause of the impairment

1. Part 2.2.2.b.i. of the permit identifies the permittees subject to additional requirements to address phosphorus in their stormwater discharges because they discharge to waterbodies that are water quality limited due to phosphorus, or their tributaries, without an EPA approved TMDL. Permittees identified in part 2.2.2.b.i. of the permit must identify and implement BMPs designed to reduce phosphorus discharges in the impaired catchment(s). To address phosphorus discharges each permittee shall comply with the following requirements:

a. Additional or Enhanced BMPs

- i. The permittee remains subject to the requirements of part 2.3. of the permit and shall include the following enhancements to the BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit:
 - 1. Part 2.3.2, Public education and outreach: The permittee shall supplement its Residential and Business/Commercial/Institution program with annual timed messages on specific topics. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the spring (March/April) timeframe that encourages the proper use and disposal of grass clippings and encourages the proper use of slow-release and phosphorous-free fertilizers. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the summer (June/July) timeframe encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the fall (August/September/October) timeframe encouraging the proper disposal of leaf litter. The permittee shall deliver an annual message on each of these topics, unless the permittee determines that one or more of these issues is not a significant contributor of phosphorous to discharges from the MS4 and the permittee retains documentation of this finding in the SWMP. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H part I and III as well as Appendix F part A.III, A.IV, A.V, B.I, B.II and B.III where appropriate.
 - 2. Part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment: the requirement for adoption/amendment of the permittee's ordinance or other regulatory mechanism shall include a requirement that new development and redevelopment stormwater management BMPs be optimized for phosphorus removal; retrofit inventory and priority ranking under 2.3.6.1.b shall include consideration of BMPs that infiltrate stormwater where feasible.
 - 3. Part 2.3.7, Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations: Establish procedures to properly manage grass cuttings and leaf litter on permittee property, including prohibiting blowing organic waste materials onto adjacent impervious surfaces; increased street sweeping frequency of all municipal owned streets and parking lots subject to Permit part 2.3.7.a.iii.(c) to a

minimum of two times per year, once in the spring (following winter activities such as sanding) and at least once in the fall (Sept 1 – Dec 1; following leaf fall).

b. Phosphorus Source Identification Report

- i. Within four years of the permit effective date the permittee shall complete a Phosphorus Source Identification Report. The report shall include the following elements:
 - 1. Calculation of total MS4 area draining to the water quality limited receiving water segments or their tributaries, incorporating updated mapping of the MS4 and catchment delineations produced pursuant to part 2.3.4.6,
 - 2. All screening and monitoring results pursuant to part 2.3.4.7.d., targeting the receiving water segment(s)
 - 3. Impervious area and DCIA for the target catchment
 - 4. Identification, delineation and prioritization of potential catchments with high phosphorus loading
 - 5. Identification of potential retrofit opportunities or opportunities for the installation of structural BMPs during redevelopment, including the removal of impervious area
- ii. The phosphorus source identification report shall be submitted to EPA as part of the year 4 annual report.

c. Potential Structural BMPs

- i. Within five years of the permit effective date, the permittee shall evaluate all permittee-owned properties identified as presenting retrofit opportunities or areas for structural BMP installation under permit part 2.3.6.d.ii or identified in the Phosphorus Source Identification Report that are within the drainage area of the water quality limited water or its tributaries. The evaluation shall include:
 - 1. The next planned infrastructure, resurfacing or redevelopment activity planned for the property (if applicable) OR planned retrofit date:
 - 2. The estimated cost of redevelopment or retrofit BMPs; and
 - 3. The engineering and regulatory feasibility of redevelopment or retrofit BMPs.
- ii. The permittee shall provide a listing of planned structural BMPs and a plan and schedule for implementation in the year 5 annual report. The permittee shall plan and install a minimum of one structural BMP as a demonstration project within the drainage area of the water quality limited water or its tributaries within six years of the permit effective date. The demonstration project shall be installed targeting a catchment with high phosphorus load potential. The permittee shall install the

- remainder of the structural BMPs in accordance with the plan and schedule provided in the year 5 annual report.
- iii. Any structural BMPs installed in the regulated area by the permittee or its agents shall be tracked and the permittee shall estimate the phosphorus removal by the BMP consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F. The permittee shall document the BMP type, total area treated by the BMP, the design storage volume of the BMP and the estimated phosphorus removed in mass per year by the BMP in each annual report.
- 2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix H part II.1. applicable to it when in compliance with this part.
 - a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when one of the following criteria are met:
 - i. The receiving water and all downstream segments are determined to no longer be impaired due to phosphorus by MassDEP and EPA concurs with such determination.
 - ii. An EPA approved TMDL for the receiving water or downstream receiving water indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of phosphorus are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations as part of the approved TMDL.
 - b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the determination provided for in paragraph a. above or the approved TMDL date in its SWMP and is relieved of any additional requirements of Appendix H part II.1. as of the applicable date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
 - i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities that have been implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix H part II.1. as of the applicable date to reduce phosphorus in its discharges, including implementation schedules for non structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
 - ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix H part II.1. required to be done prior to the date of determination or the date of the approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

III. <u>Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies where bacteria or pathogens is the cause of</u> the impairment

1. Consistent with part 2.2.2.c.i. of the permit, permittees that discharge to waterbodies that are water quality limited due to bacteria or pathogens, without an EPA approved TMDL, are subject to the following additional requirements to address bacteria or pathogens in their stormwater discharges.

2. Additional or Enhanced BMPs

- a. The permittee remains subject to the requirements of part 2.3. of the permit and shall include the following enhancements to the BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit:
 - i. Part 2.3.2. Public Education and outreach: The permittee shall supplement its Residential program with an annual message encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee or its agents shall disseminate educational materials to dog owners at the time of issuance or renewal of a dog license, or other appropriate time. Education materials shall describe the detrimental impacts of improper management of pet waste, requirements for waste collection and disposal, and penalties for non-compliance. The permittee shall also provide information to owners of septic systems about proper maintenance in any catchment that discharges to a water body impaired for bacteria or pathogens. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H part I and II as well as Appendix F part A.III, A.IV, A.V, B.I, B.II and B.III where appropriate.
 - ii. Part 2.3.4 Illicit Discharge: The permittee shall implement the illicit discharge program required by this permit. Catchments draining to any waterbody impaired for bacteria or pathogens shall be designated either Problem Catchments or HIGH priority in implementation of the IDDE program.
- 3. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix H part III.2. applicable to it when in compliance with this part.
 - a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when one of the following criteria are met:
 - i. The receiving water is determined to be no longer impaired due to bacteria or pathogens by MassDEP and EPA concurs with such a determination.
 - ii. An EPA approved TMDL for the receiving water indicates that no additional stormwater controls are necessary for the control of bacteria or pathogens from the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations as part of the approved TMDL.
 - iii. The permittee's discharge is determined to be below applicable water quality criteria¹ and EPA agrees with such a determination. The permittee shall submit data to EPA that accurately characterizes the concentration of bacteria or pathogens in their discharge. The characterization shall include water quality

¹ Applicable water quality criteria are the state standards that have been federally approved as of the effective date of this permit and are compiled by EPA at http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/standards/wqslibrary/

Page 8 of 14

- and flow data sufficient to accurately assess the concentration of bacteria or pathogens in all seasons during storm events of multiple sizes and for the duration of the storm events including the first flush, peak storm flow and return to baseflow.
- b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the determination, date of approved TMDL or date of EPA concurrence that the discharge meets water quality criteria in its SWMP and is relieved of any additional requirements of Appendix H part III.2. as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
 - The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix H part III.2. to date to reduce bacteria or pathogens in its discharges, including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
 - ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix H part III.3. required to be done prior to the date of determination date, date of approved TMDL, or date of EPA concurrence that the discharge meets water quality criteria, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications

IV. Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies where chloride is the cause of the impairment

1. Consistent with part 2.2.2.c.i. of the permit, permittees that discharge to waterbodies that are water quality limited due to chloride, without an EPA approved TMDL, are subject to the following additional requirements to address chloride in their stormwater discharges.

- 2. Permittees discharging to a waterbody listed as impaired due to chloride in categories 5 or 4b on the Massachusetts Integrated Report of waters listed pursuant to Clean Water Act sections 303(d) and 305(b) shall develop a Salt Reduction Plan that includes specific actions designed to achieve salt reduction on municipal roads and facilities, and on private facilities that discharge to its MS4 in the impaired catchment(s). The Salt Reduction Plan shall be completed within three years of the effective date of the permit and include the BMPs in part IV.4. below. The Salt Reduction Plan shall be fully implemented five years after the effective date of the permit.
- 3. Permittees that, during the permit term, become aware that their discharge is to a waterbody that is impaired due to chloride must update their Salt Reduction Plan within 60 days of becoming aware of the situation to include salt reduction practices targeted at lowering chloride in discharges to the impaired waterbody. If the permittee does not have a Salt Reduction Plan already in place, then the permittee shall complete a Salt Reduction Plan that includes the BMPs in part IV 4) below within 3 years of becoming aware of the situation and fully implement the Salt Reduction Plan within 5 years of becoming aware of the situation.

4. Additional or Enhanced BMPs

- a. For municipally maintained surfaces:
 - Tracking of the types and amount of salt applied to all permittee owned and maintained surfaces and reporting of salt use beginning in the year of the completion of the Salt Reduction Plan in the permittee's annual reports;
 - ii. Planned activities for salt reduction on municipally owned and maintained surfaces, which shall include but are not limited to the following unless the permittee determines one or more of the following is not applicable to its system and documents that determination as part of the Salt Reduction Plan:
 - Operational changes such as pre-wetting, pre-treating the salt stockpile, increasing plowing prior to de-icing, monitoring of road surface temperature, etc.;
 - Implementation of new or modified equipment providing prewetting capability, better calibration rates, or other capability for minimizing salt use;
 - Training for municipal staff and/or contractors engaged in winter maintenance activities;
 - Adoption of guidelines for application rates for roads and parking lots (see Winter Parking Lot and Sidewalk Maintenance

Manual (Revised edition June 2008)

http://www.pca.state.mn.us/publications/parkinglotmanual.pdf; and the application guidelines on page 17 of *Minnesota Snow and Ice Control: Field Handbook for Snow Operators* (September 2012)

http://www.mnltap.umn.edu/publications/handbooks/documents
/snowice.pdf for examples);

- Regular calibration of spreading equipment;
- Designation of no-salt and/or low salt zones;
- Measures to prevent exposure of salt stockpiles (if any) to precipitation and runoff; and
- An estimate of the total tonnage of salt reduction expected by each activity.
- b. For privately maintained facilities that discharge to the MS4:
 - i. Establish an ordinance, bylaw, or other regulatory mechanism requiring measures to prevent exposure of any salt stockpiles to precipitation and runoff at all commercial and industrial properties within the regulated area.
 - ii. Part 2.3.2. Public Education and Outreach: The permittee shall supplement its Commercial/Industrial education program with an annual message to private road salt applicators and commercial and industrial site owners on the proper storage and application rates of winter deicing material. The educational materials shall be disseminated in the November/December timeframe and shall describe steps that can be taken to minimize salt use and protect local waterbodies.
 - iii. Part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment establish procedures and requirements to minimize salt usage and require the use of salt alternatives where the permittee deems necessary.
- c. The completed Salt Reduction Plan shall be submitted to EPA along with the annual report following the Salt Reduction Plan's completion. Each subsequent annual report shall include an update on Plan implementation progress, any updates to the Salt Reduction Plan deemed necessary by the permittee, as well as the types and amount of salt applied to all permittee owned and maintained surfaces.
- 5. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix H part IV as follows:
 - a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when one of the following criteria are met:
 - i. The receiving water is determined to be no longer impaired due to chloride by MassDEP and EPA concurs with such a determination.
 - ii. An EPA approved TMDL for the receiving water indicates that no additional stormwater controls are necessary for the control of chloride from the

- permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations as part of the approved TMDL.
- iii. The permittee's discharge is determined to be below applicable water quality criteria² and EPA agrees with such a determination. The permittee shall submit data to EPA that accurately characterizes the concentration of chloride in their discharge during the deicing season (November March). The characterization shall include water quality and flow data sufficient to accurately assess the concentration of chloride in the deicing season during storm events of multiple sizes and for the duration of the storm events including the first flush, peak storm flow and return to baseflow and include samples collected during deicing activities.
- b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the determination, date of approved TMDL or date of EPA concurrence that the discharge meets water quality criteria in its SWMP and is relieved of any additional requirements of Appendix H part IV as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
 - i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix H part IV to date to reduce chloride in its discharges, including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs
 - ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix H part IV required to be done by the date of determination date, date of approved TMDL, or date of EPA concurrence that the discharge meets water quality criteria, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs

² Applicable water quality criteria are the state standards that have been federally approved as of the effective date of this permit and are compiled by EPA at http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/standards/wqslibrary/

Page 12 of 14

V. <u>Discharges to water quality limited waterbodies and their tributaries where solids, oil and grease (hydrocarbons), or metals is the cause of the impairment</u>

1. Consistent with part 2.2.2.c.i. of the permit, permittees that discharge to waterbodies that are water quality limited due to solids, metals, or oil and grease (hydrocarbons), without an EPA approved TMDL, are subject to the following additional requirements to address solids, metals, or oil and grease (hydrocarbons) in their stormwater discharges.

2. Additional or Enhanced BMPs

- a. The permittee remains subject to the requirements of part 2.3. of the permit and shall include the following enhancements to the BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit:
 - i. Part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment: stormwater management systems designed on commercial and industrial land use area draining to the water quality limited waterbody shall incorporate designs that allow for shutdown and containment where appropriate to isolate the system in the event of an emergency spill or other unexpected event. EPA also encourages the permittee to require any stormwater management system designed to infiltrate stormwater on commercial or industrial sites to provide the level of pollutant removal equal to or greater than the level of pollutant removal provided through the use of biofiltration of the same volume of runoff to be infiltrated, prior to infiltration.
 - ii. Part 2.3.7, Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations: increased street sweeping frequency of all municipal owned streets and parking lots to a schedule determined by the permittee to target areas with potential for high pollutant loads. This may include, but is not limited to, increased street sweeping frequency in commercial areas and high density residential areas, or drainage areas with a large amount of impervious area. Prioritize inspection and maintenance for catch basins to ensure that no sump shall be more than 50 percent full. Clean catch basins more frequently if inspection and maintenance activities indicate excessive sediment or debris loadings. Each annual report shall include the street sweeping schedule determined by the permittee to target high pollutant loads.
- 3. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix H part V.2. applicable to it when in compliance with this part.
 - a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when one of the following criteria are met:
 - i. The receiving water is determined to be no longer impaired due to solids, metals, or oil and grease (hydrocarbons) by MassDEP and EPA concurs with such a determination.
 - ii. An EPA approved TMDL for the receiving water indicates that no additional stormwater controls are necessary for the control of solids, metals, or oil and grease (hydrocarbons) from the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations as part of the approved TMDL.

iii. The permittee's discharge is determined to be below applicable water quality criteria and EPA agrees with such a determination³. The permittee shall submit data to EPA that accurately characterizes the concentration of bacteria or pathogens in their discharge. The characterization shall include water quality and flow data sufficient to accurately assess the concentration of bacteria or pathogens in all seasons during storm events of multiple sizes and for the duration of the storm events including the first flush, peak storm flow and return to baseflow.

- b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the determination, date of approved TMDL or date of EPA concurrence that the discharge meets water quality criteria in its SWMP and is relieved of any additional requirements of Appendix H part V.2. as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
 - iv. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix H part V.2. to date to reduce solids, metals, or oil and grease (hydrocarbons) in its discharges, including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
 - v. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix H part V.3. required to be done by the date of determination date, date of approved TMDL, or date of EPA concurrence that the discharge meets water quality criteria, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications

³ Applicable water quality criteria are the state standards that have been federally approved as of the effective date of this permit and are compiled by EPA at http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/standards/wqslibrary/

ATTACHMENT 1 TO APPENDIX H

The estimates of nitrogen load reductions resulting from BMP installation are intended for informational purposes only and there is no associated permittee-specific required nitrogen load reduction in the Draft Permit. Nitrogen load reduction estimates calculated consistent with the methodologies below may be used by the permittee to comply with future permit requirements providing the EPA determines the calculated reductions are appropriate for demonstrating compliance with future permit requirements. This attachment provides the method and an example to calculate the BMP nitrogen load as well as methods to calculate nitrogen load reductions for structural BMPs in an impaired watershed.

BMP N Load:

The **BMP N Load** is the annual nitrogen load from the drainage area to each proposed or existing BMP used by permittee. This measure is used to estimate the amount of annual nitrogen load that the BMP will receive or treat (BMP N Load).

To calculate the BMP N Load for a given BMP:

- 1) Determine the total drainage area to the BMP and sort the total drainage area into two categories: total impervious area (IA) and total pervious area (PA);
- 2) Calculate the nitrogen load associated with impervious area (N Load _{IA}) and the pervious area (N Load _{PA}) by multiplying the IA and PA by the appropriate land use-based nitrogen load export rate provided in Table 1; and
- 3) Determine the total nitrogen load to the BMP by summing the calculated impervious and pervious subarea nitrogen loads.

Table 1: Annual nitrogen load export rates

| 6 1 | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--|---|--|
| Nitrogen Source Category by Land Use | Land Surface Cover | Nitrogen Load Export Rate, lbs/ac/yr | Nitrogen Load Export Rate, kg/ha/yr | |
| All Impervious Cover | Impervious | 14.1 | 15.8 | |
| *Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV)- HSG A | Pervious | 0.3 | 0.3 | |
| *Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV)- HSG B | Pervious | 1.2 | 1.3 | |
| *Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) – HSG C | Pervious | 2.4 | 2.7 | |
| *Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) - HSG C/D | Pervious | 3.0 | 3.4 | |
| *Developed Land Pervious (DevPERV) - HSG D | Pervious | 3.7 | 4.1 | |

Notes: For pervious areas, if the hydrologic soil group (HSG) is known, use the appropriate value from this table. If the HSG is not known, assume HSG C/D conditions for the nitrogen load export rate.

Example 1 to determine nitrogen load to a proposed BMP when the contributing drainage area is 100% impervious: A permittee is proposing a storm water infiltration system that will treat runoff from 1.49 acres of impervious area.

| Table 1-1: Design parameters | s for Bio-filtration | w/ ISR systems for | Example 1 |
|------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Tuble I It besign parameter. | | VII IDIE BY BUCILIB IOI | |

| Components of representation | Parameters | Value |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Donding | Maximum depth | 0.33 ft |
| Ponding | Surface area | 645 ft ² |
| | Depth | 2.0 ft |
| Soil mix | Porosity | 0.24 |
| | Hydraulic conductivity | 2.5 inches/hour |
| | Depth | 2.50 ft |
| Stone Reservoir (ISR) | Porosity | 0.42 |
| | Hydraulic conductivity | 500 inches/hour |
| ISR Volume: System Storage Volume | Ratio | 0.56 |
| | | 12 in |
| Orifices | Diameter | Installed 2.5 above impermeable soil |
| | | layer |

Determine:

- **A)** Percent nitrogen load reduction (BMP Reduction %-N) for the specified bio-filtration w/ISR system and contributing impervious drainage area; and
- **B)** Nitrogen reduction in pounds that would be accomplished by the bio-filtration w/ISR system (BMP-Reduction _{lbs-N})

Solution:

- 1) The BMP is a bio-filtration w/ISR system that will treat runoff from 1.49 acres of impervious area (IA = 1.49 acre);
- 2) The available storage volume capacity (ft³) of the bio-filtration w/ISR system (BMP-Volume BMP-ft³) is determined using the surface area of the system, depth of ponding, the porosity of the filter media and the porosity of the stone reservoir:

BMP-Volume
$$_{BMP-ft}^3$$
 =Surface area x (pond maximum depth + (soil mix depth x soil mix porosity) + stone reservoir depth x gravel layer porosity)) = 520 ft² x (0.33 ft + (2.0ft x 0.24) + (2.5 ft x 0.42)) = 1,200 ft³

3) The available storage volume capacity of the bio-filtration w/ISR system in inches of runoff from the contributing impervious area (BMP-Volume IA-in) is calculated using equation 1:

BMP-Volume $_{\text{IA-in}} = (BMP\text{-Volume }_{\text{ft}}^3/\text{ IA (acre)} \times 12 \text{ in/ft } \times 1 \text{ acre/43560 ft}^2 \text{ (Equation 1)}$

Example 1 Continued:

BMP-Volume
$$_{\text{IA-in}} = (1,200 \text{ ft}^3/1.49 \text{ acre}) \times 12 \text{ in/ft} \times 1 \text{ acre/43560 ft}^2 = 0.22 \text{ in}$$

- **4)** Using the Regional Performance Curve shown in Figure 1 for a bio-filtration w/ ISR system, a **61%** nitrogen load reduction (BMP Reduction %-N) is determined for a bio-filtration w/ ISR systems sized for 0.22 in of runoff from 1.49 acres of impervious area; and
- 5) Calculate the nitrogen load reduction in pounds of nitrogen for the bio-filtration w/ISR system (BMP Reduction _{lbs-N}) using the BMP Load calculation method shown above in Example 1 and the BMP Reduction _{%-N} determined in step 4 by using equation 2.

First, the BMP Load is determined as specified in Example 1:

```
BMP Load = IA (acre) x 14.1 lb/ac/yr

= 1.49 acres x 14.1 lbs/acre/yr


= 21.0 lbs/yr

BMP Reduction _{lbs-N} = BMP Load x (BMP Reduction _{\%-N}/100) (Equation 2)

BMP Reduction _{lbs-N} = 21 lbs/yr x (61/100)

= 12.8 lbs/yr
```

Method to determine the nitrogen load reduction for a structural BMP with a known storage volume when the contributing drainage area has impervious and pervious surfaces



Flow Chart 2 (previous page). Method to determine the nitrogen load reduction for a BMP with known storage volume when both pervious and impervious drainage areas are present.

1) Identify the type of structural BMP and characterize the contributing drainage area to the structural BMP by identifying the following information for the impervious and pervious surfaces:

Impervious area (IA) – Area (acre) and export rate (Table 1)

Pervious area (**PA**) – Area (acre) and runoff depth based on hydrologic soil group (HSG) and size of rainfall event. Table 2 provides values of runoff depth for various rainfall depths and HSGs. Soils are assigned to an HSG based on their permeability. HSG categories for pervious areas in the Watershed shall be estimated by consulting local soil surveys prepared by the National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) or by a storm water professional evaluating soil testing results from the Watershed. If the HSG condition is not known, a HSG D soil condition should be assumed.

Table 2: Developed Land Pervious Area Runoff Depths based on Precipitation depth and Hydrological Soil Groups (HSGs)

| | Runoff Depth, inches | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Rainfall Depth, | Pervious HSG | Pervious HSG | Pervious HSG | | | | | |
| Inches | A/B | C | D | | | | | |
| 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | | |
| 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | | | | | |
| 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.06 | | | | | |
| 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.09 | | | | | |
| 0.60 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.11 | | | | | |
| 0.80 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.16 | | | | | |
| 1.00 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.21 | | | | | |
| 1.20 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.39 | | | | | |
| 1.50 | 0.11 | 0.39 | 0.72 | | | | | |
| 2.00 | 0.24 | 0.69 | 1.08 | | | | | |

Notes: Runoff depths derived from combination of volumetric runoff coefficients from Table 5 of *Small Storm Hydrology and Why it is Important for the Design of Stormwater Control Practices*, Pitt, 1999 and using the Stormwater Management Model (SWMM) in continuous model mode for hourly precipitation data for Boston, MA, 1998-2002.

2) Determine the available storage volume (ft³) of the structural BMP (BMP-Volume ft³) using the BMP dimensions and design specifications (e.g., maximum storage depth, filter media porosity);

3) To estimate the nitrogen load reduction of a BMP with a known storage volume capacity, it is first necessary to determine the portion of available BMP storage capacity (BMP-Volume ft³) that would treat the runoff volume generated from the contributing impervious area (IA) for a rainfall event with a depth of *i* inches (in). This will require knowing the corresponding amount of runoff volume that would be generated from the contributing pervious area (PA) for the same rainfall event (depth of *i* inches). Using equation 3 below, solve for the BMP capacity that would be available to treat runoff from the contributing imperious area for the unknown rainfall depth of *i* inches (see equation 4):

BMP-Volume $_{fi}^3$ = BMP-Volume $_{(IA-fi}^3)_i$ + BMP-Volume $_{(PA-fi}^3)_i$ (Equation 3)

Where:

BMP-Volume $_{\rm ft}^3$ = the available storage volume of the BMP

BMP-Volume $_{(IA-fl^3)i}$ = the available storage volume of the BMP that would fully

treat runoff generated from the contributing impervious

area for a rainfall event of size *i* inches

BMP-Volume $_{(PA-ft^3)i}$ = the available storage volume of the BMP that would fully

treat runoff generated from the contributing pervious area

for a rainfall event of size *i* inches

Solving for BMP-Volume (IA-ft³)i:

BMP-Volume $_{(IA-ft^3)i}$ = BMP-Volume $_{ft^3}$ - BMP-Volume $_{(PA-ft^3)i}$ (**Equation 4**)

To determine BMP-Volume (IA-ft³)i, requires performing an iterative process of refining estimates of the rainfall depth used to calculate runoff volumes until the rainfall depth used results in the sum of runoff volumes from the contributing IA and PA equaling the available BMP storage capacity (BMP-Volume ft³). For the purpose of estimating BMP performance, it will be considered adequate when the IA runoff depth (in) is within 5% IA runoff depth used in the previous iteration.

For the first iteration (1), convert the BMP-Volume ft³ determined in step 2 into inches of runoff from the contributing impervious area (BMP Volume (IA-in)1) using equation 5.

BMP-Volume $_{(IA-in)1} = (BMP-Volume_{ft}^3/IA (acre)) \times (12 in/ft/43,560 ft^2/acre)$ (**Equation 5**);

For iterations 2 through n (2...n), convert the BMP Volume $_{(IA-ft^3)2...n}$, determined in step 5a below, into inches of runoff from the contributing impervious area (BMP Volume $_{(IA-in)2...n}$) using equation 6.

BMP-Volume $_{(IA-in)2...n}$ = (BMP-Volume $_{(IA-ft^3)2...n}$ / IA (acre)) x (12 in/ft /43,560 ft²/acre) (**Equation 6**);

4) For 1 to n iterations, use the pervious runoff depth information from Table 2 and equation 7 to determine the total volume of runoff (ft³) from the contributing PA (BMP Volume

PA-ft³) for a rainfall size equal to the sum of BMP-Volume (IA-in)1, determined in step 3. The runoff volume for each distinct pervious area must be determined.

BMP Volume
$$_{(PA-ft^3)_{1...n}} = \sum ((PA \times (runoff depth)_{(PA1, PA2..PAn)} \times (3,630 \text{ ft}^3/acre-in))$$
 (**Equation 7**)

5) For iteration 1, estimate the portion of BMP Volume that is available to treat runoff from only the IA by subtracting BMP-Volume PA-ft³, determined in step 4, from BMP-Volume ft³, determined in step 2, and convert to inches of runoff from IA (see equations 8 and 9):

BMP-Volume
$$(IA-ft^3)2 = ((BMP-Volume_{ft}^3 - BMP Volume_{(PA-ft^3)1})$$
 (**Equation 8**)

BMP-Volume
$$_{(IA-in)2} = (BMP-Volume_{(IA-ft^3)2}/IA_{(acre)}) \times (12_{in}/ft \times 1_{acre}/43,560_{ft^2})$$
 (**Equation 9**)

If additional iterations (i.e., 2 through n) are needed, estimate the portion of BMP volume that is available to treat runoff from only the IA (BMP-Volume (IA-in)3..n+1) by subtracting BMP Volume (PA-ft³)2..n, determined in step 4, from BMP Volume (IA-ft³)3..n+1, determined in step 5, and by converting to inches of runoff from IA using equation 9):

- 6) For iteration A (an iteration between 1 and n+1), compare BMP Volume (IA-in)a to BMP Volume (IA-in)a-1 determined from the previous iteration (a-1). If the difference in these values is greater than 5% of BMP Volume (IA-in)a then repeat steps 4 and 5, using BMP Volume (IA-in)a as the new starting value for the next iteration (a+1). If the difference is less than or equal to 5 % of BMP Volume (IA-in)a then the permittee may proceed to step 7.
- 7) Determine the % nitrogen load reduction for the structural BMP (BMP Reduction %-N) using the appropriate BMP curve on Figure 1 or 2 and the BMP-Volume (IA-in)n calculated in the final iteration of step 5; and
- 8) Calculate the nitrogen load reduction in pounds of nitrogen for the structural BMP (BMP Reduction _{lbs-N}) using the BMP Load as calculated above in Example 1 and the percent nitrogen load reduction (BMP Reduction _{%-N}) determined in step 7 by using equation 10:

BMP Reduction $_{lbs-N}$ = BMP Load x (BMP Reduction $_{\%-N}/100$) (**Equation 10**)

Example 2: Determine the nitrogen load reduction for a structural BMP with a known design volume when the contributing drainage area has impervious and pervious surfaces

A permittee is considering an infiltration basin to capture and treat runoff from a portion of the Watershed draining to the impaired waterbody. The contributing drainage area is 16.55 acres and is 71% impervious. The pervious drainage area (PA) is 80% HSG D and 20% HSG C. An infiltration basin with the following specifications can be placed at the down-gradient end of the contributing drainage area where soil testing results indicates an infiltration rate (IR) of 0.28 in/hr:

Example continued:

| | Bottom | Top | Maximum | Design | Infiltration |
|--------------------|--------|-------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Structure | area | surface | pond depth | storage | Rate |
| | (acre) | area (acre) | (ft) | volume (ft³) | (in/hr) |
| Infiltration basin | 0.65 | 0.69 | 1.65 | 48,155 | 0.28 |

Determine the:

- **A)** Percent nitrogen load reduction (BMP Reduction %-N) for the specified infiltration basin and the contributing impervious and pervious drainage area; and
- **B**) Nitrogen reduction in pounds that would be accomplished by the BMP (BMP-Reduction _{lbs-N})

Solution:

1) A surface infiltration basin is being considered. Information for the contributing impervious (IA) and pervious (PA) areas are summarized in below.

Impervious area characteristics

| ID | % Impervious | Area (acre) |
|-----|--------------|-------------|
| IA1 | 100 | 11.75 |

Pervious area characteristics

| ID | Area (acre) | Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) |
|-----|-------------|--------------------------------|
| PA1 | 3.84 | D |
| PA2 | 0.96 | C |

- 2) The available storage volume (ft^3) of the infiltration basin (BMP-Volume ft^3) is determined from the design details and basin dimensions; BMP-Volume $ft^3 = 48,155$ ft³.
- 3) To determine what the BMP design storage volume is in terms of runoff depth (in) from IA, an iterative process is undertaken:

Solution Iteration 1

For the first iteration (1), the BMP-Volume_{ft}³ is converted into inches of runoff from the contributing impervious area (BMP Volume (IA-in)1) using equation 5.

BMP Volume
$$_{(IA-in)1}$$
 = (48,155 ft²/ 11.75 acre) x (12 in/ft /43,560 ft²/acre) = 1.13 in

Solution Continued:

4-1) The total volume of runoff (ft³) from the contributing PA (BMP Volume PA-ft³) for a rainfall size equal to the sum of BMP Volume (IA-in)1 determined in step 3 is determined

for each distinct pervious area using the information from Table 2 and equation 7. Interpolation was used to determine runoff depths.

BMP Volume
$$_{(PA-ft^3)1}$$
 = ((3.84 acre x (0.33 in) + (0.96 acre x (0.13 in)) x 3,630 ft³/acre-in = 5052 ft³

5-1) For iteration 1, the portion of BMP Volume that is available to treat runoff from only the IA is estimated by subtracting the BMP Volume (PA-ft³)1, determined in step 4-1, from BMP Volume_{ft}³, determined in step 2, and converted to inches of runoff from IA:

BMP Volume
$$_{(IA-ft^3)}{}_2 = 48,155 \text{ ft}^3 - 5052 \text{ ft}^3$$

= 43,103 ft³
BMP Volume $_{(IA-in)}{}_2 = (43,103 \text{ ft}^3/11.75 \text{ acre}) \text{ x } (12 \text{ in/ft x } 1 \text{ acre/43,560 ft}^2)$
= 1.01 in

6-1) The % difference between BMP Volume (IA-in) 2, 1.01 in, and BMP Volume (IA-in)1, 1.13 in is determined and found to be significantly greater than 5%:

% Difference =
$$((1.13 \text{ in} - 1.01 \text{ in})/1.01 \text{ in}) \times 100$$

= 12%

Therefore, steps 4 through 6 are repeated starting with BMP Volume (IA-in) 2 = 1.01 in.

Solution Iteration 2

- **4-2**) BMP-Volume $_{(PA-ft^3)2}$ = ((3.84 acre x 0.21 in) + (0.96 acre x 0.12 in)) x 3,630 ft³/acre-in = 3,358 ft³
- **5-2)** BMP-Volume $_{(IA-ft^3)}$ 3 = 48,155 ft³ 3,358 ft³ = 44,797 ft³

BMP-Volume
$$_{(IA-in) 3} = (44,797 \text{ ft}^3/11.75 \text{ acre}) \text{ x } (12 \text{ in/ft x } 1 \text{ acre}/43,560 \text{ ft}^2)$$

= 1.05 in

6-2) % Difference =
$$((1.05 \text{ in} - 1.01 \text{ in})/1.05 \text{ in}) \times 100$$

= 4%

The difference of 4% is acceptable.

Solution Continued:

- 7) The % nitrogen load reduction for the infiltration basin (BMP Reduction %-N) is determined by using the RR treatment curve in Figure 2 and the treatment volume (BMP-Volume Net IA-in = 1.05 in) calculated in step 5-2 and is **BMP Reduction** %-N = 56%.
- 9) The nitrogen load reduction in pounds of nitrogen (BMP-Reduction _{lbs-N}) for the proposed infiltration basin is calculated by using equation 11 with the BMP Load (as determined by the procedure in Example 4-1) and the N _{target} of 56%.

```
BMP-Reduction lbs-N = BMP N Load x (N target /100) (Equation 11)
```

Following example 1, the BMP load is calculated:

```
BMP N Load = (IA x impervious cover nitrogen export loading rate)
```

+ (PA_{HSG D} x pervious cover nitrogen export loading rate, HSG D

+ (PA_{HSG C} x pervious cover nitrogen export loading rate, HSG C)

 $= (16.55 \text{ acre } \times 15.4 \text{ lbs/acre/yr}) + (3.84 \text{ acre } \times 3.7 \text{ lbs/acre/yr}) +$

(0.96 acre x 2.4 lbs/acre/yr)

= 271.4 lbs/yr

BMP-Reduction $_{lbs-N} = 275.13 lbs/yr \times 56/100 = 152.0 lbs/yr$

Figure 1: Regional BMP Performance Curve for Annual Nitrogen Load Removal: System Design by the University of New Hampshire Stormwater Center (UNHSWC)




Table 3. Classification of BMP to Determine Nitrogen Reduction¹

| Structural BMP | Classification |
|--|---------------------------|
| Infiltration Trench | Runoff Reduction (RR) |
| Infiltration Basin or other surface infiltration | Runoff Reduction (RR) |
| practice | |
| Bioretention Practice | Runoff Reduction (RR) |
| Gravel Wetland System | Stormwater Treatment (ST) |
| Porous Pavement | Runoff Reduction (RR) |
| Wet Pond or wet detention basin | Stormwater Treatment (ST) |
| Dry Pond or detention basin | Runoff Reduction (RR) |
| Water Quality Swale | Runoff Reduction (RR) |

¹Recommendations of the Expert Panel to Define Removal Rates for New State Stormwater Performance Standards http://chesapeakestormwater.net/wp-content/plugins/download-monitor/download.php?id=25, Retrieved 12/14/2012

100% 95% 90% 85% 80% 75% Total Nitrogen Removal (%) 70% 65% 60% RR 55% 50% 40% ST35% 30% 25% 20% 15% 10% 5% 0% 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.9 Runoff Depth Captured per Impervious Acre (inches)

Figure 2: Total Nitrogen Removal for RR and ST Practices

Adopted from: Final CBP Approved Expert Panel Report on Stormwater Retrofits http://chesapeakestormwater.net/wp-content/plugins/download-monitor/download.php?id=25, Retrieved 12/14/2012

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

APPENDIX D

2016 MS4 Notice of Intent





UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 1 5 POST OFFICE SQUARE, SUITE 100 BOSTON, MA 02109-3912

VIA EMAIL

April 5, 2019

Joseph J. Domelowicz, Jr. Town Manager

And;

Timothy J. Olson Director of Public Works 577 Bay Road P.O. Box 429 Hamilton, MA. 01936 tolson@hamiltonma.gov

Re: National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit ID #: MAR041196, Town of Hamilton

Dear Timothy J. Olson:

The 2016 NPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems in Massachusetts (MS4 General Permit) is a jointly issued EPA-MassDEP permit. Your Notice of Intent (NOI) for coverage under this MS4 General Permit has been reviewed by EPA and appears to be complete. You are hereby granted authorization by EPA and MassDEP to discharge stormwater from your MS4 in accordance with the applicable terms and conditions of the MS4 General Permit, including all relevant and applicable Appendices. This authorization to discharge expires at midnight on **June 30, 2022.**

For those permittees that certified Endangered Species Act eligibility under Criterion C in their NOI, this authorization letter also serves as EPA's concurrence with your determination that your discharges will have no effect on the listed species present in your action area, based on the information provided in your NOI.

As a reminder, your first annual report is due by **September 30, 2019** for the reporting period from May 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019.

Information about the permit and available resources can be found on our website: https://www.epa.gov/npdes-permits/massachusetts-small-ms4-general-permit. Should you have any questions regarding this permit please contact Newton Tedder at tedder.newton@epa.gov or (617) 918-1038.

Sincerely,

Thelma Murphy, Chief

Stormwater and Construction Permits Section

Thera Murphy

Office of Ecosystem Protection

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 1

and;

Lealdon Langley, Director

Wetlands and Wastewater Program

Bureau of Water Resources

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection

Part I: General Conditions

| General Information | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Name of Municipality or Organization: Hamilton State: MA | | | | | | | |
| EPA NPDES Permit Number (if applicable): MA 041196 | | | | | | | |
| Primary MS4 Program Manager Contact Information | | | | | | | |
| Name: Timothy J. Olson Title: Director of Public Works | | | | | | | |
| treet Address Line 1: 577 Bay Road | | | | | | | |
| Street Address Line 2: P.O. Box 429 | | | | | | | |
| City: Hamilton State: MA Zip Code: 01936 | | | | | | | |
| Email: tolson@hamiltonma.gov Phone Number: (978) 626-5227 | | | | | | | |
| Fax Number: (978) 468-5582 | | | | | | | |
| Other Information | | | | | | | |
| Stormwater Management Program (SWMP) Location (web address or physical location, if already completed): | | | | | | | |
| Eligibility Determination | | | | | | | |
| Endangered Species Act (ESA) Determination Complete? Yes Eligibility Criteria (check all that apply): | | | | | | | |
| National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) Determination Complete? Yes Eligibility Criteria (check all that apply): | | | | | | | |
| Check the box if your municipality or organization was covered under the 2003 MS4 General Permit | | | | | | | |
| MS4 Infrastructure (if covered under the 2003 permit) | | | | | | | |
| Estimated Percent of Outfall Map Complete? (Part II, III, IV or V, Subpart B.3.(a.) of 2003 permit) If 100% of 2003 requirements not met, enter an estimated date of completion (MM/DD/YY): | | | | | | | |
| Web address where MS4 map is published: A RDE of the Township Draine as Map, including all outfalls and receiving waters is attached. | | | | | | | |
| If outfall map is unavailable on the internet an electronic or paper copy of the outfall map must be included with NOI submission (see section V for submission options) A PDF of the Town's Drainage Map, including all outfalls and receiving waters is attached. NOI submission (see section V for submission options) | | | | | | | |
| Regulatory Authorities (if covered under the 2003 permit) | | | | | | | |
| Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE) Authority Adopted? (Part II, III, IV or V, Subpart B.3.(b.) of 2003 permit) Effective Date or Estimated Date of Adoption (MM/DD/YY): | | | | | | | |
| Construction/Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) Authority Adopted? (Part II,III,IV or V, Subpart B.4.(a.) of 2003 permit) Effective Date or Estimated Date of Adoption (MM/DD/YY): | | | | | | | |
| Post- Construction Stormwater Management Adopted? (Part II, III, IV or V, Subpart B.5.(a.) of 2003 permit) Effective Date or Estimated Date of Adoption (MM/DD/YY): | | | | | | | |

Part II: Summary of Receiving Waters

Please list the waterbody segments to which your MS4 discharges. For each waterbody segment, please report the number of outfalls discharging into it and, if applicable, any impairments.

Massachusetts list of impaired waters: Massachusetts 2014 List of Impaired Waters- http://www.mass.gov/eea/docs/dep/water/resources/07v5/14list2.pdf

Check off relevant pollutants for discharges to impaired waterbodies (see above 303(d) lists) without an approved TMDL in accordance with part 2.2.2.a of the permit. List any other pollutants in the last column, if applicable.

| Waterbody segment that receives flow from the MS4 | Number of outfalls into receiving water segment | Chloride | Chlorophyll-a | Dissolved Oxygen/ DO Saturation | Nitrogen | Oil & Grease/ PAH | Phosphorus | Solids/ TSS/ | Turbidity | E. coli | Enterococcus | Other pollutant(s) causing impairments |
|---|--|----------|---------------|------------------------------------|----------|-------------------|------------|--------------|-----------|---------|--------------|--|
| Miles River (MA92-03) | 23 | | | \boxtimes | | | | | | | | Aquatic Macroinvertebrate Bioassessments, Fecal Coliform |
| Chebacco Lake (MA93014) | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Black Brook (MA92-19) | 1 | | | | | | | |] | | | |
| Beck Pond (MA93003) | 1 | | | | | | | |] | | | |
| Wetland at the end of Honeysuckle Road | 3 | | | | | | | |] | | | |
| Wetland at the end of Mead and Highland Street | 2 | | | | | | | |] | | | |
| Wenham Swamp | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Beck Pond (MA93003) | 2 | | | | | | | |] | | | |
| Swamp between Moulton St and Autumn Ln | 2 | | | | | | | |] | | | |
| River Crossing Juniper Road | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| River Crossing Blueberry Ln | 1 | | | | | | | |] | | | |
| Wetland North of Martel Road | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| River at the Intersection of Woodbury Street and Bridge Street | 1 | | | | | | | |] | | | |
| Culvert from the pond between Carriage Lane and Patton Road | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | · |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Click to lengthen table

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary

Identify the Best Management Practices (BMPs) that will be employed to address each of the six Minimum Control Measures (MCMs). For municipalities/organizations whose MS4 discharges into a receiving water with an approved Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) and an applicable waste load allocation (WLA), identify any additional BMPs employed to specifically support the achievement of the WLA in the TMDL section at the end of part III.

For each MCM, list each existing or proposed BMP by category and provide a brief description, responsible parties/departments, measurable goals, and the year the BMP will be employed (public education and outreach BMPs also requires a target audience). **Use the drop-down menus in each table or enter your own text to override the drop down menu.**

MCM 1: Public Education and Outreach

| BMP Media/Category (enter your own text to override the drop down menu) | BMP Description | Targeted Audience | Responsible Department/Parties (enter your own text to override the drop down menu) | Measurable Goal | Beginning Year of BMP Imple- mentation |
|---|---|---------------------------|---|---|--|
| Displays/Posters/Kiosks | Continue to increase General Public- Knowledge of the impact of stormwater discharges to water bodies within the community. Identify ways the public can reduce pollutants in stormwater runoff. | Residents | DPW Operations | Supply Town offices/ library/schools with displays and/or posters. Track number of posters/ displays utilized. | FY2019 |
| Brochures/Pamphlets | Distribute educational materials to locations likely to impact stormwater regarding best management practices, including equipment, waste disposal, dumpster maintenance, use and storage of de-icing materials, and parking lot sweeping | Industrial Facilities | DPW Operations | Track the number of industrial facilities reached. | FY2019 |
| Brochures/Pamphlets | Continue to educate Contractors on the Town's stormwater erosion and sediment control requirements. | Developers (construction) | Planning/DPW Operations | Distribute/make brochures available at Town Hall and maintain a list of all recipients. | FY2020 |

| Pag | e | 5 | of | 22 |
|-----|---|---|----|----|
| | | | | |

| Паннисн | | | | | age 3 01 ZZ |
|---------------------|--|---|------------------------------|--|-------------|
| Web Page | Update the Town's website to include information on vehicle maintenance, fertilizer use, parking lot sweeping, ice removal optimization, and waste/material storage for local businesses. | Businesses, Institutions and Commercial Facilities | DPW Operations/Town Manager | Modify the ThinkBlue targeted information for use on the Town's website and track interaction with the site. | FY2020 |
| Web Page | Continue to maintain and update the Town's website to provide information to residents regarding stormwater management and the Towns illicit discharge detection and elimination program. | Residents | Dpw Operations/ Town Manager | Continue to update website annually, track interaction with the site and who the information is reaching. | FY2021 |
| Brochures/Pamphlets | Make available to developers information on green infrastructure practices for construction projects. | Developers (construction) | Planning/DPW Operations | Distribute/make brochures available at Town Hall and maintain a list of all recipients. | FY2021 |
| Brochures/Pamphlets | Distribute educational materials to industrial properties regarding stormwater best management practices, including equipment inspection, waste disposal, dumpster maintenance, use and storage of de-icing materials, and parking lot sweeping. | Industrial Facilities | DPW Operations | Track the number of industrial facilities reached. | FY2022 |

| | | | | | Page 6 of 22 |
|---------------------|--|---|----------------|---|--------------|
| Brochures/Pamphlets | Distribute brochures to include information on vehicle maintenance, fertilizer use, parking lot sweeping, ice removal optimization, and waste/material storage for local businesses. | Businesses, Institutions and Commercial Facilities | DPW Operations | Track number of businesses and institutions to which pamphlets are distributed. | FY2023 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 7 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary (continued)

MCM 2: Public Involvement and Participation

| BMP Categorization | Brief BMP Description (enter your own text to override the drop down menu) | Responsible Department/Parties (enter your own text to override the drop down menu) | Additional Description/ Measurable Goal | Beginning Year of BMP Imple- mentation |
|----------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Public Review | SWMP Review | DPW Operations | Allow for public review of the SWMP annually. Post the SWMP and Annual Reports on the Town's website and/or make them available at Town Hall. | FY2019 |
| Public Participation | Clean-up Day | DPW Operations | Support annual Clean-up Days. Report on amount of debris collected annually through this event. | FY2019 |
| Public Participation | Monitoring Teams | DPW Operations | Make public announcements to gain participation in inspection and monitoring of catch basins, culverts, and drainage structures. | FY2019 |
| Public Participation | Household hazardous waste | DPW Operations | Allow for residents to dispose of hazardous waste annually in the fall. Track number of residents that participate, and amount and types of materials collected. | FY2019 |
| Public Participation | Stormwater Hotline | DPW Operations | Continue to support stormwater hotline to encourage residents to report issues to DPW. Track number of calls received. | FY2019 |
| Public Participation | Electronic Waste Collection | DPW Operations | Allow for residents to dispose of electronic waste on a monthly basis. | FY2019 |
| | | | | |

| Hamilton | | 4 | Page 8 of 22 |
|----------|--|----|--------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | _ | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | u. | |

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary (continued)

MCM 3: Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE)

| BMP Categorization (enter your own text to override the drop down menu) | BMP Description | Responsible Department/Parties (enter your own text to override the drop down menu) | Measurable Goal (all text can be overwritten) | Beginning Year of BMP Imple- mentation |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| SSO inventory | The Town does not have any municipally owned or maintained sanitary sewers in Town so this BMP is not applicable. | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Update GIS Drainage Map | Update drainage map in accordance with permit conditions and update annually during IDDE program implementation. | DPW Operations | Update map within 2 years of effective date of permit and complete full system map 10 years after effective date of permit | FY2020 |
| Written IDDE program | Create written IDDE program to meet permit conditions. | DPW Operations | Complete within 1 year of the effective date of permit and update as required. | FY2019 |
| Implement IDDE program | Implement catchment investigations according to program and permit conditions. | DPW Operations | Begin within two years of permit effective date, and complete 10 years after effective date of permit. Track annually the number of illicit connections that are identified and removed. | FY2020 |
| Employee training | Train employees on IDDE program components and implementation. | Health Department/DPW Operations | Provide training to municipal employees annually. Track the number of employees that receive training. | FY2019 |
| Conduct dry weather screening and sampling | Conduct dry weather outfall screening and sampling in accordance with permit conditions. | DPW Operations | Complete within 3 years of permit effective date. Track number of outfalls that are screened and sampled annually. | FY2021 |

Page 10 of 22

| | C | | Complete within 10 | rage 10 01 22 |
|--|---|----------------------------------|---|---------------|
| Conduct wet weather screening | Conduct wet weather screening and sampling at outfalls/ interconnections in catchments where System Vulnerability Factors are present in accordance with permit conditions. | DPW Operations | Complete within 10 years of permit effective date. Track number of outfalls that are screened and sampled annually. | FY2022 |
| Ongoing screening | Conduct dry weather and wet weather screening (as necessary) | DPW Operations | Complete ongoing outfall screening upon completion of IDDE program. | FY2029 |
| Catchment Investigation Procedures | Develop written catchment investigation procedures and incorporate into IDDE Plan. | DPW Operations | Complete within 18 months of permit effective date. | FY2019 |
| Assessment and Priority Ranking of Outfalls/Interconnections | Assess and priority rank catchments in terms of their potential to have illicit discharges. | DPW Operations/Health Department | Complete within 1 year of the permit effective date. | FY2019 |
| Follow-up Ranking | Update catchment prioritization and ranking as dry weather screening information becomes available. | DPW Operations | Complete within 3 years of permit effective date. | FY2021 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Page 11 of 22 Hamilton

.

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary (continued)

MCM 4: Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control

| BMP Categorization (enter your own text to override the drop down menu or entered text) | BMP Description | Responsible Department/Parties (enter your own text to override the drop down menu) | Measurable Goal (all text can be overwritten) | Beginning Year of BMP Imple- mentation |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| Site inspection and enforcement of Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) measures | Review existing written procedures for site inspections and enforcement and update as needed to meet permit requirements. | Planning, DPW Operations | Complete within 1 year of the effective date of permit. Report on the number of site inspections and enforcement actions annually. | FY2019 |
| Site plan review | Develop written procedures for site plan review that meet permit requirements and begin implementation. | Planning, DPW Operations | Complete within 1 year of the effective date of permit. Report on the number of site plan reviews conducted, inspections conducted, and enforcement actions taken annually. | FY2019 |
| Erosion and Sediment Control | Continue to enforce the Town's existing Stormwater Management Rules and Regulations requiring sediment and erosion controls. Review and update existing regulations as needed to ensure that construction operators implement a sediment and erosion control program that includes BMPs that are appropriate for conditions at the construction site in accordance with permit requirements. | Planning, DPW Operations | Continue to enforce existing sediment and erosion control requirements, and update regulations as needed within one year of the permit effective date. | FY2019 |

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary (continued)

MCM 5: Post-Construction Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment

| BMP Categorization (enter your own text to override the drop down menu or entered text) | BMP Description | Responsible Department/Parties (enter your own text to override the drop down menu) | Measurable Goal (all text can be overwritten) | Beginning Year of BMP Imple- mentation |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| As-built plans for on-site stormwater control | Continue enforcing existing procedures requiring submission of as-built drawings and an Operation & Maintenance Plan for projects disturbing more than 1 acre. Update asbuilt requirements, and O&M requirements as needed to comply with permit requirements. | Planning, DPW Operations | Require submission of as-built plans and long term O&M for completed projects. Complete within 2 years of permit effective date. | FY2020 |
| Target & rank properties for BMP retrofitting | Identify at least 5 permittee-owned properties that could be modified or retrofitted with BMPs to reduce frequency, volume, and pollutant loads associated with stormwater discharges, and update annually. | DPW Operations | Complete 4 years after effective date of permit and report annually on retrofitted properties. | FY2022 |
| Allow green infrastructure | Develop a report assessing existing local regulations to determine the feasibility of making green infrastructure practices allowable when appropriate site conditions exist. | Planning, DPW Operations | Complete 4 years after effective date of permit and implement recommendations of report, where feasible. | FY2022 |

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary (continued)

MCM 6: Municipal Good Housekeeping and Pollution Prevention

| BMP Categorization (enter your own text to override the drop down menu or entered text) | BMP Description | Responsible Department/Parties (enter your own text to override the drop down menu) | Measurable Goal (all text can be overwritten) | Beginning Year of BMP Imple- mentation |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| O&M procedures | Create written O&M procedures including all requirements contained in 2.3.7.a.ii for parks and open spaces, buildings and facilities, and vehicles and equipment, | DPW Operations | Complete and implement 2 years after effective date of permit. | |
| Inventory all permittee-owned parks and open spaces, buildings and facilities, and vehicles and equipment | Create inventory | DPW Operations | Complete 2 years after effective date of permit and update annually. | FY2020 |
| Infrastructure O&M | Establish and implement program for repair and rehabilitation of MS4 infrastructure. | DPW Operations | Complete 2 years after effective date of permit | FY2020 |
| Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) Development, Inspections and Training | Create SWPPPs for DPW garage, and other waste- handling facilities | DPW Operations | Complete and implement 2 years after effective date of permit. Perform quarterly site inspections and train employees on SWPPP implementation. Record inspections performed and number of employees that receive training. | FY2020 |
| Catch basin cleaning | Establish schedule for catch basin cleaning such that each catch basin is no more than 50% full and clean catch basins on that schedule. | DPW Operations | Clean catch basins on established schedule and report number of catch basins cleaned and volume of material removed annually. | FY2019 |

Page 18 of 22

| | | | | Page 18 01 22 |
|---|--|----------------|---|---------------|
| Street sweeping program | Continue street sweeping program and confirm all streets and permitee-owned parking lots are in accordance with permit conditions. | DPW Operations | Sweep all streets and permitee-owned parking lots once per year in the spring and report annually the miles of roadway swept or the volume of material removed. | FY2019 |
| Road salt use optimization program | Establish and implement a program to minimize the use of road salt. | DPW Operations | Implement salt use optimization during deicing season. | FY2019 |
| Inspection and maintenance of stormwater treatment structures | Establish and implement inspection and maintenance procedures and frequencies. | DPW Operations | Inspect and maintain treatment structures at least annually. | FY2019 |
| Catch Basin Optimization | Develop and implement a plan to optimize inspection, cleaning, and maintenance of catch basins to ensure that permit conditions are met. | DPW Operations | Complete within two years of permit effective date. | FY2020 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary (continued)

Actions for Meeting Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Requirements

Use the drop-down menus to select the applicable TMDL, action description to meet the TMDL requirements, and the responsible department/parties. If no options are applicable, or more than one, **enter your own text to override drop-down menus.**

| Applicable TMDL | Action Description | Responsible Department/Parties (enter your own text to override the drop down menu) |
|-----------------|--------------------|---|
| N/A | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Part III: Stormwater Management Program Summary (continued)

Actions for Meeting Requirements Related to Water Quality Limited Waters

Use the drop-down menus to select the pollutant causing the water quality limitation and enter the waterbody ID(s) experiencing excursions above water quality standards for that pollutant. Choose the action description from the dropdown menu and indicate the responsible party. If no options are applicable, or more than one, **enter your own text to override drop-down menus.**

| Pollutant | Waterbody ID(s) | Action Description | Responsible Department/Parties (enter your own text to override the drop down menu) |
|----------------|-----------------------|--|---|
| Fecal Coliform | Miles River (MA92-03) | Adhere to requirements in part III of Appendix H | DPW Operations |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Part IV: Notes and additional information

Use the space below to indicate the part(s) of 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 that you have identified as not applicable to your MS4 because you do not discharge to the impaired water body or a tributary to an impaired water body due to nitrogen or phosphorus. Provide all supporting documentation below or attach additional documents if necessary. Also, provide any additional information about your MS4 program below.

| Through consultation with the l long-eared bat and the small wi Best Management Practices are | horled pogonia . Actions | currently proposed v | within this Notice of I | ntent will not affect | these species. As |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| J | | | | | |
| | | | ř | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | , « |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Page 22 of 22

Part V: Certification

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, I certify that the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

| Name: | Joseph J. Domelowicz, Jr. | Title: | Town Manager |
|------------|---|--------|--------------|
| Signature: | To be signed according to Appendix B, Subparagraph B.11, Standard Conditions) | Date: | 9/28/18 |

Note: When prompted during signing, save the document under a new file name



United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

New England Ecological Services Field Office 70 Commercial Street, Suite 300 Concord, NH 03301-5094 Phone: (603) 223-2541 Fax: (603) 223-0104

http://www.fws.gov/newengland



July 31, 2018

In Reply Refer To:

Consultation Code: 05E1NE00-2018-SLI-2571

Event Code: 05E1NE00-2018-E-06022

Project Name: Hamilton - MS4 Permit Compliance

Subject: List of threatened and endangered species that may occur in your proposed project

location, and/or may be affected by your proposed project

To Whom It May Concern:

The enclosed species list identifies threatened, endangered, proposed and candidate species, as well as proposed and final designated critical habitat, that may occur within the boundary of your proposed project and/or may be affected by your proposed project. The species list fulfills the requirements of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) under section 7(c) of the Endangered Species Act (Act) of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

New information based on updated surveys, changes in the abundance and distribution of species, changed habitat conditions, or other factors could change this list. Please feel free to contact us if you need more current information or assistance regarding the potential impacts to federally proposed, listed, and candidate species and federally designated and proposed critical habitat. Please note that under 50 CFR 402.12(e) of the regulations implementing section 7 of the Act, the accuracy of this species list should be verified after 90 days. This verification can be completed formally or informally as desired. The Service recommends that verification be completed by visiting the ECOS-IPaC website at regular intervals during project planning and implementation for updates to species lists and information. An updated list may be requested through the ECOS-IPaC system by completing the same process used to receive the enclosed list.

The purpose of the Act is to provide a means whereby threatened and endangered species and the ecosystems upon which they depend may be conserved. Under sections 7(a)(1) and 7(a)(2) of the Act and its implementing regulations (50 CFR 402 et seq.), Federal agencies are required to utilize their authorities to carry out programs for the conservation of threatened and endangered species and to determine whether projects may affect threatened and endangered species and/or designated critical habitat.

A Biological Assessment is required for construction projects (or other undertakings having similar physical impacts) that are major Federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment as defined in the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2) (c)). For projects other than major construction activities, the Service suggests that a biological evaluation similar to a Biological Assessment be prepared to determine whether the project may affect listed or proposed species and/or designated or proposed critical habitat. Recommended contents of a Biological Assessment are described at 50 CFR 402.12.

If a Federal agency determines, based on the Biological Assessment or biological evaluation, that listed species and/or designated critical habitat may be affected by the proposed project, the agency is required to consult with the Service pursuant to 50 CFR 402. In addition, the Service recommends that candidate species, proposed species and proposed critical habitat be addressed within the consultation. More information on the regulations and procedures for section 7 consultation, including the role of permit or license applicants, can be found in the "Endangered Species Consultation Handbook" at:

http://www.fws.gov/endangered/esa-library/pdf/TOC-GLOS.PDF

Please be aware that bald and golden eagles are protected under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (16 U.S.C. 668 *et seq.*), and projects affecting these species may require development of an eagle conservation plan (http://www.fws.gov/windenergy/eagle_guidance.html). Additionally, wind energy projects should follow the wind energy guidelines (http://www.fws.gov/windenergy/) for minimizing impacts to migratory birds and bats.

Guidance for minimizing impacts to migratory birds for projects including communications towers (e.g., cellular, digital television, radio, and emergency broadcast) can be found at: http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/CurrentBirdIssues/Hazards/towers/towers.htm; http://www.towerkill.com; and http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/CurrentBirdIssues/Hazards/towers/comtow.html.

We appreciate your concern for threatened and endangered species. The Service encourages Federal agencies to include conservation of threatened and endangered species into their project planning to further the purposes of the Act. Please include the Consultation Tracking Number in the header of this letter with any request for consultation or correspondence about your project that you submit to our office.

Attachment(s):

Official Species List

Official Species List

This list is provided pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, and fulfills the requirement for Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary of the Interior information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of a proposed action".

This species list is provided by:

New England Ecological Services Field Office 70 Commercial Street, Suite 300 Concord, NH 03301-5094 (603) 223-2541

Project Summary

Consultation Code: 05E1NE00-2018-SLI-2571

Event Code: 05E1NE00-2018-E-06022

Project Name: Hamilton - MS4 Permit Compliance

Project Type: ** OTHER **

Project Description: Location is the Town of Hamilton. The purpose is to complete an


endangered species determination to assist the Town in obtaining

coverage under the Massachusetts MS4 Permit, which became effective

on July 1, 2018.

Project Location:

Approximate location of the project can be viewed in Google Maps: https://www.google.com/maps/place/42.62750730902007N70.86661104118672W



Counties: Essex, MA

Endangered Species Act Species

There is a total of 2 threatened, endangered, or candidate species on this species list.

Species on this list should be considered in an effects analysis for your project and could include species that exist in another geographic area. For example, certain fish may appear on the species list because a project could affect downstream species.

Event Code: 05E1NE00-2018-E-06022

IPaC does not display listed species or critical habitats under the sole jurisdiction of NOAA Fisheries¹, as USFWS does not have the authority to speak on behalf of NOAA and the Department of Commerce.

See the "Critical habitats" section below for those critical habitats that lie wholly or partially within your project area under this office's jurisdiction. Please contact the designated FWS office if you have questions.

1. <u>NOAA Fisheries</u>, also known as the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), is an office of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration within the Department of Commerce.

Mammals

NAME STATUS

Northern Long-eared Bat *Myotis septentrionalis*

Threatened

No critical habitat has been designated for this species. Species profile: https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9045

Flowering Plants

NAME STATUS

Small Whorled Pogonia Isotria medeoloides

Threatened

No critical habitat has been designated for this species. Species profile: https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/1890

Critical habitats

THERE ARE NO CRITICAL HABITATS WITHIN YOUR PROJECT AREA UNDER THIS OFFICE'S JURISDICTION.

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

APPENDIX E

2003 MS4 Annual Reports Reference



2003 MS4 PERMIT ANNUAL REPORTS REFERENCE

Year 1 Annual Report (2003-2004)

https://www3.epa.gov/region1/npdes/stormwater/assets/pdfs/ma/reports/2004/Hamiltonmaar04.pdf

Year 2 Annual Report (2004-2005)

https://www3.epa.gov/region1/npdes/stormwater/assets/pdfs/ma/reports/2005/HamiltonMA05.pdf

Year 3 Annual Report (2005-2006)

https://www3.epa.gov/region1/npdes/stormwater/assets/pdfs/ma/reports/2006/Hamilton06rpt.pdf

Year 4 Annual Report (2006-2007)

https://www3.epa.gov/region1/npdes/stormwater/assets/pdfs/ma/reports/2007/Hamilton07.pdf

Year 5 Annual Report (2007-2008)

https://www3.epa.gov/region1/npdes/stormwater/assets/pdfs/ma/reports/2008/Hamilton08.pdf

Year 6 Annual Report (2008-2009)

https://www3.epa.gov/region1/npdes/stormwater/assets/pdfs/ma/reports/2009/Hamilton09.pdf

Year 7 Annual Report (2009-2010)

https://www3.epa.gov/region1/npdes/stormwater/assets/pdfs/ma/reports/2010/Hamilton10.pdf

Year 8 Annual Report (2010-2011)

https://www3.epa.gov/region1/npdes/stormwater/assets/pdfs/ma/reports/2011/Hamilton11.pdf

Year 9 Annual Report (2011-2012)

https://www3.epa.gov/region1/npdes/stormwater/assets/pdfs/ma/reports/2012/Hamilton12.pdf

Year 10 Annual Report (2012-2013)

https://www3.epa.gov/region1/npdes/stormwater/assets/pdfs/ma/reports/2013/Hamilton13.pdf

Year 11 Annual Report (2013-2014)

https://www3.epa.gov/region1/npdes/stormwater/assets/pdfs/ma/reports/2014/Hamilton14.pdf

Year 12 Annual Report (2014-2015)

https://www3.epa.gov/region1/npdes/stormwater/assets/pdfs/ma/reports/2015/Hamilton15.pdf

Year 13 Annual Report (2015-2016)

https://www3.epa.gov/region1/npdes/stormwater/assets/pdfs/ma/reports/2016/Hamilton16.pdf

Year 14 Annual Report (2016-2017)

https://www3.epa.gov/region1/npdes/stormwater/assets/pdfs/ma/reports/2017/Hamilton17.pdf

Year 15 Annual Report (2017-2018)

https://www3.epa.gov/region1/npdes/stormwater/assets/pdfs/ma/reports/2018/Hamilton18.pdf

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

APPENDIX F

MS4 Checklist by Permit Year



Checklist for Year 1 MS4 Permit Requirements – Hamilton, MA

| Completion Due Date | Requirement | Task | Permit Section for Reference | Completed? |
|------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|------------|
| 10/1/2018 | Notice of Intent (NOI) | Prepare and Submit NOI for Permit Coverage 90 days from the permit effective date | 1.7.2 & Appendix E | Yes |
| 6/30/2019 | Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP) | Develop written SWMP | 1.10 | Yes |
| 6/30/2019 | Public Education | Fulfill public education initiatives aimed at target audiences as outlined in the Town's NOI and this SWMP | 2.3.2 | Yes |
| 6/30/2019 | Public Participation | Fulfill public participation initiatives aimed at target audiences as outlined in the Town's NOI and this SWMP | 2.3.3 | Yes |
| 6/30/2019 | Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE) Plan | Develop written IDDE plan to satisfy permit requirements. | 2.3.4.6 | Yes |
| 6/30/2019 | Catchment Delineation | Delineate outfall & interconnection catchment areas. | 2.3.4.5 | Yes |
| 6/30/2019 | Catchment Prioritization & Ranking | Assess and rank the potential for all catchments to have illicit discharges. | 2.3.4.7 | Yes |
| 6/30/2019 | IDDE Employee Training | Continue to train municipal employees on illicit discharge detection and monitoring. | 2.3.4.11 | Yes |
| 6/30/2019 | Construction Site Runoff Control Regulatory Updates/SOPs | Create written procedures for inspection of construction sites for proper sediment & erosion controls, and conducting site plan reviews. Incorporate requirements for waste control. Reference Stormwater Manual for Sediment & Erosion Control BMPs. | 2.3.5.c | Yes |
| 6/30/2019 | Street Sweeping | Sweep streets a minimum of once a year in the spring. Include miles cleaned or volume or mass of material removed in the annual report. | 2.3.7.a.iii.3 | Yes |

| Completion Due Date | Requirement | Task | Permit Section for Reference | Completed? |
|------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|---|
| 6/30/2019 | Catch Basin Cleaning | Clean catch basins annually to ensure the no catch basin is more than 50% full. Report catch basins cleaned and volume of material removed annually. | 2.3.7.a.iii.3 | Yes |
| 6/30/2019 | Winter Road Maintenance SOP | Develop and implement winter road maintenance procedures including use and storage of sand/salt, and snow storage practices. | 2.3.7.a.iii.5 | Yes |
| 6/30/2019 | Stormwater BMP Inspection & Maintenance | Inspect all stormwater treatment structures (BMPs) at least annually and conduct maintenance as necessary. Track number of structures maintained and inspected annually. | 2.3.7.a.iii.6 | N/A Town does not own any stormwater treatment structures/ BMPs |

Checklist for Year 2 MS4 Permit Requirements – Hamilton, MA

| Completion Due Date | Requirement | Task | Permit Section for Reference | Completed? |
|------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 6/30/2020 | Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP) | Update written SWMP | 1.10 | Yes |
| 6/30/2020 | Public Education | Fulfill public education initiatives aimed at target audiences as outlined in the Town's NOI and this SWMP | 2.3.2 | Yes |
| 6/30/2020 | Public Participation | Fulfill public participation initiatives aimed at target audiences as outlined in the Town's NOI and this SWMP | 2.3.3 | Yes |
| 6/30/2020 | Update Drainage Map | Update town-wide MS4 mapping to include impaired waters, BMPs, interconnections, and open channel conveyances. | 2.3.4.5 | Yes |
| 6/30/2020 | IDDE Employee Training | Continue to train municipal employees on illicit discharge detection and monitoring. | 2.3.4.11 | COVID-19 Delay |
| 6/30/2020 | Inventory of Municipal Facilities | Develop an inventory of all permittee- owned facilities. | 2.3.7.a.ii | Yes |

| Completion Due Date | Requirement | Task | Permit Section for Reference | Completed? |
|------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|------------|
| 6/30/2020 | Operation and Maintenance Procedures | Develop a written set of O&M procedures for municipal facilities, activities and MS4 infrastructure | 2.3.7.a.i & 2.3.7.a.iii | Yes |
| 6/30/2020 | Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans (SWPPP) | Develop written SWPPPs for municipal waste handling facilities. | 2.3.7.b | Yes |
| 6/30/2020 | Street Sweeping | Sweep streets a minimum of once a year in the spring. Include miles cleaned or volume or mass of material removed in the annual report. | 2.3.7.a.iii.3 | Yes |
| 6/30/2020 | Catch Basin Cleaning Optimization | Develop and implement a catch basin cleaning schedule with a goal of ensuring no catch basin is more than 50 % full. Document catch basins inspected and cleaned, including total mass removed and proper disposal. | 2.3.7.a.iii.2 | Yes |
| 6/30/2020 | Stormwater BMP Inspection & Maintenance | Inspect all stormwater treatment structures (BMPs) at least annually and conduct maintenance as necessary. Track number of structures maintained and inspected annually. | 2.3.7.a.iii.6 | Yes |

Checklist for Year 3 MS4 Permit Requirements – Hamilton, MA

| Completion Due Date | Requirement | Task | Permit Section for Reference | Completed? |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------|
| 6/30/2021 | Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP) | Update written SWMP | 1.10 | |
| 6/30/2021 | Public Education | Fulfill public education initiatives aimed at target audiences as outlined in the Town's NOI and this SWMP | 2.3.2 | |
| 6/30/2021 | Public Participation | Fulfill public participation initiatives aimed at target audiences as outlined in the Town's NOI and this SWMP | 2.3.3 | |
| 6/30/2021 | Update Drainage Map | Update town-wide drainage mapping as needed to include MS4 infrastructure. | 2.3.4.5 | |

| Completion Due Date | Requirement | Task | Permit Section for Reference | Completed? |
|------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|------------|
| 6/30/2021 | IDDE Employee Training | Continue to train municipal employees on illicit discharge detection and monitoring. | 2.3.4.11 | |
| 6/30/2020 | Post-Construction Stormwater Runoff Control Regulatory Updates | Update existing stormwater regulations as needed to include compliance with the Stormwater Management Standards, to meet retention and treatment requirements, to meet as-built requirements and provide for long term operation & maintenance of BMPs. | 2.3.6.a.ii | |
| 6/30/2021 | Dry Weather Outfall Screening and Sampling | Sample all outfalls and interconnections (excluding problem outfalls and excluded outfalls) for dry weather flow and sample flow if present. | 2.3.4.7.b | |
| 6/30/2021 | Update Catchment Ranking | Update catchment ranking and prioritization based on dry weather outfall sampling data. | 2.3.4.7.b.iii.c.iii | |
| 6/30/2025 | Continue IDDE Investigation of Problem Catchments | Continue investigation of problem catchments | 2.3.4.8.a | |
| 6/30/2028 | Begin IDDE Investigation of High and Low Priority Catchments | Begin investigation of high and low priority catchments | 2.3.4.8.a | |
| 6/30/2021 | Street Sweeping | Sweep streets a minimum of once a year in the spring. Include miles cleaned or volume or mass of material removed in the annual report. | 2.3.7.a.iii.3 | |
| 6/30/2021 | Catch Basin Cleaning | Clean catch basins annually to ensure the no catch basin is more than 50% full. Report catch basins cleaned and volume of material removed annually. | 2.3.7.a.iii.3 | |
| 6/30/2021 | Stormwater BMP Inspection & Maintenance | Inspect all stormwater treatment structures (BMPs) at least annually and conduct maintenance as necessary. Track number of structures maintained and inspected annually. | 2.3.7.a.iii.6 | |

Checklist for Year 4 MS4 Permit Requirements – Hamilton, MA

| Completion Due Date | Requirement | Task | Permit Section for Reference | Completed? |
|------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|------------|
| 6/30/2022 | Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP) | Update written SWMP | 1.10 | |
| 6/30/2022 | Public Education | Fulfill public education initiatives aimed at target audiences as outlined in the Town's NOI and this SWMP | 2.3.2 | |
| 6/30/2022 | Public Participation | Fulfill public participation initiatives aimed at target audiences as outlined in the Town's NOI and this SWMP | 2.3.3 | |
| 6/30/2022 | Update Drainage Map | Update town-wide drainage mapping as needed to include MS4 infrastructure. | 2.3.4.5 | |
| 6/30/2022 | IDDE Employee Training | Continue to train municipal employees on illicit discharge detection and monitoring. | 2.3.4.11 | |
| 6/30/2025 | Continue IDDE Investigation of Problem Catchments | Continue investigation of problem catchments | 2.3.4.8.a | |
| 6/30/2028 | Continue IDDE Investigation of High and Low Priority Catchments | Continue investigation of high and low priority catchments | 2.3.4.8.a | |
| 6/30/2028 | Begin Wet Weather Outfall Screening and Sampling | Begin sampling outfalls and interconnections with System Vulnerability Factors during wet weather | 2.3.4.8.c | |
| 6/30/2022 | Street Design and Parking Lot Guidelines | Develop a report assessing requirements that affect the creation of impervious cover to determine if design standards for streets and parking lots can be modified to support low impact design options. | 2.3.6.b | |
| 6/30/2022 | Green Infrastructure Practices | Develop a report assessing the barriers and incentives for Green Infrastructure/LID techniques. | 2.3.6.c | |
| 6/30/2022 | BMP Retrofit Identification | Identify 5 permittee-owned properties that could be retrofitted with stormwater BMPs. | 2.3.6.d | |
| 6/30/2022 | Street Sweeping | Sweep streets a minimum of once a year in the spring. Include miles cleaned or volume or mass of material removed in the annual report. | 2.3.7.a.iii.3 | |

| Completion Due Date | Requirement | Task | Permit Section for Reference | Completed? |
|------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|------------|
| 6/30/2022 | Catch Basin Cleaning | Clean catch basins annually to ensure the no catch basin is more than 50% full. Report catch basins cleaned and volume of material removed annually. | 2.3.7.a.iii.3 | |
| 6/30/2022 | Stormwater BMP Inspection & Maintenance | Inspect all stormwater treatment structures (BMPs) at least annually and conduct maintenance as necessary. Track number of structures maintained and inspected annually. | 2.3.7.a.iii.6 | |

Checklist for Year 5 MS4 Permit Requirements – Hamilton, MA

| Completion Due Date | Requirement | Task | Permit Section for Reference | Completed? |
|------------------------|---|--|------------------------------|------------|
| 6/30/2023 | Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP) | Update written SWMP | 1.10 | |
| 6/30/2023 | Public Education | Fulfill public education initiatives aimed at target audiences as outlined in the Town's NOI and this SWMP | 2.3.2 | |
| 6/30/2023 | Public Participation | Fulfill public participation initiatives aimed at target audiences as outlined in the Town's NOI and this SWMP | 2.3.3 | |
| 6/30/2023 | Update Drainage Map | Update town-wide drainage mapping as needed to include MS4 infrastructure. | 2.3.4.5 | |
| 6/30/2023 | IDDE Employee Training | Continue to train municipal employees on illicit discharge detection and monitoring. | 2.3.4.11 | |
| 6/30/2025 | Continue IDDE Investigation of Problem Catchments | Continue investigation of problem catchments | 2.3.4.8.a | |
| 6/30/2028 | Continue IDDE Investigation of High and Low Priority Catchments | Continue investigation of high and low priority catchments | 2.3.4.8.a | |
| 6/30/2028 | Continue Wet Weather Outfall Screening and Sampling | Begin sampling outfalls and interconnections with System Vulnerability Factors during wet weather | 2.3.4.8.c | |

| Completion Due Date | Requirement | Task | Permit Section for Reference | Completed? |
|------------------------|---|--|------------------------------|------------|
| 6/30/2023 | Street Sweeping | Sweep streets a minimum of once a year in the spring. Include miles cleaned or volume or mass of material removed in the annual report. | 2.3.7.a.iii.3 | |
| 6/30/2023 | Catch Basin Cleaning | Clean catch basins annually to ensure the no catch basin is more than 50% full. Report catch basins cleaned and volume of material removed annually. | 2.3.7.a.iii.3 | |
| 6/30/2023 | Stormwater BMP Inspection & Maintenance | Inspect all stormwater treatment structures (BMPs) at least annually and conduct maintenance as necessary. Track number of structures maintained and inspected annually. | 2.3.7.a.iii.6 | |

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

APPENDIX G


Public Education Materials





Put Hazards in Their Place: Safe Storage and Handling Tips for Chemicals and Hazardous Materials

As an industry owner, you are responsible for all pollutants that leave your property. You play a big role in keeping our waterways clean and healthy! Follow these tips to properly store and handle materials like pesticides, fertilizers, and oils.



- Maintain accurate records and Material Safety Data Sheet(MSDS) information for stored materials at your site.
- Store chemicals and hazardous materials according to manufacturer's instructions.
 Storage areas should be dry, cool, well-ventilated, and insulated.
- Check storage areas often for leaks and spills. Be sure storage areas are equipped with easily accessible spill cleanup kits.





- Don't leave materials out in the open. Store them indoors in covered, sealed containers, such as a locked cabinet.
- Don't dump excess, outdated, or waste materials in storm drains or other stormwater structures. Dispose of them according to the manufacturer's instructions and local regulations.
- Don't store materials incorrectly. Waste materials should be kept in secure, labeled containers.

Why is this necessary?

Rain that falls on and around your site can pick up trash and dirt as it drains away. Much of this water, or stormwater, ends up in our lakes, rivers, and streams. It's the fastest growing type of water pollution in Massachusetts. Stormwater pollution is bad business for our State's waterways. It harms fish and wildlife, makes our water unsafe to drink, and can spoil outdoor activities.





Do Your "Doody" for Clean Water

You hate stepping in it. And fish hate swimming in it, too! Dogs produce a lot of waste which, if not disposed of properly, can end up in our waterways. Do your part to keep our waters and public areas clean and healthy! Bag your pet's waste and throw it in a trashcan.

DO DON'T





Did you know that the average dog can produce nearly a pound of waste each day?

- Pet waste left on lawns and in public spaces is not only gross. It can be quite harmful too.
- Pet waste contains twice as much bacteria as human waste!
- If left in your yard, pet waste can kill grass and other plants.
- Adults and children who come in contact with it can get sick.
- When pet waste washes into storm drains and waterways, it can make the water unhealthy for people and wildlife.
- Pet waste in waterways can even cause algae to grow, making the water turn an unpleasant green color.

Do your "doody" in both public areas and in your yard.

To learn more, visit the www.ThinkBlueMassachusetts.org



Do Your Part. Be SepticSmart!



Shield Your Field

Divert rain and surface water away and avoid parking vehicles and planting trees on your drainfield



Don't Overload the Commode

Don't flush diapers, wipes or other items meant for a trashcan down the toilet.



Think at the Sink

Limit use of your garbage disposal and avoid pouring fats, grease, solids and harsh chemicals down the drain.



学学科学学

Drainfield

Septic Tank

Don't Strain Your Drain

Use water efficiently and stagger use of water-based appliances, such as your washing machine or dishwasher.

Well

Groundwater Recharge

Protect It and Inspect It

A typical septic system should be serviced every one to three years by a septic service professional.

Pump Your Tank

Ensure your septic tank is pumped at regular intervals as recommended by a professional.

Keep It Clean

If you are on a well, test your drinking water regularly to ensure it remains clean and free of contamination.

Aquifer





Polluted runoff threatens the health of Massachusetts water. You can do your part at home, at work and at play to help keep our streams clear of pollution after rain and snow melt.

For more tips and information visit www.thinkbluemassachusetts.org.



Scoop it! Pet waste is gross and can make you sick. Bag and dispose of solid pet waste in trash cans.

Close it! Rain water running off of trash cans sends waste into nearby streams. Close your trash can lids, cover dumpsters, and properly dispose of trash to keep pollution locked away.





Stop it! Stormwater pollution often begins at construction sites, but it doesn't have to. Take steps on your job site to prevent dirt from washing into nearby streams, roads and storm drains.

Catch it! Industries and businesses can keep oil, gas, and grease from washing into streams. Use drip pans to catch fluids. Keep absorbent materials close by to clean up small spills. Fix leaks and clean up spills quickly.



What's the Problem with Dog Waste?

Dog waste left in our yards, forest areas and parks can have many adverse effects on the environment.

It's full of harmful bacteria and excess nutrients.

Besides being a neighborhood nuisance, dog waste can make people sick, especially children who are more likely to come into contact with it while playing.

Dog waste left on lawns can also kill or damage grass and other plants.


When dog waste is washed into lakes or streams, the waste decays, uses up oxygen in the water, and sometimes releases ammonia. This can kill fish!

Dog waste also contains nutrients that encourage weed and algae growth.

Too much of these nutrients turn water cloudy and green . . . imagine this in your backyard pond or stream!



Managing dog waste properly is something easy that everyone can do to make a difference in the quality of our surface waters.







DOG WASTE AND SURFACE WATER QUALITY

Did You Know?

There are over ____ licensed dogs in our town.

Each of these dogs produces about ³/₄ pound of solid waste and over 7 billion bacteria daily!



Rainfall and snowmelt in the Town of Hamilton goes untreated into our stormwater system, then directly into local streams, ponds, rivers and lakes.

As it flows, stormwater picks up contaminants and pollutants in its path.

That's why it's important to make sure that dog waste and its pollutants do not end up in the storm drains.

What's So Bad About Dog Waste?

Bacteria and other parasites found in pet waste, such as Giardia and Cryptosporidium, can survive for long periods when left on the ground.

During a rain storm, these pollutants can be washed into local rivers and ponds and into local drinking water supplies.

Individual actions can result in significant water quality improvements when carried out by many people.

Unlike some forms of stormwater pollutants, individual people can easily and economically manage dog waste and help keep our waters safe and aesthetically pleasing.

How You Can Help



BRING IT – Always bring a plastic bag when you walk your dog.

BAG IT – Use the bag as a glove to pick up the dog waste. Scoop it up and turn the bag inside out around the waste.

DISPOSE IT – Properly dispose of dog waste by putting it in a trash can. **Never throw dog waste down a storm drain.**

AND REMEMBER

- Pick up after your pet in your yard
- Only bring your dog where dogs are allowed.

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

APPENDIX H

Regulatory Mechanisms



grievance to such other Board, Commission, or official whose jurisdiction may be more appropriate to address the grievance. A Board, Commission, or official may refer the grievance to the Agricultural Commission, which shall then undertake such efforts as it deems reasonable and appropriate to facilitate an agreement to resolve the grievance. The Agricultural Commission shall file a report with the referring Board, Commission, or official within 30 days after receipt of the referral, unless the referring Board, Commission, or official establishes a different deadline or the parties to the dispute agree to a different deadline. Nothing herein shall impair or limit any other remedy available to an aggrieved party, suspend the time within which any such remedy must be pursued, or impair or limit the authority of the Board of Health or any other government body or official to respond to cases of imminent danger or public health risk.

Section 6 Severability Clause

If any part of this Bylaw is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid, such decision shall not affect the remainder of this Bylaw. The Town of Hamilton hereby declares the provisions of this Bylaw to be severable.

CHAPTER XXIX

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

1. PURPOSE AND INTENT:

The purpose and intent of this bylaw are to:

- A. protect water resources
- B. require practices that mitigate soil erosion and sedimentation and control the volume and rate of stormwater runoff resulting from land disturbance activities;
- C. promote infiltration and the recharge of groundwater;
- D. ensure that soil erosion and sedimentation control measures and stormwater runoff control practices are incorporated into the site planning and design process, and are implemented and maintained;
- E. encourage the use of Low-Impact Development practices such as reducing impervious cover and the preservation of green space and other natural areas, to the maximum extent practicable;
- F. comply with state and federal statutes and regulations relating to stormwater discharges;
- G. establish the Town of Hamilton as the legal authority to ensure compliance with the provisions of this by-law through inspection, monitoring, and enforcement.

2. DEFINITIONS

ABUTTER: The owner(s) of land abutting the legal boundaries of the land on which the land-disturbing activity is proposed.

AGRICULTURE: The normal maintenance or improvement of land in agricultural or aquacultural use, as defined by the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40, and its implementing regulations.

APPLICANT: Any person, individual, partnership, association, firm, company, corporation, trust, authority, agency, department, or political subdivision, of the Commonwealth or the Federal government to the extent permitted by law requesting a Stormwater Management Permit for proposed land-disturbance activity.

AUTHORIZED ENFORCEMENT AGENCY: The Planning Board, the Zoning Board of Appeals, and/or their respective employees or agents designated to enforce this by-law.

BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICE (BMP): An activity, procedure, restraint, or structural improvement that helps to reduce the quantity or improve the quality of stormwater runoff. CLEARING: Any activity that removes the vegetative surface cover.

DEVELOPMENT: The modification of land to accommodate a new use or expansion of use, usually involving construction.

EROSION: The wearing away of the land surface by natural or artificial forces such as wind, water, ice, gravity, or vehicle traffic and the subsequent detachment and transportation of soil particles.

GRADING: Changing the level or shape of the ground surface.

GRUBBING: The act of clearing land surface by digging up roots and stumps

IMPERVIOUS SURFACE: Any material or structure on or above the ground that prevents water infiltrating the underlying soil. Impervious surface includes without limitation roads, paved parking lots, sidewalks, and roof tops.

LAND-DISTURBING ACTIVITY: Any activity that causes a change in the position or location of soil, sand, rock, gravel, or similar earth material.

LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT: A set of strategies that seek to maintain natural systems during the development process. The idea is to create homes and businesses that are integrated into the landscape, not imposed on it. Natural areas and important features are protected, and stormwater is managed with a distributed network of swales and rain gardens, rather than a centralized system of pipes and ponds.

MASSACHUSETTS STORMWATER MANAGEMENT POLICY: The Policy issued by the Department of Environmental Protection, and as amended, that coordinates the requirements prescribed by state regulations promulgated under the authority of the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131 §40 and Massachusetts Clean Waters Act M.G.L. c. 21, §26-53. The Policy addresses stormwater impacts through implementation of performance standards to reduce or prevent pollutants from reaching water bodies and control the quantity of runoff from a site.

MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEM (MS4) or municipal storm drain system: The system of conveyances designed or used for collecting or conveying stormwater, including any road with a drainage system, street, gutter, curb, inlet, piped storm drain, pumping facility, retention or detention basin, natural or man-made or altered drainage channel, reservoir, and other drainage structure that together comprise the storm drainage system owned or operated by the Town of Hamilton.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN: A plan setting up the functional, financial and organizational mechanisms for the ongoing operation and maintenance of a stormwater management system to insure that it continues to function as designed.

OUTFALL: The point at which stormwater flows out from a point source discernible, confined and discrete conveyance into waters of the Commonwealth.

OWNER: A person with a legal or equitable interest in property.

PERMIT AUTHORITY: The Planning Board or the Zoning Board of Appeals, as outlined in Section 5.

PERSON: An individual, partnership, association, firm, company, trust, corporation, agency, authority, department or political subdivision of the Commonwealth or the federal government, to the extent permitted by law, and any officer, employee, or agent of such person.

POINT SOURCE: Any discernible, confined, and discrete conveyance, including but not limited to, any pipe, ditch, channel, tunnel, conduit, well, discrete fissure, or container from which pollutants are or may be discharged.

PRE-CONSTRUCTION: All activity in preparation for construction.

REDEVELOPMENT: Development, rehabilitation, expansion, demolition or phased projects that disturb the ground surface or increase the impervious area on previously developed sites.

RUNOFF: Rainfall, snowmelt, or irrigation water flowing over the ground surface.

SEDIMENT: Mineral or organic soil material that is transported by wind or water, from its origin to another location; the product of erosion processes.

SEDIMENTATION: The process or act of deposition of sediment.

SITE: Any lot or parcel of land or area of property where land-disturbing activities are, were, or will be performed.

SLOPE: The incline of a ground surface expressed as a ratio of horizontal distance to vertical distance.

SOIL: Any earth, sand, rock, gravel, or similar material.

STORMWATER: Stormwater runoff, snow melt runoff, and surface water runoff and drainage.

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN: A plan required as part of the application for a Stormwater Management Permit. See Section 6 and Planning Board Rules and Regulations. A document containing narrative, drawings and details developed by a qualified professional engineer (PE) or a Certified Professional in Erosion and Sedimentation Control (CPESC), which includes best management practices, or equivalent measures designed to control surface runoff, erosion and sedimentation during pre-construction and construction related land disturbance activities.

VERNAL POOLS: Temporary bodies of freshwater which provide critical habitat for a number of vertebrate and invertebrate wildlife species.

3. AUTHORITY

- A. This bylaw is adopted under authority granted by the Home Rule Amendment of the Massachusetts Constitution, the Home Rule statutes, and pursuant to the regulations of the federal Clean Water Act found at 40 CFR §22.34
- B. Nothing in this Bylaw is intended to replace the requirements of any other bylaw that has been made or may be adopted by the Town of Hamilton.

4. APPLICABILITY

A. This bylaw shall apply to all activities that result in disturbance of one or more acres of land that drains to the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System. A permit from the Permit Authority shall be required for any construction activity including clearing, grading and excavation, that results in a land disturbance that will disturb equal to or greater than one acre of land, or will disturb less than one acre of land but which is part of a larger common plan of development or sale which will ultimately disturb equal to or greater than one acre of land, draining to the Town's Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System.

B. Construction activities that are exempt are:

- 1. Normal maintenance and improvement of land in agricultural use as defined by the Wetlands Protection Act regulations 310 CMR 10.04 and MGL Chapter 40A, §3;
- 2. Maintenance of existing landscaping, gardens, or lawn areas associated with a single family dwelling provided such maintenance does not include the addition of more than 100 cubic yards of soil material, or alteration of drainage patterns;
- 3. The construction of fencing that will not substantially alter existing terrain or drainage patterns;
- 4. Normal maintenance of Town owned public land, ways, and appurtenances;
- 5. Repair or maintenance of an individual subsurface septic disposal system, and related elements such as pipes, etc., provided that the post-repair condition drainage is equal to the pre-repair condition.
- 6. Any work or projects for which all necessary approvals and permits have been issued before the effective date of this Bylaw section.
- 7. Maintenance, reconstruction or resurfacing of any public or private way; and the installation of drainage structures or utilities within or associated with such ways that have been approved by the appropriate authorities provided that written notice be filed with the Planning Board fourteen (14) days prior to commencement of activity;

5. RESPONSIBILITY FOR ADMINISTRATION

- A. For the purpose of this Bylaw section, as noted in B. below, the term Permit Authority shall indicate the Planning Board or the Zoning Board of Appeals, as appropriate. Any powers granted to or duties imposed upon the Permit Authority may be delegated in writing by the Permit Authority to its employees or agents.
- B. To the extent that the Zoning Board of Appeals is the Permit Authority under M.G.L. Chapter 40B and for certain activity, development, construction or reconstruction under the Zoning Bylaw for which the Applicant must also seek a Storm water Management Permit, then the Zoning Board of Appeals shall also be the Permit Authority in that instance.
- C. For all other applications which fall under the purview of this bylaw, the Planning Board shall be the Permit Authority.
- D. Applications, plans and accompanying data under this bylaw may be filed simultaneously with and may be incorporated into those plans and documents required in other permitting processes.
- E. Planning Board Rules and Regulations. The Planning Board shall adopt, and may periodically

amend Rules and Regulations to effectuate the purposes of this Bylaw section. Failure by the Planning Board to promulgate such Rules and Regulations shall not have the effect of suspending or invalidating this bylaw. The Rules and Regulations shall include but shall not be limited to: the size, quantity, and distribution of plans; filing fee; required details for Storm water Management Plan; Operation and Maintenance Plan; and Inspection and Site Supervision, etc.

F. Storm water Management Manual

- 1. The Permit Authority will utilize the policy, criteria and information including specifications and standards of the latest edition of the Massachusetts Storm water Management Policy to execute the provisions of this Bylaw. This Policy includes a list of acceptable storm water treatment practices, including the specific design criteria for each. The Policy may be updated and expanded periodically, based on improvements in engineering, science, monitoring, and local maintenance experience. Unless specifically altered in the Regulations, storm water treatment practices that are designed, constructed, and maintained in accordance with these design and sizing criteria will be presumed to be protective of Massachusetts water quality standards.
- 2. Storm water Credit System. The Permit Authority may adopt a Storm water Credit System as part of the regulations authorized by this Bylaw section. This credit system will allow applicants the option to use better site design practices to reduce some of the requirements specified in the criteria section of the Regulations. Failure of the Permit Authority to promulgate such a credit system through its Regulations or a legal declaration of its invalidity by a court shall not act to suspend or invalidate the effect of this Bylaw.

6. PERMITS AND PROCEDURES

A. Application.

A completed application for a Storm water Management Permit (SMP) shall be filed with the Permit Authority. The Storm water Management Permit Application package shall include:

- 1. a completed Application Form with original signatures of all owners;
- 2. a list of abutters, certified by the Assessors Office (abutters at their mailing addresses shown on the most recent applicable tax list of the assessors, including owners of land directly opposite on any public or private street or way, and abutters to the abutters within 300 feet of the property line of the applicant, including any in another municipality or across a body of water);
- 3. Storm water Management Plan and project description;
- 4. Operation and Maintenance Plan;
- 5. payment of the application and review fees;
- 6. one (1) complete copy filed with the Town Clerk, by the Applicant, which shall serve to establish

the filing date.

7. A full electronic copy submitted by e-mail or on a CD.

See Storm water Management Permit Rules and Regulations for additional filing requirements.

B. Entry. Filing an application for a permit grants the Permit Authority or its agent, permission to enter the site to verify the information in the application and to inspect for compliance with permit conditions, after proper notification to the Applicant at least 24 hours in advance.

C. Public Hearing

- 1. If an application is filed concurrently for a Definitive Subdivision Plan approval, for a project under the Zoning Bylaw, or for a 40B filing, the public hearing and decision requirements shall follow the requirements of the particular application.
- 2. To the extent possible, required hearings of the Permit Authority shall run concurrently with the Storm water Management Permit hearing, and every effort shall be made to handle the permitting process expeditiously.
- 3. If an application is filed independently of other filings, the Permit Authority shall hold a public hearing within sixty (60) days of the receipt of a complete application and shall take final action within sixty (60) days from the time of the close of the hearing unless such time is extended by agreement between the applicant and the Permit Authority. Notice of the public hearing shall be given by publication in a newspaper of local circulation, public posting, and by certified mail at the Applicant's expense to abutters at least fourteen (14) days prior to the hearing date. The Permit Authority shall make the application available for inspection by the public during business hours at the Hamilton Town Hall.

D. Information requests.

The applicant shall submit all additional information requested by the Permit Authority to issue a decision on the application.

E. Waivers

- 1. The Permit Authority may waive strict compliance with any requirement of this bylaw or the Rules and Regulations of the Planning Board, where
 - a. Such action is allowed by federal, state and local statutes and/or regulations
 - b. It is in the public interest
 - c. It is not consistent with the purpose and intent of this bylaw.
- 2. Any Applicant may submit a written request to be granted such a waiver. Such a request shall be accompanied by an explanation or documentation supporting the waiver request and demonstrating that strict application of the bylaw does not further the purpose or objectives of this bylaw.

- 3. All waiver requests shall be discussed and voted on at the Public Hearing for the project.
- 4. If in the Permit Authority's opinion, additional time or information is required for review of a waiver request, the Permit Authority may continue the hearing to a date certain announced at the meeting. In the event the Applicant objects to a continuance, or fails to provide requested information, the waiver request shall be denied.
- F. Actions by the Permit Authority may include:
- 1. Approve the Stormwater Management Permit Application and issue a permit if it finds that the proposed plan will protect water resources and meets the objectives and requirements of this bylaw;
- 2. Approve the Stormwater Management Permit Application and issue a permit with conditions, modifications or restrictions that the Permit Authority determines are required to ensure that the project will protect water resources and meets the objectives and requirements of this by-law;
- 3. Disapprove the Stormwater Management Permit Application and deny the permit if it finds that the proposed plan will not protect water resources or fails to meet the objectives and requirements of this by-law.
- G. Failure of the Permit Authority to take final action. Failure of the Permit Authority to take final action upon an Application within the time specified above shall be deemed to be approval of said Application. Upon certification by the Town Clerk that the allowed time has passed without the Permit Authority's action, the Stormwater Management Permit shall be issued by the Town Clerk.
- H. Vote required. A simple majority of the Permit Authority shall be required in order to grant a Stormwater Management Permit.
- I. The Permit Authority shall enforce all violations of the Stormwater Management Permit approval and conditions. See Section 12. below for enforcement of violations of this bylaw section which do not fall under a valid Stormwater Management Permit.
- J. Appeals A decision of the Permit Authority shall be final. Further relief of a decision by the Permit Authority made under this bylaw shall be reviewable in the Superior Court in an action filed within 60 days of filing a Decision with the Town Clerk, in accordance with M.G.L. Ch. 249 §4.

7. FINAL REPORT

Upon completion of the work, the Applicant shall submit a report (including certified as-built construction plans, as outlined in Subdivision Regulations, Section IV.B.8.a), from a Registered Professional Engineer (P.E.), certifying that all erosion and sediment control devices, and approved changes and modifications, have been completed in accordance with the conditions of the approved permit. Any discrepancies should be noted in the cover letter.

8. CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION

The Permit Authority will issue a letter certifying completion upon receipt and approval of the Final Report and/or upon otherwise determining that all work of the permit has been satisfactorily completed in conformance with this bylaw.

9. EMPLOYMENT OF OUTSIDE CONSULTANTS

The Permit Authority may employ outside consultants, at the Applicant's expense, under the terms of the Zoning Bylaw, and Planning Board Rules and Regulations, to assist in its permit decision, including but not limited to plan review, drainage and stormwater analysis; to determine conformance with this Bylaw section and other requirements; and for construction inspection, etc.

10. PERFORMANCE GUARANTEE

- A. The Permit Authority may require the Applicant to post, before the start of land disturbance activity, a surety bond, irrevocable letter of credit, cash, or other acceptable security as performance guarantee, to be in an amount deemed sufficient by the Permit Authority to ensure that the work will be completed in accordance with the permit. If the project is phased, the Permit Authority may release part of the bond as each phase is completed in compliance with the permit but the bond may not be fully released until the Permit Authority has received the Final Report as required by Section 7 of this bylaw and issued a Certificate of Completion.
- B. The Planning Board Rules and Regulations shall establish reasonable criteria for assessing the Performance Guarantee.

11. DURATION OF STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PERMIT

A Stormwater Management Permit is granted for a period of three years from the date of its approval and shall lapse if substantial use or construction has not commenced by such date, except for good cause as shown.

12. ENFORCEMENT of VIOLATIONS Which do not Fall under a Stormwater Management Permit

A. In any instance where a SWM Permit has not been applied for or granted, a disturbance of earth equal to or greater than one acre of land shall constitute a violation of this bylaw section. The Planning Board, or an authorized agent of the Planning Board, shall enforce this bylaw, regulations, orders, violation notices, and enforcement orders, and may pursue all civil and criminal remedies for such violations.

B. Orders

- 1. The Planning Board or an authorized agent of the Planning Board may issue a written order to enforce the provisions of this by-law or the regulations thereunder, which may include:
 - (a) a requirement to cease and desist from the land-disturbing activity until there is compliance with the bylaw and provisions of the land-disturbance permit;
 - (b) maintenance, installation or performance of additional erosion and sediment control measures:
 - (c) monitoring, analyses, and reporting
 - (d) remediation of erosion and sedimentation resulting directly or indirectly from the land-disturbing activity.
- 2. If the enforcing person determines that abatement or remediation of erosion and sedimentation is required, the order shall set forth a deadline by which such abatement or remediation must be completed. Said order shall further advise that, should the violator or property owner fail to abate or perform remediation within the specified deadline, the Town may, at its option, undertake such work, and the property owner shall reimburse the Town expenses.
- 3. Within thirty (30) days after completing all measures necessary to abate the violation or to perform remediation, the violator and the property owner shall be notified of the costs incurred by the Town of Hamilton, including administrative costs. The violator or property owner may file a written protest objecting to the amount or basis of costs with the Planning Board within thirty (30) days of receipt of the notification of the costs incurred. If the amount due is not received by the expiration of the time in which to file a protest or within thirty (30) days following a decision of the Planning Board affirming or reducing the costs, or from a final decision of a court of competent jurisdiction, the costs shall become a special assessment against the property owner and shall constitute a lien on the owner's property for the amount of said costs. Interest shall begin to accrue on any unpaid costs at the statutory rate, as provided in M.G.L. Ch. 59, §57, after the thirty-first day following the day on which the costs were due.
- C. Criminal Penalties Any person who violates any provision of this Bylaw, regulation, or permit issued hereunder, shall be subject to fines, civil action, criminal prosecution, and tax liens, as appropriate and as lawfully established by the Town of Hamilton.
- D. Non-Criminal Disposition. As an alternative to criminal prosecution or civil action, the Town may elect to utilize the non-criminal disposition procedure set forth in M.G.L. Ch.. 40 §21D and General Bylaw Chapter XIII, *Penalties for Violation* in which case the Planning Board shall be the enforcing person. The penalty for the 1st violation shall be \$100.00. The penalty for the 2nd violation shall be \$200.00. The penalty for the 3rd and subsequent violations shall be \$300.00.

Each day or part thereof that such violation occurs or continues shall constitute a separate offense.

- E. Appeals. The decisions or orders of the Planning Board shall be final. Further relief shall be to a court of competent jurisdiction.
- F. Remedies Not Exclusive. The remedies listed in this by-law are not exclusive of any other remedies available under any applicable federal, state or local law.

13. SEVERABILITY

If any provision, paragraph, sentence, or clause of this by-law shall be held invalid for any reason, all other provisions shall continue in full force and effect.

CHAPTER XXX

ILLICIT DISCHARGE DETECTION AND ELIMINATION BY-LAW

1. PURPOSE

Regulation of illicit connections and discharges to the municipal storm drain system is necessary for the protection of the town's water bodies and groundwater, and to safeguard the public health, safety, welfare and the environment. The objectives of this By-Law are:

- 1. to prevent Pollutants from entering the town's municipal separate storm drain system (MS4);
- 2. to prohibit illicit connections and unauthorized discharges to the MS4;
- 3. to require the removal of all such illicit connections;
- 4. to comply with state and federal statutes and regulations relating to stormwater discharges; and
- 5. to establish the legal authority to ensure compliance with the provisions of this By-Law through inspection, monitoring, and enforcement.

2. DEFINITIONS

For the purposes of this By-Law, the following shall mean:

AUTHORIZED ENFORCEMENT AGENCY: The Board of Selectmen, as the Board of Public Works, with the Director of Public Works as its Designated Agent.

BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICE (BMP): An activity, procedure, restraint, or structural improvement that helps to reduce the quantity or improve the quality of stormwater runoff.

CLEAN WATER ACT: The Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. §1251 et seq.) as hereafter amended.

DISCHARGE OF POLLUTANTS: The addition from any source of any Pollutant or combination of Pollutants into the municipal storm drain system or into the waters of the United States or Commonwealth from any source.

GROUNDWATER: Water beneath the surface of the ground.

ILLICIT CONNECTION: A surface or subsurface drain or conveyance, which allows an illicit discharge into the municipal storm drain system, including without limitation sewage, process wastewater, or wash water and any connections from indoor drains, sinks, or toilets, regardless of whether said connection was previously allowed, permitted, or approved before the effective date of this By-Law.

ILLICIT DISCHARGE: Direct or indirect discharge to the municipal storm drain system that is not composed entirely of stormwater, except as exempted in Section 8. The term does not include a discharge in compliance with an NPDES Storm Water Discharge Permit or a Surface Water Discharge Permit, or resulting from fire fighting activities exempted pursuant to Section 8, of this By-Law.

IMPERVIOUS SURFACE: Any material or structure on or above the ground that prevents water infiltrating the underlying soil. Impervious surface includes without limitation roads, paved parking lots, sidewalks, and rooftops.

MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM DRAIN SYSTEM (MS4) or MUNICIPAL STORM SEWER SYSTEM: The system of conveyances designed or used for collecting or conveying stormwater, including any road with a drainage system, street, gutter, curb, inlet, piped storm drain, pumping facility, retention or detention basin, natural or man-made or altered drainage channel, reservoir, and other drainage structure that together comprise the storm drainage system owned or operated by the Town of Hamilton.

NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES) STORM WATER DISCHARGE PERMIT: A permit issued by United States Environmental Protection Agency or jointly with the State that authorizes the discharge of Pollutants to waters of the United States.

NON-STORMWATER DISCHARGE: Discharge to the municipal storm drain system not composed entirely of stormwater.

PERSON: An individual, partnership, association, firm, company, trust, corporation, agency, authority, department or political subdivision of the Commonwealth or the federal government, to the extent permitted by law, and any officer, employee, or agent of such person.

POLLUTANT: Any element or property of sewage, agricultural, industrial or commercial waste, runoff, leachate, heated effluent, or other matter whether originating at a point or nonpoint source, that is or may be introduced into any sewage treatment works or waters of the Commonwealth.

Pollutants shall include without limitation:

- 1. paints, varnishes, and solvents;
- 2. oil and other automotive fluids;
- 3. non-hazardous liquid and solid wastes and yard wastes;
- 4. refuse, rubbish, garbage, litter, or other discarded or abandoned objects, ordnances, and accumulations;
- 5. pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers;
- 6. hazardous materials and wastes; sewage, fecal coliform and pathogens;
- 7. dissolved and particulate metals;
- 8. animal wastes;
- 9. rock, sand, salt, soils;
- 10. construction wastes and residues; and
- 11. noxious or offensive matter of any kind.

PROCESS WASTEWATER: Water which, during manufacturing or processing, comes into direct contact with or results from the production or use of any material, intermediate product, finished product, or waste product.

RECHARGE: The process by which groundwater is replenished by precipitation through the percolation of runoff and surface water through the soil.

STORMWATER: Storm water runoff, snow melt runoff, and surface water runoff and drainage.

SURFACE WATER DISCHARGE PERMIT: A permit issued by the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) pursuant to 314 CMR 3.00 that authorizes the discharge of Pollutants to waters of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

TOXIC OR HAZARDOUS MATERIAL or WASTE: Any material, which because of its quantity, concentration, chemical, corrosive, flammable, reactive, toxic, infectious or radioactive characteristics, either separately or in combination with any substance or substances, constitutes a present or potential threat to human health, safety, welfare, or to the environment. Toxic or hazardous materials include any

synthetic organic chemical, petroleum product, heavy metal, radioactive or infectious waste, acid and alkali, and any substance defined as Toxic or Hazardous under M.G.L. Ch. 21C and Ch. 21E, and the regulations at 310 CMR 30.000 and 310 CMR 40.0000.

WATERCOURSE: A natural or man-made channel through which water flows or a stream of water, including a river, brook or underground stream.

WATERS OF THE COMMONWEALTH: All waters within the jurisdiction of the Commonwealth, including, without limitation, rivers, streams, lakes, ponds, springs, impoundments, estuaries, wetlands, costal waters, and groundwater.

WASTEWATER: Any sanitary waste, sludge, or septic tank or cesspool overflow, and water that during manufacturing, cleaning or processing, comes into direct contact with or results from the production or use of any raw material, intermediate product, finished product, byproduct or waste product.

3. APPLICABILITY

This By-Law shall apply to flows entering the municipally owned storm drainage system.

4. AUTHORITY

- A. This By-Law is adopted under the authority granted by the Home Rule Amendment of the Massachusetts Constitution and the Home Rule Procedures Act, and pursuant to the regulations of the federal Clean Water Act found at 40 CFR §122.34 and the Phase II ruling from the Environmental Protection Agency found in the December 8, 1999 Federal Register.
- B. Nothing in this By-Law is intended to replace the requirements or authority of any other By-Law, state, federal, or superseding authority.

5. RESPONSIBILITY FOR ADMINISTRATION

The Town Manager shall administer, implement and enforce this By-Law. Any powers granted to or duties imposed upon the Town Manager may be delegated in writing by him to the Designated Agent or to another authorized agent.

6. REGULATIONS

The Board of Selectmen may promulgate Rules and Regulations to effectuate the purposes of this By-Law. Failure by the Board to promulgate such Rules and Regulations shall not have the effect of suspending or invalidating this By-Law.

7. PROHIBITED ACTIVITIES

- A. Illicit Discharges. No person shall dump, discharge, cause or allow to be discharged any Pollutant or non-stormwater discharge into the municipal separate storm drain system (MS4), into a watercourse, or into the waters of the Commonwealth.
- B. Illicit Connections. No person shall construct, use, allow, maintain or continue any illicit connection to the municipal storm drain system, regardless of whether the connection was permissible under applicable law, regulation or custom at the time of connection.
- C. Obstruction of Municipal Storm Drain System. No person shall obstruct or interfere with the normal flow of stormwater into or out of the municipal storm drain system without prior written approval from the Designated Agent.

8. EXEMPTIONS

- A. Discharge or flow resulting from fire fighting activities.
- B. The following non-stormwater discharges or flows are exempt from the prohibition of non-stormwaters provided that the source is not a significant contributor of a Pollutant to the municipal storm drain system and such discharge complies with the requirements of Chapter X, Section 9 of these By-laws.
- 1. Waterline flushing;
- 2. Flow from potable water sources;
- 3. Springs;
- 4. Natural flow from riparian habitats and wetlands;
- 5. Diverted stream flow;
- 6. Rising groundwater;
- 7. Uncontaminated groundwater infiltration as defined in 40 CFR 35.2005(20), or uncontaminated pumped groundwater;
- 8. Water from exterior foundation drains, footing drains (not including active groundwater dewatering systems), crawl space pumps, or air conditioning condensation;
- 9. Discharge from landscape irrigation or lawn watering;
- 10. Water from individual residential car washing;

- 11. Discharge from dechlorinated swimming pool water (less than one ppm chlorine), provided the water is allowed to stand for one week prior to draining and the pool is drained in such a way as not to cause a nuisance;
- 12. Plowing, sanding, and salting, and other measures during snow and ice conditions;
- 13. Discharge from street sweeping;
- 14. Dye testing, provided verbal notification is given to the Designated Agent at least 7 days prior to the time of the test;
- 15. Non-stormwater discharge permitted under an NPDES permit or a Surface Water Discharge Permit, waiver, or waste discharge order administered under the authority of the United States Environmental Protection Agency or the Department of Environmental Protection, provided that the discharge is in full compliance with the requirements of the permit, waiver, or order and applicable laws and regulations; and
- 16. Discharge for which advanced written approval is received from the Designated Agent as necessary to protect public health, safety, welfare or the environment.
- 17. Discharge or flow that results from exigent conditions and occurs during a State of Emergency declared by any agency of the federal or state government, or by the Town Manager, the Board of Selectmen or the Board of Health.

9. EMERGENCY SUSPENSION OF STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM ACCESS

The Designated Agent may suspend municipal storm drain system access to any person or property without prior written notice when such suspension is necessary to stop an actual or threatened discharge of Pollutants that presents imminent risk of harm to the public health, safety, welfare or the environment. In the event any person fails to comply with an emergency suspension order, the Authorized Enforcement Agency may take all reasonable steps to prevent or minimize harm to the public health, safety, welfare or the environment.

10. NOTIFICATION OF SPILLS

- A. Notwithstanding other requirements of local, state or federal law, as soon as a person responsible for a facility or operation, or responsible for emergency response for a facility or operation has information of or suspects a release of materials at that facility or operation resulting in or which may result in discharge of Pollutants to the municipal drainage system or waters of the Commonwealth, the person shall take all necessary steps to ensure containment, and cleanup of the release.
- B. In the event of a release of oil or hazardous materials, the person shall immediately notify the municipal Fire and Police Departments and the Designated Agent. In the event of a release of non-hazardous material, the reporting person shall notify the Designated Agent no later than the next

business day. The reporting person shall provide to the Designated Agent written confirmation of all telephone, facsimile or in-person notifications within three business days thereafter.

C. If the discharge of prohibited materials is from a commercial or industrial facility, the facility owner or operator of the facility shall retain on-site a written record of the discharge and the actions taken to prevent its recurrence. Such records shall be retained for at least three years.

11. ENFORCEMENT

- A. The Town Manager shall enforce this By-Law, regulations, orders, violation notices, and enforcement orders, and may pursue all civil and criminal remedies for such violations.
- B. Civil Relief. If a person violates the provisions of this By-Law, regulations, permit, notice, or order issued thereunder, the Board of Selectmen may seek injunctive relief in a court of competent jurisdiction restraining the person from activities which would create further violations or compelling the person to perform abatement or remediation of the violation.
- B. Orders. The Town Manager may issue a written order to enforce the provisions of this By-Law or the regulations thereunder, which may include: (a) elimination of illicit connections or discharges to the MS4; (b) performance of monitoring, analyses, and reporting; (c) that unlawful discharges, practices, or operations shall cease and desist; and (d) remediation of contamination in connection therewith.
- C. If the enforcing person determines that abatement or remediation of contamination is required, the order shall set forth a deadline by which such abatement or remediation must be completed. Said order shall further advise that, should the violator or property owner fail to abate or perform remediation within the specified deadline, the town may, at its option, undertake such work, and expenses thereof shall be charged to the violator.
- D. Within thirty (30) days after completing all measures necessary to abate the violation or to perform remediation, the violator and the property owner will be notified of the costs incurred by the Town, including administrative costs. The violator or property owner may file a written protest objecting to the amount or basis of costs with the Town Manager within thirty (30) days of receipt of the notification of the costs incurred. If the amount due is not received by the expiration of the time in which to file a protest or within thirty (30) days following a decision of the Town Manager, affirming or reducing the costs, or from a final decision of a court of competent jurisdiction, the costs shall become a special assessment against the property owner and shall constitute a lien on the owner's property for the amount of said costs. Interest shall begin to accrue on any unpaid costs at the statutory rate provided in M.G.L. Ch. 59 §57 after the thirty-first day at which the costs first become due.
- E. Criminal Penalties. Any person who violates any provision of this By-Law, regulation, or permit issued hereunder, shall be subject to fines, civil action, criminal prosecution, and tax liens, as appropriate and as lawfully established by the Town of Hamilton.
- F. Non-Criminal Disposition. As an alternative to criminal prosecution or civil action, the Town of Hamilton may elect to utilize the non-criminal disposition procedure set forth in M. G.L. Ch. 40 §21D and General By-Law Chapter XIII, in which case the Board of Selectmen shall be the enforcing person. The penalty for each violation shall be \$100.00 for the first offense, \$200.00 for the second violation,

and \$300.00 for the third violation. Each day or part thereof that such violation occurs or continues shall constitute a separate offense.

- G. Entry to Perform Duties Under this By-Law. To the extent permitted by state law, or if authorized by the owner or other party in control of the property, the Town Manager may enter upon privately owned property for the purpose of performing his duties under this By-Law and regulations and may make or cause to be made such examinations, surveys or sampling as the Town Manager deems reasonably necessary.
- H. Appeals. The decisions or orders of the Town Manager shall be final. Further relief shall be to a court of competent jurisdiction.
- I. Remedies Not Exclusive, . The remedies listed in this By-Law are not exclusive of any other remedies available under any applicable federal, state or local law.

SECTION 12. SEVERABILITY

The provisions of this By-Law are hereby declared to be severable. If any provision, paragraph, sentence, or clause, of this By-Law or the application thereof to any person, establishment, or circumstances shall be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect the other provisions or application of this By-Law.

SECTION 13. TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS

Property owners shall have 90 days from the effective date of the By-Law to comply with its provisions or petition the Board of Selectmen for an extension provided good cause is shown for the failure to comply with the By-Law during the specified period.

CHAPTER XXXI

TOWN OF HAMILTON ADOPTION OF HISTORIC DISTRICT BY-LAW

1. There is hereby established a Historic District, hereinafter called "The District" under the provisions of General Laws, Chapter 40C, as amended, bounded and described as set forth in the copy of the bylaw on file with the Town Clerk.

The metes and bounds are shown on a map entitled "Hamilton Historic District, Established 1972" filed with the Town Clerk and to be recorded with the Essex South District Registry of Deeds. Said map is designated as the map required for filing in accordance with the fourth paragraph of Section 3 of said Chapter 40C, as amended. The sources of said map are Assessors Maps #40 and #49 on file with the Board of Assessors of Hamilton.

Town of Hamilton

Stormwater Management Permit Rules & Regulations August 2010

1. INTRODUCTION

The Stormwater Management Permit Rules and Regulations are promulgated under the Authority of Town of Hamilton General Bylaw Ch. XXIX, Stormwater Management (the "Bylaw"). These Rules and Regulations shall be adopted by the Planning Board following a duly posted and noticed Public Hearing, vote of the Board, and filing of final document with the Town Clerk. The Rules and Regulations may be amended by following the same procedure.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

All definitions in the Bylaw apply to this section as well

CONSTRUCTION AND WASTE MATERIALS: Excess or discarded building or site materials, including but not limited to concrete truck washout, chemicals, litter and sanitary waste at a construction site that may adversely impact water quality.

DISTURBANCE OF LAND: Any action that causes a change in the position, location, or arrangement of soil, sand rock, gravel of similar earth material.

EROSION: The wearing away of the land surface by natural or artificial forces such as wind, water, ice, gravity, or vehicle traffic and the subsequent detachment and transportation of soil particles.

ESTIMATED HABITAT OF RARE WILDLIFE AND CERTIFIED VERNAL POOLS: Habitats delineated for state-protected rare wildlife and certified vernal pools for use with the Wetlands Protection Act Regulations (310 CMR 10.00) and the Forest Cutting Practices Act Regulations (304 CMR 11.00).

MASSACHUSETTS ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT: (G.L. c. 131A) and its implementing regulations at (321 CMR 10.00) which prohibit the "taking" of any rare plant or animal species listed as Endangered, Threatened, or of Special Concern.

LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT: A set of strategies that seek to maintain natural systems during the development process. The idea is to create homes and businesses that are integrated into the landscape, not imposed on it. Natural areas and important features are protected, and stormwater is managed with a distributed network of swales and rain gardens, rather than a centralized system of pipes and ponds.

OUTSTANDING RESOURCE WATERS (ORWs): Waters designated by Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection as ORWs. These waters have exceptional sociologic,

recreational, ecological and/or aesthetic values and are subject to more stringent requirements under both the Massachusetts Surface Water Quality Standards (314 CMR 4.00) and the Massachusetts Stormwater Management Standards as set forth in the Massachusetts Stormwater Management Policy. ORWs include vernal pools certified by the Natural Heritage Program of the Massachusetts Department of Fisheries and Wildlife and Environmental Law Enforcement, all Class A designated public water supplies with their bordering vegetated wetlands, and other waters specifically designated.

PERMIT AUTHORITY: Shall be the Planning Board or Zoning Board of Appeals.

PRIORITY HABITAT OF RARE SPECIES: Habitats delineated for rare plant and animal populations protected pursuant to the Massachusetts Endangered Species Act and its regulations.

STABILIZATION: The use, singly or in combination, of mechanical, structural, or vegetative methods, to prevent or retard erosion.

STRIP: Any activity which removes the vegetative ground surface cover, including tree removal, clearing, grubbing, and storage or removal of topsoil.

VERNAL POOLS: Temporary bodies of freshwater which provide critical habitat for a number of vertebrate and invertebrate wildlife species.

WATERCOURSE: A natural or man-man channel through which water flows or a stream of water, including a river, brook, or underground stream.

WETLAND RESOURCE AREA: Areas specified in the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act G.L. c. 131, § 40 and in the Town of Hamilton Wetland Bylaw.

3A. APPLICABILITY – SMALLER PROJECTS

A. Applications:

Applications for Smaller Projects:

An abbreviated application for a Stormwater Management Permit (SMP) may be filed with the Permit Authority for any construction activity including clearing, grading and excavation that results in a land disturbance equal to or greater than one acre of land, but less than two acres of land for a single family residential construction or residential addition of less than 1,000 square feet (sf) of foundation to an existing dwelling provided construction will occur on:

- 1. an Approval Not Required lot that is not adjacent to another lot which is currently under construction and/or the adjacent lot has not been issued an occupancy permit,
- 2. a lot created by a previously approved subdivision plan dated 1990 or later which has access and frontage on a roadway that has an approved drainage system in place,
- 3. a lot that has less than 10,000 sf with a slope of 15% or greater,
- 4. a lot that has less than 20,000 sf with a slope of 10% or greater,
- 5. a lot that has less than 30,000 sf with a slope of 5% or greater,
- 6. a lot in which the area of work is determined to be under the jurisdiction of the Conservation Commission,
- 7. a lot that does not currently or propose to create a roof top greater than 1,000 sf with runoff from one discharge point,
- 8. a lot that does not have roof top runoff which commingles with paved surface Runoff or,
- 9. a lot that is not located in the Groundwater Protection Overlay District.

4A. PERMIT PROCEDURES AND REQUIREMENTS – SMALLER PROJECTS

Abbreviated Stormwater Management Permit (ASMP) Application package shall include:

1. Completed Application Form, signed by all Owners and Applicants Distribution of Plans:

If the Permit Authority is the:

• Zoning Board of Appeals: 11 copies

• Planning Board: 13 copies

The Permit Authority shall distribute via e-file a copy to each of the following: Board of Health, Building Inspector, Department of Public Works, Conservation Commission, the Planning Board and/or Zoning Board of Appeals as appropriate.

- A. The Permit Authority shall examine the Application package for compliance with the Bylaw and these regulations. Incomplete applications will be returned to the Applicant with a list of missing information which must be supplied before a public meeting may be scheduled.
- B. Entry. The filing of an application grants the Permitting Authority permission to enter the site to verify the information in the application and to inspect for compliance with permit conditions.
- 2. A copy of the recorded deed to the property.
- 3. Fees per the following Fee Schedule:
 - A. Filing Fee:

Single Dwelling Application: \$100.00 plus \$10.00 per each 1,000 square foot of land disturbance in excess of one acre, to a maximum of \$500.00

Re-submittal or Modification Fee: Single Dwelling Application: \$100.00

- B. Technical Review may take place in conjunction with outside technical review of the accompanying plan filed under M.G.L. Chapter 44, Section 81, M.G.L. Chapter 40A, and M.G.L. Chapter 40B. Employment of Outside Consultants under the terms of M.G.L. Chapter 44, Section 53G, is authorized for review under these chapters and Section 8 of these Rules and Regulations.
- 4. The name and address of the property owner and the Applicant, if different from the property owner; a narrative describing the nature and location of the project and the site, complete dimensions and area; the zoning classification(s) that apply to the property; Assessor's Map and lot numbers; the proposed building or

addition size with a breakdown of proposed uses; and projected parking spaces required for the development.

- 5. A list of requested Waivers.
- 6. A full electronic copy submitted by e-mail or on a CD to the Permit Authority.
- 7. One (1) complete copy shall be filed by the Applicant with the Town Clerk. The date of receipt by the Town Clerk shall be the official filing date.
- 8. Stormwater Management Plan and project description.
 - A. The Stormwater Management Plan shall contain sufficient information to describe the nature and purpose of the proposed development, pertinent conditions of the site and the adjacent areas, and proposed erosion and sedimentation controls. The Applicant shall submit such material as is necessary to show that the proposed development will comply with the design requirements.
 - B. The design requirements of the Stormwater Management Plan shall include at a minimum but not be limited to the following:
 - 1. Minimize total area of disturbance;
 - 2. Sequence activities to minimize simultaneous areas of disturbance;
 - 3. Minimize peak rate of runoff in accordance with the Massachusetts Stormwater Policy;
 - 4. Minimize soil erosion and control sedimentation during construction, provided that prevention of erosion is preferred over sedimentation control;
 - 5. Divert uncontaminated water around disturbed areas;
 - 6. Maximize groundwater recharge;
 - 7. Install and maintain all Erosion and Sediment Control measures in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications and good engineering practices;
 - 8. Prevent off-site transport of sediment;
 - 9. Protect and manage on and off-site material storage areas (overburden and stockpiles of dirt, borrow areas, or other areas used solely by the permitted project are considered a part of the project);

- 10. Comply with applicable Federal, State and local laws and regulations including waste disposal, sanitary sewer or septic system regulations, and air quality requirements, including dust control;
- 11. Prevent significant alteration of habitats mapped by the Massachusetts Natural Heritage & Endangered Species Program as Endangered, Threatened or Of Special Concern, Estimated Habitats of Rare Wildlife and Certified Vernal Pools, and Priority Habitats of Rare Species from the proposed activities;
- 12. Institute interim and permanent stabilization measures, which shall be instituted on a disturbed area as soon as practicable but no more than 14 days after construction activity has temporarily or permanently ceased on that portion of the site;
- 13. Properly manage on-site construction and waste materials; and
- 14. Prevent off-site vehicle tracking of sediments.

C. Standards:

Projects shall meet the Standards of the Massachusetts Stormwater Management Policy which are as follows:

- 1. No new stormwater conveyances (e.g. outfalls) may discharge untreated stormwater directly to or cause erosion in wetlands or water of the Commonwealth.
- 2. Stormwater management systems must be designed so that postdevelopment peak discharge rates do not exceed pre-development peak discharge rates.
- 3. Loss of annual recharge to groundwater should be minimized through the use of infiltration measures to the maximum extent practicable. The annual recharge from the post-development site should approximate the annual recharge rate from the pre-development or existing site conditions, based on soil types.
- 4. For new development, stormwater management systems must be designed to remove 80% of the average annual load (post development conditions) of Total Suspended Solids (TSS). It is presumed that this standard is met when:
 - a. Suitable nonstructural practices for source control and pollution prevention and implemented;

- b. Stormwater management best management practices (BMPs) are sized to capture the prescribed runoff volume; and
- c. Stormwater management BMPs are maintained as designed.
- 5. Stormwater discharges from areas with higher potential pollutant loads require the use of specific stormwater management BMPs (see Stormwater Management Volume I: Stormwater Policy Handbook). The use of infiltration practices without pretreatment is prohibited.
- 6. Stormwater discharges to critical areas must utilize certain stormwater management BMPs approved for critical areas (see Stormwater Management Volume I: Stormwater Policy Handbook). Critical areas are Outstanding Resource Waters (ORWs), shellfish beds, swimming beaches, cold water fisheries and recharge areas for public water supplies.
- 7. Redevelopment of previously developed sites must meet the Stormwater Management Standards to the maximum extent practicable. However, if it is not practicable to meet all the Standards, new (retrofitted or expanded) stormwater management systems must be designed to improve existing conditions.
- 8. Erosion and sediment controls must be implemented to prevent impacts during disturbance and construction activities.
- D. The Stormwater Management Plan Content. This Stormwater Management Plan shall contain sufficient information for the Permit Authority to evaluate the environmental impact, effectiveness, and acceptability of the measures proposed by the Applicant for reducing adverse impacts from stormwater. The Plan shall be designed to meet the Massachusetts Stormwater Management Standards as set forth in Part B of this section and DEP Stormwater Management Handbook Volumes I and II, or more recent editions. The Stormwater Management Plan shall fully describe the project in drawings, and narrative. All plan sheets shall be 24" x 36" in size. The Plan shall include at a minimum but not be limited to the following information:
 - 1. Names, addresses, and telephone numbers of the owner, Applicant, and person(s) or firm(s) preparing the plan;
 - 2. A Locus map with title, date, north arrow, names of abutters, existing zoning and land uses, scale, and legend;
 - 3. Existing and proposed zoning and land use;
 - 4. Location of existing and proposed utilities;

- 5. Location and description of natural features including;
 - (a) Watercourses and water bodies, wetland resource areas and all floodplain information, including the 100-year flood elevation based upon the most recent Flood Insurance Rate Map, or as calculated by a professional engineer for areas not assessed on these maps;
 - (b) Existing vegetation including tree lines, canopy layer, shrub layer, and ground cover, and trees with a caliper twelve (12) inches or larger, noting specimen trees and forest communities; and
 - (c) Habitats mapped by the Massachusetts Natural Heritage & Endangered Species Program as Endangered, Threatened or of Special Concern, Estimated Habitats of Rare Wildlife and Certified Vernal Pools, and Priority Habitats of Rare Species within one hundred (100') feet of any construction activity.
- 6. Lines of existing abutting streets showing drainage and driveway locations and curb cuts;
- 7. Existing soils, volume and nature of imported soil materials;
- 8. The site's existing & proposed topography with contours at 2 foot intervals;
- 9. Surveyed property lines showing distances and monument locations, all existing and proposed easements, rights-of-way, and other encumbrances, the size of the entire parcel, and the delineation and number of square feet of the land area to be disturbed;
- 10. A description & delineation of existing stormwater conveyances, impoundments, and wetlands on or adjacent to the site or into which stormwater flows:
- 11. A delineation of 100-year flood plains, if applicable;
- 12. Estimated seasonal high groundwater elevation (November to April) in areas to be used for stormwater retention, detention, or infiltration;
- 13. The existing and proposed vegetation and ground surfaces with runoff coefficient for each:
- 14. A drainage area map showing pre and post construction watershed boundaries, drainage area and stormwater flow paths;

- 15. Location and details of proposed erosion and sediment control measures with a narrative of the construction sequence/phasing of the project, including both operation and maintenance for structural and non-structural measures, interim grading, and material stockpiling areas;
- 16. Drainage patterns and approximate slopes anticipated after major grading activities (Construction Phase Grading Plans);
- 17. A description and drawings of all components of the proposed drainage system including:
 - a. locations, cross sections, and profiles of all brooks, streams, drainage swales and their method of stabilization,
 - b. all measures for the detention, retention or infiltration of water,
 - c. all measures for the protection of water quality,
 - d. the structural details for all components of the proposed drainage systems and stormwater management facilities,
 - e. notes on drawings specifying materials to be used, construction specifications, and typicals,
 - f. expected hydrology with supporting calculations.
- 18. Path and mechanism to divert uncontaminated water around disturbed areas, to the maximum extent practicable;
- 19. Stormwater runoff calculations in accordance with the Department of Environmental Protection's Stormwater Management Policy Include Hydrologic and hydraulic design calculations for the pre-development and post-development conditions for the design storms specified in this Regulation. Such calculations shall include:
 - a. Description of the design storm frequency, intensity and duration; time of concentration;
 - b. Soil Runoff Curve Number (RCN) based on land use and soil hydrologic group;
 - c. Peak runoff rates and total runoff volumes for each watershed area:
 - d. Information on construction measures used to maintain the infiltration capacity of the soil where any kind of infiltration is proposed;

- e. Infiltration rates, where applicable;
- f. Culvert capacities;
- g. Flow velocities;
- h. Data on the increase in rate and volume of runoff for the specified design storms, and
- i. Documentation of sources for all computation methods and field test results.
- 20. Timing, schedules, and sequence of development including clearing, stripping, rough grading, construction, final grading, vegetative controls, and other stabilization measures;
- 21. A description of construction and waste materials expected to be stored on-site. The Plan shall include a description of controls to reduce pollutants from these materials, including storage practices to minimize exposure of the materials to stormwater, and spill prevention and response;
- 22. A maintenance schedule for the period of construction,
- 23. A description of provisions for phasing the project where one acre of area or greater is to be altered or disturbed;
- 24. Plans must be stamped and certified by a qualified Professional Engineer registered in Massachusetts;
- 25. Such other information as is required by the Permit Authority.

E. Low Impact Development Techniques:

The use of low-impact development techniques is required, where applicable. The Applicant shall employ meaningful low impact techniques which will result in less impervious area, direction of roof runoff toward rain gardens and swales, and plantings indigenous to the area. The use of recycled or recaptured rainwater is encouraged. (A Low Impact Development Handbook and other references are available from the Planning Board Office.)

F. Project Changes:

The Applicant, or their agent, shall notify the Permit Authority in writing of any change or alteration of a land-disturbing activity authorized in a Stormwater

Management Permit before any change or alteration occurs. If the Permit Authority determines that the change or alteration is significant, based on the design requirements and accepted construction practices, the Permit Authority may require that a Stormwater Management Permit application be filed and a public hearing held. If any change or deviation from the Abbreviated Stormwater Management Permit occurs during a project, the Permit Authority may require the installation of interim measures before approving the change.

3B. APPLICABILITY – LARGER PROJECTS

Application for Larger Projects:

A completed application for a Stormwater Management Permit (SMP) shall be filed with the Permit Authority for any construction activity including clearing, grading and excavation, that results in a land disturbance equal to or greater than one acre of land, or will disturb less than one acre of land but which is part of a larger common plan of development or sale which will ultimately disturb equal to or greater than one acre of land and does qualify for the Small Project Approval, draining to the Town's Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System.

4.B PERMIT PROCEDURES AND REQUIREMENTS – LARGER PROJECTS

The Stormwater Management Permit (SMP) Application package shall include:

1. Completed Application Form, signed by all Owners and Applicants Distribution of Plans:

If the Permit Authority is the:

• Zoning Board of Appeals: 11 copies

• Planning Board: 13 copies

The Permit Authority shall distribute via e-file a copy to each of the following: Board of Health, Building Inspector, Department of Public Works, Conservation Commission, the Planning Board and/or Zoning Board of Appeals as appropriate.

- A. The Permit Authority shall examine the Application package for compliance with the Bylaw and these regulations. Incomplete applications will be returned to the Applicant with a list of missing information which must be supplied before a public meeting may be scheduled.
- B. Entry. The filing of an application grants the Permitting Authority permission to enter the site to verify the information in the application and to inspect for compliance with permit conditions.
- 2. A copy of the recorded deed to the property;
- 3. A list of abutters, certified by the Assessors Office (abutters at their mailing addresses shown on the most recent applicable tax list of the assessors, including owners of land directly opposite on any public or private street or way, and abutters to the abutters within 300 feet of the property line of the Applicant, including any in another municipality or across a body of water) ("Abutters");
- 4) Notice of Public Hearing shall be given by the Permit Authority in an official

publication of, or in a newspaper of general circulation in the Town, once in each of two successive weeks, the first publication being not less than fourteen days before the date of such public hearing. A copy of said notice shall be sent (Certified Mail with Return Receipt) by the Applicant to Abutters at least fourteen days prior to the date of the hearing. The Applicant shall bring the Certified Mail receipt cards, received from the Abutters, with him to the Public Hearing. All expenses incurred in advertising the hearing and mailing the notices shall be paid by the Applicant

5. Fees per the following Fee Schedule:

A. Filing Fee:

Single Dwelling Application: \$100.00 plus \$10.00 per each 1,000 square foot of land disturbance in excess of one acre, to a maximum of \$1,000.00

All Other Applications: \$200.00 plus \$10.00 per each 1,000 square foot of land disturbance in excess of one acre, to a maximum of \$1,000.00

Resubmittal or Modification Fee:

Single Dwelling Application: \$100.00 All Other Applications: \$400.00

- B. Technical Review may take place in conjunction with outside technical review of the accompanying plan filed under M.G.L. Chapter 44, Section 81, M.G.L. Chapter 40A, and M.G.L. Chapter 40B. Employment of Outside Consultants under the terms of M.G.L. Chapter 44, Section 53G, is authorized for review under these chapters and Section 8 of these Rules and Regulations.
- 6. The name and address of the property owner and the Applicant, if different from the property owner; a narrative describing the nature and location of the project and the site, complete dimensions and area; the zoning classification(s) that apply to the property; Assessor's Map and lot numbers; the proposed building or addition size with a breakdown of proposed uses; and projected parking spaces required for the development.
- 7. Stormwater Management Plan and project description. (detailed later)
- 8. Operations and Maintenance Plan (detailed later)
- 9. A list of requested Waivers.
- 10. A full electronic copy submitted by e-mail or on a CD to the Permit Authority.
- 11. One (1) complete copy shall be filed by the Applicant with the Town Clerk. The date of receipt by the Town Clerk shall be the official filing date.

Stormwater Management Plan

- A. The Stormwater Management Plan shall contain sufficient information to describe the nature and purpose of the proposed development, pertinent conditions of the site and the adjacent areas, and proposed erosion and sedimentation controls. The Applicant shall submit such material as is necessary to show that the proposed development will comply with the design requirements.
- B. The design requirements of the Stormwater Management Plan shall include at a minimum but not be limited to the following:
 - 1. Minimize total area of disturbance;
 - 2. Sequence activities to minimize simultaneous areas of disturbance;
 - 3. Minimize peak rate of runoff in accordance with the Massachusetts Stormwater Policy;
 - 4. Minimize soil erosion and control sedimentation during construction, provided that prevention of erosion is preferred over sedimentation control;
 - 5. Divert uncontaminated water around disturbed areas;
 - 6. Maximize groundwater recharge;
 - 7. Install and maintain all Erosion and Sediment Control measures in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications and good engineering practices;
 - 8. Prevent off-site transport of sediment;
 - 9. Protect and manage on and off-site material storage areas (overburden and stockpiles of dirt, borrow areas, or other areas used solely by the permitted project are considered a part of the project);
 - 10. Comply with applicable Federal, State and local laws and regulations including waste disposal, sanitary sewer or septic system regulations, and air quality requirements, including dust control;
 - 11. Prevent significant alteration of habitats mapped by the Massachusetts Natural Heritage & Endangered Species Program as Endangered, Threatened or Of Special Concern, Estimated Habitats of Rare Wildlife and Certified Vernal Pools, and Priority Habitats of Rare Species from the proposed activities;
 - 12. Institute interim and permanent stabilization measures, which shall be instituted on a disturbed area as soon as practicable but no more than 14

days after construction activity has temporarily or permanently ceased on that portion of the site;

- 13. Properly manage on-site construction and waste materials; and
- 14. Prevent off-site vehicle tracking of sediments.

C. Standards:

Projects shall meet the Standards of the Massachusetts Stormwater Management Policy, which are as follows:

- 1. No new stormwater conveyances (e.g. outfalls) may discharge untreated stormwater directly to or cause erosion in wetlands or water of the Commonwealth.
- 2. Stormwater management systems must be designed so that postdevelopment peak discharge rates do not exceed pre-development peak discharge rates.
- 3. Loss of annual recharge to groundwater should be minimized through the use of infiltration measures to the maximum extent practicable. The annual recharge from the post-development site should approximate the annual recharge rate from the pre-development or existing site conditions, based on soil types.
- 4. For new development, stormwater management systems must be designed to remove 80% of the average annual load (post development conditions) of Total Suspended Solids (TSS). It is presumed that this standard is met when:
 - a. Suitable nonstructural practices for source control and pollution prevention and implemented;
 - b. Stormwater management best management practices (BMPs) are sized to capture the prescribed runoff volume; and
 - c. Stormwater management BMPs are maintained as designed.
- 5. Stormwater discharges from areas with higher potential pollutant loads require the use of specific stormwater management BMPs (see Stormwater Management Volume I: Stormwater Policy Handbook). The use of infiltration practices without pretreatment is prohibited.
- 6. Stormwater discharges to critical areas must utilize certain stormwater management BMPs approved for critical areas (see Stormwater

Management Volume I: Stormwater Policy Handbook). Critical areas are Outstanding Resource Waters (ORWs), shellfish beds, swimming beaches, cold water fisheries and recharge areas for public water supplies.

- 7. Redevelopment of previously developed sites must meet the Stormwater Management Standards to the maximum extent practicable. However, if it is not practicable to meet all the Standards, new (retrofitted or expanded) stormwater management systems must be designed to improve existing conditions.
- 8. Erosion and sediment controls must be implemented to prevent impacts during disturbance and construction activities.
- 9. All stormwater management systems must have an operation and maintenance plan to ensure that systems function as designed. When one or more of the Standards cannot be met, an Applicant may demonstrate that an equivalent level of environmental protection will be provided.
- D. The Stormwater Management Plan Content. This Stormwater Management Plan shall contain sufficient information for the Permit Authority to evaluate the environmental impact, effectiveness, and acceptability of the measures proposed by the Applicant for reducing adverse impacts from stormwater. The Plan shall be designed to meet the Massachusetts Stormwater Management Standards as set forth in Part B of this section and DEP Stormwater Management Handbook Volumes I and II, or more recent editions. The Stormwater Management Plan shall fully describe the project in drawings, and narrative. All plan sheets shall be 24" x 36" in size. The Plan shall include at a minimum but not be limited to the following information:
 - 1. Names, addresses, and telephone numbers of the owner, Applicant, and person(s) or firm(s) preparing the plan;
 - 2. A Locus map with title, date, north arrow, names of abutters, existing zoning and land uses, scale, and legend;
 - 3. Existing and proposed zoning and land use;
 - 4. Location of existing and proposed utilities;
 - 5. Location and description of natural features including;
 - (a) Watercourses and water bodies, wetland resource areas and all floodplain information, including the 100-year flood elevation based upon the most recent Flood Insurance Rate Map, or as calculated by a professional engineer for areas not assessed on these maps;

- (b) Existing vegetation including tree lines, canopy layer, shrub layer, and ground cover, and trees with a caliper twelve (12) inches or larger, noting specimen trees and forest communities; and
- (c) Habitats mapped by the Massachusetts Natural Heritage & Endangered Species Program as Endangered, Threatened or of Special Concern, Estimated Habitats of Rare Wildlife and Certified Vernal Pools, and Priority Habitats of Rare Species within one hundred (100') feet of any construction activity.
- 6. Lines of existing abutting streets showing drainage and driveway locations and curb cuts;
- 7. Existing soils, volume and nature of imported soil materials;
- 8. The site's existing & proposed topography with contours at 2 foot intervals;
- 9. Surveyed property lines showing distances and monument locations, all existing and proposed easements, rights-of-way, and other encumbrances, the size of the entire parcel, and the delineation and number of square feet of the land area to be disturbed;
- 10. A description & delineation of existing stormwater conveyances, impoundments, and wetlands on or adjacent to the site or into which stormwater flows:
- 11. A delineation of 100-year flood plains, if applicable;
- 12. Estimated seasonal high groundwater elevation (November to April) in areas to be used for stormwater retention, detention, or infiltration;
- 13. The existing and proposed vegetation and ground surfaces with runoff coefficient for each;
- 14. A drainage area map showing pre and post construction watershed boundaries, drainage area and stormwater flow paths;
- 15. Location and details of proposed erosion and sediment control measures with a narrative of the construction sequence/phasing of the project, including both operation and maintenance for structural and non-structural measures, interim grading, and material stockpiling areas;
- 16. Drainage patterns and approximate slopes anticipated after major grading activities (Construction Phase Grading Plans);

- 17. A description and drawings of all components of the proposed drainage system including:
 - a. locations, cross sections, and profiles of all brooks, streams, drainage swales and their method of stabilization,
 - b. all measures for the detention, retention or infiltration of water,
 - c. all measures for the protection of water quality,
 - d. the structural details for all components of the proposed drainage systems and stormwater management facilities,
 - e. notes on drawings specifying materials to be used, construction specifications, and typicals, and
 - f. expected hydrology with supporting calculations.
- 18. Path and mechanism to divert uncontaminated water around disturbed areas, to the maximum extent practicable;
- 19. Location and description of industrial discharges
- 20. Stormwater runoff calculations in accordance with the Department of Environmental Protection's Stormwater Management Policy Include Hydrologic and hydraulic design calculations for the pre-development and post-development conditions for the design storms specified in this Regulation. Such calculations shall include:
 - a. Description of the design storm frequency, intensity and duration; time of concentration:
 - b. Soil Runoff Curve Number (RCN) based on land use and soil hydrologic group;
 - c. Peak runoff rates and total runoff volumes for each watershed area:
 - d. Information on construction measures used to maintain the infiltration capacity of the soil where any kind of infiltration is proposed;
 - e. Infiltration rates, where applicable;
 - f. Culvert capacities;

- g. Flow velocities;
- h. Data on the increase in rate and volume of runoff for the specified design storms, and
- i. Documentation of sources for all computation methods and field test results.
- 21. Timing, schedules, and sequence of development including clearing, stripping, rough grading, construction, final grading, vegetative controls, and other stabilization measures;
- 22. A description of construction and waste materials expected to be stored on-site. The Plan shall include a description of controls to reduce pollutants from these materials, including storage practices to minimize exposure of the materials to stormwater, and spill prevention and response;
- 23. A maintenance schedule for the period of construction,
- 24. A description of provisions for phasing the project where one acre of area or greater is to be altered or disturbed;
- 25. Plans must be stamped and certified by a qualified Professional Engineer registered in Massachusetts; and
- 26. Such other information as is required by the Permit Authority.
- E. Low Impact Development Techniques:

The use of low-impact development techniques is required, where applicable. The Applicant shall employ meaningful low impact techniques which will result in less impervious area, direction of roof runoff toward rain gardens and swales, and plantings indigenous to the area. The use of recycled or recaptured rainwater is encouraged. (A Low Impact Development Handbook and other references are available from the Planning Board Office.)

F. Project Changes:

The Applicant, or their agent, shall notify the Permit Authority in writing of any change or alteration of a land-disturbing activity authorized in a Stormwater Management Permit before any change or alteration occurs. If the Permit Authority determines that the change or alteration is significant, based on the design requirements and accepted construction practices, the Permit Authority may require that an amended Stormwater Management Permit application be filed and a public hearing held. If any change or deviation from the Stormwater

Management Permit occurs during a project, the Permit Authority may require the installation of interim measures before approving the change.

Operation and Maintenance Plan

A. An Operation and Maintenance plan ("O&M Plan") is required at the time of application for all larger projects. The maintenance plan shall be designed to ensure compliance with the Permit, this Bylaw and that the Massachusetts Surface Water Quality Standards, 314, CMR 4.00 are met in all seasons and throughout the life of the system. The Permit Authority shall make the final decision of what maintenance option is appropriate in a given situation. The Permit Authority will consider natural features, proximity of site to water bodies and wetlands, extent of impervious surfaces, size of the site, the types of stormwater management structures, and potential need for ongoing maintenance activities when making this decision. The O&M Plan shall remain on file with the Permit Authority and shall be an ongoing requirement.

The O&M Plan shall include:

- 1. The name(s) of the owner(s) for all components of the system;
- 2. Maintenance agreements that specify:
 - a. The names and addresses of the person(s) responsible for operation and maintenance,
 - b. The person(s) responsible for financing maintenance and emergency repairs.
 - c. A Maintenance Schedule for all drainage structures, including swales and ponds.
 - d. A list of easements with the purpose and location of each.
 - e. The signature(s) of the owner(s).
- 3. Stormwater Management Easement(s). Stormwater management easements shall be provided by the property owner(s) as necessary for:
 - a. access for facility inspections and maintenance,
 - b. preservation of stormwater runoff conveyance, infiltration, and detention areas and facilities, including flood routes for the 100-year storm event.

- c. direct maintenance access by heavy equipment to structures requiring regular cleanout.
- 4. The purpose of each easement shall be specified in the maintenance agreement signed by the property owner.
- 5. Stormwater management easements are required for all areas used for offsite stormwater control, unless a waiver is granted by the Permit Authority.
- 6. Easements shall be recorded with the Essex County Registry of Deeds prior to issuance of a Certificate of Completion by the Permit Authority.
- B. Changes to Operation and Maintenance Plan:
 - 1. The owner(s) of the stormwater management system must notify the Permit Authority of changes in ownership or assignment of financial responsibility.
 - 2. The maintenance schedule in the Maintenance Agreement may be amended to achieve the purposes of this by-law by mutual agreement of the Permit Authority and the Responsible Parties. Amendments must be in writing and signed by all Responsible Parties. Responsible Parties shall include owner(s), persons with financial responsibility, and persons with operational responsibility.

5.0 INSPECTION AND SITE SUPERVISION

- A. Pre-construction Meeting. Prior to starting clearing, excavation, construction, or land disturbing activity the Applicant, the Applicant's technical representative, the general contractor or any other person with authority to make changes to the project, shall meet with the Permit Authority designee(s), Technical Review Agent or Inspecting Agent, and any other person designated by the Permit Authority, to review the permitted plans and their implementation.
- B. Board Inspection. The Permit Authority or its designated agent shall make inspections as hereinafter required and shall either approve that portion of the work completed or shall notify the permittee wherein the work fails to comply with the Stonnwater Management Permit as approved. The Permit and associated plans for grading, stripping, excavating, and filling work, approved by the Permit Authority, shall be maintained at the site during the progress of the work. In order to obtain inspections, the permittee shall notify the Permit Authority or its designee at least two (2) working days before each of the following events:
 - 1. Erosion and sediment control measures are in place and stabilized;

- 2. Site Clearing has been substantially completed;
- 3. Rough Grading has been substantially completed;
- 4. Final Grading has been substantially completed;
- 5. Close of the Construction Season; and
- 6. Final Landscaping (permanent stabilization) and project final completion.
- C. Applicant Inspections. The Applicant or his/her agent shall conduct and document inspections of all control measures no less than weekly or as specified in the permit, and prior to and following anticipated storm events. The purpose of such inspections is to determine the overall effectiveness of the control plan, and the need for maintenance or additional control measures. The Applicant or his/her agent shall submit monthly reports to the Permit Authority or designated agent in a format approved by the Permit Authority.
- D. Access Permission. To the extent permitted by state law, or if authorized by the owner or other party in control of the property, the Permit Authority and its agents, officers, and employees may enter upon privately owned property for the purpose of performing their duties under this by-law and may make or cause to be made such examinations, surveys or sampling as the Permit Authority deems reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the permit.

5.0 PERFORMANCE GUARANTEE

A reasonable performance guarantee, commensurate to the estimated duration and size of the project, shall be assessed by the Permit Authority and held in an escrow account pending completion of the project. The guarantee shall be calculated upon the cost of the stormwater management portion of the project.

6.0 OUTSIDE CONSULTANTS TO ASSIST PERMIT AUTHORITY

- A. When reviewing an application for (permit/approval), the Board may determine that the assistance of outside consultants is warranted due to the size, scale or complexity of a proposed project or because of a project's potential impacts. The Board may require that applicants pay a "review fee" consisting of the reasonable costs incurred by the Board for the employment of outside consultants engaged by the Board to assist in the review of an application.
- B. To the extent that most of the filings before the Permit Authority will be submitted concurrently and in conjunction with drainage, site design, and other technical elements of a plan, the Stormwater Management Plan and requirements outlined above shall be reviewed concurrently with these other elements. The technical review fee for stormwater management elements shall be assessed along with the fee for review of the project submitted, which falls under the purview of the Planning Board for Subdivision Plans under M.G.L. Chapter 44, Section 81 and Special Permits under M.G.L. Chapter 40A; and the Zoning Board of Appeals

for Variances, Special Permits, under M.G.L. Chapter 40A, and Site Plan Review under the Zoning Bylaw, and Comprehensive Permits under M.G.L. Chapter 40B. The Fee shall be deposited in the Town Treasury in compliance with the terms of M.G.L. Ch. 44, §53G.

C. For applications which are not submitted concurrently with another application, a fee shall be assessed in conformity with M.G.L. Ch. 44, §53G, and Planning Board Regulations.

7.0 CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION

At completion of the project, the permitee shall submit an as-built stamped by a registered engineer for all structural stormwater controls and treatment best management practices required for the site. The as-built will indicate all deviations from the plan. A letter certifying the completion will be issued before an occupancy permit is issued by the Building Inspector.

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

APPENDIX I

Standard Operating Procedures

Winter Road Maintenance



| STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE | SOP NUMBER: | ISSUE DATE: |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS [OR OTHER] | | |
| PROGRAM: | | |
| Snow Removal and De-Icing | | |

APPROVED BY:

Timothy J. Olson
Director of Public Works

MA SMALL MS4 PERMIT REQUIREMENT SUMMARY:

Part 2.3.7.a.iii.5.

The permittee shall establish and implement procedures for winter road maintenance including the use and storage of salt and sand; minimize the use of sodium chloride and other salts, and evaluate opportunities for use of alternative materials; and ensure that snow disposal activities do not result in disposal of snow into waters of the United States. For purposes of this MS4 Permit, salt shall mean any chloride-containing material used to treat paved surfaces for deicing, including sodium chloride, calcium chloride, magnesium chloride, and brine solutions.

Personnel

The following personnel are responsible for snow and ice removal. Employees performing the procedures in this SOP shall attend yearly stormwater pollution prevention training.

TABLE 1

| Name | Responsibility |
|------------------------|-----------------------|
| DPW Director | Manage operation |
| Assistant DPW Director | Oversee operation |
| Highway Foreman | Orchestrate operation |
| | |
| | |

Equipment

The municipality owns and maintains ice control and snow removal equipment listed in Table 2. Equipment maintenance shall be conducted consistent with the Vehicles and Equipment maintenance SOP found here: The wash area is located at the parking area at DPW Garage, 577 Bay Road, Hamilton, MA 001982

Plowing

When conditions warrant, plows are installed on the 6 larger trucks to move snow from the traveled roadway. Average time to install a plow is approximately 30 minutes. 8 smaller trucks are available for plowing of residential streets and clearing public lots.

Sand Spreaders

When conditions warrant, sand spreaders are installed on the 3 larger trucks to spread sand on the traveled roadway. Each sand spreader is calibrated prior to the deicing season and periodically through the winter season thereafter. Sand spreaders are calibrated to dispense the standard practice cubic yards of sand per lane mile.

| STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE | SOP NUMBER: | ISSUE DATE: |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS [OR OTHER] | | |
| <u> </u> | | |
| Program: | | |
| Snow Removal and De-Icing | | |
| | | |

Salt Spreaders and Pre-Wetting Devices

When conditions warrant, salt spreaders are installed on the 3 larger trucks to spread salt on the traveled roadway. Each salt spreader is calibrated prior to the deicing season and periodically through the winter season thereafter. Salt application shall be calibrated to dispense rates of standard practice pounds per lane mile. The Town does not currently have any pre-wetting devices on their trucks.

Anti-Icing Dispensers

N/A. The Town does not currently have any anti-icing dispensers on their trucks.

TABLE 2

| Equipment Number | Make | Description | Additional Equipment | Primary Use |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| [00001] | [XXXX] | [12-yard dump truck] | [4-yard salt spreader. 11' Side-cast plow] | [General Salting and Plowing] |
| 1 | Chevy 2500 | ¾ Ton | Plow | plowing |
| 2 | Chevy 2500 | ¾ Ton | Plow | plowing |
| 3 | Chevy 3500 | 1 Ton | Plow | plowing |
| 4 | John Deere | Loader | Plow/Bucket | plowing |
| 5 | International 7300 | 6 cy | Plow/Sander | Plowing and sanding |
| 6 | International 7300 | 6 cy | Plow | Plowing |
| 7 | International 7400 | 6 cy | Plow/Sander | Plowing and sanding |
| 8 | Chevy 2500 | ¾ Ton | Plow | plowing |
| 9 | International 7300 | 6 cy | Plow/Sander | Plowing and sanding |
| 10 | Chevy 2500 | ¾ Ton | Plow | plowing |
| 11 | GMC 3500 | 1 Ton | Plow | plowing |
| 12 | Chevy 3500 | 1 Ton | Plow | plowing |
| 13 | International 7400 | 6 cy | Plow | plowing |
| 16 | GMC 3500 | 1 Ton | Plow | plowing |
| 18 | Chevy 2500 | ¾ Ton | Plow | plowing |
| 19 | Holder | N/A | Plow/Snowblower | Plow and snowblow |
| 20 | John Deere 4300 | N/A | Snowblower | snowblower |
| 39 | Trackless MT7 | N/A | Plow/Snowblower | Plow and snowblow |

Materials

The major materials are used in snow and ice control are coarse sand, coarse salt. These materials are stockpiled in advance of an event and are immediately available when needed and stocks are replenished between events.

| STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE | SOP NUMBER: | ISSUE DATE: |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS [OR OTHER] | | |
| PROGRAM: Snow Removal and De-Icing | | |

Sand

Sand is used as an abrasive for traction on slick roadways. Approximately 800 cubic yards are anticipated to be used per year and are ordered from a local contractor. There is no contract for this purchase prior to each deicing season. Sand is stored in the covered facility located at 577 Bay Road, Hamilton, MA 01982. Loading areas and yards are swept when possible following each storm event and at the end of the season to prevent sand build-up and run-off.

Salt

Salt is used to expedite the melting of snow and ice from the street surface and also to keep the ice from forming a bond to the street surface. Approximately 2000 tons of Foreign and Solar Salt are anticipated to be used per year and are ordered from low bid vendor from the Town of Boxford Road Salt Cooperative Bid prior to each deicing season. Salt is stored in the covered facility located at 577 Bay Road, Hamilton, MA 01982. Loading areas and yards are swept when possible following each storm event and at the end of the to prevent salt build-up and run-off.

Anti-icing and Pre-Wetting Chemical

N/A. The Town does not currently utilize any anti-icing or pre-wetting chemicals.

Salt Alternatives

N/A. The Town does not currently use any salt alternatives.

Procedures

Anti-Icing

N/A. The Town does not currently utilize any anti-icing or pre-wetting chemicals.

Salt Application

- 1. Whenever conditions warrant, salt is applied to the roadway prior to accumulation of snow to prevent compacted snow from bonding to the roadway surface. The Highway Foreman or designee will instruct staff when salt application is appropriate. Salting will not be done when pavement temperatures are above 32-degrees F or below 15-degrees F.
- 2. Prior to salt application, equipment will be checked to ensure proper working order and ensure proper calibration of equipment. All fluid levels will be checked and filled to proper levels; all lights must be in working order. A visual walk-around inspection of the truck or equipment must be made. Any repairs must be made and reported to a supervisor or mechanic before leaving the yard.
- 3. The standard salt application speed is: 20-25 mph.
- 4. Street listing of plowed routes is available at the DPW (577 Bay Road). Follow any prioritized route or schedule as required.
- 5. Before parking any truck or equipment after use, all fluid levels will be checked and filled. All minor repairs will be done by the operator. Any repairs the operator cannot perform will be written up or verbally communicated on the proper forms and turned in to DPW Mechanic. DPW Mechanic will determine importance and will assign the repairs according to schedule. All deicing chemical will be washed from equipment at the wash bay or designated wash area.

| STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE | SOP NUMBER: | ISSUE DATE: |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS [OR OTHER] | | |
| PROGRAM: Snow Removal and De-Icing | | |

Snow Plowing

- 1. As the storm develops and 2 to 4 inches of snow has accumulated, all of the drivers and available equipment will begin to plow their assigned routes.
- 2. Prior to plowing operations, equipment will be checked to ensure proper working order. All fluid levels will be checked and filled to proper levels; all lights must be in working order. A visual walk-around inspection of the truck or equipment must be made. Any repairs must be made and reported to a supervisor or mechanic before leaving the yard.
- 3. Avoid plowing, pushing, blowing or storing excess snow, deicer, or other debris in or near creeks, watercourses or storm drainage systems.
- 4. Reduce plowing speed in sensitive areas (near creeks, wetlands or other water courses) to prevent snow and deicing materials from entering waterways.
- 5. The standard plowing speed is: 20-25 mph.
- 6. Follow the prioritized route or schedule. This schedule is located at: A listing of routes is available at the DPW
- 7. Before parking any truck or equipment after use, all fluid levels will be checked and filled. Blades or bolts, which need replacing, will be taken care of unless told to do otherwise. Chains that need repairs will be repaired. All minor repairs will be done by the operator. Any repairs the operator cannot perform will be written up on the proper forms and turned in to DPW Mechanic. The DPW Mechanic will determine importance and will assign the repairs according to schedule.

Sand Application

- 1. Whenever conditions warrant, sand is applied to the roadway to increase traction. The Highway Foreman or designee will instruct staff when sand application is appropriate. Sanding will not be done when pavement temperatures are above 15 degrees F.
- 2. Prior to sand application, equipment will be checked to ensure proper working order and ensure proper calibration of equipment. All fluid levels will be checked and filled to proper levels; all lights must be in working order. A visual walk-around inspection of the truck or equipment must be made. Any repairs must be made and reported to a supervisor or mechanic before leaving the yard.
- 3. The standard sanding speed is: 20-25 mph.
- 4. Street listing of plowed routes is available at the DPW (577 Bay Road). Follow any prioritized route or schedule as required.
- 5. Before parking any truck or equipment after use, all fluid levels will be checked and filled. Blades or bolts, which need replacing, will be taken care of unless told to do otherwise. Chains that need repairs will be repaired. All minor repairs will be done by the operator. Any repairs the operator cannot perform will be written up on the proper forms and turned in to DPW Mechanic. The DPW Mechanic will determine importance and will assign the repairs according to schedule.

Salt Alternative Application

N/A. The Town does not currently use any salt alternatives.

| STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE | SOP NUMBER: | ISSUE DATE: |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS [OR OTHER] | | |
| Program: | | |
| Snow Removal and De-Icing | | |

Record Keeping and Documentation

- 1. Maintain a master street listing of plowed routes, and schedule of any prioritized snow and sanding routes. Located in the DPW.
- 2. Keep copies of manufacturer's recommendations for equipment calibration, plowing speed and salt/sand application rates. Located in the DPW Mechanic files.
- **3.** Keep records of the amounts of salt, sand, liquid deicer, and salt alternatives applied per season. Located in the DPW.
- **4.** Keep a list of all employees trained in the facility's Stormwater Pollution Prevention binder or computer file.

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

APPENDIX J

2016 MS4 Annual Reports



Year 1 Annual Report

Massachusetts Small MS4 General Permit Reporting Period: May 1, 2018-June 30, 2019

Please DO NOT attach any documents to this form. Instead, attach all requested documents to an email when submitting the form

Unless otherwise noted, all fields are required to be filled out. If a field is left blank, it will be assumed the requirement or task has not been completed.

Part I: Contact Information

| Name of Municipality or Organi | zation: Town of Hamil | ton |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| EPA NPDES Permit Number: M | AR041196 | |
| Primary MS4 Program Manag | er Contact Informati | on |
| Name: Timothy J. Olson | | Title: Director of Public Works |
| Street Address Line 1: 577 Bay l | Road | |
| Street Address Line 2: P.O. Box | 429 | |
| City: Hamilton | State: MA | Zip Code: 01936 |
| Email: tolson@hamiltonma.gov | | Phone Number: 978-626-5227 |
| Fax Number: na | | |
| Stormwater Management Prog | | nation ma.gov/government/department-public-works/ |
| SWMP Location (web address): | stormwater-npdes-cor | npliance/ |
| Date SWMP was Last Updated: | June 28, 2019 | |
| If the SWMP is not available on not posted on the web: | the web please provid | e the physical address and an explanation of why it is |
| N/A | | |

Town of Hamilton Page 2

Part II: Self Assessment

First, in the box below, select the impairment(s) and/or TMDL(s) that are applicable to your MS4.

| | • | 1 / | . , | • |
|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Impairment(| <u>(s)</u> | | | |
| | ☑ Bacteria/Pathogens☑ Solids/ Oil/ Grease (Hy | ☐ Chloride ydrocarbons)/ Meta | ☐ Nitrogen | ☐ Phosphorus |
| TMDL(s) | | | | |
| In State: | ☐ Assabet River Phospho☐ Charles River Watersh | | teria and Pathogen Lake and Pond | ☐ Cape Cod Nitrogen Phosphorus |
| Out of State: | ☐ Bacteria/Pathogens | ☐ Metals | □ Nitrogen | ☐ Phosphorus |
| | | | Cl | ear Impairments and TMDLs |
| you have con unchecked. A | npleted that permit requiren dditional information will b | nent fully. If you ho | ave not completed a re | ch box you are certifying that equirement leave the box |
| Year 1 Requi | | | | |
| | op and begin public education fy and develop inventory of sears | - | C | scharged to the MS4 in the |
| • | ○ The SSO inventory is a | attached to the ema | il submission | |
| | ○ The SSO inventory can | n be found at the fo | llowing website: | |
| | N/A- Town of Hamilton | on does not have an | y sewers | |
| ⊠ Develo | op written IDDE plan includ | ling a procedure for | r screening and sample | ing outfalls |
| ⊠ IDDE | ordinance complete | | | |
| ⊠ Identif | fy each outfall and interconry rank each catchment for in | nection discharging avestigation | from MS4, classify in | to the relevant category, and |
| | ○ The priority ranking of | | | |
| | C The priority ranking of | f outfalls/interconne | ections can be found a | t the following website: |
| | | | | |
| ⊠ Constr | ruction/ Erosion and Sedime | ent Control (ESC) o | ordinance complete | |
| Develor measur | op written procedures for sit | e inspections and e | inforcement of sedime | nt and erosion control |
| ☐ Develo | op written procedures for sit | e plan review | | |
| - | a log of catch basins cleaned | - | | |
| | lete inspection of all stormw | ater treatment struc | ctures | |

| Town of Hamilton Page 3 |
|---|
| |
| □ Comply with State Public Notice requirements |
| ⊠ Keep records relating to the permit available for 5 years and make available to the public |
| Properly store and dispose of catch basin cleanings and street sweepings so they do not discharge to receiving waters |
| |
| |
| Bacteria/ Pathogens (Combination of Impaired Waters Requirements and TMDL Requirements as Applicable |
| Annual Requirements |
| Public Education and Outreach* |
| Annual message encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate |
| Permittee or its agents disseminate educational material to dog owners at the time of issuance or renewal of dog license, or other appropriate time |
| Provide information to owners of septic systems about proper maintenance in any catchment that discharges to a water body impaired for bacteria * Public advantion massages can be combined with other public advantion requirements as applicable (so |
| * Public education messages can be combined with other public education requirements as applicable (see Appendix H and F for more information) |
| Use the box below to input additional details on any unchecked boxes above or any additional information yo |
| would like to share as part of your self assessment: |
| The Town of Hamilton does not have a sewer system, therefore SSO's are not applicable. |
| The Town has a detailed template that they are modifying to meet their needs for site inspections, enforcement |
| of sediment and erosion control measures and site plan reviews. |
| The Town had bidding/procurement issues for catch basin cleaning contractors that prevented them from awarding the work. They're in the process of rebidding to perform the work this fiscal year. |
| |
| |

Part III: Receiving Waters/Impaired Waters/TMDL

| Have you made any changes to your lists of receiving waters, outfalls, or impairments since the NOI was submitted? |
|--|
| Yes ⊠ No □ |
| If yes, describe below, including any relevant impairments or TMDLs: |
| Changes have been made to the list of receiving waters and outfalls as additional data has been collected regarding outfall ownership, outfall discharge location, and drainage system configuration as part of a comprehensive drainage mapping effort. No new applicable impairments or TMDLs have been identified as part of this effort. The list of outfalls, receiving waters, and impairments included in the Town's Stormwater Management Plan reflects these changes. |

Part IV: Minimum Control Measures

Please fill out all of the metrics below. If applicable, include in the description who completed the task if completed by a third party.

MCM1: Public Education

| Below, report on the educational messages completed during the first year. For the measurable goal(s) please describe the method/measures used to assess the overall effectiveness of the educational program. BMP:Display/Posters Kiosks Message Description and Distribution Method: The Town continued to increase general public-knowledge of the impact of stormwater discharge to water bodies within the community and identified the pollutants the public can reduce in stormwater runoff. Targeted Audience: Residents Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations Measurable Goal(s): |
|---|
| Message Description and Distribution Method: The Town continued to increase general public-knowledge of the impact of stormwater discharge to water bodies within the community and identified the pollutants the public can reduce in stormwater runoff. Targeted Audience: Residents Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations |
| The Town continued to increase general public-knowledge of the impact of stormwater discharge to water bodies within the community and identified the pollutants the public can reduce in stormwater runoff. Targeted Audience: Residents Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations |
| bodies within the community and identified the pollutants the public can reduce in stormwater runoff. Targeted Audience: Residents Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations |
| Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations |
| |
| Measurable Goal(s): |
| Medicalation Godi(b). |
| Supplied Town offices/library/schools with displays and/or posters. Track number of posters/displays utilized. This message was displayed on the Town website on their Stormwater page. |
| Message Date(s): FY19 |
| Message Completed for: Appendix F Requirements Appendix H Requirements |
| Was this message different than what was proposed in your NOI? Yes ☐ No ☒ |
| If yes, describe why the change was made: |
| |
| BMP:Brochures/ Pamphlets |
| Message Description and Distribution Method: |
| Continued to educate Contractors on the Town's stormwater erosion and sediment control requirements. |
| Targeted Audience: Industrial Facilities |
| Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations |
| Measurable Goal(s): |
| Track the number of industrial facilities reached. |

| Town of Hamilton Page 6 |
|--|
| Message Date(s): FY19 |
| Message Completed for: Appendix F Requirements Appendix H Requirements |
| Was this message different than what was proposed in your NOI? Yes ⊠ No □ |
| If yes, describe why the change was made: |
| The Town only has one industrial property, which is Verizon. Message was posted to Town's website referencing dumpster best practices to better line up with potential issues at this facility. The town was unable to contact the facility directly since the facility is unnamed and there is no employee contact. |
| BMP:Stormwater Link on Website |
| Message Description and Distribution Method: |
| Updated Town's website to include Stormwater specific material, such as public education posters and the Stormwater Management Plan. |
| Targeted Audience: Residents |
| Responsible Department/Parties: DPW Operations/ Town Manager |
| Measurable Goal(s): |
| Track the interaction on the Stormwater site, 52 views. |
| Message Date(s): FY19 |
| Message Completed for: Appendix F Requirements Appendix H Requirements |
| Was this message different than what was proposed in your NOI? Yes ⊠ No □ |
| If yes, describe why the change was made: |
| This was an additional effort from the Town to display public outreach items and make other documents available like the SWMP. All new MS4 related items (SWMP, public education, etc.) were posted to the Town's DPW website under a "Stormwater" tab. The Town is working with their IT department to better track the amount of views per page. |

Add an Educational Message

MCM2: Public Participation

Describe the opportunity provided for public involvement in the development of the Stormwater Management Program (SWMP) during the reporting period:

The Town of Hamilton posted the Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP) on their Department of Public

| Town of Hamilton Page | 7 |
|--|------|
| Works page and it is available at Town Hall for public review. | |
| Was this opportunity different than what was proposed in your NOI? Yes ☐ No ☒ | |
| Describe any other public involvement or participation opportunities conducted during the reporting period | d: |
| The Town of Hamilton assists their Garden Club in bi-annual clean up of both trash and yard waste. The Hamilton DPW picks up and disposes of 6-12 bags at each clean up event. Hamilton participates in a Hazardous waste drop off day with the Town of Wenham, where residents can describe the control of the second secon | drop |
| off waste. This year there was a total of 15 full car loads and 33 half car loads that participated in the event Some of the items collected were consolidated solvents, mixed aerosols, pesticide liquids in consumer packaging, and pesticide solids in consumer packaging. | t. |
| Hamilton holds an Electronic Waste Collection Day on a monthly basis allowing residents to dispose of electronic waste. | |
| MCM3: Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE) | |

Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs)

Below, report on the number of SSOs identified in the MS4 system and removed during this reporting period.

Number of SSOs identified: N/A Number of SSOs removed: N/A

Below, report on the total number of SSOs identified in the MS4 system and removed to date. At a minimum, report SSOs identified since 2013.

> Total number of SSOs identified: N/A Total number of SSOs removed: N/A

MS4 System Mapping

Describe the status of your MS4 map, including any progress made during the reporting period (phase I map due in year 2):

The Town has been working to develop a comprehensive map of the drainage system, including outfalls, pipes, manholes, catch basins, municipally owned stormwater treatment structures, and impaired water bodies. Catchment areas have been delineated. Drainage infrastructure has been designated in the Town's GIS. The drainage map will be continuously updated as investigations are performed during the permit term. The Town will be working to map the remainder of their open channel conveyances, and any interconnections during Permit Year 2. All existing mapping is accordance with the 2016 MS4 Permit's accuracy guidelines.

Screening of Outfalls/Interconnections

| Town of Hamilton Page 8 |
|---|
| If conducted, please submit any outfall monitoring results from this reporting period. Outfall monitoring results should include the date, outfall/interconnection identifier, location, weather conditions at time of sampling, precipitation in previous 48 hours, field screening parameter results, and results from all analyses. |
| The outfall screening data is attached to the email submission |
| The outfall screening data can be found at the following website: |
| N/A |
| Below, report on the number of outfalls/interconnections screened during this reporting period. |
| Number of outfalls screened: N/A |
| Below, report on the percent of total outfalls/interconnections screened to date. |
| Percent of total outfalls screened: N/A |
| Catchment Investigations If conducted, please submit all data collected during this reporting period as part of the dry and wet weather investigations. Also include the presence or absence of System Vulnerability Factors for each catchment. The catchment investigation data is attached to the email submission The catchment investigation data can be found at the following website: |
| N/A |
| Below, report on the number of catchment investigations completed during this reporting period. Number of catchment investigations completed this reporting period: N/A |
| Below, report on the percent of catchments investigated to date. |
| Percent of total catchments investigated: N/A |
| Optional: Provide any additional information for clarity regarding the catchment investigations below: |
| N/A |
| IDDE Progress |
| If illicit discharges were found, please submit a document describing work conducted over this reporting period, and cumulative to date, including location source; description of the discharge; method of discovery; date of discovery; and date of elimination, mitigation, or enforcement OR planned corrective measures and schedule of removal. |
| The illicit discharge removal report is attached to the email submission The illicit discharge removal report can be found at the fallowing yeahaite. |
| The illicit discharge removal report can be found at the following website: |
| N/A |

Below, report on the number of illicit discharges identified and removed, along with the volume of sewage removed during this reporting period.

| | Number of illicit discharges identified: N/A | | |
|--------------------|--|------------|---|
| | Number of illicit discharges removed: N/A | | |
| | Estimated volume of sewage removed: N/A | | [UNITS] |
| | ort on the total number of illicit discharges ident of illicit discharges identified and removed sinc | | - |
| | Total number of illicit discharges identified: | N/A | |
| | Total number of illicit discharges removed: | N/A | |
| - | Provide any additional information for clarity reg be removed below: | garding il | llicit discharges identified, removed, or |
| N/A | | | |
| | training was conducted on June 18, 2019. The To annually. There were 3 attendees from Hamilton | | lamilton plans to train their DPW |
| Below, reporting p | MCM4: Construction Site Stort on the construction site plan reviews, inspections | | |
| | Number of site plan reviews completed: 2 | | |
| | Number of inspections completed: 0 | | |
| | Number of enforcement actions taken: 0 | | |
| | | | |
| MC | M5: Post-Construction Stormwater Ma Redevelop | _ | nent in New Development and |

Page 9

Ordinance Development

Town of Hamilton

Describe the status of the post-construction ordinance required to be complete in year 2 of the permit term:

The Town is aware of this requirement but has not started the process.

| Town of Hamilton | Page 10 | |
|---|---------------------------------|--|
| | | |
| As-built Drawings | | |
| Describe the status of the measures the MS4 has utilized to require the submiss ensure long term operation and maintenance of completed construction sites recoff the permit term: | | |
| The Town is aware of this requirement but has not started the process. | | |
| Street Design and Parking Lots Report | | |
| Describe the status of the street design and parking lots assessment due in year any planned or completed changes to local regulations and guidelines: | 4 of the permit term, including | |
| The Town is aware of this requirement but has not started the process. | | |
| Green Infrastructure Report Describe the status of the green infrastructure report due in year 4 of the permit | term, including the findings | |
| and progress towards making the practice allowable: The Town is aware of this requirement but has not started the process. | | |
| | | |
| Retrofit Properties Inventory | | |
| Describe the status of the inventory, due in year 4 of the permit term, of permitted be modified or retrofitted with BMPs to mitigate impervious areas and report of modified or retrofitted: | 1 1 | |
| The Town is aware of this requirement but has not started the process. | | |
| | | |
| MCM6: Good Housekeeping | | |
| Catch Basin Cleaning | | |
| Describe the status of the catch basin cleaning ontimization plan: | | |

Please see additional notes section.

| Town of Hamilton | | Page 11 |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| If complete, attach the catch basin cleaning optimization plant the optimization plan: | or the schedule to gather in | nformation to develop |
| The catch basin cleaning optimization plan | or schedule is attached to tl | ne email submission |
| The catch basin cleaning optimization plan website: | | |
| N/A | | |
| Below, report on the number of catch basins inspected and cleremoved from the catch basins during this reporting period. | eaned, along with the total v | volume of material |
| Number of catch basins inspected: 836 | | |
| Number of catch basins cleaned: 836 | | |
| Total volume or mass of material removed fro | m all catch basins: 680 | CY |
| Below, report on the total number of catch basins in the MS4 | svstem, if known. | |
| Total number of catch basins: 836 | | |
| If applicable: | | |
| Report on the actions taken if a catch basin sump is more that inspections/cleaning events: | n 50% full during two conse | ecutive routine |
| N/A | | |
| | | |
| Street Sweeping | | |
| | roots and municipal averaged 1 | ota |
| Describe the status of the written procedures for sweeping str | eets and municipal-owned i | ots. |
| All streets are swept a minimum of once per year. | | |
| | | |
| Report on street sweeping completed during the reporting per | riod using one of the three m | ietrics below. |
| Number of miles cleaned: 44 | | |
| O Volume of material removed: | [UNITS] | |
| ○ Weight of material removed: | [UNITS] | |

If applicable:

| For rural uncurbed roadways with no catch basins, describe the progress of the inspection, documentation, and targeted sweeping plan: | | |
|--|--|--|
| The Town sweeps all paved roadways, curbed or uncurbed, once per year. | | |
| Winter Road Maintenance | | |
| Describe the status of the written procedures for winter road maintenance including the storage of salt and sand: | | |
| The Town of Hamilton has established written procedures that can be found in Appendix I of their SWMP. | | |
| Inventory of Permittee-Owned Properties | | |
| Describe the status of the inventory, due in year 2 of the permit term, of permittee-owned properties, including parks and open spaces, buildings and facilities, and vehicles and equipment, and include any updates: | | |
| The Town is aware of this requirement but has not started the process. | | |
| O&M Procedures for Parks and Open Spaces, Buildings and Facilities, and Vehicles and Equipment | | |
| Describe the status of the operation and maintenance procedures, due in year 2 of the permit term, of permittee-owned properties (parks and open spaces, buildings and facilities, vehicles and equipment) and include maintenance activities associated with each: | | |
| The Town is aware of this requirement but has not started the process. | | |
| Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) | | |
| Describe the status of any SWPPP, due in year 2 of the permit term, for permittee-owned or operated facilities including maintenance garages, public works yards, transfer stations, and other waste handling facilities where pollutants are exposed to stormwater: | | |
| The Town is aware of this requirement but has not started the process. | | |
| Below, report on the number of site inspections for facilities that require a SWPPP completed during this reporting period. | | |
| Number of site inspections completed: N/A | | |

Town of Hamilton

Page 12

| Describe any corrective actions taken at a facility with a SWPPP: |
|--|
| N/A |
| O&M Procedures for Stormwater Treatment Structures |
| Describe the status of the written procedure for stormwater treatment structure maintenance: |
| The Town is aware of this requirement but has not started the process. |
| |
| Additional Information |
| Monitoring or Study Results Results from any other stormwater or receiving water quality monitoring or studies conducted during the reporting period not otherwise mentioned above, where the data is being used to inform permit compliance or permit effectiveness must be attached. |
| Not applicable The results from additional reports or studies are attached to the email submission The results from additional reports or studies can be found at the following website(s): |
| |
| If such monitoring or studies were conducted on your behalf or if monitoring or studies conducted by other entities were reported to you, a brief description of the type of information gathered or received shall be described below: |
| N/A |
| Additional Information |
| <i>Optional:</i> Enter any additional information relevant to your stormwater management program implementation during the reporting period. Include any BMP modifications made by the MS4 if not already discussed above: |
| The Town had an issue with bidding/procurement for a contractor to perform CB cleanings. They are in the process of rebidding the work with sump and invert measurement provisions to begin tracking percent full. |
| |

Page 13

Town of Hamilton

Please confirm that your SWMP has been, or will be, updated to comply with all applicable permit requirements including but not limited to the year 2 requirements summarized below. (Note: impaired waters and TMDL requirements are not listed below)

Yes, I agree ⊠

- Complete system mapping Phase I
- Begin investigations of catchments associated with Problem Outfalls
- Develop or modify an ordinance or other regulatory mechanism for post-construction stormwater runoff from new development and redevelopment
- Establish and implement written procedures to require the submission of as-built drawings no later than two years after the completion of construction projects
- Develop, if not already developed, written operations and maintenance procedures
- Develop an inventory of all permittee owned facilities in the categories of parks and open space, buildings and facilities, and vehicles and equipment; review annually and update as necessary
- Establish a written program detailing the activities and procedures the permittee will implement so that the MS4 infrastructure is maintained in a timely manner
- Develop and implement a written SWPPP for maintenance garages, public works yards, transfer stations, and other waste handling facilities where pollutants are exposed to stormwater
- Enclose or cover storage piles of salt or piles containing salt used for deicing or other purposes
- Develop, if not already developed, written procedures for sweeping streets and municipal-owned lots
- Develop, if not already developed, written procedures for winter road maintenance including storage of salt and sand
- Develop, if not already developed, a schedule for catch basin cleaning
- Develop, if not already developed, a written procedure for stormwater treatment structure maintenance
- Develop a written catchment investigation procedure (18 months)

Annual Requirements

- Annual report submitted and available to the public
- Annual opportunity for public participation in review and implementation of SWMP
- Keep records relating to the permit available for 5 years and make available to the public
- Properly store and dispose of catch basin cleanings and street sweepings so they do not discharge to receiving waters
- Annual training to employees involved in IDDE program
- Update inventory of all known locations where SSOs have discharged to the MS4 in the last 5 years
- Continue public education and outreach program
- Update outfall and interconnection inventory and priority ranking and include data collected in connection with the dry weather screening and other relevant inspections conducted
- Implement IDDE program
- Review site plans of construction sites as part of the construction stormwater runoff control program
- Conduct site inspection of construction sites as necessary
- Inspect and maintain stormwater treatment structures
- Log catch basins cleaned or inspected
- Sweep all uncurbed streets at least annually

Provide any additional details on activities planned for permit year 2 below:

The Town plans the following activities for year 2 of the permit:

BMP: Provide brochures/pamphlets to educate Contractors on stormwater erosion and sediment control

requirements.

BMP: Web Page - Update Town's web site to include vehicle maintenance, fertilizer use, parking lot sweeping, ice removal optimization, and waste/material storage for local businesses.

BMP: Update GIS Drainage Map as needed

BMP: Implement IDDE Program including starting the dry-weather stormwater outfall sampling.

BMP: As-built Plans for On-Site Stormwater Control - review existing regulations to ensure as-built plan submittal requirements are included.

BMP: Review regulations to Ensure the Requirements of the MA Stormwater Handbook are met

BMP: O&M Procedures for municipal activities and facilities that could effect MS4

BMP: Inventory all Permittee-Owned Property

BMP:Create O&M for stormwater infrastructure

BMP: Develop a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for required municipal facilities

BMP: Develop a Catch Basin Cleaning Optimization Plan

Part V: Certification of Small MS4 Annual Report 2019

40 CFR 144.32(d) Certification

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, I certify that the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

| Name: | Timothy Olson | Title: | DPW Director |
|-------|---|--------|--------------|
| | Timothy J. Olson Digitally signed by Timothy J. Olson DN: cn=Timothy J. Olson, o=Town of Hamilton, ou=DPW, email=tolson@hamiltonna.gov, c=US Date: 2019.09.27 11:16-28-04'00' [Signatory may be a duly authorized representative] | Date: | 9/27/2019 |

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

APPENDIX K

Authorization Letter





P.O. Box 429 577 Bay Road Hamilton, MA 01936 Phone Fax Web site (978) 468-5572 (978) 468-2682 http://www.hamiltonma.gov

MEMORANDUM

FROM:

Joseph J. Domelowicz, Jr., Town Manager

DATE: July 1st, 2019

Re: Documentation for delegation of "Authorized Representative" for NPDES 2016 Massachusetts Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) General Permit

This document serves to affirm that Timothy Olson, DPW Director has responsibility for the operation of the MS4 and is hereby designated as an authorized person for signing all reports including but not limited to the Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP), Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans (SWPPPs), inspection reports, annual reports, monitoring reports, reports on training, and other information required by the General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4) in Massachusetts for the Town of Hamilton. This authorization cannot be used for signing a NPDES permit application (e.g., Notice of Intent (NOI)) in accordance with 40 CFR 122.22).

By signing this authorization, I confirm that I meet the following requirements to make such a designation as set forth in Part B.11 of Appendix B of the Small MS4 General Permit:

For a municipality, state, federal, or other public agency: By either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official.

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

[SIGNATORY per Part B.11 of Appendix B]

Namel

[Date]

7/1/18

[Title]

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

APPENDIX L

Operation and Maintenance Plan













westonandsampson.com

55 Walkers Brook Drive, Suite 100 Reading, MA 01867 tel: 978.532.1900

OPERATIONS &

MAINTENANCE

PLAN

MS4 GENERAL PERMIT COMPLIANCE



SEPTEMBER 2020

TOWN OF
Hamilton
MASSACHUSETTS




TABLE OF CONTENTS

| TABLE | OF CONTENTS | ii |
|--------|--|----|
| LIST O | F APPENDICES | ii |
| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 | Requirement for Standard Operating Procedures | 1 |
| 1.2 | Applicability | 1 |
| 2.0 | PARKS AND OPEN SPACE | 2 |
| 2.1 | Overview | 2 |
| 2.2 | Operation and Maintenance Activities | 2 |
| 3.0 | MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES | 2 |
| 3.1 | Overview | 2 |
| 3.2 | Use, Storage, and Disposal of Petroleum Products and Other Stormwater Pollutants | 2 |
| 3.3 | Employee Training | 3 |
| 3.4 | Spill Prevention and Response | 3 |
| 3.5 | Waste Management and Other Applicable Good Housekeeping Practices | 3 |
| 4.0 | MUNICIPAL VEHICLES AND EQUIPMENT | |
| 4.1 | Overview | 4 |
| 4.2 | Municipal Vehicle Storage, Maintenance, and Repair | 4 |
| 4.3 | Municipal Vehicle and Equipment Fueling | 4 |
| 4.4 | Municipal Vehicle Washing | 4 |
| 4.5 | Other Applicable Good House Keeping/ Pollution Prevention Practices | 5 |
| 5.0 | INFRASTRUCTURE OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE | 5 |
| 5.1 | Drainage System Overview | 5 |
| 5.2 | Catch Basin Cleaning | 5 |
| 5.3 | Street Sweeping | 6 |
| 5.4 | Inspection and Maintenance of Stormwater Treatment Structures | 6 |
| 5.5 | Winter Road Maintenance | 7 |



LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: Inventory of Municipal Parks, Open Space, Buildings, and Facilities

Municipal Vehicle Inventory

APPENDIX B: Standard Operating Procedures – Parks and Open Space

APPENDIX C: Standard Operating Procedures – Municipal Buildings and Facilities

APPENDIX D: Standard Operating Procedures – Vehicles and Equipment

APPENDIX E: Standard Operating Procedures - Catch Basin Inspection and Cleaning

APPENDIX F: Standard Operating Procedures – Street Sweeping

APPENDIX G: Standard Operating Procedures – Inspection and Maintenance of Stormwater

Treatment Structures

APPENDIX H: Standard Operating Procedures – Salt Use Optimization/ Winter Road Maintenance



1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Requirement for Standard Operating Procedures

The 2016 Massachusetts MS4 General Permit, which came into effect on July 1, 2018, regulates discharges from small municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s) to waters of the United States. The Permit requires MS4 operators to develop, implement, and enforce a stormwater management program (SWMP). The purpose of the SWMP is to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4 to the maximum extent practicable, to protect water quality, and to satisfy the applicable water quality requirements of the Clean Water Act. MS4 operators implement various Best Management Practices (BMPs) for each of six minimum control measures. These minimum control measures are as follows:

- Public Education and Outreach
- Public Involvement/Participation
- Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination
- Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control
- Post-Construction Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment
- Good Housekeeping and Pollution Prevention for Municipal Operations

As part of the minimum control measure for Good Housekeeping and Pollution Prevention for Municipal Operations, Section 2.3.7 of the 2016 MS4 Permit requires regulated communities to develop and implement a written Operations and Maintenance (O&M) program for municipal activities and facilities. The O&M program serves to prevent or reduce pollutant runoff and protect water quality, and is required to include the following components:

- 1. Written O&M procedures for the following activities/facilities:
 - a. Parks and open space
 - b. Buildings and facilities where pollutants are exposed to stormwater runoff
 - c. Vehicles and equipment
- 2. An inventory of all permittee-owned facilities
- 3. A written program outlining the necessary actions the permittee will implement so that the MS4 is properly maintained to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4, including:
 - a. Optimization of routine inspections, cleaning and maintenance of catch basins
 - b. Implementation of procedures for sweeping and/or cleaning streets and municipally owned parking lots
 - c. Proper storage and disposal of catch basin cleanings and street sweepings
 - d. Implementation of procedures for winter road maintenance
 - e. Implementation of inspection and maintenance frequencies and procedures for storm drain systems and stormwater treatment structures
- 4. Written records for all maintenance activities, inspections and training.

To address these requirements, Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) associated with these municipal activities and facilities were taken and/or adapted from templates developed by EPA and the Central Massachusetts Regional Stormwater Coalition (CMRSWC). These templates were developed for use by MS4 communities in complying with the permit requirements outlined above. These pre-developed SOPs can be implemented by the town or adjusted to fit current practices as long as these practices meet all MS4 requirements.

1.2 Applicability

The operation and maintenance procedures outlined in this document and the accompanying SOPs apply to all the facilities, vehicles, and equipment denoted in the inventory included in Appendix A, as well as any activities associated with each facility, vehicle, or piece of equipment. They shall also apply to all drainage infrastructure owned or operated by the Town. The inventory will be updated annually to reflect any changes in property or equipment ownership.



2.0 PARKS AND OPEN SPACE

2.1 Overview

The Town of Hamilton performs regular maintenance on parks and open spaces to ensure aesthetic appeal throughout the town. Maintenance consists of mowing, weeding, pruning, mulching, irrigation, and solid waste management. The Town of Hamilton fertilizes their fields and parks. Stormwater pollutants that can be generated from these activities include nutrients, pesticides, organics, sediment, trash, and bacteria.

The Town of Hamilton owns and maintains the following parks and open spaces:

- Patton Park
- Fair Haven Field
- School Street Park

- Donovan Field
- Cutler Park

This list can be seen as a while as the location for each park and open space in Appendix A.

2.2 Operation and Maintenance Activities

The Town of Hamilton performs most of the maintenance in house at all the locations listed above. All lawns are cut, weeded, irrigated, and seeded/reseeded by The Town. The Town is also responsible for trimming and pruning trees and shrubs, maintain mulch in shrub beds, and removing leaves every fall. All trash is picked up by contacted waste company. Leaf litter and other organic materials are disposed of at the Hamilton Landfill and mulched annually. To limit dog waste, dogs are not permitted at the locations listed above.

Appendix B Provides Standard Operating Procedures that the Town should follow for all operation and maintenance activities in its parks and open spaces, including

• B.1 Parks and Open Space Management

3.0 MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

3.1 Overview

Hamilton owns and operates a variety of different buildings that have the potential for pollutants to be exposed to stormwater runoff. A complete list and the location can be seen in Appendix A. Below is the list of Municipal buildings owned and operated by the Town of Hamilton:

- Town Hall
- Cutler School
- Winthrop School

- Town Hall Garage
- Police and Fire Department
- Council of Aging (COA) Senior Center

3.2 Use, Storage, and Disposal of Petroleum Products and Other Stormwater Pollutants

The Town has restrictions in place regarding the use, storage, and disposal of petroleum products and other stormwater pollutants to prevent the potential for polluted stormwater. Red, leak-proof gas cans are used to for handling and use of flammable liquids such as gasoline. Waste oil and used antifreeze are stored at the DPW garage in barrels, with spill catching grates below to catch any excess. These also function as secondary containment.

There is a fuel island located at the DPW facility, both the Diesel and Gasoline tanks are underground, and inspected daily by a certified operator.



Appendix C provides Standard Operating Procedures that the Town should follow for the use, storage, and disposal of petroleum or other hazardous products utilized at municipal facilities, including:

- C.1: Fuel and Oil Handling
- C.2: Hazardous Materials Storage and Handling

3.3 Employee Training

The Town has developed an employee training program, which provides information regarding stormwater pollution prevention and good housekeeping practices for municipal operations. Management practices included as part of the training program consist of: (1) minimizing and preventing exposure of vehicles and equipment to stormwater, (2) good housekeeping operations, (3) preventative maintenance, (4) spill prevention and response, (5) erosion and sediment control, (6) stormwater runoff management, (7) management of salt and piles containing salt and (8) maintenance of control measures. Training on the proper use, storage, and disposal of petroleum products is also included.

The Town will have Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans (SWPPPs) in place for the Department of Public Works Facility by the end of Permit Year 2 (June 30, 2020). Employees at both facilities will complete annual training on the management practices outlined in the SWPPP.

3.4 Spill Prevention and Response

The DPW facility and the Highway Department have the same Spill Prevention and Response Plan. A copy of the plan is kept in the Town Offices, and employees are trained on its contents once annually. The facility has spill mats that are used to cover catch basins when there is a spill or leak, there are also spill kits at the fueling station, in the garage bay, and at the transfer station. The plan also includes written procedures for the proper disposal of used absorbent/spill containment material.

In addition to the Spill Prevention and Response Plan, other Good Housekeeping measures are in place to minimize the risk of spilled pollutants entering nearby surface waters. All transfers to and from fuel oil and chemical tanks on site are observed by qualified personnel trained in spill response procedures. Hydraulic equipment is kept in good repair to prevent leaks. Equipment and vehicles are regularly inspected to avoid situations that may result in leaks, spills, and other releases of pollutants that could be conveyed with stormwater to receiving waters. The fueling area at the DPW Facility is inspected daily for signs of spills or leaks, which includes inspection of hoses and fittings. Any spills are cleaned up immediately or are properly marked by barricades. Grease and oil spills are treated with an absorbent compound.

Appendix C provides additional Standard Operating Procedures that the Town should follow for spill response at all facilities, including:

• C.3: Spill Response and Cleanup

3.5 Waste Management and Other Applicable Good Housekeeping Practices

Waste from all municipal facilities is picked up by a contracted waste disposal company.

Building maintenance is conducted to minimize the potential for stormwater pollution. This includes practices such as using tarps and drop cloths when painting or sanding, routinely checking buildings for leaks, and sweeping facility parking lots and driveways.

Appendix C also provides Standard Operating Procedures pertaining to waste management and facility housekeeping, including:

C.4: Operations and Maintenance of Municipal Buildings and Facilities



There are other Standard Operating Procedures that are applicable to municipal buildings and facilities but are discussed and referenced exclusively in other sections. These include the following:

- SOPs for lawn maintenance and landscaping activities, which are included under Section 2.0, Parks and Open Space
- SOPs for vehicle and equipment storage, washing, and fueling, which are discussed in Section 4.0, Municipal Vehicles and Equipment
- SOPs for street sweeping, snow disposal, and the storage and application of deicing materials, which are discussed exclusively under Section 5.0, Infrastructure Operations and Maintenance.

4.0 MUNICIPAL VEHICLES AND EQUIPMENT

4.1 Overview

The DPW and Highway Department is responsible for all the vehicles used by themselves. An inventory of all vehicles operated and maintained by the Highway Department is included in Appendix A.

4.2 Municipal Vehicle Storage, Maintenance, and Repair

Vehicle maintenance facilities have the potential for spills that could contaminate stormwater. Potential pollutants associated with municipal vehicle storage, maintenance, and repair activities include oil and grease, petroleum products, metals, organics and chlorides.

In Hamilton, vehicle maintenance is performed within the DPW garage. This maintenance includes all changing of fluids. Employees use spigots/funnels to minimize drips/leaks, use drip pans when changing fluids, and have absorbing compounds available for use in the event of a spill. The maintenance garage is equipped with floor drains, which discharge to a tight tank. Spill prevention practices are still encouraged to reduce the amount of oil entering the oil-water separator or the sanitary sewer.

At both the highway department garage and the DPW all vehicles are stored inside to the most practicable extent.

4.3 Municipal Vehicle and Equipment Fueling

All Highway Department and DPW vehicles are fueled on site at the Towns fuel island. Fuel is supplied by two separate diesel and gasoline tanks both buried underground. The gasoline tank is 6,000 gallons and diesel tank is 4,000 gallons. The tanks are assessed daily for leaks. The island is uncovered with no secondary containment. Potential stormwater pollutants associated with municipal vehicle and equipment fueling include oil and grease, petroleum products, trash, metals and organics. The fueling area is inspected regularly for signs of spills or leaks, and there is a concrete pad below the fueling station. Spill response procedures are in place.

On July 1, 2020 the gasoline and diesel tank were pumped out and certified inert for a temporary, possibly permanent period. Hamilton and Wenham are piloting a joint facility and Wenham's facility is the host for a period of 6 months. Pending the outcome of the pilot program, the Town plans to either build a new facility in a similar location or partner with Wenham to build a joint facility at an off-site location.

4.4 Municipal Vehicle Washing

Potential stormwater pollutants associated with municipal vehicle washing include sediment, nutrients, chlorides, trash, metals, oil & grease, petroleum products and organics. All employees know that no outdoor vehicle washing can occur.

All vehicle washing is conducted outside of the DPW and Highway Department facility building on an impervious surface. All washing is done with environmentally friendly soap and washing area is not within proximity of any stormwater drains or surface water.



4.5 Other Applicable Good House Keeping/ Pollution Prevention Practices

Appendix D provides Standard Operating Procedures related to vehicle and equipment operation and maintenance, including:

D.1: Operations and Maintenance of Municipal Vehicles and Equipment

There are other Standard Operating Procedures that are applicable to Municipal Vehicles and Equipment but are discussed and referenced exclusively in other sections. These include the following:

- SOPs for the use, storage, and disposal of petroleum products; SOPs for spill prevention and response, and SOPs for waste management, which are included under Section 3.0, Municipal Buildings and Facilities
- SOPs for street sweeping, which are discussed exclusively under Section 5.0, Infrastructure Operations and Maintenance

5.0 INFRASTRUCTURE OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

5.1 Drainage System Overview

Hamilton has developed a comprehensive map of the Town's drainage system in GIS, which includes townwide mapping of outfalls, culverts, drain manholes, catch basins, drainage pipes, swales, etc. The system consists of approximately:

- 19 Miles of Drainage pipe
- 836 municipal catch basins,
- 177 municipal storm drain manholes,
- 240 municipal outfalls

There are formal collection facilities at all parks. Hamilton has several outfalls that discharge directly to surface waters, and few that discharge to infiltration or leaching basins which infiltrate stormwater directly into the ground.

5.2 Catch Basin Cleaning

The Department of Public Works performs routine inspections, cleaning, and maintenance of their 770 catch basins that are located within the MS4 regulated area, the number of catch basins is based off of recent mapping and investigations. The Town of Hamilton will implement the following catch basin inspection and cleaning procedures to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4. In 2019, the Town of Hamilton cleaned and inspected all catch basins throughout the town and plan to clean and inspect all the structures in the spring of 2021 season. Hamilton plans on measuring depths of sediment, bottom of inlet, and height of sump. This data will be utilized to identify those catch basins that are filling up more quickly and will therefore need to be cleaned more than once annually to ensure that the "50 Percent" goal is always reached. The Town of Hamilton plans to implement catch basin inspection/cleaning procedures in fiscal year 2021. Inspection forms, and logs of catch basins cleaned or inspected will be included in Appendix E. All catch basin cleanings are brought to the Highway Department and DPW facility and stockpiled.

To meet anticipated requirements of the new MS4 Permit, the Town will need to optimize catch basin inspection, cleaning and maintenance such that the following conditions are met:

 If a catch basin sump is more than 50 percent full during two consecutive routine inspections or cleaning events, the finding will be documented, the contributing drainage area will be investigated for sources of excessive sediment loading, and to the extent practicable, contributing sources will be



addressed. If no contributing sources are found, the inspection and cleaning frequency will be increased.

- Catch basins located near construction activities (roadway construction, residential, commercial, or industrial development or redevelopment) will be inspected and cleaned more frequently if inspection and maintenance activities indicate excessive sediment or debris loadings (i.e., catch basins more than 50 percent full). Priority will also be given to catch basins that discharge to impaired waters.
- The following information will be included in each annual report:
 - o Any action taken in response to excessive sediment or debris loadings
 - Total number of catch basins
 - Number of catch basins inspected
 - Number of catch basins cleaned
 - o Total volume or mass of material removed from catch basins.

Appendix E provides Standard Operating Procedures that the Town should follow, including:

E.1: Catch Basin Inspection and Cleaning

5.3 Street Sweeping

The town of Hamilton has 44 centerline miles of public roadway within the town. All streets and parking lots under municipal jurisdiction are swept a minimum of once per year.

The Town of Hamilton will implement the following street and parking lot sweeping procedures to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4:

- All streets will be swept and/or cleaned a minimum of once per year in the spring (following winter activities such as sanding).
- More frequent sweeping will be considered for targeted areas based on pollutant load reduction potential, inspections, pollutant loads, catch basin cleaning or inspection results, land use, impaired waters, or other factors.

The following information will be included in each annual report:

 Number of miles cleaned, or the volume or mass of material removed (see sweeping log in Appendix F).

All street sweepings are brought to the DPW and Highway Department Facility, where they are stockpiled and disposed of.

5.4 Inspection and Maintenance of Stormwater Treatment Structures

Currently, Hamilton does not have any Town owned stormwater treatment structures. Stormwater treatment structures, include detention basins, grassed swales, infiltration/leaching basins, oil/water separators and stormceptors. When properly maintained, these structures reduce stormwater pollution and reduce stormwater facility maintenance costs.

Appendix G. provides Standard Operating Procedures for stormwater treatment structures, including:

G.1: Inspection and Maintenance of Structural Stormwater Best Management Practices (BMPs)



5.5 Winter Road Maintenance

Potential stormwater pollutants associated with winter road maintenance include chloride, sediment and various deicing materials. Pollution potential is reduced by properly storing salt and sand, minimizing the use of sodium chloride and other salts, evaluating opportunities for use of alternative materials, and ensuring that snow disposal activities do not result in disposal of snow into waters of the United States.

The Town of Hamilton uses a sand/salt mix during winter road operations. All salt is stored in a 2,400 square foot salt shed. This is where all truck loading and unloading occurs also. If any sand or salt is spilt outside of the shed, it is quickly swept up and moved back inside.

Appendix H provides Standard Operating Procedures for winter road maintenance, including:

• H.1: Salt Use Optimization/ Winter Road Maintenance

There are other Standard Operating Procedures that are applicable to Winter Road Maintenance but are discussed and referenced exclusively in other sections. These include the following:

• SOPs for the operation and maintenance of vehicles and equipment, which are discussed exclusively under Section 4.0, Municipal Vehicles and Equipment



APPENDIX A

Parks and Open Space Inventory

Municipal Buildings and Facilities Inventory

Municipal Vehicles and Equipment Inventory

| | Land | |
|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Bay Rd 18.31 Acres | Bay Rd./Depot | Chebacco Rd 1.84 |
| | Sq34 | Acr |
| 42 Lincoln Ave79 | Chebacco Rd | Chebacco Rd 1.84 |
| Α | .12 Acre | Acr |
| Chebacco Rd 2.0 | Lake Shore Ave | Chebacco Rd 11.5 |
| Acre | .12 A | Acr |
| 237 Asbury St 11.5 | Lake Shore Ave | Chebacco Rd 2.5 |
| Α | .13 A | Acre |
| Willow St14 Acre | Lake Shore Ave | Moulton St 13.9 |
| | .17 A | Acre |
| Birch Rd27 Acre | Chebacco Rd | Forest St08 Acre |
| | 1.84 Acr | |
| Bridge St. Off? - 1.2 A | Sagamore St. | Forest St11 Acre |
| | Offl | |
| Forest St32 Acre | Beach Plain - | Forest St42 Acre |
| | 1.84 Acre | |
| Forest St59 Acre | Chebacco Rd | Lake Dr1 Acre |
| | .18 Acre | |
| Highland St 2.5 | Chebacco Rd | Mill St. (Off)09 Ac |
| Acre | .81 Acre | |
| 308-388 Bay Rd | Chebacco Rd9 | Lake Dr09 Acre |
| 16.1 | Acre | |
| 299 Bay Rd9 Acre | Chebacco Rd | Bay Rd67 Acre - Pi |
| | 1.5 Acre | |
| 325 Bay Rd 14.8 | Chebacco Rd | Sagamore-52 acre |
| Acre | 1.2 Acre | |
| 265 Bay Rd73 Acre | Chebacco Rd | Ashbury 23.2 Acres |
| | 1.84 Acr | |

| <u>Parks</u> |
|--------------------|
| Patton Park |
| Fairhaven Field |
| School Street Park |
| Cutler Park |
| Donovan Field |

Hamilton, MA Municipal Buildings and Facilities Inventory

| <u>Buildings</u> |
|---------------------------|
| Town Hall |
| Cutler School |
| Patton Park Bathhouse |
| Winthrop School |
| Council of Aging - Senior |
| Center |
| Patton Park Concess |
| Patton Park Pool Eq |
| Patton Park Garage |
| Cemetery Garage |
| Town Hall - Garage |
| Town Hall - Salt Shed |
| Library - Partial I |
| Fire and Police Stations |
| Patton Homestead |

| | | D.P.W. Equipment Inventory 7/ | 18/2018 | | |
|------|------|---|--------------------|---------|------------|
| Year | Make | Model/Attachments | V.I.N | Reg. | Dept. |
| 1 | 2015 | Chev. Silverado 2005/plow | 1GCOKUEG7FZ546046 | M94936 | Maint. |
| 2 | 2015 | Chev. Silverado 2005/plow | 1GCOKUEGXFZ2510335 | M92593 | Hywy |
| 3 | 2013 | Chev. Silverado 3500/Utility/plow | 1GB3KZCG2DF151031 | M86357 | Water |
| 4 | 2017 | John Deere 544K Loader/Plow | 1DW544KZCGF677654 | M96871 | Hywy |
| 5 | 2013 | Int. 7300 Sander/plow | 1HTWAAAR3DH352199 | M87454 | Hywy |
| 6 | 2015 | Int. 7300 Sander/plow | 1HTWAAAR6FH664696 | M90539 | Hywy |
| 7 | 2015 | Int. 7400 Dump/Sander/plow | 1HTWDAAR9FH662481 | M92578 | Hywy |
| 8 | 2015 | Chev. Silverado 2005/plow | 1GCOKUEG2FZ546021 | M94927 | Park |
| 9 | 2013 | Int. 7300 Sander/plow | 1HTWAAAR1DH352198 | M87453 | Hywy |
| 10 | 2016 | Chev. Silverado 2500/plow | 1GC3KYCG7GZ367921 | M95980 | Water |
| 11 | 2011 | GMC Sierra 3500/Dump/plow | 1GD322CG2BF161408 | M83972 | Cemetery |
| 12 | 2015 | Chev. Silverado 3500 Dump/plow | 1GB3KYCG0FF537508 | M92590 | Hywy |
| 13 | 2018 | Int. 7400 Dump/plow | 3HAWDSTRXJL741163 | M99921 | Hywy |
| 14 | 2006 | John Deere 310G Backhoe/Loader | T0310GX958707 | M75466 | Hywy |
| 15 | 2015 | John Deere 310SK Backhoe/Loader | 1T0310SKPEE272550 | M90527 | Water |
| 16 | 2016 | 2016 GMC Sierra 3500 Dump/plow | 1GD32VCGXGZ192073 | M95515 | Water |
| 17 | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | |
| 18 | 2015 | Chev. Silverado Xcab 2500/plow | 1GC2KUEG0FZ551758 | M94935 | Public Wor |
| 19 | | 2006 Holder C4.74 Tractor/plow/mower/snowblower | 204000183 | M80391 | Hywy |
| 20 | | John Deere 4300 Tractor/mower/broom/snowblower | LV4300H334352 | M64135 | - ' ' |
| 21 | | John Deere 4300 Tractor/mower/York rake/overseeder/spreader | 211766900129 | M64136 | |
| 22 | | Morbark 2070XL Twister Chipper | 70254 | M69171 | Public Wor |
| 22 | | Ingersol Rand 185 Compressor | 321260UDL221 | M66730 | Public Wor |
| 23 | | Spaulding T2 Hot Patcher | T2D-13-2806-784 | M90247 | Hvwv |
| 24 | | Custom 6T192 Flatbed Trailer | 1KX331732W1002913 | M60821 | Hywy |
| 25 | 2006 | Brimar Utility Trailer 6000GVW | 43YDC16186C052110 | M79788 | Hywy |
| 26 | | Carmate Landscape Trailer | 5A3U61SSX8L003512 | M81269 | |
| 27 | | Beuthling B155 Roller | 3412300921 | | Hywy |
| 28 | | John Deere 997ZTR72SD Mower | 1TC997SCJ0F080967 | | Hywy |
| 29 | 2013 | Walker MB23I Mower | 125262 | | Cemetery |
| 30 | 2013 | Walker MB23I Mower | 125263 | | Cemetery |
| 31 | 2008 | Encore Prowler 61K25A Mower | 51126 | | |
| 32 | | Bobcat Fastcat | 94229602042 | | |
| 33 | 2013 | Graco Line LazerIV 250SPS Line painter Hywy | | | |
| 34 | | Best Concrete Mixer | 1861091 | | Hywy |
| 35 | 2008 | Flink FM8ETs4 Sander | | | Public Wor |
| 36 | | Pressure washer | | | Hywy |
| 37 | 2015 | John Deere 997ZTR Mower | | | ,, |
| 38 | | Belmont Trailer | 50PBU1414GL000195 | M96408 | |
| 39 | | Trackless | MT7 MT71150 | M97-302 | Hywy |
| 40 | | Chevrolet Tahoe | | | ,, |

| Equipment | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Concrete Mixer | 22 Breathing Apparatus | Dispatch Console | Barrels - Organic | 2018 International 7400 Dump Truck With Plow | Truck 2013 | GMC Sierra 3500 | |
| Repeater | FILLST06 | Public Safetyety Recall Recorder | Trailer Radar & Message Board | Breathing Apparatus | Tractor | 2017 Ford Explorer | |
| Rescue Tool | Equipment at Gordon-Conwell | Baper Control & Radio Systems | 250 SPS LineLazer Fire Truck Sprayer | | Hot Box | 2017 John Deere 544K 4W Loader | |
| Fire Alarm System | Thermal Imaging Camera | 25, Glock 22 Pistols and ammo | Patton Park Playground | Engine 2, Pumper - 1985 | SUV-2015 | 2017 Chevrolet Tahoe - Lease split with Water | |
| Cutters | Astro Tac Receiver | 16 Safariland 6360 holsters, R | Walker Mower | Moible Air Unit 6, 1994 | 2015 Ford Explorer | 2017 Ford Explorer | |
| Hydraulic Hose for Jaws | Bri-Mar Flat Bed Trailer | 4 Safariland 6360 holsters, L | Walker Mower | Engine 1, Pumper - 1996 | DUMP TRUCK - 2015 | 2017 Chevrolet Tahoe | |
| Jaws Power Supply | Equipment at Pingree School | 20 Safariland 77 mag pouches | Pressure Washer | Polaris ATV | TRUCK 8- 2015 | 2018 Ford Explorer | |
| Spreaders | 2006 Servers Munis | Boiler-Replacement | Pressure Washer | Engine 3, Pumper 2004 | LADDER 4- PUMPER & LADDER | | |
| Custom Large Trailer | Asphalt Roller - 2006 | Zetron | Camera (ISGX380) | Squad 5, 2006 | DUMP TRUCK - 2015 | | |
| Air Compressor | Bobcat Fast-Cat Mower | Energy Management System | Rotary Lift | Backhoe - 2006 | PICK-UP TRUCK-2015 | | |
| 2001 John Deere 4300 Tractor | Car Mate Trailer | Enery Management System | 2015 John Deere 997ZTR Mower | HOLDER - 2006 | PICK-UP TRUCK-2015 | | |
| Camera - Thermal Imaging | Snow Blower Attachment | Enery Management Systems | 2 Dell Servers | Squad 7, 2008 | 2015 Ford Explorer | | |
| Tractor | Commerical Mower | Computer System - File Server | Bullet Proof Vests | 2011 Ford Taurus | 2015 Chevy Silverado 2500/P1ow | | |
| Brush Chipper | Stainless Sander - Attachment | Barrels - Trash | Trackless MT7 Municipal Tractor | GMC Sierra truck | Chevy Silverado | | |

APPENDIX B

Standard Operating Procedure – Parks and Open Space

B.1: Parks and Open Space Management

| Standard Operating Procedures | Issue Date: |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Hamilton, MA | |
| Department of Public Works | |
| Parks and Open Space Management | |
| Approved by: | |
| | |
| Timothy Olson | |
| Public Works Director (or similar) | |

MA Small MS4 General Permit Requirement Summary:

Part 2.3.7.a.i.

Within two (2) years from the effective date of the permit, the permittee shall develop, if not already developed, written (hardcopy or electronic) operations and maintenance procedures for all Parks and open spaces. These written procedures shall be included as part of the SWMP.

Part 2.3.7.a.ii.1.

Establish procedures to address the proper use, storage, and disposal of pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers including minimizing the use of these products and using only in accordance manufacturer's instruction. Evaluate lawn maintenance and landscaping activities to ensure practices are protective of water quality. Protective practices include reduced mowing frequencies, proper disposal of lawn clippings, and use of alternative landscaping materials (e.g., drought resistant planting). Establish pet waste handling collection and disposal locations at all parks and open space where pets are permitted, including the placing of proper signage concerning the proper collection and disposal of pet waste. Establish procedures to address waterfowl congregation areas where appropriate to reduce waterfowl droppings from entering the MS4. Establish procedures for management of trash containers at parks and open space (scheduled cleanings; sufficient number). Establish procedures to address erosion or poor vegetative cover when the permittee becomes aware of it; especially if the erosion is within 50 feet of a surface water.

Municipal Parks and Open Space Inventory

The following is a list of properties covered by these procedures. This inventory shall be updated annually during SWMP review.

| Park | Address/Location | Lawn Mowing | Landscaping | Fertilizing | Pesticide/Herbicid | Trash mgmt. | Pet waste mgmt. | Waterfowl mgmt. | Other maintenance: |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Patton Park | | Χ | Χ | Χ | | Χ | | | |
| Fairhaven Field | | Χ | Χ | Χ | | Χ | | | |
| School Street Park | | Χ | Χ | Χ | | Χ | | | |
| Cutler Park | | Χ | | | | Χ | | | |
| Donovan Field | | Χ | | Χ | | Χ | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Standard Operating Procedure | Issue Date: | |
|--|--|--------------------|
| Hamilton, MA | | |
| Department of Public Works | | |
| | | |
| Parks and Open Space Manag | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Personnel | | |
| | municipal parks and open space management. Employees | nerforming the |
| procedures in this SOP shall attend annual s | | p perioriii.ig are |
| | | |
| Timothy Olson | DPW Director | |
| Peter Cobb | | |
| Gary Kureta | | |
| Scott McCulloch | | |
| Ray Currier | Hwy Laborer | |
| | | |

| Standard Operating Procedures | Issue Date: |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
| Hamilton, MA | |
| Department of Public Works | |
| Parks and Open Space Management | |

Lawn Mowing

On the following schedule: Weekly or prior to athletic events

Responsible Personnel: Gary Kureta with assistance from Scott McCulloch and Ray Currier

Standard Operating Procedures:

- → Lawns shall be mowed to a height of 3".
- \rightarrow Mowing pattern shall vary to prevent ruts and promote even growth.
- → Grass clippings shall be mulched using a mulching mower OR disposed of a Hamilton Landfill at 500 Chebacco Road so as to avoid entering the storm drain system.

Pesticide, Herbicide, and Fertilizer Use

On the following schedule: Bi-Annually, Spring and Fall

Except during drought conditions or preceding heavy rainfall.

Responsible Personnel: (Name of Contracted Company) Gary Kureta with assistance from Scott McCulloch and Ray Currier

The following chemicals are utilized for municipal parks and open space management:

| Chemical | Use | Storage Location* | Disposal (per manufacturer's instructions) |
|----------|-----|-------------------|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

All fertilizer is applied stored and ordered by a private vendor through the Parks/Recreation Department.

Standard Operating Procedures:

| _ | Integrated Post Manage | ment strategies shall include | NI/A | to reduce chemical use |
|---------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------|-------------------------|
| \rightarrow | iniegralen Pesi Manage | meni siralegies shall incline | IN/A | TO reduce chemical use. |

Standard Operating Procedures

Hamilton, MA

Department of Public Works

Parks and Open Space Management

→ Pesticides, Herbicides, and Fertilizers shall be applied following manufacturer's instructions as well as additional municipal instructions:

Issue Date:

Other Landscaping

Involves the following:

- Weeding
- Planting/reseeding
- Pruning
- Leaf litter removal

Other Landscaping practices occur when necessary to keep the landscape in a healthy condition.

Responsible Personnel: Gary Kureta with assistance from Scott McCulloch and Ray Currier

Standard Operating Procedures:

- ightarrow Landscaping waste shall be disposed of at Hamilton Landfill at 500 Chebacco Road so for composting so as to avoid entering the storm drain system.
- → Weeding shall be done manually where possible to reduce herbicide use.
- → Leaf litter shall be disposed of at Hamilton Landfill at 500 Chebacco Road so for composting so as to avoid entering the storm drain system.

Trash Management

Trash cans and/or dumpsters are located at the following parks: All parks

Emptying and replacing bags/inspecting for leaks shall take place on the following schedule: Once per week

Responsible Personnel: Gary Kureta with assistance from Scott McCulloch and Ray Currier

| Standard Operating Procedures | issue Date: |
|---|------------------|
| Hamilton, MA | |
| Department of Public Works | |
| Parks and Open Space Management | |
| Additional trash cans or other necessary equipment shall be ordered by Timothy Olson based on the re inspections. | sults of park |
| Parks shall be inspected and cleaned for litter on the following schedule: Once per week | |
| Responsible personnel: Gary Kureta with assistance from Scott McCulloch and Ray Currier | |
| Pet waste receptacles and/or bags are located at the following parks: No, no dogs on allowed in the pa | rks |
| Additional pet waste receptacles, signage, bags, etc. shall be ordered byN/A (stages of park inspections. | ff) based on the |
| Other Park Management | |
| Procedures for addressing waterfowl congregation and waste at specific parks: Choose and explain one or several options: (signage related to feeding geese) (decoys) (tall grasses near other structural changes) (dogs) (audio repellant) (other) | r waterbodies or |
| Specific Parks: N/A | |
| Responsible personnel: N/A | |
| Procedures for addressing the emptying and cleaning of water features: - Allow N/A hours for dechlorination - Store disinfection chemicals indoors in secondary containment - Train staff on spill response procedures at least annually (add as appropriate) | |
| Specific Parks: N/A | |
| Responsible personnel: N/A | |
| Procedures for washing or cleaning park impervious surfaces: - Sweep impervious surface twice a year, or as necessary. | |

Direction of wash water to pervious surfaces, sanitary sewer

| Standard Operating Procedures Hamilton, MA | Issue Date: |
|---|-------------|
| Department of Public Works | |
| Parks and Open Space Management | |
| Specific Parks: N/A | |
| Responsible personnel: N/A | |
| Procedures for correcting areas experiencing erosion: - Temporary stabilization measures - Sediment and erosion control measures - Re-establish grass or native plants | |
| | |
| | |

APPENDIX C

Standard Operating Procedures – Municipal Buildings and Facilities

C.1 Fuel and Oil Handling

C.2 Hazardous Materials Storage and Handling

C.3 Spill Response

C.4 Operation and Maintenance of Buildings and Facilities

C.1: Fuel and Oil Handling

Introduction

Spills, leaks, and overfilling can occur during handling of fuels and petroleum-based materials, representing a potential source of stormwater pollution, even in small volumes. The goal of this written Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) is to provide guidance to municipal employees on a variety of ways by which fuels and petroleum-based materials can be delivered, as well as steps to be taken when petroleum products (such as waste oil) are loaded onto vehicles for offsite disposal or recycling. Delivery, unloading, and loading of waste oils are hereafter referred to as "handling." Attached is a fuel delivery form checklist.

The Town of Hamilton undertakes various procedures and precautions in handling fuel and oil, as described in Section 3.0 of the Town's Operation and Maintenance Plan.

Procedures

The Town of Hamilton will implement the following fuel and oil handling procedures to help reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4:

General Guidelines

For all manners of fuel and oil handling described below, a member of the facility's Pollution Prevention Team (if the facility has a SWPPP) or another knowledgeable person familiar with the facility should be present during handling procedures. This person should ensure that the following are observed:

- There is no smoking while fuel handling is in process or underway.
- Sources of flame are kept away while fuel handling is being completed. This includes smoking, lighting matches, carrying any flame, or carrying a lighted cigar, pipe, or cigarette.
- The delivery vehicle's hand brake is set, and wheels are chocked while the activity is being completed.
- Catch basins and drain manholes are adequately protected.
- No tools are to be used that could damage fuel or oil containers or the delivery vehicle.
- No flammable liquid should be unloaded from any motor vehicle while the engine is operating unless the engine of the motor vehicle is required to be used for the operation of a pump.
- Ensure that local traffic does not interfere with fuel transfer operations. If it does, make appropriate accommodations.
- The attending persons should watch for any leaks or spills:
 - o Any small leaks or spills should be immediately stopped, and spilled materials absorbed and disposed of properly. Follow the procedures in SOP C.3: Spill Response and Cleanup.
 - O In the event of a large spill or one that discharges to surface waters or an engineered storm drain system, the facility representative should activate the facility's Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) and report the incident as specified in the document.

Delivery by Bulk (Tanker) Truck

Procedures for the delivery of bulk fuel should include the following:

• The truck driver should check in with the facility upon arrival.





- The facility representative should ensure that the appropriate spill cleanup and response equipment and personal protective equipment are readily available and easily accessible. Refer to SOP C.3: Spill Response and Cleanup for examples of spill cleanup and response materials.
- The facility representative should check to ensure that the amount of delivery does not exceed the available capacity of the tank.
 - o A level gauge can be used to verify the level in the tank.
 - o If a level gauge is not functioning or is not present on the tank, the tank should be stick tested prior to filling.
- The truck driver and the facility representative should both remain with the vehicle during the delivery process.
- The truck driver and the facility representative should inspect all visible lines, connections, and valves for leaks.
- When delivery is complete and the hoses are removed, buckets should be placed underneath connection points to catch drippings.
- The delivery vehicle should be inspected prior to departure to ensure that the hose is disconnected from the tank.
- The facility representative should inspect the fuel tank to verify that no leaks have occurred, or that any leaked or spilled material has been cleaned and disposed of properly.
- The facility representative should gauge tank levels to ensure that the proper amount of fuel is delivered and collect a receipt from the truck driver.

Delivery of Drummed Materials

Drummed materials may include motor oil, hydraulic fluid, transmission fluid, or waste oil from another facility (as approved). Procedures for the delivery of drummed materials should include the following:

- The truck driver should check in with the facility upon arrival.
- The facility representative should ensure that the appropriate spill cleanup and response equipment
 and personal protective equipment are readily available and easily accessible Refer to SOP C.3: Spill
 Response and Cleanup for examples of spill cleanup and response materials. The facility representative
 should closely examine the shipment for damaged drums.
 - o If damaged drums are found, they should be closely inspected for leaks or punctures.
 - o Breached drums should be removed to a dry, well-ventilated area and the contents transferred to other suitable containers.
 - o Drums should be disposed of in accordance with all applicable regulations.
- Drummed materials should not be unloaded outdoors during wet weather events.
- The truck driver and the facility representative should both remain with the vehicle during the delivery process.
- Drums should be handled and unloaded carefully to prevent damage.
- Upon completion of unloading, the facility representative should inspect the unloading point and the
 drums to verify that no leaks have occurred, that any leaked or spilled material has been cleaned up
 and disposed of properly, and that the unloaded drums are not leaking.
- The facility representative should check to ensure that the proper amount of fuel or other material is delivered and collect a receipt from the truck driver.





Removal of Waste Oil from the Facility

When waste oil or similar oil products need to be removed from the premises, only haulers certified to transport waste oil should be utilized. Procedures should include the following:

- The disposal truck driver should check in with the facility upon arrival.
- The facility representative should ensure that the appropriate spill cleanup and response equipment and personal protective equipment are readily available and easily accessible. Refer to SOP C.3: Spill Response and Cleanup for examples of spill cleanup and response materials. The truck driver and the facility representative should both remain with the vehicle during the tank draining process.
- When draining is complete and the hoses are removed, buckets should be placed underneath connection points to catch drippings.
- The facility representative should inspect the loading point and the tank to verify that no leaks have occurred, or that any leaked or spilled material has been cleaned up and disposed of properly.
- The facility representative should collect a receipt from the truck driver.
- When draining bulk oil tanks:
 - o The facility representative should verify that the volume of waste oil in the tank does not exceed the available capacity of the disposal hauler's vehicle.
 - o The disposal hauler vehicle should be inspected prior to departure to ensure that the hose is disconnected from the tank.

Employee Training

- Employees who handle or deliver fuel and/or oil are trained once per year on proper procedures.
- Employees are also trained on stormwater pollution prevention, illicit discharge detection and elimination (IDDE) procedures, and spill and response procedures.
- If services are contracted, the contractor should be given a copy of this and any applicable SOPs to ensure compliance with MS4 regulations.

Attachments

1. Fuel Delivery Checklist

Related Standard Operating Procedures

• C.3: Spill Response and Cleanup





C.2: Hazardous Materials Storage and Handling

Introduction

A hazardous material is any biological, chemical, or physical material with properties that make it dangerous or potentially harmful to human health or the environment. Hazardous materials can be released to the environment in a variety of ways. When hazardous materials come into contact with rain or snow, the pollutants are washed into the storm sewer system and to surface waterbodies and/or groundwater. Hazardous materials associated with municipal facilities and their operations include, but are not limited to, oil, gasoline, antifreeze, fertilizers, pesticides, and de-icing agents and additives.

Municipally owned or managed facilities where hazardous materials are commonly stores and handled include:

- Equipment storage and maintenance yards
- Hazardous waste disposal facilities
- Hazardous waste handling and transfer facilities
- Composting facilities
- Materials storage yards
- Municipal buildings and facilities (e.g., schools, libraries, police and fire departments, town offices, municipal pools, and parking garages)
- Public works yards
- Solid waste handling and transfer facilities
- Vehicle storage and maintenance yards
- Water and wastewater facilities

Minimizing or eliminating contact of hazardous materials with stormwater can significantly reduce pollution of receiving waters. Proper hazardous material handling and storage also contributes to employee health, an organized workplace, and efficient operations. The goal of this written Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) is to provide guidance to municipal employees to help prevent stormwater pollution resulting from the handling and storage of hazardous materials. If services are contracted, this SOP should be provided to the contractor. The contract should also specify that the contractor is responsible for compliance with all applicable laws.

The Town of Hamilton undertakes various activities regarding handling and storing hazardous materials. These activities are outlined in Section 3.2 of the Town's Operation and Maintenance Plan.

Procedures

The Town of Hamilton will implement the following procedures for handling and storing hazardous materials to reduce the discharge of pollutants to the MS4:

Handling, Loading, and Unloading

- Avoid loading/unloading materials in the rain and/or provide cover.
- Retrace areas where materials have been transferred to identify spills. If spills are found, immediately





- clean them up. Follow procedures in SOP C.3: Spill Response and Cleanup.
- Time delivery and handling of materials during favorable weather conditions whenever possible (e.g., avoid receiving loads of sand during windy weather).
- Inspect containers for material compatibility and structural integrity prior to loading/unloading any raw or waste materials.
- Use dry cleanup methods (e.g., squeegee and dust pan, sweeping, and absorbents as last step) rather than hosing down surfaces.

Material Storage

- Confine material storage indoors whenever possible. Plug or disconnect floor drains that lead to the stormwater system.
- Confine outdoor material storage to designated areas that are covered, on impervious surfaces, away from high traffic areas, and outside of drainage pathways.
- Store containers on pallets or equivalent structures to facilitate leak inspection and to prevent contact with wet floors that can cause corrosion. This technique also reduces incidences of container damage by insects and rodents.
- Store materials and waste in materially compatible containment units.
- Keep hazardous materials in their original containers.
- If materials are not in their original containers, clearly label all storage containers with the name of the chemical, the expiration date, and handling instructions.
- Maintain an inventory of all raw and waste materials to identify leakage. Order new materials only when needed.
- Provide secondary containment for storage tanks and drums with sufficient volume to store 110 percent of the volume of the material.
- Provide sufficient aisle space to allow for routine inspections and access for spill cleanup.
- Inspect storage areas for spills or leaks and containment units for corrosion or other failures.

Waste Treatment, Disposal, and Cleanup

- Adopt a regular schedule for the pick-up and disposal of waste materials.
- Recycle leftover materials whenever possible.
- Substitute nonhazardous or less-hazardous materials for hazardous materials whenever possible.
- Protect empty containers from exposure to stormwater and dispose of them regularly to avoid contamination from container residues.

Employee Training

- Employees who handle and use hazardous materials are trained once per year on these procedures.
- Employees are also trained on stormwater pollution prevention, illicit discharge detection and elimination (IDDE) procedures, and spill and response procedures.
- If services are contracted, the contractor should be given a copy of this and any applicable SOPs to ensure compliance with MS4 regulations.





C.3: Spill Response and Cleanup

Introduction

Municipalities are responsible for any contaminant spill or release that occurs on property that they own or operate. Particular areas of concern include any facilities that use or store chemicals, fuel oil, or hazardous waste, including schools, garages, and landfills. Implementation of proper spill response and cleanup procedures can help to mitigate the effects of a contaminant release. The goal of this written Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) is to provide guidance to municipal employees to help reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4 as a result of spills or releases.

Procedures

The Town of Hamilton will implement the following spill response and cleanup procedures to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4:

Responding to a Spill

Employees should be trained in proper spill response specific to the materials used at their site and appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE). In the event of a spill, follow these spill response and cleanup procedures:

- If the facility has a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), notify a member of the facility's Pollution Prevention Team, the facility supervisor, and/or the facility safety officer (fill out the attached spill response contact list). If not, continue to follow the procedures outlined below.
- Assess the contaminant release site for potential safety issues and for direction of flow.
- Complete the following:
 - o Stop the contaminant release.
 - o Contain the contaminant release through the use of spill containment berms or absorbents.
 - o Protect all drains and/or catch basins with the use of absorbents, booms, berms or drain covers.
 - o Clean up the spill.
 - o Dispose of all contaminated products in accordance with applicable federal, state and local regulations.
 - i. Soil contaminated with petroleum should be handled and disposed of as described in MassDEP policy WCS-94-400, Interim Remediation Waste Management Policy for Petroleum Contaminated Soils (https://www.mass.gov/files/documents/2016/08/mq/94-400.pdf).
 - ii. Products saturated with petroleum products or other hazardous chemicals require special handling and disposal by licensed transporters. Licensed transporters will pick up spill contaminated materials for recycling or disposal. Save the shipping records for at least three years.
 - iii. Waste oil contaminated industrial wipes and sorptive minerals:
 - 1. Perform the "one drop" test to ensure absorbents do not contain enough oil to be considered hazardous, as described in the MassDEP Waste Oil Management Guide
 - (https://www.mass.gov/files/documents/2018/12/18/oilwiper.pdf).
 - 2. Wring absorbents through a paint filter. If doing so does not generate one





- drop of oil, the materials are not hazardous.
- 3. If absorbents pass the "one drop" test they may be discarded in the trash unless contaminated with another hazardous waste.
 - a. It is acceptable to mix the following fluids and handle them as waste oil:
 - i. Waste motor oil
 - ii. Hydraulic fluid
 - iii. Power steering fluid
 - iv. Transmission fluid
 - v. Brake fluid
 - vi. Gear oil
 - b. **Do not mix** the following materials with waste oil. Store each separately:
 - i. Gasoline
 - ii. Antifreeze
 - iii. Brake and carburetor cleaners
 - iv. Cleaning solvents
 - v. Other hazardous wastes
- 4. If absorbents do not pass the "one drop" test they should be placed in separate metal containers with tight fitting lids, labeled "Oily Waste Absorbents Only."
- If you need assistance containing and/or cleaning up the spill, or preventing it from discharging to a surface water (or an engineered storm drain system), contact your local fire department using the number listed below. In the case of an emergency call 911.
 - o Hamilton Fire Department: (978)-468-5560
- Contact the MassDEP 24-hour spill reporting notification line, toll-free at (888)-304-1133;
 - The following scenarios **are exempt** from MassDEP reporting requirements (see the MassDEP factsheet on oil and hazardous materials handling for more information: https://www.mass.gov/files/documents/2016/08/xm/spillmgm.pdf).
 - i. Spills that are less than 10 gallons of petroleum and do not impact a water body
 - ii. Spills that are less than one pound of hazardous chemicals and do not present an imminent health or safety hazard
 - iii. Fuel spills from passenger vehicle accidents
 - iv. Spills within a vault or building with a watertight floor and walls that completely contain all released chemicals

Reporting a Spill

When contacting emergency response personnel or a regulatory agency, or when reporting the contaminant release, be prepared to provide the following information:

- 1. Your name and the phone number you are calling from.
- 2. The exact address and location of the contaminant release.
- 3. Specifics of release, including:
 - a. What was released;
 - b. How much was released, which may include:
 - i. Pounds





- ii. Gallons
- iii. Number of containers
- 4. Where was the release sent/what was contaminated, addressing:
 - a. Pavement
 - b. Soil
 - c. Drains
 - d. Catch basins
 - e. Water bodies
 - f. Public streets
 - g. Public sidewalks
- 5. The concentration of the released contaminant.
- 6. What/who caused the release.
- 7. Is the release being contained and/or cleaned up or is the response complete.
- 8. Type and amount of petroleum stored on site, if any.
- 9. Characteristics of contaminant container, including:
 - a. Tanks
 - b. Pipes
 - c. Valves

Maintenance and Prevention Guidance

Prevention of spills is preferable to even the best response and cleanup. To mitigate the effects of a contaminant release, provide proper maintenance and inspection at each facility. To protect against contaminant release adhere to the following guidance:

- Ensure all employees are properly trained to respond in the case of a spill, understand the nature and properties of the contaminant, and understand the spill control materials and personnel safety equipment. Maintain training records of current personnel on site and retain training records of former personnel for at least three years from the date last worked at the facility.
- Provide yearly maintenance and inspection at all municipal facilities, paying particular attention to underground storage tanks. Maintain maintenance and inspection records on site.
- Implement good management practices where chemicals and hazardous wastes are stored:
 - a. Ensure storage in closed containers inside a building and on an impervious surface wherever possible.
 - b. If storage cannot be provided inside, ensure secondary containment for 110 percent of the maximum volume of the storage container.
 - c. Locate storage areas near maintenance areas to decrease the distance required for transfer.
 - d. Provide accurate labels, Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) information, and warnings for all stored materials.
 - e. Regularly inspect storage areas for leaks.
 - f. Ensure secure storage locations, preventing access by untrained or unauthorized persons.
 - g. Maintain accurate records of stored materials.
- Replace traditional hazardous materials such as pesticides and cleansers with non-hazardous products such as bio-lubricants which can reduce response costs in the case of a spill.

Maintain appropriately stocked spill response kits at each facilities and locations where oil, chemicals, or other hazardous materials are handled and stored.





Employee Training

- Employees who perform work with potential stormwater pollutants are trained once per year on proper spill procedures.
- Employees are also trained on stormwater pollution prevention and illicit discharge detection and elimination (IDDE) procedures.
- If services are contracted, the contractor should be given a copy of this and any applicable SOPs to ensure compliance with MS4 regulations.

Attachments

1. Spill Response and Cleanup Contact List





C.4: Operations and Maintenance of Municipal Buildings and Facilities

Introduction

Municipal buildings and facilities (schools, municipal offices, police and fire stations, municipal pools, parking garages, etc.) often house various chemicals, such as petroleum products and hazardous materials. As a result, these buildings and facilities are potential sources of pollutant discharges to the storm drainage system. The goal of this written Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) is to provide guidance to municipal employees on the use, storage, and disposal of chemicals and other stormwater pollutants to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4. If services are contracted, this SOP should be provided to the contractor. The contract should specify that the contractor is responsible for compliance with all applicable laws.

The Town of Hamilton performs a variety of operations and maintenance activities at its municipally owned and operated buildings, as mentioned in the Operation and Maintenance Plan. An inventory of all municipal buildings and facilities is included in Appendix A of that plan and will be updated annually.

Procedures

The Town of Hamilton will implement the following procedures for municipally owned or operated buildings and facilities to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4:

Handling, Storage, Transfer, and Disposal of Trash and Recyclables

All liquid and solid waste must be disposed of properly. Some of the most common sources of pollution at municipal facilities are a result of littering, improper collection of debris, and improper disposal of solid or liquid waste.

- All waste and recycling receptacles must be leak-tight with tight-fitting lids or covers.
- Always keep lids on dumpsters and containers closed unless adding or removing material. If
 using an open-top roll-off dumpster, cover it and tie it down with a tarp unless addingmaterials.
- Place waste or recycling receptacles indoors or under a roof or overhang whenever possible.
- Locate dumpsters on a flat, paved surface and install berms or curbs around the storage area to prevent run-on and run-off.
- Do not locate dumpsters over or adjacent to catch basins.
- Prior to transporting waste, trash, or recycling, ensure that containers are not leaking (double bag if needed) and properly secure containers to the vehicle.
- Clean and sweep up around outdoor waste containers regularly.





- Clean up any liquid leaks or spills with dry cleanup methods.
- Arrange for waste or recycling to be picked up regularly and disposed of at approved disposal facilities.
- Never place hazardous materials, liquids, or liquid-containing wastes in a dumpster or recycling or trash container (see SOP C.2: Hazardous Materials Storage and Handling).
- Do not wash trash or recycling containers outdoors or in parking lots.
- Conduct periodic inspections of solid and liquid waste storage areas to check for leaks and spills.
- Conduct periodic inspections of work areas to ensure that all wastes are being disposed of properly.
- In dumpster areas, regularly pick up surrounding trash and debris and regularly sweep the area.
- In compactor areas, regularly check the hydraulic fluid hoses and reservoir to ensure that there are no cracks or leaks. Regularly sweep the area.

Building Maintenance

- When power washing buildings and facilities, ensure that the washwater does not flow into the storm system. Containment or filtering systems should be provided.
- Paint and other chemicals should not be applied on the outside of buildings when it is raining or prior to expected rain.
- When sanding, painting, power washing, etc., ensure that sites are properly prepared (e.g., use tarps) and cleaned (e.g., use dry cleaning methods) especially if they are near storm drains. Protect catch basins when maintenance work is conducted upgradient of them.
- When painting, use a drop cloth and clean up any spills immediately.
- Do not leave open containers on the ground where they may accidentally tip over.
- Buildings should be routinely inspected for areas of potential leaks.
- Do not discharge chlorinated pool water into the stormwater system. Water must be properly
 dechlorinated and tested before it is discharged.
- Streets and parking lots surrounding municipal buildings and facilities should be swept and kept clean to reduce runoff of pollutants and debris to the stormwater system.
- Streets and parking lots around buildings and facilities will be swept in accordance with the procedures in SOP F.1: Streets and Parking Lots.

Storage of Petroleum Products and Potential Pollutants

- Floor drains in storage areas should be disconnected from the stormwater system.
- Routinely inspect buildings and facilities for areas of potential leaks.
- For storage and handling procedures of petroleum products and potential pollutants, refer to SOP C.2: Hazardous Materials Storage and Handling and SOP C.1: Fuel and Oil Handling Procedures.
- Should the Town begin to store and apply fertilizer, herbicides, or pesticides, a separate SOP shall be developed for all activities relevant to those potential pollutants.
- All municipal buildings and facilities should be periodically inspected to address potential pollutant sources (e.g., leaks).





Spill Prevention Plan

- Spill prevention plans such as Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plans should be in place where applicable, based on inventories of material storage and potential pollutants. Coordinate with the local fire department if necessary.
- Spill SOPs are outlined in SOP C.3: Spill Response and Cleanup.

Employee Training

- Employees who perform maintenance or other applicable work at municipal buildings and facilities are trained once per year on these procedures and the proper operation of related equipment.
- Employees are also trained on stormwater pollution prevention, illicit discharge detection and elimination (IDDE) procedures, and spill and response procedures.
- If services are contracted, the contractor should be given a copy of this and any applicable SOPs to ensure compliance with MS4 regulations.

Related Standard Operating Procedures

- 1. C.1: Fuel and Oil Handling
- 2. C.2: Hazardous Material Storage and Handling
- 3. C.3: Spill Response and Cleanup
- 4. F.1: Street Sweeping





FUEL DELIVERY FORM TOWN OF HAMILTON

| Date: | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------------|-----------|------------|------------|----------------|-------|
| Time of Arr | ival: | | | | | | | | |
| Time of Dep | arture | : | | | | | | | |
| Truck Num | ber: | | | | | | | | |
| Name of Tru | uck Dri | ver: | | | | | | | |
| Name of To | wn Em | ployee: | | | | | | | |
| BEFORE U | NI OA | DINC. | | | | | | | |
| | | | ant and | 200 0000 | l muntant | tiva agui | nmont in | n laas? | |
| Is all spill res | sponse (| | ent and | persona | i protect | nve equi | pment in | prace? | |
| Yes | C111C | No | | . 1 | ٠, | 1 | .1 | | 0 |
| In the case of | f bulk fi | | very, do | | capacity | y exceed | the amou | unt of deli | very? |
| Yes | | No | | N/A | | | | | |
| In the case of | f drum i | fuel del | ivery, a | re all dri | ıms free | e of leaks | s and pun | ictures? | |
| Yes | | No | | N/A | | | | | |
| COMMEN | CE UNI | LOADI | NG. R | EMAIN | WITH | VEHIC | CLE AT | ALL TIM | IES. |
| AFTER UN | LOAD | ING IS | COMI | PLETE: | | | | | |
| Have all fuel | contair | ners, inc | cluding | the vehi | cle, been | n inspec | ted for le | aks? | |
| Yes | | No | | | | | | | |
| Has the grou | nd at th | e unloa | ding po | int been | inspect | ed for ev | idence o | f leaks? | |
| Yes | | No | | | | | | | |
| If there are a | ny leak | s or spil | lls, has | the mate | rial bee | n proper | ly cleane | d? | |
| Yes | | No | | | | | | | |
| Has the corre | ect amo | unt of f | uel beer | n deliver | red? | | | | |
| Yes | | No | | | | | | | |
| Has a receipt | been c | ollected | 1? | | | | | | |
| Yes | | No | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |







SOP 12: Storage and Use of Pesticides and Fertilizer

Introduction

The use and improper storage of pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers can contribute to the discharge of nutrients and toxic compounds to the municipal storm drainage system and surface waters. The goal of this Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) is to provide guidance on municipal employees on proper handling and storage of pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers to prevent the discharge of pollutants from the MS4.

The Town of Hamilton applies fertilizer on parks and fields bi-annually.

Procedures

Below are procedures for the storage and use of fertilizers, pesticides, and herbicides by municipal employees. In this section, the term "pesticide" include products used as herbicides. Refer to SOP 4: Spill Response and Cleanup and SOP 17: Hazardous Materials Storage and Handling for information on and handling spills and hazardous materials.

Storage

- Store pesticides and fertilizers in high, dry locations in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications.
- Store in cool, well-ventilated, and insulated areas to protect against temperature extremes.
- Store in areas that have been constructed in accordance with local fire codes for storing flammable or combustible materials.
 - o Flammable products should be stored separately from non-flammable products, preferably in a fire-proof cabinet.
 - o Small quantities (less than 500 lbs. or 220 gallons) of pesticides can be stored in cabinets constructed of double-walled 18-gauge sheet metal.
 - O Large quantities (greater than 500 lbs. or 220 gallons) of pesticides can be stored in a prefabricated Hazardous Material Storage building or in a purpose-built storage facility. It is not anticipated that many municipal facilities will store quantities in excess of 500 lbs. or 220 gallons of pesticides.
 - o Building walls should have a two-hour fire rating and be impervious to the stored materials.
 - o Floors should be watertight, impervious, and provide spill containment.
- Store materials in an enclosed area or in covered, impervious containment, such as a locked cabinet. The cabinet should be located in a first story room or one that has direct access to the outdoors. Storage areas should be equipped with easily accessible spill cleanup materials and portable firefighting equipment. Regularly inspect storage areas for leaks and spills. Emergency eyewash stations and emergency drench showers should be located near the storage area.
- For pesticides, storage cabinets should be kept locked and the door to the storage area should contain a weather proof sign that warns of the existence and danger of the pesticides inside. The door should be kept locked. The sign should be visible at a distance of 25 feet and should read as follows:

DANGER





PESTICIDE STORAGE AREA ALL UNAUTHORIZED PERSONS KEEP OUT KEEP DOORS LOCKED WHEN NOT IN USE

The sign should be posted in both English and any other language used by maintenance workers.

- Pesticides should not be stored in the same place as ammonium nitrate fertilizer.
- Separate pesticides and fertilizers from other chemical storage and other flammable materials.
- Label all containers with date of purchase. Clearly label all secondary containers. Use older materials first.
- Order for delivery as close to the time of use as possible to reduce the amount of chemicals stored at the facility.
- Order only the amount of materials needed in order to minimize excess or obsolete materials, which require storage and disposal.
- Never leave unlabeled or unstable pesticides and fertilizers in uncontrolled locations.
- Maintain a current written inventory of all pesticides and fertilizers at the storage site.
- Ensure that contaminated waste materials are kept in designated containers and stored in labeled, designated, covered, and contained areas.
- Dispose of excess or obsolete pesticides/fertilizers and associated waste materials in accordance with the manufacturer's specification and all applicable regulations.

Use and Application of Fertilizers

- All fertilizer products manufactured or distributed in the State of Massachusetts must be registered with the Department of Agricultural Resources.
- Perform soil testing before choosing a fertilizer. The quantity of available nutrients already present in the soil will determine the type and amount of fertilizer that is recommended. The soil test will also determine the soil pH, humic matter, texture, and exchangeable acidity, which will indicate whether pH adjustment is required for fertilizer to work efficiently. A soil test should be completed at each facility, as soil type can vary widely within a single community.
 - o Soil tests are recommended every 3-4 years for turf and plantings (more frequently for problem or newly planted areas) and every year for soil where phosphorus-containing fertilizers are used. Soil pH tests should be conducted every year for all sites.
 - o When collecting soil samples, take multiple samples for each target area at a four-inch depth; mix the samples together in a container and properly label the sample with property information and site use type. Separately sample areas that have discoloration, abnormal plant growth, or other problems. Take the sample at approximately the same time every year. If the area has been fertilized, wait eight weeks after fertilizing to test the soil to ensure nutrients have been absorbed.
- When selecting the optimal type of fertilizer to use on an area, consider the soil test results, type of turf, and type of turf use. Slow-use fertilizer should be used for turf grass.
- Calibrate application equipment regularly to ensure proper application and loading rates.
- Mix fertilizers using clean application equipment under cover in an area where accidental spills will not enter surface water or groundwater and will not contaminate the soil.
- Fertilizers should only be applied by properly trained personnel.





- Never apply fertilizers in quantities exceeding the manufacturer's instructions. Instead, apply small amounts throughout the growing season.
- Time fertilizer application methods for maximum plant uptake, usually in the fall and spring (e.g., between April 15 and October 15). When applying at the beginning and end of planting season, take into consideration the slower uptake rate of fertilizer by plants and adjust the fertilizer application accordingly.
- Never apply fertilizer during a drought, when the soil is dry or frozen, when it is raining, or immediately before expected rain.
- Fertilizer should be applied when the ground temperature is above 55° F.
- Apply fertilizers in amounts appropriate for the type of vegetation to minimize losses to surface
 water and groundwater. Use the results of the soil test to determine optimal fertilizer timing and
 application rates.
- Where applicable, till fertilizers into the soil rather than dumping or broadcasting (proper application techniques will depend on the type of soil and vegetation).
- Do not hose down paved areas after fertilizer application if drainage will enter into an engineered storm drain system or drainage ditch.
- Limit irrigation after fertilizer application to prevent runoff (approximately ½ inch of water per application for a week following application).
- Turn off irrigation systems during periods of adequate rainfall.
- Do not over-apply fertilizer in late fall to "use it up" before winter. The effectiveness of fertilizer does not reduce when stored.
- If phosphorus fertilizer is used when re-seeding, mix the phosphorus into the root zone. Do not apply directly to the soil surface.
- Avoid combined products such as "weed and feed," which do not target specific problems at the appropriate time.

Use and Application of Pesticides and Herbicides

The State of Massachusetts has a stringent program for registration of pesticides and certification of those authorized to apply them. Once a pesticide has been approved for use by the USEPA, it must be registered by the Massachusetts Pesticide Board Subcommittee prior to being distributed, purchased, or used in Massachusetts. Pesticide classification in Massachusetts is based on the potential adverse effects the pesticide may have on humans or the environment. "Restricted Use" pesticides can only be sold by Licensed Dealers to Certified Applicators, while "State Limited Use" pesticides may be restricted to use by certain individuals or require written permission from the Department of Agricultural Resources prior to use. Legal application of pesticides must be performed by an individual licensed or certified by the Massachusetts Department of Agricultural Resources. A Commercial Applicator License is required for applying general use pesticides, and a Commercial Applicator Certification is required for applying restricted and state limited use products.

Use and Application of Pesticides

- Pesticides should only be applied by licensed or certified applicators.
- Calibrate application equipment regularly to ensure proper application and loading rates.
- Ensure that pesticide application equipment is capable of immediate shutoff in case of emergency.





- Conduct spray applications according to specific label directions and applicable local regulations.
- Never apply pesticides in quantities exceeding the manufacturer's instructions.
- Apply pesticides at the life stage when the pest is most vulnerable.
- Never apply pesticides if it is raining or immediately before expected rain.
- Establish setback distances from pavement, storm drains, and waterbodies, which act as buffers from pesticide application, with disease-resistant plants and minimal mowing.
- Do not apply pesticides within 100 feet of open waters or of drainage channels.
- Spot treat infected areas instead of the entire location.
- Mix pesticides and clean application equipment under cover in an area where accidental spills will not enter surface water or groundwater and will not contaminate soil.
- Do not hose down paved areas after pesticide application to a storm drain or drainage ditch.
- Recycle rinsate from equipment cleaning back into product.
- Choose the least toxic pesticide that is still capable of reducing the infestation to acceptable levels.
- Use alternatives to pesticides, such as manual weed control, biological controls, and Integrated Pest
 Management strategies (learn more at: https://www.mass.gov/files/documents/2016/08/wk/ipm-kit-for-bldg-mgrs.pdf).
- For the use of herbicides, reduce seed release of weeds by timing cutting and pesticide application at seed set. Select vegetation and landscaping that is low-maintenance in order to tolerate low levels of weeds without interfering with aesthetics.

Employee Training

- Employees who handle pesticides, fertilizers, and herbicides are trained once per year on proper handling and storage procedures.
- Employees are also trained on stormwater pollution prevention, illicit discharge detection and elimination (IDDE) procedures, and spill and response procedures.
- If services are contracted, the contractor should be given a copy of this and any applicable SOPs to ensure compliance with MS4 regulations.

Related Standard Operating Procedures

- SOP 4: Spill Response and Cleanup
- SOP 17: Hazardous Materials Storage and Handling





Spill Response and Cleanup Contact List

| Contact | Phone Number | Date and Time Contacted |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Safety Officer Matt Donovan | (978) 468-1212 | |
| Facility Supervisor: Tim Olson | (978) 626-5227 | |
| Fire Department: | (978) 468-5560 | |
| MassDEP 24-Hour Spill Reporting | (888) 304-1133 | |
| MassDEP Regional Offices: | | |
| Northeast Regional Office | (978) 694-3200 | |
| Southeast Regional Office | (508) 946-2700 | |
| Central Regional Office | (508) 792-7650 | |
| Western Regional Office | (413) 784-1100 | |
| Hazardous Waste Compliance Assistance Line | (617) 292-5898 | |
| Household Hazardous Products Hotline | (800) 343-3420 | |
| Massachusetts Department of Fire Services | (978) 567-3100 or | |
| | (413) 587-3181 | |
| Licensed Site Professionals Association (Wakefield, | (781) 876-8915 | |
| MA) | | |
| Licensed Site Professionals Board | (617) 556-1091 | |





APPENDIX D

Standard Operating Procedures – Municipal Vehicles and Equipment

D.1: Operation and Maintenance of Municipal Vehicles and Equipment

D.1: Operations and Maintenance of Municipal Vehicles and Equipment

Introduction

Regular maintenance of both municipal and contracted vehicles and heavy equipment not only prolongs the life of municipal assets but also helps reduce the potential for leaking of fluids associated with normal wear and tear. Potential pollutants include fuels, oil, antifreeze, brake fluid, solvents, and battery acid. The goal of this written Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) is to provide guidance to municipal employees to help reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4 because of leaks from vehicles and equipment. If services are contracted with respect to vehicles and equipment, this SOP should be provided to the contractor. The contract should also specify that the contractor is responsible for compliance with all applicable laws.

The Town of Hamilton undertakes various procedures regarding its municipal vehicles and equipment, which are explained in detail in Section 4.0 of the Town's Operation and Maintenance Plan. An inventory of all municipal vehicles and equipment is included in Appendix A of that Plan and updated annually.

Procedures

The Town of Hamilton will implement the following procedures for municipally owned and operated vehicles and equipment to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4:

Vehicle and Equipment Maintenance

Vehicle Storage

- Monitor vehicles and equipment for leaks and use drip pans as needed until repairs can be performed.
- When drip pans are used, avoid overtopping.
- Drain fluids from leaking or wrecked vehicles and parts as soon as possible. Dispose of fluids properly.
- Store and park vehicles on impervious surfaces and/or under cover or indoors whenever possible.

Vehicle Maintenance

- Conduct routine inspections of heavy equipment and vehicles to proactively identify maintenance needs or potential leaks.
- Perform routine preventive maintenance to ensure heavy equipment and vehicles are operating optimally.
- Recycle or dispose of waste properly and promptly.
- Sweep and pick up trash and debris as needed.
- Do not dump any liquids or other materials outside, especially near or in storm drains or ditches.





Body Repair and Painting

- Conduct all body repair and painting work indoors.
- Minimize waste from paints and thinners. Calculate paint needs based on surface area.
- Use dry cleanup methods (vacuum, sweep) to clean up metal filings and dust and paint chips from grinding, shaving and sanding. Sweep debris from wet sanding after allowing it to dry overnight on the shop floor. Dispose of waste properly; never dump waste into storm or sanitary sewers.
- Use sanding tools equipped with vacuum capability to pick up debris and dust.

Fueling

- Fueling areas owned or operated by the municipality should be covered.
- Fueling areas should be evaluated to ensure that pollutants (e.g., gasoline or oil) do not enter the MS4. Follow the procedures in SOP C.1: Fuel and Oil Handling.

Material Management

- Store materials and waste in labeled containers under cover and in secondary containment.
- Chemicals should not be combined in containers.
- Hazardous waste must be labeled and stored according to hazardous waste regulations. Follow the procedures in SOP C.2: Hazardous Materials Storage and Handling.
- Carefully transfer collected fluids from containers into designated storage areas as soon aspossible.
- Store new and used batteries securely to avoid breakage. Store indoors or in secondary containment to contain potential acid leaks. Recycle used batteries.
- Conduct periodic inspections of storage areas to detect possible leaks.
- Do not wash or hose down storage areas unless there is prior approval to collect and discharge the water into the sanitary sewer. Use dry cleanup methods whenever possible.
- Keep lids on containers. Store them indoors or under cover to reduce exposure to rain.
- Inspect and maintain all pretreatment equipment, including interceptors, according to the manufacturer's maintenance schedule and at least once per year.
- Proper spill protocol should be followed to prevent chemicals from entering the stormwater system. Follow the procedures in SOP C.3: Spill Response and Cleanup.

Parts Cleaning

- Use designated areas for engine, parts, or radiator cleaning. Do not wash or rinse parts outdoors. If parts cleaning equipment is not available, then capture parts cleaning fluids.
- Recycle cleaning solution. Never discharge waste to the sanitary sewer or storm sewer.
- Use steam cleaning or pressure washing of parts instead of solvent cleaning. Cleaning equipment must be connected to an oil/water interceptor prior entering the sanitary sewer.
- When using solvents for cleaning, drain parts over the solvent tank to avoid drips to the floor. Catch excess solutions and divert them back to tank. Allow parts to dry over the hot tank.





Vehicle and Equipment Washing

Vehicle washing can result in the discharge of nutrients, sediment, petroleum products, and other contaminants to a surface water body or to a stormwater system. The MS4 Permit does not authorize the discharge of municipal vehicle washing byproducts into the MS4.

Outdoor Vehicle Washing Procedures

Outdoor washing of municipal vehicles should be avoided unless wash water is contained in a tight tank or similar structure. Where no alternative wash system is available, and full containment of wash water cannot be achieved, adhere to the following procedures:

- Avoid discharge of any wash water directly to the storm drainage system or surface water (e.g., stream, pond, or drainage swale)
- Minimize the use of water to the extent practicable.
- Where the use of detergent cannot be avoided, use products that do not contain regulated contaminants. The use of a biodegradable, phosphate-free detergent is preferred.
- Do not use solvents except in dedicated solvent parts washer systems or in areas not connected to a sanitary sewer.
- Do not power wash, steam clean, or perform engine or undercarriage cleaning.
- Grassy and pervious (porous) surfaces may be used to promote direct infiltration of wash water, providing treatment before recharging groundwater and minimizing runoff to an adjacent stormwater system. Pervious surfaces or other infiltration-based systems should not be used within wellhead protection areas or within other protected resources.
- Impervious surfaces discharging to the storm drainage system should not discharge directly to a surface water unless treatment is provided. The treatment device should be positioned such that all drainage must flow through the device, preventing bypassing or short-circuiting.
- Periodic sweeping and/or cleaning should be completed to prevent accumulation from forming on the washing area.
- Maintain absorbent pads and drip pans to capture and collect spills or noticeable leaks observed during washing activities. Follow the procedures in SOP C.3: Spill Response and Cleanup.
- Heavily soiled vehicles or vehicles dirtied from salting or snow removal efforts should follow the SOPs in the "Heavy Equipment Washing Procedures" below.

Indoor Vehicle Washing Procedures

- Vehicles and equipment should be washed inside whenever possible to reduce runoff to the stormwater system.
- Where the use of detergent cannot be avoided, use products that do not contain regulated contaminants. The use of biodegradable, phosphate-free detergent is preferred.
- Detergents should not be used in areas where oil/water separators provide pre-treatment of drainage.
- Floor drains should be connected to a sanitary sewer or tight tank. Floor drains discharging to adjacent surface water bodies or engineered storm drain systems should be permanently plugged or otherwise abandoned before any vehicle wash activities are completed.
- Designate separate areas for routine maintenance and vehicle cleaning. This helps prevent





- contamination of wash water by motor oils, hydraulic lubricants, greases, or other chemicals.
- Dry cleanup methods are recommended within garage facilities. Do not wash down floors and work areas with water.
- Bring smaller vehicles to commercial washing stations.
- Maintain absorbent pads and drip pans to capture and collect spills or noticeable leaks observed during washing activities. Follow the procedures in SOP C.3: Spill Response and Cleanup.

Heavy Equipment Washing Procedures

- Mud and heavy debris removal should occur on impervious surfaces or within a retention area.
- Maintain these areas with frequent mechanical removal and proper disposal of waste.
- Impervious surfaces with engineered storm drain systems should not discharge directly to a surface water.
- Floor drains should be connected to a sanitary sewer or tight tank. Floor drains discharging to
 adjacent surface waterbodies or engineered storm drain systems should be permanently
 plugged or otherwise abandoned before any vehicle wash activities are completed.
- Where the use of detergent cannot be avoided, use products that do not contain regulated contaminants. The use of biodegradable, phosphate-free detergent is preferred.
- Detergents should not be used in areas where oil/water separators provide pre-treatment of drainage.
- Maintain absorbent pads and drip pans to capture and collect spills or noticeable leaks observed during washing activities. Follow the procedures in SOP C.3: Spill Response and Cleanup.

Engine and Steam Washing Procedures

- Do not wash parts outdoors.
- Maintain drip pans and smaller containers to contain motor oils, hydraulic lubricants, greases, etc. and to capture and collect spills or noticeable leaks observed during washing activities, to the extent practicable. Follow the procedures in SOP C.3: Spill Response and Cleanup.
- Where use of detergent cannot be avoided, use products that do not contain regulated contaminants. The use of a biodegradable, phosphate-free detergent is preferred.
- Avoid cleaning with solvents except in dedicated solvent parts washer systems. Make use of
 pressure washing and steam cleaning.
- Recycle clean solutions and rinse water to the extent practicable.
- Wash water should discharge to a tight tank or a sanitary sewer via an oil/water separator.
 Detergents should not be used in areas where oil/water separators provide pre-treatment of drainage.

Employee Training

- Employees who perform work on/with municipal vehicles or equipment are trained once per year on these procedures and the proper operation of related equipment.
- Employees are also trained on stormwater pollution prevention, illicit discharge detection and elimination (IDDE) procedures, and spill and response procedures.
- If services are contracted, the contractor should be given a copy of this and any applicable SOPs to ensure compliance with MS4 regulations.





APPENDIX E

Standard Operating Procedures – Catch Basin Inspection and Cleaning

E.1: Catch Basin Inspection and Cleaning

E.1: Catch Basin Inspection and Cleaning

Introduction

Catch basins help minimize flooding and protect water quality by removing trash, sediment, decaying debris, and other solids from stormwater runoff. These materials are retained in a sump below the invert of the outlet pipe (older catch basins may not have a sump). Catch basin cleaning reduces foul odors, prevents clogs in the storm drain system, and reduces the loading of trash, suspended solids, nutrients, bacteria, and other pollutants to receiving waters. The goal of this written Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) is to provide guidance to municipal employees on catch basin inspection and cleaning to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4. If services are contracted, this SOP should be provided to the contractor. The contract should specify that the contractor is responsible for compliance with all applicable laws.

This SOP can also be used for inspection of catch basins or manholes for the purpose of conducting catchment investigations as part of the municipality's Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination program.

The Hamilton Department of Public Works performs routine inspections, cleaning, and maintenance on over 836 catch basins that are located within the Town of Hamilton. The Town of Hamilton will include an optimization plan for catch basin cleaning and inspection in its annual report. A description of current Town practices for catch basin cleaning and inspection is included in Section 5.2 of the Operation and Maintenance Plan.

Hamilton will implement the following catch basin inspection and cleaning procedures to reduce the discharge of pollutants from the MS4:

Procedures

Inspection and Cleaning Frequency

- Each catch basin should be cleaned and inspected at least annually.
- Catch basins near construction activities (roadway construction, residential, commercial, or industrial
 development or redevelopment) or high-use areas should be inspected and cleaned more frequently if
 inspection finds excessive sediments or debris loadings.
- Catch basins should be cleaned to ensure that they are no more than 50 percent full¹ at any time. Establish inspection and maintenance frequencies needed to meet this "50 percent" goal. If a catch basin sump is more than 50 percent full during two consecutive inspections, document the findings, investigate the contributing drainage area for sources of excessive sediment loading, and, if possible, address the contributing sources. If no contributing sources are found, increase the inspection and cleaning frequencies of the sump.
- Street sweeping performed on an appropriate schedule will reduce the amount of sediment, debris, and organic matter entering the catch basins, which will in turn reduce the frequency with which they need to be cleaned. Reference SOP 16: Streets and Parking Lots for information on appropriate street sweeping frequencies. Street sweeping schedules should also be adjusted based on catch basin inspection findings, with more frequent sweepings for areas with higher catch basin loads.

 $^{^{1}}$. A catch basin sump is more than 50 percent full if the contents within the sump exceed one half the distance between the bottom interior of the catch basin to the invert of the deepest outlet of the catch basin



CMRSWC

Inspection and Cleaning Procedures

Catch basin inspection and cleaning procedures should address both the grate opening and the catch basin structure, including the sump and any inlet and outlet pipes. Document any and all observations about the condition of the catch basin structure and water quality (an inspection form and log of catch basins cleaned or inspected are included in the attachments). Collect data on the condition of the physical basin structure, its frame, and the grate, as well as on the quality of stormwater conveyed by the structure. Observations like those below can indicate sources of pollution within the storm drain system:

- Oil sheen
- Discoloration
- Trash and debris

Both oil and bacteria can create a sheen on the water's surface. The source of a sheen can be differentiating by disturbing it (e.g., with a pole). A sheen caused by oil will remain intact and move in a swirl pattern, while a sheen caused by bacteria will separate and appear "blocky." The bacteria that cause this sheen are naturally occurring iron bacteria – they are not considered a pollutant but should be noted. Other types of bacteria, such as fecal bacteria, are considered pollutants and their discovery should be recorded

Observations like those below can indicate a potential connection of a sanitary sewer to the storm drain system, which is an illicit discharge:

- Indications of sanitary sewage, including fecal matter or sewage odors
- Foaming, such as from detergent
- Optical enhancers, fluorescent dye added to laundry detergent

In general, adhere to the following procedures when inspecting and cleaning catch basins. Record the findings in the log in the attachments:

- 1. Implement appropriate traffic safety procedures (e.g., traffic cones) prior to and during the catch basin inspection and cleaning process.
- 2. Work upstream to downstream in a given drainage network.
- 3. Clean sediment and trash off the grate.
- 4. Visually inspect the outside of the grate.
- 5. Remove the grate and visually inspect the inside of the catch basin to determine cleaning needs.
- 6. Inspect the catch basin for structural integrity.
- 7. Determine the most appropriate equipment and method for cleaning the basin:
 - a. Manually use a shovel to remove accumulated sediments.
 - b. Use a bucket loader to remove accumulated sediments.
 - c. Use a high pressure washer to clean any remaining material out of the catch basin while capturing the slurry with a vacuum.
 - d. If necessary, after the catch basin is cleaned, use the rodder of the vacuum truck to clean the downstream pipe and pull back sediment that might have entered it.
- 8. If contamination is suspected, chemical analysis will be required to determine if the materials comply with the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) Hazardous Waste Regulations, 310 CMR 30.000
 - (https://www.mass.gov/files/documents/2016/08/xl/310cmr30 7883 54357.pdf). The chemical analysis required will depend on suspected contaminants. Note the identification number of the catch basin on the sample label and note sample collection on the Catch Basin Inspection Form.



Handling and Disposal of Catch Basin Cleanings

- Properly dispose of collected sediments and catch basin cleanings (solid material, such as leaves, sand, and twigs removed from stormwater collection systems during cleaning operations).
- Cleanings from stormwater-only drainage systems may be disposed at any landfill that is permitted by MassDEP to accept solid waste. MassDEP does not routinely require stormwater-only catch basin cleanings to be tested before disposal, unless there is evidence that they have been contaminated by a spill or some other means.
- Screenings may need to be placed in a drying bed to allow water to evaporate before proper disposal. In this case, ensure that the screenings are managed properly to prevent pollution.
- Catch basin cleanings must be handled and disposed in accordance with compliance with the applicable MassDEP regulations, policies, and guidance
 (https://www.mass.gov/files/documents/2018/03/09/catch-basins.pdf).

Documentation and Reporting

The following information should be documented and included in the municipality's annual report – use the catch basin inspection log provided in the attachments to document the information to include in the report (alternatively, obtain records of volume of debris removed to include in the report):

- Metrics and other information used to reach the determination that the established plan for cleaning and maintenance is optimal for the MS4 (include in the SWMP and first annual report)
- Any action taken in response to excessive sediment or debris loadings
- Total number of catch basins
- Number of catch basins inspected
- Number of catch basins cleaned
- Total volume or mass of material removed from catch basins.

•

Employee Training

- Employees who perform catch basin cleaning and inspection are trained once per year on these
 procedures and the proper operation of related equipment.
- Employees are also trained on stormwater pollution prevention, illicit discharge detection and elimination (IDDE) procedures, and spill and response procedures.
- If services are contracted, the contractor should be given a copy of this and any applicable SOPs to ensure compliance with MS4 regulations.

Attachments

- 1. Catch Basin Inspection Form and Log
- 2. Catch Basin Inventory

Related Standard Operating Procedures

• 1. SOP F.1: Street Sweeping





APPENDIX F

Standard Operating Procedures – Street Sweeping

F.1: Street Sweeping

| Standard Operating Procedures | Issue Date: |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Hamilton, MA | |
| Department of Public Works | |
| Sweeping Streets and Parking Lots | |
| Approved by: | |
| | |
| Timothy Olson | |
| Public Works Director (or similar) | |
| 5.00 | |

Purpose of SOPs:

Procedures for the operation and maintenance of street sweepers, frequency of sweeping, disposal of debris, and recordkeeping to maintain clean and safe roadways all while preventing pollution from entering the stormwater sewer systems. Pollutants like sand, trash and leaves can enter the storm sewer and have a negative impact on the receiving water body.

MA Small MS4 General Permit Requirement Summary:

Part 2.3.7.a.iii.3.

The permittee shall establish and implement procedures for sweeping and/or cleaning streets, and permittee-owned parking lots. All streets with the exception high speed limited access highways shall be swept and/or cleaned a minimum of once per year. The procedures shall also include more frequent sweeping of targeted areas determined by the permittee on the basis of pollutant load reduction potential, based on inspections, pollutant loads, catch basin cleaning or inspection results, land use, water quality limited or TMDL waters or other relevant factors as determined by the permittee. The permittee shall report in each annual report the number of miles cleaned or the volume or mass of material removed.

For limited access highways, the permittee shall either meet the minimum frequencies above, or develop and implement an inspection, documentation and targeted sweeping plan with two (2) years of the effective date of the permit, and submit such plan with its year one annual report.

Part 2.3.a.iii.4.

The permittee shall ensure proper storage of catch basin cleanings and street sweepings prior to disposal or reuse such that they do not discharge to receiving waters.

Equipment Inventory:

The following is a list of street sweeping equipment:

| Equipment Number | Make | Description | Sweeper Speed (or other notes) |
|-------------------------|------|-------------|--------------------------------|
| N/A | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Standard Operating Procedures

Hamilton, MA
Department of Public Works

Sweeping Streets and Parking Lots

Operations

1. Operate all sweepers and equipment according to the manufacturer's recommended settings, standards, and procedures.

Issue Date:

- 2. While sweeping, drive between the optimal sweeping speed limit, as recorded in the equipment list above.
- 3. Sweeping will not take place during moderate to heavy rainfall or during periods of extreme cold (temperatures lower than 15 degrees Fahrenheit).
- 4. If spills occur or illegal discharges are seen, report to Timothy Olson Director of Public Works at 978-626-5227


Maintenance

- 1. Sweepers will be checked for leaks after each use. If a leak is discovered, it will immediately be contained and properly cleaned up.
- 2. Regular preventative maintenance to prolong equipment use (such as greasing moving parts and minor adjustments) occur once per month.
- 3. Parts are replaced when necessary. Brushes shall be replaced in accordance with manufacturer specifications.
- 4. Equipment is not washed on site, Contractor is here only a couple days
- 5. The left-over debris is not scaped out of the hopper.

Schedule

- 1. Street sweeping will primarily take place between the months of March and December.
- 2. All streets with curbing and/or catch basins and municipal parking lots shall be swept a minimum of once per year in the spring (following winter activities such as sanding). Streets are swept according to the street list and schedule located at the DPW Facility and attached to this SOP as Attachment 1.
- 3. Hamilton currently does not have any priority roads and parking lots. All roads are swept once a year.
- 4. These roads/parking lots may be grouped by road category as long as the town's list of streets and parking lots also indicates the applicable road category (e.g. main arterials, residential areas, commercial areas, downtown areas, municipal parking lots, industrial areas, etc.).
- 5. Roads/Parking lots that have catch basins that are more than 50% full of sediment during two consecutive cleanings, shall be swept more to reduce sediment entering the basins.
- 6. The sweeping schedule is assessed once per year and updated as necessary.
- 7. A map of town roads and parking lots is in the DPW facility and is Attachment 2 of this SOP.

| Stan | dard Operating Procedures | Issue Date: |
|-------|--|----------------------|
| Hami | ilton, MA | |
| Depa | rtment of Public Works | |
| Swe | eping Streets and Parking Lots | |
| 8. | If any event/activity such as fairs, construction, firefighting activities produce an excess amount of the such as fairs, construction, firefighting activities produce an excess amount for a such as fairs, construction, firefighting activities produce an excess amount for a such as fairs, construction, firefighting activities produce an excess amount for a such as fairs, construction, firefighting activities produce an excess amount for a such as fairs, construction, firefighting activities produce an excess amount for a such as fairs, construction, firefighting activities produce an excess amount for a such as fairs, construction, firefighting activities produce an excess amount for a such as fairs, construction, firefighting activities produce an excess amount for a such as fairs, construction, firefighting activities produce an excess amount for a such as fairs, and the such as fairs an | unt of debris on the |
| | | |
| Stora | ge and Disposal | |
| 1. | Solid sweeping debris is brought immediately to the Hamilton DPW for permanent disposal. | |
| 2. | Weighing process: The amount of solid sweeping debris will be weighed at This data will be recorded by the Town and included in the Yearly Annual Report to the EPA. I presently weighed | |
| Train | | |
| 1. | Employees are trained once per year on this procedure and the proper operation of equipme also trained on stormwater pollution prevention, spill and response, and illicit discharge dete elimination procedures. | |
| Reco | rd Keeping | |
| 1. | Records are kept at the DPW Facility located at (Location). Only are kept as records. | hours of sweeping |
| 2. | The number of miles swept is recorded after each sweeping. The amount of debris collected each disposal. | is recorded after |
| 3. | The number of curb miles swept per year is calculated annually and included in the Town's A EPA. | nnual Report to the |
| 4. | A list of employees implementing the SOPs and the completion of their training(s) can be fou Attachment 4. | nd below as |
| Revis | ing the SOPs | |
| | These procedures are reviewed once per year and updated as needed. | |



| IVIA - Public Roads Inventory |
|-------------------------------|
| Street Name |
| Street Name |
| ADAMS ROAD |
| ALAN ROAD |
| ANNIES LANE |
| ANTHONY ROAD |
| APPLETON AVENUE |
| ARLINGTON STREET |
| ARTHUR AVENUE |
| ASBURY STREET |
| AUTUMN LANE |
| BAKER AVENUE |
| BEECH STREET |
| BEECH STREET EXTENSION |
| BERRY CIRCLE |
| BLUEBERRY LANE |
| BOARDMAN LANE |
| BOSTON AVENUE |
| BRADFORD ROAD |
| BRIDGE STREET |
| CARRIE ROAD |
| CENTRAL AVENUE |
| |
| CHEBACCO ROAD |
| CHESTNUT STREET |
| COTTAGE STREET |
| CRESCENT ROAD |
| CUMMINGS AVENUE |
| CUTLER ROAD |
| DAY AVENUE |
| DONALD ROAD |
| DURHAM AVENUE |
| ECHO COVE ROAD |
| ELLIOTT STREET |
| ELM STREET |
| EVERETT LANE |
| FARRINGTON LANE |
| FOREST STREET |
| FOX RUN ROAD |
| GARDNER STREET |
| GARFIELD AVENUE |
| GIFFORD ROAD |
| GOODHUE STREET |
| GRANT AVENUE |
| GREENBROOK ROAD |
| GREGORY ISLAND ROAD |
| |
| HAMILTON AVENUE |
| HARRIS AVENUE |
| HATFIELD ROAD |
| HIGHLAND STREET |
| HILL ROAD |
| HOME STREET |
| HOMESTEAD CIRCLE |
| HONEYSUCKLE ROAD |
| HORSESHOE LANE |
| HOWARD STREET |
| JUNIPER ROAD |
| KENNEDY ROAD |
| KNOWLTON STREET |
| LAKE DRIVE |
| LAKE SHORE AVENUE |
| LEIGH ROAD |
| LINCOLN AVENUE |
| LINDEN STREET |
| LOCUST STREET |
| LUCUUI DIINEEI |

| Street Name |
|-------------------------------|
| LOIS STREET |
| LORENZO AVENUE |
| MADONNA DRIVE |
| MAPLE STREET |
| MARGARET ROAD |
| MARGERIE STREET |
| MARTEL ROAD |
| MEYER ROAD |
| MILES RIVER ROAD |
| MILL STREET |
| MOULTON STREET |
| MOYNIHAN ROAD |
| NAPLES ROAD |
| NORMAN ROAD |
| |
| NORRIS ROAD |
| NORTH STREET |
| NORTHEDGE ROAD |
| OAK STREET |
| OLD CART ROAD |
| ORCHARD ROAD |
| ORTINS ROAD |
| ORTINS ROAD |
| PARK STREET |
| PARTRIDGEBERRY LANE |
| PATTON DRIVE |
| PERKINS AVENUE |
| PILGRIM ROAD |
| PINE STREET |
| PINE TREE DRIVE |
| PLEASANT AVENUE |
| PLEASANT STREET |
| PLUM STREET |
| PORTER LANE |
| POSTGATE ROAD |
| PRIDES PARK |
| PROSPECT STREET |
| RAILROAD AVENUE |
| RED COACH ROAD |
| RICKER CIRCLE |
| ROCKMAPLE AVENUE |
| ROOSEVELT AVENUE |
| RUST STREET |
| SAGAMORE STREET |
| SAVOY ROAD |
| SCHOOL STREET |
| SHARON ROAD |
| STOPFORD STREET |
| TALLY HO DRIVE |
| UNION STREET |
| VILLAGE LANE |
| WALDINGFIELD ROAD |
| WALDINGFIELD ROAD WALNUT ROAD |
| WASHINGTON AVENUE |
| WESTERN AVENUE |
| WHIPPLE ROAD |
| WILLOW STREET |
| |
| WINTHROP STREET |
| WOODSURY STREET |
| WOODSIDE ROAD |

APPENDIX G

Standard Operating Procedures – Inspection and Maintenance of Stormwater Treatment Structures

G.1: Inspection and Maintenance of Structural Stormwater Best Management Practices (BMPs)

G.1: Inspection and Maintenance of Structural Stormwater Best Management Practices (BMPs)

Introduction

Best Management Practices (BMPs) are policies, procedures and structures designed to reduce stormwater pollution, prevent contaminant discharges to natural water bodies, and reduce stormwater facility maintenance costs. Structural BMPs are permanent site features designed to treat stormwater before infiltrating it to the subsurface or discharging it to a surface water body. Regular inspection and maintenance of structural stormwater BMPs is critical for these engineered systems to function as designed (e.g., provide benefits to water quality, groundwater recharge, and peak flow attenuation).

This Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) provides general inspection and maintenance frequencies and procedures for eight common structural stormwater BMPs, including:

- 1. Bioretention Areas and Rain Gardens
- 2. Constructed Stormwater Wetlands
- 3. Extended Dry Detention Basins
- 4. Proprietary Media Filters
- 5. Sand and Organic Filters
- 6. Wet Basins
- 7. Dry Wells
- 8. Infiltration Basins

This SOP is based on the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook and is not intended to replace the stormwater BMP Operation and Maintenance guidance contained in the Handbook. This SOP is also not intended to replace the Stormwater BMP Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan required by the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act, Order of Conditions.

The Hamilton Department of Public Works is responsible for inspection and maintenance of municipally owned structural stormwater BMPs. A list of existing structural stormwater BMPs is included in the attachments, along with inspection and maintenance checklists for each type of BMP.

Structural stormwater BMPs will be inspected annually at a minimum. Inspection checklists for each type of structural BMP are provided in the attachments.

Procedures

Bioretention Areas and Rain Gardens

Bioretention areas and rain gardens are shallow depressions filled with sandy soil, topped with a thick layer of mulch, and planted with dense native vegetation. There are two types of bioretention cells:

- 1. Filtering bioretention area: Areas that are designed solely as an organic filter.
- 2. Exfiltration bioretention area: Areas that are configured to recharge groundwater in addition to acting as a filter.





Inspection and Maintenance

Regular inspection and maintenance are important to prevent against premature failure of bioretention areas or rain gardens. Regular inspection and maintenance of pretreatment devices and bioretention cells for sediment buildup, structural damage and standing water can extend the life of the soil media.

Maintenance Schedule: Bioretention Areas and Rain Gardens

| Activity | Time of Year | Frequency |
|--|--------------------------|-------------|
| Inspect for soil erosion and repair | Year round | Monthly |
| Inspect for invasive species and remove if present | Year round | Monthly |
| Remove trash | Year round | Monthly |
| Mulch Void Areas | Spring | Annually |
| Remove dead vegetation | Fall and spring | Bi-annually |
| Replace dead vegetation | Spring | Annually |
| Prune | Spring or fall | Annually |
| Replace all media and vegetation | Late spring/early summer | As needed |

When failure is discovered, excavate the bioretention area, scarify the bottom and sides, replace the filter fabric and soil, replant vegetation, and mulch the surface.

Never store snow within a bioretention area or rain garden. This would prevent the recharge and water quality treatment of ground water.

Hamilton does not currently own or maintain any bioretention areas and rain gardens. In the event that the Town installs a bioretention area or rain garden, the operation and maintenance procedures outlined in this section shall apply.

Constructed Stormwater Wetlands

Constructed stormwater wetlands maximize pollutant removal from stormwater through the use of wetland vegetation uptake, retention, and settling. Constructed storm water wetlands must be used in conjunction with other BMPs, such as sediment forebays.

Hamilton does not currently own or maintain any constructed stormwater wetlands. In the event that the Town installs a constructed stormwater wetland, the operation and maintenance procedures outlined in this section shall apply.

Inspection and Maintenance

Regular inspection and maintenance are important for the health of constructed stormwater wetlands. They help identify the need for replacement of vegetation and media, detect potentially harmful invasive species, and ensure the overall health of the wetland.

Maintenance Schedule, Constructed Stormwater Wetlands: Years 0-3

| Activity | Time of Year | Frequency |
|--|--------------|-----------|
| Inspect for invasive species and remove if present | Year round | Monthly |





| Record and Map: | Year round | Annually |
|---|-------------------|-------------|
| Types and distribution of dominant wetland plants | Year round | Bi-annually |
| Presence and distribution of planted wetland species | Spring | Annually |
| Presence and distribution of invasive species | Fall and spring | Bi-annually |
| Indications other species are replacing planted wetland | Spring | Annually |
| species | | |
| Percent of standing water that is not vegetated | Spring or fall | Annually |
| Replace all media and vegetation | Late spring/early | As needed |
| | summer | |
| Stability of original depth zones and micro-topographic | | |
| features | | |
| Accumulation of sediment in the forebay and micropool | | |
| and survival rate of plants | | |

Maintenance Schedule, Constructed Stormwater Wetlands: Years 4-Lifetime

| Activity | Time of Year | Frequency |
|--|-------------------|-------------|
| Inspect for invasive species and remove if present | Year round | Monthly |
| Clean forebays | Year round | Annually |
| Clean sediment in basin/wetland system | Year round | Once every |
| | | 10 years |
| Mulch Void Areas | Spring | Annually |
| Remove dead vegetation | Fall and spring | Bi-annually |
| Replace dead vegetation | Spring | Annually |
| Prune | Spring or fall | Annually |
| Replace all media and vegetation | Late spring/early | As needed |
| | Summer | |

Never store snow within a constructed stormwater wetland. This would prevent required water quality treatment and the recharge of groundwater.

Extended Dry Detention Basins

Extended dry detention basins are designed to control both stormwater quantity and quality. These BMPs are designed to hold stormwater for at least 24 hours, allowing solids to settle and reducing local and downstream flooding. Pretreatment is required to reduce the potential for overflow clogging. The outflow may be designed as either fixed or adjustable. Additional nutrient removal may be achieved by a micropool or shallow marsh.

Hamilton does not currently own or maintain any extended dry detention basins. In the event that the Town installs a extended dry detention basin, the operation and maintenance procedures outlined in this section shall apply.

Inspection and Maintenance

Annual inspection of extended dry detention basins is required to ensure that the basins are operating properly. Potential problems include: erosion within the basin and banks, tree growth on the embankment, damage to the emergency spillway, and sediment accumulation around the outlet. Should any of these problems be encountered, necessary repairs should be made immediately.





Maintenance Schedule: Extended Dry Detention Basins

| Activity | Time of Year | Frequency |
|--|---------------------|---|
| Inspect basins | Spring and fall | Bi-annually and during and after major storms |
| Examine outlet structure for clogging or high outflow release velocities | Spring and fall | Bi-annually |
| Mow upper stage, side slopes, embankment and emergency spillway | Spring through fall | Bi-annually |
| Remove trash and debris | Spring | Bi-annually |
| Remove sediment from basin | Year round | At least once every 5 years |

Proprietary Media Filters

Media Filters are designed to reduce total suspended solids and other target pollutants, such as organics, heavy metals, or nutrients – these materials are sorbed onto the filter media, which is contained in a concrete structure. The substrate used as filter media depends on the target pollutants, and may consist of leaf compost, pleated fabric, activated charcoal, perlite, amended sand in combination with perlite, and zeolite. Two types of Media Filters are manufactured: Dry media filters, which are designed to dewater within 72 hours, and wet media filters, which maintain a permanent pool of water as part of the treatment system.

Hamilton does not currently own or maintain any proprietary media filters. In the event that the Town installs this type of BMP, the operation and maintenance procedures outlined in this section shall apply.

Inspection and Maintenance

Maintenance in accordance with the manufacturer's requirements is necessary to ensure stormwater treatment. Inspection or maintenance of the concrete structure may require OSHA confined space training. Dry media filters are required to dewater in 72 hours, thus preventing mosquito and other insect breeding. Proper maintenance is essential to prevent clogging. Wet media filters require tight fitting seals to keep mosquitoes and other insects from entering and breeding in the permanent pools. Required maintenance includes routine inspection and treatment.

Maintenance Schedule: Proprietary Media Filters

| Activity | Time of Year | Frequency | | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Inspect for standing water, trash, sediment and | Per manufacturer's | Bi-annually (minimum) | | |
| clogging | schedule | | | |
| Remove trash and debris | N/A | Each inspection | | |
| Examine to determine if system drains in 72 hours | Spring, after large storm | Annually | | |
| Inspect filtering media for clogging | Per manufacturer's | Per manufacturer's | | |
| | schedule | schedule | | |

Sand and Organic Filters

Sand and organic filters, also known as filtration basins, are intended for stormwater quality control rather than quantity control. These filters improve water quality by removing pollutants through a filtering media and settling pollutants on top of the sand bed and/or in a pretreatment basin. Pretreatment is required to prevent filter media from clogging. Runoff from the filters is typically discharged to another BMP for additional





treatment.

Hamilton does not currently own or maintain any sand or organic media filters. In the event that the Town installs this type of BMP, the operation and maintenance procedures outlined in this section shall apply.

Inspection and Maintenance

If properly maintained, sand and organic filters have a long life. Maintenance requirements of the filters include raking the sand and removing sediment, trash, and debris from the surface of the BMP. Over time, fine sediments will penetrate deep into the sand requiring replacement of several inches or the entire sand layer. Discolored sand is an indicator of the presence of fine sediments, suggesting that the sand should be replaced.

Maintenance Schedule: Sand and Organic Filters

| Activity | Frequency |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Inspect filters and remove debris | After every major storm for the first 3 months after |
| | construction completion. Every 6 months thereafter. |

Wet Basins

Wet basins are intended to treat stormwater quality through the removal of sediments and soluble pollutants. A permanent pool of water allows sediments to settle and removes the soluble pollutants, including some metals and nutrients. Additional dry storage is required to control peak discharges during large storm events. If properly designed and maintained, wet basins can add fire protection, wildlife habitats, and aesthetic values to a property.

Hamilton does not currently own or maintain any wet basins. In the event that the Town installs this type of BMP, the operation and maintenance procedures outlined in this section shall apply.

Inspection and Maintenance

To ensure proper operation, wet basin outfalls should be inspected for evidence of clogging or excessive outfall releases. Potential problems to investigate include erosion within the basin and banks, damage to the emergency spillway, tree growth on the embankment, sediment accumulation around the outlet, and the emergence of invasive species. Should any of these problems be encountered, perform repairs immediately. An on-site sediment disposal area will reduce sediment removal costs.

Maintenance Schedule: Wet Basins

| Activity | Time of Year | Frequency |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| Inspect wet basins | Spring and/or fall | Annually (Minimum) |
| Mow upper stage, side slopes, embankment and | Spring through fall | Bi-annually |
| emergency spillway | | (Minimum) |
| Remove sediment, trash and debris | Spring through fall | Bi-annually |
| | | (Minimum) |
| Remove sediment from basin | Year round | As required, but at |
| | | least once every 10 |
| | | years |





Dry Wells

Dry wells are used to infiltrate uncontaminated runoff. These BMPs should never be used to infiltrate stormwater or runoff that has the potential to be contaminated with sediment and other pollutants. Dry wells provide groundwater recharge and can reduce the size and cost required of downstream BMPs or storm drains. However, they are only applicable in drainage areas of less than one acre and may experience high failure rates due to clogging.

Hamilton does not currently own or maintain any dry wells. In the event that the Town installs this type of BMP, the operation and maintenance procedures outlined in this section shall apply.

Inspection and Maintenance

Proper dry well function depends on regular inspection. Clogging has the potential to cause high failure rates. The water depth in the observation well should be measured at 24 and 48 hour intervals after a storm and the clearance rate calculated. The clearance rate is calculated by dividing the drop in water level (inches) by the time elapsed (hours).

Maintenance Schedule: Dry Wells

| Activity | Frequency |
|-------------------|--|
| Inspect dry wells | After every major storm for the first 3 months after |
| | construction completion. Annually thereafter. |

Infiltration Basins

Infiltration basins are designed to contain stormwater and provide groundwater recharge. Pollution prevention and pretreatment are required to ensure that contaminated stormwater is not infiltrated. Infiltration basins reduce local flooding and preserve the natural water balance of the site. High failure rates, however, often occur due to improper siting, inadequate pretreatment, poor design, and lack of maintenance.

Hamilton does not currently own or maintain any infiltration basins. In the event that the Town installs this type of BMP, the operation and maintenance procedures outlined in this section shall apply.

Inspection and Maintenance

Regular maintenance is required to prevent clogging, which results in infiltration basin failure. Clogging may be due to upland sediment erosion, excessive soil compaction, or low spots. Inspections should include signs of differential settlement, cracking, erosion, leakage in the embankments, tree growth on the embankments, riprap condition, sediment accumulation, and turf health.





Maintenance Schedule: Infiltration Basins

| Activity | Time of Year | Frequency |
|--|-----------------|--|
| Preventative maintenance | Spring and fall | Bi-annually |
| Inspection | Spring and fall | After every major storm for the first 3 months after construction completion. Bi-annually thereafter and discharges through the high outlet orifice. |
| Mow/rake buffer area, side slopes and basin bottom | Spring and fall | Bi-annually |
| Remove trash, debris and organic matter | Spring and fall | Bi-annually |

Employee Training

- Employees who perform inspection or maintenance on structural BMPs are trained once per year on proper procedures.
- If services are contracted, the contractor should be given a copy of this and any applicable SOPs to ensure compliance with MS4 regulations.

Attachments

1. Structural BMP Inspection and Maintenance Checklist





| Date: | Town of Hamilton |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Representative(s): | |

Annual Stormwater BMP Inspection and Maintenance Form

| Location: | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| General Questions (apply to all BMPs) Has trash accumulated in the BMP? Is there visible erosion, settlement, or structura Are there any obstructions or clogs at the inlet Is there water in the BMP above the outflow in | or outlet? | Yes | No | N/A | (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) |
| (complete all that apply) | | | | | |
| Infiltration System | | | | | |
| Average Sediment Depth: | (Cleaning is | required w | hen this ex | ceeds 3" in c | hambers) |
| Vortechs (Model #) | | | | | |
| Water Depth to Sediment: | (Cleaning is | required w | hen this is | < 18") | |
| Floatable Layer Thickness: | (Cleaning is | required w | hen this is | > 2") | |
| Stormceptor (Model #) | | | | | |
| Water Depth to Sediment: | (See append | lix for sedi | ment depth | ıs necessitatiı | ng cleaning) |
| Detention Basin/ Pond Are there any upstream or downstream con If YES include notes to clarify changed cond | | ay impact l | basin/ pond | d operation? (| Y/N) |
| Drywell(s) Quantity: Indications of Hazardous Substances? (Y/N | 1) | | | | |
| Average Sediment Depth: | | | | | |
| Deep Sump CB Quantity: (include a | a sketch if mor | e than one | e) | | |
| Sediment Depth(s): | (Cleaning is | required if | sediment e | exceeds 2') | |
| Bioretention Area Has mulch recently been replaced? (Y/N) | | | | | |
| Sediment Forebay | | | | | |
| Average Sediment Depth: | (Cleaning is | required if | sediment e | exceeds 2') | |
| Grass Length: | (Mowing is re | equired if g | grass is lon | ger than 6") | |
| Notes/ Recommendations: | | | | | |

⁽¹⁾ If the answer is "YES" clarifying notes and photographs are required. Maintenance may be necessary.

⁽²⁾ For drywells and infiltration systems the invert is the base of the system.

APPENDIX H

Standard Operating Procedure – Salt Use Optimization/ Winter Road Maintenance

H.1: Salt Use Optimization/ Winter Road Maintenance

| STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE | SOP NUMBER: | ISSUE DATE: |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS [OR OTHER] | | |
| PROGRAM: | | |
| Snow Removal and De-Icing | | |

APPROVED BY:

Timothy J. Olson
Director of Public Works

MA SMALL MS4 PERMIT REQUIREMENT SUMMARY:

Part 2.3.7.a.iii.5.

The permittee shall establish and implement procedures for winter road maintenance including the use and storage of salt and sand; minimize the use of sodium chloride and other salts, and evaluate opportunities for use of alternative materials; and ensure that snow disposal activities do not result in disposal of snow into waters of the United States. For purposes of this MS4 Permit, salt shall mean any chloride-containing material used to treat paved surfaces for deicing, including sodium chloride, calcium chloride, magnesium chloride, and brine solutions.

Personnel

The following personnel are responsible for snow and ice removal. Employees performing the procedures in this SOP shall attend yearly stormwater pollution prevention training.

TABLE 1

| Name | Responsibility |
|------------------------|-----------------------|
| DPW Director | Manage operation |
| Assistant DPW Director | Oversee operation |
| Highway Foreman | Orchestrate operation |
| | |
| | |

Equipment

The municipality owns and maintains ice control and snow removal equipment listed in Table 2. Equipment maintenance shall be conducted consistent with the Vehicles and Equipment maintenance SOP found here: The wash area is located at the parking area at DPW Garage, 577 Bay Road, Hamilton, MA 001982

Plowing

When conditions warrant, plows are installed on the 6 larger trucks to move snow from the traveled roadway. Average time to install a plow is approximately 30 minutes. 8 smaller trucks are available for plowing of residential streets and clearing public lots.

Sand Spreaders

When conditions warrant, sand spreaders are installed on the 3 larger trucks to spread sand on the traveled roadway. Each sand spreader is calibrated prior to the deicing season and periodically through the winter season thereafter. Sand spreaders are calibrated to dispense the standard practice cubic yards of sand per lane mile.

| STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE | SOP NUMBER: | ISSUE DATE: |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS [OR OTHER] | | |
| <u> </u> | | |
| Program: | | |
| Snow Removal and De-Icing | | |
| | | |

Salt Spreaders and Pre-Wetting Devices

When conditions warrant, salt spreaders are installed on the 3 larger trucks to spread salt on the traveled roadway. Each salt spreader is calibrated prior to the deicing season and periodically through the winter season thereafter. Salt application shall be calibrated to dispense rates of standard practice pounds per lane mile. The Town does not currently have any pre-wetting devices on their trucks.

Anti-Icing Dispensers

N/A. The Town does not currently have any anti-icing dispensers on their trucks.

TABLE 2

| Equipment Number | Make | Description | Additional Equipment | Primary Use |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| [00001] | [XXXX] | [12-yard dump truck] | [4-yard salt spreader. 11' Side-cast plow] | [General Salting and Plowing] |
| 1 | Chevy 2500 | ¾ Ton | Plow | plowing |
| 2 | Chevy 2500 | ¾ Ton | Plow | plowing |
| 3 | Chevy 3500 | 1 Ton | Plow | plowing |
| 4 | John Deere | Loader | Plow/Bucket | plowing |
| 5 | International 7300 | 6 cy | Plow/Sander | Plowing and sanding |
| 6 | International 7300 | 6 cy | Plow | Plowing |
| 7 | International 7400 | 6 cy | Plow/Sander | Plowing and sanding |
| 8 | Chevy 2500 | ¾ Ton | Plow | plowing |
| 9 | International 7300 | 6 cy | Plow/Sander | Plowing and sanding |
| 10 | Chevy 2500 | ¾ Ton | Plow | plowing |
| 11 | GMC 3500 | 1 Ton | Plow | plowing |
| 12 | Chevy 3500 | 1 Ton | Plow | plowing |
| 13 | International 7400 | 6 cy | Plow | plowing |
| 16 | GMC 3500 | 1 Ton | Plow | plowing |
| 18 | Chevy 2500 | ¾ Ton | Plow | plowing |
| 19 | Holder | N/A | Plow/Snowblower | Plow and snowblow |
| 20 | John Deere 4300 | N/A | Snowblower | snowblower |
| 39 | Trackless MT7 | N/A | Plow/Snowblower | Plow and snowblow |

Materials

The major materials are used in snow and ice control are coarse sand, coarse salt. These materials are stockpiled in advance of an event and are immediately available when needed and stocks are replenished between events.

| STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE | SOP NUMBER: | ISSUE DATE: |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS [OR OTHER] | | |
| PROGRAM: Snow Removal and De-Icing | | |

Sand

Sand is used as an abrasive for traction on slick roadways. Approximately 800 cubic yards are anticipated to be used per year and are ordered from a local contractor. There is no contract for this purchase prior to each deicing season. Sand is stored in the covered facility located at 577 Bay Road, Hamilton, MA 01982. Loading areas and yards are swept when possible following each storm event and at the end of the season to prevent sand build-up and run-off.

Salt

Salt is used to expedite the melting of snow and ice from the street surface and also to keep the ice from forming a bond to the street surface. Approximately 2000 tons of Foreign and Solar Salt are anticipated to be used per year and are ordered from low bid vendor from the Town of Boxford Road Salt Cooperative Bid prior to each deicing season. Salt is stored in the covered facility located at 577 Bay Road, Hamilton, MA 01982. Loading areas and yards are swept when possible following each storm event and at the end of the to prevent salt build-up and run-off.

Anti-icing and Pre-Wetting Chemical

N/A. The Town does not currently utilize any anti-icing or pre-wetting chemicals.

Salt Alternatives

N/A. The Town does not currently use any salt alternatives.

Procedures

Anti-Icing

N/A. The Town does not currently utilize any anti-icing or pre-wetting chemicals.

Salt Application

- 1. Whenever conditions warrant, salt is applied to the roadway prior to accumulation of snow to prevent compacted snow from bonding to the roadway surface. The Highway Foreman or designee will instruct staff when salt application is appropriate. Salting will not be done when pavement temperatures are above 32-degrees F or below 15-degrees F.
- 2. Prior to salt application, equipment will be checked to ensure proper working order and ensure proper calibration of equipment. All fluid levels will be checked and filled to proper levels; all lights must be in working order. A visual walk-around inspection of the truck or equipment must be made. Any repairs must be made and reported to a supervisor or mechanic before leaving the yard.
- 3. The standard salt application speed is: 20-25 mph.
- 4. Street listing of plowed routes is available at the DPW (577 Bay Road). Follow any prioritized route or schedule as required.
- 5. Before parking any truck or equipment after use, all fluid levels will be checked and filled. All minor repairs will be done by the operator. Any repairs the operator cannot perform will be written up or verbally communicated on the proper forms and turned in to DPW Mechanic. DPW Mechanic will determine importance and will assign the repairs according to schedule. All deicing chemical will be washed from equipment at the wash bay or designated wash area.

| STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE | SOP NUMBER: | ISSUE DATE: |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS [OR OTHER] | | |
| PROGRAM: Snow Removal and De-Icing | | |

Snow Plowing

- 1. As the storm develops and 2 to 4 inches of snow has accumulated, all of the drivers and available equipment will begin to plow their assigned routes.
- 2. Prior to plowing operations, equipment will be checked to ensure proper working order. All fluid levels will be checked and filled to proper levels; all lights must be in working order. A visual walk-around inspection of the truck or equipment must be made. Any repairs must be made and reported to a supervisor or mechanic before leaving the yard.
- 3. Avoid plowing, pushing, blowing or storing excess snow, deicer, or other debris in or near creeks, watercourses or storm drainage systems.
- 4. Reduce plowing speed in sensitive areas (near creeks, wetlands or other water courses) to prevent snow and deicing materials from entering waterways.
- 5. The standard plowing speed is: 20-25 mph.
- 6. Follow the prioritized route or schedule. This schedule is located at: A listing of routes is available at the DPW
- 7. Before parking any truck or equipment after use, all fluid levels will be checked and filled. Blades or bolts, which need replacing, will be taken care of unless told to do otherwise. Chains that need repairs will be repaired. All minor repairs will be done by the operator. Any repairs the operator cannot perform will be written up on the proper forms and turned in to DPW Mechanic. The DPW Mechanic will determine importance and will assign the repairs according to schedule.

Sand Application

- 1. Whenever conditions warrant, sand is applied to the roadway to increase traction. The Highway Foreman or designee will instruct staff when sand application is appropriate. Sanding will not be done when pavement temperatures are above 15 degrees F.
- 2. Prior to sand application, equipment will be checked to ensure proper working order and ensure proper calibration of equipment. All fluid levels will be checked and filled to proper levels; all lights must be in working order. A visual walk-around inspection of the truck or equipment must be made. Any repairs must be made and reported to a supervisor or mechanic before leaving the yard.
- 3. The standard sanding speed is: 20-25 mph.
- 4. Street listing of plowed routes is available at the DPW (577 Bay Road). Follow any prioritized route or schedule as required.
- 5. Before parking any truck or equipment after use, all fluid levels will be checked and filled. Blades or bolts, which need replacing, will be taken care of unless told to do otherwise. Chains that need repairs will be repaired. All minor repairs will be done by the operator. Any repairs the operator cannot perform will be written up on the proper forms and turned in to DPW Mechanic. The DPW Mechanic will determine importance and will assign the repairs according to schedule.

Salt Alternative Application

N/A. The Town does not currently use any salt alternatives.

Record Keeping and Documentation

- 1. Maintain a master street listing of plowed routes, and schedule of any prioritized snow and sanding routes. Located in the DPW.
- 2. Keep copies of manufacturer's recommendations for equipment calibration, plowing speed and salt/sand application rates. Located in the DPW Mechanic files.
- **3.** Keep records of the amounts of salt, sand, liquid deicer, and salt alternatives applied per season. Located in the DPW.
- **4.** Keep a list of all employees trained in the facility's Stormwater Pollution Prevention binder or computer file.